IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

(Arising out of the final order and judgment dated 22.04.2024 in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta)

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO. _____/2024	Application for exemption from filing Certified Copy of the Impugned Order

PAPER BOOK

(FOR INDEX, PLEASE SEE INSIDE)

VOLUME-I

PAGE NOS. A to 368

ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER: ASTHA SHARMA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

(Arising out of the final order and judgment dated 22.04.2024 in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta)

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO. _____/2024	Application for exemption from filing Certified Copy of the Impugned Order

PAPER BOOK

(FOR INDEX, PLEASE SEE INSIDE)

VOLUME-II

PAGE NOS. 369 to 788

ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER: ASTHA SHARMA

MASTER INDEX

Sl. No.	PARTICULARS	Page Nos. of part to which it belongs		Remarks
		Part 1 (Contents of Paper Book)	**Part 2 (Contents of file alone)**	
	Court Fees	Rs.	Rs.	
1.	Listing Proforma	A – A1	A – A1	
2.	Cover Page of Paper Book		A2	
3.	Index of Record of Proceedings		A3	
4.	Limitation Report prepared by the Registry		A4	
5.	Defect List		A5	
6.	Note Sheet		NS1-	
7.	Synopsis and List of Dates	B-CC		
8.	Copy of the impugned Final order and Judgment dated 22.04.2024, passed by the Hon'ble High Court a Calcutta in WPA 30649 of 2016 and other connected matters.	1-290		
9.	Special Leave Petition with Affidavit	291-313		
10.	**ANNEXURE P – 1** A copy of the notification dated 16.02.2016.	314-334		
11.	**ANNEXURE P-2** A copy of the notification dated 23.09.2016.	335		
12.	**ANNEXURE P-3** A copy of the Notification No. 860.	336		

13.	**ANNEXURE P-4** A copy of the WPA No. 30649 of 2016.	337-357		
14.	**ANNEXURE P-5** A copy of the notice dated 03.07.2017.	358-359		
15.	**ANNEXURE P-6** A copy of the office memorandum dated 05.05.2022.	360-366		
16.	**ANNEXURE P-7** A copy of the government order dated 19.05.2022.	367-368		
17.	**ANNEXURE P-8** A copy of the final order and judgement dated 18.05.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in MAT No. 300 of 2022.	369-483		
18.	**ANNEXURE P-9** A copy of the order dated 24.07.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in SLP (C) 26016 of 2023- *Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors.*	484-485		
19.	**ANNEXURE P-10** A copy of the order dated 18.10.2022 passed in SLP (C) No. 16325-16326 of 2022 by this Hon'ble Court.	486-505		
20.	**ANNEXURE P-11** A copy of the order dated 23.11.2022 passed in W.P.A. No. 12266 of 2021.	506-511		
21.	**ANNEXURE P-12** A copy of the order dated 23.11.2022 passed at 3:00 PM in W.P.A. No. 12266 of 2021	512-517		
22.	**ANNEXURE P-13** A copy of the order dated 25.11.2022 passed by this Hon'ble Court.	518-520		

23.	**ANNEXURE P-14** A Copy of the order dated 09.11.2023 passed in SLP (C) No. 4978-4079 of 2023 by this Hon'ble Court.	521-536		
24.	**ANNEXURE P-15** A Copy of the Order dated 05.12.2023 in WPA 7592/2021 and other connected maters.	537-544		
25.	**ANNEXURE P-16** A Copy of the Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission dated 12.12.2023.	545-553		
26.	**ANNEXURE P-17** A Copy of the Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission in compliance to the same.	554-604		
27.	**ANNEXURE P-18** A Copy of the Report filed by Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.	605-700		
28.	**ANNEXURE P-19** A Copy of the Additional Report by Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.	701-756		
29.	**ANNEXURE P-20** A Copy of the Status Report dated 09.01.2024 by CBI.	757-763		
30.	**ANNEXURE P-21** A Copy of the Affidavit by CBI dated 16.01.2024.	764-769		
31.	**ANNEXURE P-22** A Copy of the Affidavit in Compliance by CBI dated 05.02.2024.	770-783		

32.	**I.A. NO. /2024** Application seeking for exemption from filing Certified Copy of the Impugned Order.	784-786		
33.	Filing Memo		787	
34.	Vakalatnama		788	

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT OF LIMITATION

1. The petition is/are within time.
2. The petition is barred by time and there is delay of ____ days in filing the same against the Impugned Order dated ____ and application for condonation of ____ days delay has been filed.
3. There is delay of _____ days in re-filing the petition and petition for condonation of _____ days delay in re-filing has been filed.

New Delhi

Dated: 23.04.2024

(SECTION OFFICER)

A1

PROFORMA FOR FIRST LISTING

SECTION: II-A


This case pertains to (Please tick/ check the correct box):

☐	Central Act: (Title)	Constitution of India
☐	Section:	Article 136
☐	Central Rule: (Title)	
☐	Rule No(s)	**N.A.**
☐	State Act: (Title)	**N.A**
☐	Section:	N.A.
☐	State Rule: (Title)	**N.A.**
☐	Rule No(s):	**N.A.**
☐	Impugned Interim Order: (Date)	**N.A.**
☐	Impugned Final Order /Decree: (Date)	**22.04.2024**
☐	High Court: (Name)	**The Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta**
☐	Names of Judges:	**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Debangsu Basak and Honb'le Mr. Justice Shabbar Rashidi**
☐	Tribunal /Authority: (Name)	**N.A.**

1. Nature of the matter ☐ **Civil✓** ☐ Criminal
2. a) Petitioner/Appellant No.1.: State of West Bengal
   b) Email I.D. Astha.aor@gmail.com
   c) Mobile phone number: 9811788754
3. a) Respondent No.1 Baisakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee)
   b) Email I.D. **N/A**
   c) Mobile phone number: **N/A**

4. a) Main category classification **1800**
   b) Sub classification: **1807**
5. Not to be listed before: **N/A**
6. a) Similar disposed of matter with citation, if any & case details: **No similar disposed of matter**
   (b) Similar pending matter with case details: No similar pending matter
7. **Criminal Matters**:
   a) Whether accused /convict surrendered: ☐ **Yes** ☐ **No**
   b) FIR No: **NA** Date : **NA**
   c) Police Station: **NA**
   d) Sentenced awarded **NA**
   e) Sentence Undergone: **NA**
8. **Land Acquisition Matters:** **N.A**
   a) Date of Section 4 notification: **N.A.**
   b) Date of Section 6 notification: **N.A.**
   c) Date of Section 17 notification: **N.A**
9. **Tax Matters**: State the Tax effect: **N.A**
10. **Special Category**: (first petitioner/ appellant only): **N.A**
    ☐ Senior citizen > 65 years ☐ SC/ ST ☐ Woman /child
    ☐ Disabled ☐ Legal Aid case ☐ Custody
11. Vehicle Number (in case of Motor Accident Claim matters): **N.A**

Date: 24.04.2024



(ASTHA SHARMA)

Advocate for the Petitioner
(C.C. No. 2450)
401-402 & 412, 4th Floor
Mercantile House, 15 K G Marg
New Delhi-110001
Mob. 9811788754 ;
Email:- astha.aor@gmail.com

B

SYNOPSIS

1. That the instant Special Leave Petition is filed assailing the common Final Order and Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.*" wherein the Hon'ble Division Bench has while adjudicating a batch of matters has clubbed together a host of issues, and instead of segregating the valid appointments, which could not have formed part of the adjudication as opposed to the alleged illegal ones has erroneously set aside the selection process in its entirety, which affects nearly 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff in the State of West Bengal, while also directing the persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till deposit, within a period of four weeks from date of the Impugned Order.

2. Pertinently, in 2016, the School Service Commission ("**SSC"),** conducted selection process for recruitment to the *following* posts:
    a. Non-Teaching Staff under Group D and C category,
    b. Assistant Teacher in classes IX and X; and
    c. Assistant Teacher in classes XI and XII.

3. Pursuant to extensive scrutiny by the SSC based on the CBI Enquiry reports and various documents produced by the writ

petitioners before the Hon'ble High, various irregularities were found in the selection process as per the following table which is relied upon by the Hon'ble High Court at Para 240 of the Impugned Order, and which is culled out hereinbelow for the ready reference of this Hon'ble Court:

Sl. No.	Post Name	Class Level	Total Recommendation	OMR Issue	Rank Jumping	Alleged Irregularity	Alleged Irregularity in percentage
1	Assistant Teacher	IX-X Level	11610	808	185	993	8.50%
2	Assistant Teacher	XI-XII Level	5596	771	39	810	14.47%
3	Group C (Clerk)		2037	783		783	38.43%
4	Group D		3880	1741		1741	44.87%
	TOTAL		**23123**	**4103**	**224**	**4327**	

4. Vide the Impugned Order, the Hon'ble High Court, basis oral submissions, without any affidavit on record, has proceeded to in a cursory manner, direction to cancel all appointments of teachers and non-teaching staff, in utter disregard to the fact that the same will lead to a huge vacuum in the State Schools, unless new selection process is completed by the SSC, especially when the new academic sessions is on its brim, leading to the students being adversely impacted.

5. It is most respectfully submitted that the Impugned order is further in teeth of the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon & Ors vs. State of Punjab & Ors*** **2006 11 SCC 356**, as no case for cancellation of the entire selection process was made out, and there was no occasion for the Hon'ble High Court to set aside/cancel the entire

recruitment, when the allegedly wrongful and illegal appointments identified by the SSC based on the CBI Report, could have as per law been set aside, after hearing the aggrieved parties. However, the Hon'ble Court has instead of separating the grains from the chaff, has proceeded to paint the entire selection process with the same colour of irregularity, leaving the State Govt. as ~~an appointing authority, and as~~ the authority responsible to maintain the Teacher-Pupil ratio in schools in a precarious position.

6. What is further strange, is that the Hon'ble High Court has further transgressed the issues before it, and proceeded to direct an investigation by the CBI, into the policy decision taken by the cabinet for the creation of conditional supernumerary posts for accommodating the teachers whose appointment was found to be irregular. Even though eventually no appointments were made in those posts, and especially when this Hon'ble Court is in seisen of the said issue, and the Hon'ble High Court was not called upon to adjudicate the same.

BRIEF FACTS

7. The West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 was passed for the establishment of the School Service Commission for the selection of persons for appointment to the posts of Teachers and Non-teaching staff in different State-funded schools in the State of West Bengal.

8. Briefly, the appointment of teaching and non-teaching staff in State-funded schools is made based on the aggregate results of the State Level Selection Test ("**SLST**") comprising

E

written test, evaluation of academic qualifications and personality tests of the candidates. The process begins with the publication of a vacancy through public advertisement followed by State wide SLST. On the basis of the aggregate marks obtained in written tests, evaluation of academic qualifications and personality tests of the candidates SSC prepares a panel in order of merit , the strength of which is equal to the number of vacancies, the SSC also prepares a panel of the waiting list of the candidates who have appeared in the personality test and have not been disqualified, the validity of panel and wait list is of one year. Thereafter, the SSC holds counselling with a view to recommend the name of the candidate from the panel for appointment against each vacancy. Upon the recommendation of the SSC, a candidate is issued an appointment letter by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education ("**WBBSE**").

Present Lis

9. In the year 2016 a notification was issued by the SSC inviting applications for SLST. Pursuant to the conclusion of the examination a merit panel was created and candidates were offered appointment. However, unsuccessful candidates approached the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court by filing various petitions challenging 2016 selection process conducted by SSC for recruitment to the post of Group D and C in terms of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for the Appointment to Non- Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes IX and X in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Post of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher

Secondary Schools) Rules, 2006 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes XI and XII in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to Post of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016.

10. Orders have been passed by the Hon'ble High Court from time to time cancelling the appointments of several such candidates on the grounds that their appointments were made illegally by manipulating the evaluation process. These orders were assailed by the affected parties before the Division Bench and ultimately before this Hon'ble Court.

11. On 09.11.2023, this Hon'ble Court while dealing with the batch of petitions arising from the said selection process in SLP (C) No. 4078-4079/2023-"*Achinta Kumar Mondal & Ors. Etc. versus Laxmi Tunga & Ors. Etc.*", directed that **all the issues relating to 2016 appointments should be examined by a Division Bench of the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court and render its finding on the final merits of the case**.

12. In view of the direction passed by this Hon'ble Court, the Ld. Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court took up the batch matters relating to the irregularities in the 2016 selection process wherein the impugned orders came to be passed.

A. THE IMPUGNED ORDER WILL PARALYZE THE SCHOOLS IN THE PETITIONER STATE LEADING TO COMPLETE COLLAPSE OF THE EDUCATION SYSTEM

13. The Hon'ble High Court failed to appreciate the ramification of cancelling the entire selection process leading to straightaway termination of 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff from service with immediate effect, without giving sufficient time to the Petitioner State to deal with such an exigency, rendering the education system at a stand-still. That even though as per the CBI enquiry report and the affidavit by the SSC irregularity in appointments were only found for 4,327 teachers and non teaching staff, the Impugned Order on its own wisdom strikes at the legal and valid selections of 23,123 teachers, which was not found to be riddled with any anomaly as per the chargesheet filed by CBI concluding the investigation.

14. The Hon'ble High Court further mechanically directed that the SSC shall undertake a fresh selection process in respect of the declared vacancies involved in these selection processes preferably within a fortnight from the date of declaration of results of the ensuing elections, without considering that, till the aforesaid process is completely by the SSC and actual appointments are made pursuant thereto, the Schools in the Petitioner State will be seriously understaffed which will severely affect the students in such schools.

15. Moreover, Schedule of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 prescribes that the appropriate government is bound to maintain the Student to Teacher ratio of 40:1 at the elementary level. As a standard practice same ratio is also maintained by the State in the

Secondary level. The Hon'ble High Court has failed to appreciate that the Petitioner State is bound maintain such student to teacher ratio for effective impartment of knowledge.

B. <u>NO ATTEMPT MADE TO SEGREGATE THE VALID APPOINTMENTS FROM THE ALLEGED ILLEGAL ONES BASED ON THE DATA PROVIDED BY SSC.</u>

16. This Hon'ble Court in ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon & Ors vs. State of Punjab & Ors*** **2006 11 SCC 356** held that if the services of the appointees who had put in few years of service were terminated; following factors need to be established [at Para 40]:

a. Satisfaction in regard to the sufficiency of the materials collected so as to enable the State to arrive at its satisfaction that the selection process was tainted;

b. Determine the question that the illegalities committed go to the root of the matter which vitiate the entire selection process. Such satisfaction as also the sufficiency of materials was required to be gathered by reason of a thorough investigation in a fair and transparent manner;

c. Whether the sufficient material present enabled the State to arrive at satisfaction that the officers in majority have been found to be part of the fraudulent purpose or the system itself was corrupt.

17. Admittedly, the Hon'ble High Court failed to appreciate that the material placed on record by the SSC and CBI after extensive scrutiny by no stretch of imagination indicates that

the entire selection process was tainted and as a consequence all the appointments made thereto suffers from grave irregularity. In fact, the Hon'ble High Court proceeds on a premise that further scrutiny of the selection might reveal more irregularity, which is purely based on conjecture and surmises and not supported by any cogent material on record.

18. Surprisingly, the SSC and CBI have filed multiple reports in addition to the materials produced by the writ petitioner which clearly elicits a tangible data of limited illegal appointments, and therefore, the Hon'ble High Court erred in law by cancelling the entire selection process by ignoring the specific data on purported illegal appointment, which formed the foundation of the said directions.

19. In fact, the Hon'ble High Court at Para 252 of the Impugned order records that the CBI has already completed its investigation and chargesheets are already filed. Therefore, further scrutiny and revelation of further irregularity is very unlikely, and therefore, cancelling the entire selection process, and passing an en masse order, was neither required nor called for in the present case.

C. <u>THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT HAS IGNORED THE ORDER DATED 24.07.2023 IN SLP (C) 16152 of 2023 (SANJU GHOSH AND ORS VS LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS) RELATING RANK JUMPING IS PENDING BEFORE THIS HON'BLE COURT</u>

20. The Hon'ble High failed to consider that the subject matter related to "rank jump" is pending adjudication before this

Hon'ble Court in SLP (C) 16152 of 2023 *(Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors),* wherein the judgement and final order dated 18.05.2022 in MAT 535 of 2022 is challenged by the appointees to the Group D posts.

21. This Hon'ble Court issued notice in the said SLP vide Order 24.07.2023 and directed that the operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed until further orders in the said SLP which has not been varied till date.

22. In fact, the Hon'ble Bench while passing the order dated 09.11.2023 consciously kept the issue pending before another Hon'ble Bench regarding rank jump out of the scope of remand order by using the phrase "*present set of petitions/appeals are disposed of*".

D. <u>DIRECTION TO CBI TO INVESTIGATE THE CABINET DECISION FOR CREATION OF SUPERNUMERARY POST OVERREACHES THE ORDER DATED 25.11.2022 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN SLP (C) NO. 38262/2022.</u>

23. The SSC had filed CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 5406 of 2022 seeking permission for creation of supernumerary posts for the appointees whose appointments were cancelled by an interim order subject to final outcome of the proceedings before the Hon'ble Court.

24. However, the SSC had during the course of the hearing, sought liberty to withdraw such applications but the Ld. Single Judge declined the request for withdrawal by the order dated 24.11.2022 and directed CBI to investigate the origin

of such application as it is sought to be done by way of the impugned order.

25. It is pertinent to note that the Petitioner State had preferred SLP (C) NO. 22731-22732 of 2022, titled- "*State of West Bengal versus Sandeep Prasad and Ors*", against the aforesaid order, wherein this Hon'ble Court vide Order dated 25.11.2022 was pleased to stay the order passed by the Ld. Single Judge till further orders in the said SLP which is presently pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Court.

26. To the utter shock and dismay of the Petitioner herein, the Hon'ble High Court though refers to the aforesaid facts including the pendency of the petition and the interim order operating as on date, but deliberately overreaches the said interim order passed by this Hon'ble Court to revive the directions given by the Ld. Single Judge vide order dated 24.11.2022 to investigate the purported Cabinet Note suggesting creation of supernumerary posts, which is not only patently illegal, but unheard of.

27. The background for creation of such posts is detailed in Cabinet notes which reveals that while taking the decision for creation of supernumerary posts, a number of cases were pending before the Hon'ble Single Bench and Ld. Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court alleging that recommendation/appointment were given either in violation of merit or recommendation/appointment were given beyond panel/waitlist.

28. At the relevant point of time in order to instill the confidence of general public, and to meet up the grievances of candidates affected by Rank Jumping appointment, the State Government took a decision to create conditional supernumerary posts to accommodate persons affected by Rank Jumping appointment, in public interest.

29. Creation of such posts was not absolute but it was created with rider that appointment to such posts will be subject to outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

30. It may be mentioned here that the selection to the said posts started in 2016 but couldn't finalized for long due to court cases, resulting vacancies of teachers at school levels and adverse Pupil Teachers Ratio in many schools of the state.

31. In the meantime, in WPA No. 6942 of 2022, (Soma Das v. State of West Bengal). The Petitioner therein alleged that in spite of her merit in waitlist 186 rank, she was not recommended whereas candidates not even in the waitlist/ panel got recommendation and appointment. The Hon'ble Court requested the State Government to look into the matter of the petitioner so that, if possible, she can be engaged in any future vacancy.

32. The Impugned Order further loses sight of the fact that there is no appointment to the said supernumerary posts till date, except for the said Smt. Soma Das whose appointment against supernumerary posts has been upheld by the Hon'ble High Court vide impugned order as an exception.

33. In an unfortunate turn of events, the issue pertaining to the creation of the conditional supernumerary posts was not raised before the High Court, and at no stage was the state govt called upon to respond to the allegations, either before the Ld. Single Judge, Ld. Division Bench or the Ld. Special Bench which passed the Impugned Order. This lack of opportunity being granted to the petitioners not only vitiates the principles of natural justice, where the petitioners are being condemned without placing their stand on record, but further transgresses the issues which the high court was seized upon to adjudicate and further disregards the proceedings pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court where the very issue arises and has been stayed by this Hon'ble Court.

34. Hence the present Special Leave Petition.

N

LIST OF DATES

01.04.1997	The West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 was notified by the Government of West Bengal.
16.02.2016	A Notification was issued by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (**"WBCSSC"**) and 1st State Level Selection Test (**"SLST"**) for the recruitment of Assistant Teacher for Class IX-X. A copy of the notification dated 16.02.2016 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-1 [PAGES -** 314-334 **]**.
20.09.2016	The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 (WBSSC Rules, 2016) was notified.
23.09.2016	WBCSSC issued a notification withdrawing the Public Notice dated 16.02.2016 for inviting applications for 1st SLST-2016 (for Classes IX to XII) and issued a fresh notice being Notification

No. 860 for the conducting 1st SLST for filling up 10,233 posts of Assistant Teachers for class IX-X.

Copy of the notification dated 23.09.2016 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-2 [PAGES** 335 **]**.

Copy of the Notification No. 860 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-3 [PAGES** 336 **]**.

29.12.2016 WPA No. 30649 of 2016 was filed alleging the unjust action by the authorities in not granting the petitioner age relaxation in SLST for the recruitment of assistant teachers for class IX and X.

A copy of the WPA No. 30649 of 2016 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-4 [PAGES**337-357**]**.

03.07.2017 A notice was issued by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission for verification of documents of the successful candidates. A copy of the notice dated 03.07.2017 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-5 [PAGES -** 358-359 **]**.

Nov-2017	That the shortlisted candidates were called for attending the interview which was conducted in the office of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission.
12.03.2018	Summary Result published by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission.
28.08.2018	A Merit List of selected candidates was published by West Bengal School Service Commission (WBSSC).
Sept-2018	Letters were issued by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission to the selected candidate for attending counselling.
Jan-2019	Appointment Letters were issued by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission to Selected candidates. Petitioners. Thereafter, all the Selected candidates joined their respective place of posting and started working as Assistant Teachers.

2021	Thereafter, several Writ Petitions were filed before Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta, alleging irregularities in the recruitment process at the behest of the successful candidates. Several orders were passed, from time to time in such Writ Petitions, wherein the investigation was transferred to CBI and four separate FIRs relating to recruitment in different Posts by WBSSC were registered and investigation conducted and concerned ex-Minister and Higher Officials were taken into custody and various status reports were called from time to time.
22.04.2022	The WBSSC sent a proposal to the School Education Department contending that 5261 candidates have been affected by rank jumping in the SLST, 2016 and RLST, 2016 therefore supernumerary may be created to accommodate them.
04.05.2022	The Commissioner of the School Education also proposed to create 1600 Nos. of supernumerary posts to absorb all waitlisted candidates of physical and work education teachers subject to a validation panel. The commissioner has felt it

necessary to instil confidence in the general public in the matters pertaining to education and public employment.

05.05.2022 and 19.05.2022

The proposal of the WBSSC and Commissioner was forwarded by the School Education Department to the Cabinet of the Government of West Bengal along with their views and the legal views on the proposal.

Thereafter, on 19.05.2022 the cabinet approved the proposal and accordingly a government order was issued on 19.05.2022 notifying the creation of 6861 supernumerary posts for teachers subject to the outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

It is pertinent to note that no appointment to the said supernumerary posts have been made till date, except one Soma Das whose appointment was directed vide order dated April 18, 2022 passed in WPA 6942 of 2022 which has been upheld in the impugned order as well

A copy of the office memorandum dated 05.05.2022 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-6 [PAGES - 360-366].**

A copy of the government order dated 19.05.2022 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-7 [PAGES -** 367-368 **].**

18.05.2022

And

24.07.2023

Aggrieved by the various orders passed by the Ld. Single Judge of the High Court holding that various candidates where appointed by jumping their rank had terminated their service and directed a CBI investigation, various petitioners filed appeals before the Division Bench of the Hon'ble Calcutta which was collectively disposed of on 18.05.2022 upholding the directions of the Single Judge.

The aforesaid order was assailed by the candidates whose jobs were terminated before this Hon'ble Court in SLP (C) 16152 of 2023- *Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors* wherein this Hon'ble Court was pleased to grant a stay on the order.

A copy of the final order and judgement dated 18.05.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in MAT No. 300 of 2022 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-8 [PAGES** 369-483**].**

A copy of the order dated 24.07.2023 passed by this Hon'ble Court in SLP (C)

16152 of 2023- *Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors* is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-9 [PAGES 484-485].**

Sept-2022 That the Ld. Single Judge of the Hon`ble High Court at Calcutta has passed various orders and directions for investigation by CBI in WPA No. 13700 of 2021 and other connected matters.

18.10.2022 That Ld. Single Judge kept on passing several orders without impleading the candidates and directing CBI investigation against the Successful Candidates, Commission and other state authorities wherein the Ld. Division Bench was refusing to interfere with such order and directions. The Ld. Single Judge even directed termination some of the candidates even during the ongoing investigation by the CBI.

That certain Candidates approached this Hon`ble Court being SLP (C) No. 16325-16326 of 2022 wherein this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 18.10.2022, protected some candidates and has held as follows:

"The CBI under the SIT shall continue their investigation as directed by the Single Judge and file a comprehensive report before this Court within a period of four weeks as regards progress of the investigation."

In the operating portion of the order at para. 16, this Hon'ble Court has passed following directions: -

"(b) (i). The order passed directing cancellation of 269 candidates by the Single Judge on 13th June 2022 and the part of the order of the Division Bench confirming that order shall stand stayed and remain in abeyance.

(ii). Each of these 269 individuals are directed to be added as a party respondent in W.P No.7907 of 2019 and they shall be entitled to file affidavits to defend their appointment to the said posts, if so advised. The appointing authority will proceed in accordance with law and take appropriate decision after the writ court adjudicate on legality of their appointments. This direction would be subject to any order that may be passed by this Court at a subsequent stage of this proceeding."

A copy of the order dated 18.10.2022 passed in SLP (C) No. 16325-16326 of 2022 by this Hon'ble Court is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-10 [PAGES** 486-505**]**.

24.11.2022

And

25.11.2022

SSC had filed CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 5406 of 2022 seeking permission for creation of supernumerary posts to accommodate candidates who have been affected by rank jumping, when objected to by the writ petitioner, SSC had sought to withdraw such applications. Learned Single Judge had declined the request for withdrawal and vide order dated 24.11.2022 had directed CBI investigation

to ascertain the origin of the request. by the order dated November 24, 2022.

Assailing the aforesaid order was assailed before the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Calcutta High Court in MAT No. 1853 of 2022 which affirmed the order of the Ld. Single Judge.

Aggrieved by the aforesaid order of the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Calcutta High Court, the Petitioner herein preferred Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 22731-22732 of 2022, titled- "*State of West Bengal versus Sandeep Prasad and Ors*" and this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 25.11.2022 had stayed the operation of the direction passed by the Ld. Single Judge.

A copy of the orders dated 23.11.2022 passed in W.P.A. No. 12266 of 2021 are annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-11 [PAGES** 506-511**]** and **ANNEXURE P-12 [PAGES** 512-517**]**.

A copy of the order dated 25.11.2022 passed by this Hon'ble Court is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-13 [PAGES** 518-520**]**.

29.12.2022 Pursuant to order of the Ld. Single Judge dated 23.12.2022 , the WBSSC published the name of 952 candidates, whose OMR

	Sheets were alleged by CBI to have been manipulated.
11.01.2023	That being aggrieved by the orders passed by the Ld. Singh Judge and list published by the West Bengal School Service Commission, certain candidates filed an Application for Impleadment being CAN 8 of 2023 in WPA No. 13700 of 2021. That Ld. Single Judge dismissed the said application, with cost, on the ground they were not necessary and proper party to the present writ Petition.
11.02.2023	That being aggrieved by the order dated 11.01.2023 passed in CAN 8 of 2023 in WPA No. 13700 of 2021, the candidates preferred an Appeal being MAT No. 85 of 2023 before Ld. Division Bench of the Hon`ble High Court at Calcutta. That certain other similarly situated candidates whose impleadment applications were reject by the Ld. Single Judge, also preferred an appeal being MAT No. 124 of 2023.
24.02.2023	That some of the candidates whose were aggrieved by the Order dated 23.12.2022 in WPA No. 7907 of 2019 passed by the Ld. Single Judge of the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta preferred a Special

	Leave Petition before this Hon`ble Court being SLP (C) No. 4242 of 2023 wherein this Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass an interim order inter alia directing the WBSLSC not to fill up the posts of the terminated candidates.
01.03.2023	The Ld. Division Bench vide its order allowed the appeals preferred by the candidates. However, remitted the impleadment application being CAN 8 of 2023 in WPA No. 13700 of 2021 to the Ld. Single Judge, however, certain findings with regard to jurisdiction of WBSSC to invoke Rule 17 for cancellation of the recommendation of the candidates was held in favour the WBSSC.
Mar-2023	That being aggrieved by the observations made by the Ld. Division Bench in its order dated 01.03.2023, the candidates filed a Special Leave Petition being SLP (C) No. 5673-5673 of 2023 before this Hon`ble Court.
09.11.2023	That this Hon`ble Court remanded back the matter to be heard by the Ld. Division Bench of the Hon`ble High Court at Court while protecting the employment of already appointed candidates and directing

CBI to complete the investigation within 2 months along with certain other directions.

A Copy of the order dated 09.11.2023 passed in SLP (C) No. 5673-5673 of 2023 by this Hon`ble Court is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-14 [PAGES** 521-536**]**.

05.12.2023 Upon remanding back of the matters the Hon'ble Division Bench of the High Court at Calcutta vide an Order dated 05.12.2023 directed the SSC to take a final decision with regard to the invocation of the Withdrawal Rule in respect of the individual candidates.

A Copy of the Order dated 05.12.2023 in WPA 7592/2021 and other connected matters is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-15 [PAGES** 537-544**]**.

12.12.2023 Pursuant to the Order of the Hon'ble Division Bench on 05.12.2023 a Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.

A Copy of the Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service

Commission dated 12.12.2023 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-16 [PAGES** 545-553**]**.

13.12.2023 Thereafter the Hon'ble Division Bench granted an extension of time to the SSC to file a subsequent Report and further allowed to parties to proceeding to file affidavits . The Chairman of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission filed another report in the form of Affidavit in pursuance to the order passed by the Hon'ble Division bench.

A Copy of the Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission in compliance to the same is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-17 [PAGES** 554-604**]**.

Thereafter A Report in the form of Affidavit as affirmed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission was filed before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta in compliance of the Order dated 18.12.2023.

A Copy of the Report filed by Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service

Commission is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-18 [PAGES -** 605-700 **]**.

05.01.2024 An Additional Report in the form of an Affidavit was filed by the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission in MAT 85 of 2023 arising out of WPA 13700 of 2021.

A Copy of the Additional Report by Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-19 [PAGES** 701-756**]**.

09.01.2024 A Comprehensive status report dated 09.01.2024 was filed by the CBI before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

A Copy of the Status Report dated 09.01.2024 by CBI is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-20 [PAGES** 757-763**]**.

16.01.2024 In WPA No. 5406 of 2022 which was listed with the batch of connected matters before the Hon'ble High Court an Affidavit was filed in compliance of the Order of the Court on behalf of CBI, Anti Corruption Branch, Kolkata.

BB

A Copy of the Affidavit by CBI dated 16.01.2024 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-21 [PAGES -** 764-769**]**.

24.01.2024 The Hon'ble Division Bench heard the batch of matters and passed further directions in the matter pursuant to which the CBI filed their Affidavit on 05.02.2024.

A Copy of the Affidavit in Compliance by CBI dated 05.02.2024 is annexed herewith and marked as **ANNEXURE P-22 [PAGES**770-783**]**.

22.04.2024 Impugned Order passed by the Hon'ble High Court setting aside the selection process in its entirety, which affects nearly 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff in the State of West Bengal, while also directing the persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till

	deposit, within a period of four weeks from date of the Impugned Order
24.04.2024	Hence the present SLP.

Through Sudipta Dutta Adv.

DISTRICT: HOWRAH.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

W.P. No. 3064S (W) Of 2016;

In the Matter of:

An application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

-And –

In the Matter of:

A writ in the nature of mandamus and/or writ in the nature of prohibition and/or a writ in the nature of certiorari and/or any other appropriate writ or writs and/or direction or directions;

And

In the matter of:

Arbitrary, illegal, unjust action on the part of the respondent authorities in

69047(W) 0y

not granting your petitioners being approved para teacher the benefit of age relaxation in the 1st State Level Selection Test for Recruitment of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools.

AND

In the matter of :-

The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules 2016 ;

And

In the matter of:

The West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997;

And

In the Matter of

1. Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) wife of Goutam Chatterjee and daughter of Adyanath Bhattacharyya residing at Village- Andul Dakshin Para, Post office- Andul-Mouri, Police Station- Sankrail, District- Howrah- 711 302.
2. Molly Roy (Ghosh) wife of Satyapriya Ray and daughter of Manabendra Nath Ghosh, residing at Post office- Parbangla (Siva Kali Mandir Lane), Police Station- Mahaeshtala, District- South 24 Parganas, Pin- 700 140.
3. Suparna De wife of Pradip Kumar De and daughter of Saktipada De, residing at Village and post office- Sehara Bazar, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 423.

4. Sujit Kumar Pal son of Ajit Kumar Pal, residing at Village and Post office- Burar, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 424.
5. Namita Khan daughter of Siddheswar Khan, residing at Village and Post office- Kandakhola, Police Station- Santipur, District- Nadia, Pin- 741404.
6. Aloke Kumar Khan son of Bhaktadas Khan, residing at Kalinagar Para, Post office-Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.
7. Tanima Mondal daughter of Harendra Krishna Mondal, residing at Danga Para, Post office- Kalna, Police Station- Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.
8. Nirmala Ghosh daughter of late Naresh Chandra Ghosh, residing at

Post office- Kalna, Police station- Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713409.

9. Anita Bhattacharyya wife of Sanjoy Basu and daughter of late Amiyangshu Bhattacharya, residing at 2 No. Udaypur, Post office- Nimta, Kolkata- 700 049.

10. Kakaly Ganguly alias Kakali Ganguli (Choudhury) wife of Amitava Choudhury, and daughter of Prasanta Kumar Ganguly, residing at Lala Lajpat Sarani Saheb Kachhari Para, Post office- Balurghat, District- Dakshin Dinajpur, Pin- 733 101.

11. Chandrima Sengupta wife of Sankar Prasad Sengupta and daughter of Dilip Kumar Das, residing at Bisharpara, Saptagram,

Post office- Bisharpara, Police Station- Nimta, Kolkata- 700 158.

12. Bhaswati Chakraborti daughter of Bhupen Chakrabarty, residing at 3/44 Dakshin Behala Road, Post office- Sarsuna, BLK/MUN/Police station- Thakurpukur, Kolkata- 700 061.

13. Sanchita Pradhan daughter of late Sukhamay Pradhan, residing at Bardhaman Residency-2, 196, Kachari Road, Post office- Burdwan, District- Burdwan, Pin 713101.

14. Ram Chandra Pal son of Madhusudan Pal, residing at Old Calcutta Road, Bank Park, Post office- Talpukur, District- 24 Parganas, (North), Pin-700123.

..... Petitioners

-VERSUS-

1. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL, Service through the Secretary, School Education Department (Secondary Branch), having its office at Bikash Bhavan, Bidhan Nagar, Salt Lake, Kolkata- 700091.
2. THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, service through the Secretary, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 091, West Bengal.
3. THE CHAIRMAN, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 09.
4. THE SECRETARY, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at

Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 091.

..RESPONDENTS

To

The Hon'ble Mrs. Nishita Mhatre, Acting Chief Justice and Her Companion Justices of said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition of the Writ Petitioners abovenamed Most Respectfully.

SHEWETH:

1. That your petitioners are law abiding citizen of India and residing at the address given in the cause title above.

2. Your Petitioners state that your petitioners were appointed as para teacher under Sarva Shikha Abhijan against sanctioned post and since them they have been working there without any interruption.

   True copies of the appointment letters are annexed hereto and collectively marked with letter "P-1".

3. Your petitioners state that petitioners have requisite qualification to be appointed as teacher for Classes IX and X in terms of The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction

Appellate Side

Present:

The Hon'ble Justice Debangsu Basak

And

The Hon'ble Justice Md. Shabbar Rashidi

WPA 30649 of 2016
Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2613 of 2018
Basanta Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22522 of 2018
Saddam Hossain Biswas & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22523 of 2018
Purbita Ray & others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22550 of 2018
Prasanta Mandal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 22773 of 2018
Jearul Islam & Ors.
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22780 of 2018
Kapil Kumar Mistry & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22782 of 2018
Sk. Insan Ali & others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22785 of 2018
Rupali Nath & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22973 of 2018
Senarul Islam & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 16844 of 2019
Swagata Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18355 of 2019
IA NO: CAN/1/2020
Mousumi Mondal
Vs.
W.B. Central School Service Commission & Anr.

With

WPA 19273 of 2019
Mamoni Basak
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19278 of 2019
Masuma Parveen
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19749 of 2019
Chandra Rani Paul
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20404 of 2019
Julekha Mandal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20776 of 2019
Phalguni Rakshit
Vs
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20778 of 2019
Soma Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21665 of 2019
Biswajit Debnath & others.
With
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18100 of 2019
Pradyut Dutta
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18627 of 2019
Haimanti Kundu & others.
Vs.
West Bengal Central School Service Commission & Ors.

With

WPA 20045 of 2019
Debashree Patra
Vs.
West Bengal Central School Service Commission & anr.

With

WPA 21923 of 2019
Kartick Sau & others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22119 of 2019
IA NO:CAN/1/2020
Shampa Sarkar
Vs.
The WB Central School Service Commission & anr.

With

WPA 23259 of 2019
Satadal Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23454 of 2019
Mohasin Kamal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23946 of 2019
Moumita Samanta & Ors.
Vs.
W.B. Central School Service Commission & Ors.

With

WPA 4835 of 2020
Md. Jinnat Sk
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8078 of 2020
Nadia Parvin Mou
Vs.
The West Bengal Central School Service Commission & Ors.

With

WPA 8555 of 2020
Ajoy Mondal
Vs.
West Bengal Central School Service Commission & anr.

With

WPA 11455 of 2020
Mallika Thander
Vs.
The West Bengal Central School Service Commission & anr.

With

WPA 7592 of 2021
Rina Karmakar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8003 of 2021
Amarjeet Kumar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8264 of 2021
Hafizur Rahaman & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10938 of 2021
IA NO:CAN/1/2021

Tridib Sundar Bahadur
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10947 of 2021
IA NO:CAN/1/2024
Gobinda Mandal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10949 of 2021
Prasanta Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10960 of 2021
Sudip Bala
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13700 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/3/2023
CAN/4/2023
CAN/5/2023
CAN/6/2023
CAN/8/2023
CAN/13/2023
CAN/16/2023
CAN/17/2023
CAN/18/2023
CAN/20/2023
CAN/21/2023
CAN/22/2023
CAN/23/2023
CAN/24/2024
CAN/25/2024
CAN/26/2024
CAN/27/2024
CAN/28/2024
CAN/29/2024
CAN/30/2024
CAN/31/2024
CAN/32/2024

Setab Uddin & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13701 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/1/2022
Md Abdul Gani Ansari
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13721 of 2021
Sovan Kundu
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13727 of 2021
Suvankar Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13863 of 2021
Shireen Mustafi
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13885 of 2021
Kanai Haldar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 15137 of 2021
Md Ismail Hossain & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 15154 of 2021
Ainal Hoque

Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16443 of 2021
Ruksana Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16444 of 2021
Samsuzzaman Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16448 of 2021
Runa Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16450 of 2021
Nasrin Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16476 of 2021
Md Masikul Anam
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16481 of 2021
Mostafizur Rahaman & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16484 of 2021
Ashoke Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16487 of 2021
Sukanta Malik
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16489 of 2021
Pallabbi Naskar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16505 of 2021
Rekha Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16519 of 2021
Prasanta Barui
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16858 of 2021
Bilkis Khatun & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16859 of 2021
Ishita Debsarma & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16860 of 2021
Raghabendra Garai & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16879 of 2021
Tama Hossain & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16880 of 2021
Rubia Khatun & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16889 of 2021
Rakibur Sk & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16896 of 2021
Shrabani Saha & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16902 of 2021
Soma Biswas & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16930 of 2021
Anima Ghosh & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16948 of 2021
Poli Das& Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16960 of 2021

Md. Tasiul Haque
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16968 of 2021
Mithun Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 17273 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/1/2022
Nasrin Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18379 of 2021
Kalyan Mandal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18381 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/1/2023
Sarmin Nahar Khatun & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18383 of 2021
Barnali Saha & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18385 of 2021
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Haimanti Bitter & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18387 of 2021
Baki Balla & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18388 of 2021
Mogibar Rahaman & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18460 of 2021
Saranjit Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18470 of 2021
Hara Sankar Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18487 of 2021
Prokash Ghosh & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18491 of 2021
Amit Let
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18496 of 2021
Atikuzzaman
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18499 of 2021
Rijia Begum

Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18801 of 2021
Sangita Banerjee
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18994 of 2021
Lipi Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18995 of 2021
Samim Islam
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19475 of 2021
Dilruba Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19477 of 2021
Shilpa Patra
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19478 of 2021
Monoj Ghosh
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19580 of 2021
Sewly Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20906 of 2021
Prosanta Ruidas & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21258 of 2021
Barnali Bhar & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21261 of 2021
Sk.Toyab Rahaman
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21263 of 2021
Samim Seikh & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21266 of 2021
Sumaitri Biswas & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21267 of 2021
Md Jasimuddin & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21268 of 2021
Anup Gupta
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21317 of 2021
Rathin Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21430 of 2021
Priyanka Dutta Samaddar @ Priyanka Samaddar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 781 of 2022
Sudip Saha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1618 of 2022
Dipti Saha & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5538 of 2022
IA NO:CAN/2/2022
CAN/3/2022
CAN/4/2024
CAN/5/2024
CAN/6/2024
Anindita Bera
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5786 of 2022
Rajkumar Middya
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5788 of 2022
Papia Tahmin
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6550 of 2022
Pamela Das & Ors.
vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7346 of 2022
Salauddin Hossain & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7347 of 2022
Meherunnessa Khatun & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8059 of 2022
IA NO: CAN/1/2024
Soma Sinha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16935 of 2022
Amit Karmakar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20389 of 2022
IA NO: CAN/1/2022
Md Rukumuddin Sk
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21332 of 2022
Suman Chakraborty & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21334 of 2022
Srikanta Chatterjee & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21340 of 2022
Debasmita Pradhan & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21344 of 2022
Madhusudan Pal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21346 of 2022
Moumita Ghosh & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21349 of 2022
Sk Hasib Imam & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21350 of 2022
Swarup Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 25380 of 2022
IA NO: CAN/1/2023

CAN/3/2023
CAN/4/2023
CAN/5/2023
CAN/6/2024
CAN 7/2024
Subhamay Bhunia & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 26770 of 2022
Prabir Chatterjee
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27886 of 2022
Sk Mustafa Ali & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 28197 of 2022
Riya Pal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 85 of 2023
CAN 3 of 2024

Gopinath Bhanja & Ors.
Vs.
Setab Uddin & Ors

With
.
MAT 124 of 2023

Hafizur Rahaman & Ors.
Vs.
Setab Uddin

With

MAT 244 of 2023
IA No.: CAN/1/2023

Soumen Kumar Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 245 of 2023

Arup Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
Subhamay Bhunia & Ors.

With

MAT 290 of 2023
Pritisha Das & Ors.
Vs.
Subhamoy Bhunia & Ors.

With

MAT 304 of 2023
Nirupam Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 362 of 2023
CAN 1 of 2023
Shyamal Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 557 of 2023
IA NO: CAN/1/2023
Brajadulal Giri & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1062 of 2023
Kushik Ghosh & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1066 of 2023
Rima Dutta
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1070 of 2023
Rumpa Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1072 of 2023
Laltu Mistry
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1075 of 2023
Soumen Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1369 of 2023
Gopal Manna & Others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Others.

With

WPA 1466 of 2023
Taimur Rahaman & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Others.

With

WPA 3771 of 2023
Sultana Khatun & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4206 of 2023

Mousumi Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4841 of 2023
Biman Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4989 of 2023
Sanchita Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5087 of 2023
Lopa Mudra Naskar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5379 of 2023
Sewli Ghosh & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5604 of 2023
Ratan Bauldas & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5609 of 2023
Samima Yasmin & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2081 of 2023
Ali Zinna & Ors.
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2149 of 2023
Sukanta Malik
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2151 of 2023
Subir Naskar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2154 of 2023
Ataul Ali Mallick
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2172 of 2023
Monia Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2175 of 2023

Asanur Ali Mallick
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2179 of 2023
Sampa Debnath
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2182 of 2023
Sk Ekramul Ali
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2215 of 2023
Hirak Sinha Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2496 of 2023
Riya Roy Khanra
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2760 of 2023
Barnali Saha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2967 of 2023
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2984 of 2023
Shrabanti Halder
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3126 of 2023
Subrata Ghosh & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3399 of 2023
Shraboni Dutta & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3652 of 2023
Abbus Sk.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3658 of 2023
Rumpa Kar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3661 of 2023
Paramita Rit
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3664 of 2023
Ratan Let
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3666 of 2023
Sumaitri Biswas & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3846 of 2023
CAN/1/2024
Baby Biswas & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3859 of 2023
Asima Nayak.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3926 of 2023
Lubana Parvin
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3931 of 2023
Pallabi Naskar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3935 of 2023
Ganesh Mahata.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3990 of 2023
Suvadip Manna
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4117 of 2023
Kalyan Kumar Giri
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 4213 of 2023
Pragati Saha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 4313 of 2023
Sarama Ghosh
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4522 of 2023
Sreenandaa Bhattacharyya & Ors.

Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4556 of 2023
Susmita Pal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5134 of 2023
Ananya Mahapatra
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5464 of 2023
Sima Ghosh
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5526 of 2023
Namita Adak & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5531 of 2023
Hasanur Jaman and Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5797 of 2023
Prasanjit Paul & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5799 of 2023
Md Mamun Rasid & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5953 of 2023
Brajadulal Giri & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6164 of 2023
Sanatan Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6210 of 2023
Jhuma Malakar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6213 of 2023
Jui Barman
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6282 of 2023
Pratima Maiti,
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6577 of 2023
Kuheli Ghosh and Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 6854 of 2023
Nafisa Khatun
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6859 of 2023
Shaoli Mukherjee
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6915 of 2023
Arpita Hazra
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7370 of 2023
Palash Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7528 of 2023
Setab Uddin & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7831 of 2023
Jayati Pal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7952 of 2023
Supriti Paria
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 9105 of 2023
Pravati Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 9327 of 2023

Krishnendu Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10387 of 2023
Rita Gayen
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10614 of 2023
IA NO: CAN/1/2023
Khayrul Anam Mondal & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 12557 of 2023
Md. Golam Yeasdani & others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & others.

With

WPA 13588 of 2023
Mithun Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14824 of 2023
Prabir Chatterjee
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 17679 of 2023
Aribillah Gazi
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18401 of 2023
Jagannath Sadhukhan & Ors.

Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19126 of 2023
Nazima Begum
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19604 of 2023
Arpita Seth
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19605 of 2023
Arnab Datta
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19869 of 2023
Bibhas Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21000 of 2023
Pradyut Ghosh.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21211 of 2023
Priyanka Mukhopadhyay.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22796 of 2023
Taslim Arif
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23761 of 2023
Barnali Saha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 24247 of 2023
Aditi Jana
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 26848 of 2023
Yakub Alam
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 366 of 2024
Suman Kumar Dey
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13113 of 2018
Tulasi Santra
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18034 of 2018
Krishnapada Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20034 of 2019
Mahadeb Duley & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3665 of 2021
Srikanta Mandal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10772 of 2021
Tathagata Nandy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 12266 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/1/2021
CAN/2/2021
CAN/3/2021
CAN/4/2021
CAN/5/2021
CAN/6/2022
CAN/8/2024
CAN/9/2024
Sandeep Prasad & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 17068 of 2021
Jhantu Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18585 of 2021
IA NO: CAN/5/2023
CAN/6/2024
CAN/7/2024
CAN/8/2024
CAN 9/2024
CAN/10/2024
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19977 of 2021

Milan Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20070 of 2021
Rishav Sarkar.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6754 of 2022
Sanchita Payra Chanda & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8598 of 2022
Anirban Bhattacharya
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10211 of 2022
Most Nur Momtaj & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14630 of 2022
Soumen Bhattacharya & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14670 of 2022
Delip Ruidas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 15359 of 2022
Sovan Sahoo & Ors.
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19053 of 2022
Tonmay Bera & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19916 of 2022
Joyanta Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20028 of 2022
Sanjib Maity & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27164 of 2022
Sarbani Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27166 of 2022
Asha Singha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27168 of 2022
Arjun Barui & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 250 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
CAN/2/2023
CAN/3/2023
Achinta Kr. Mondal

Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 259 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023
CAN 2 of 2023
CAN 3 of 2023
CAN 4 of 2024
Samiran Maity & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 274 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023

Achinta Kr Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 275 of 2023
Aritra Saha
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With

MAT 276 of 2023
IA No.: CAN/ 1/ 2023
CAN/ 2/2023
Susovan Satpathi & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 284 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023

CAN 2 of 2023

Arnab Paul Chowdhury & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With

MAT 318 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Rakhi Das Maity & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 334 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Prodip Kumar Roy & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 336 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Bablu Sardar & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 338 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Pandab Gorai & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 342 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Tanmoy Dey and Ors.

Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 343 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Gobinda Biswas & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 344 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Juel Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 345 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Nuralam Sk. & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 346 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Papiya Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 358 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Biplab Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.

Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 359 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Nimai Barman & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 361 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Anup Dutta & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 382 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Bishnupriya Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20022 of 2019
Pampa Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20029 of 2019
Mallika Mandal & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20039 of 2019

Javed Hyder & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3654 of 2021
Saikat Adhikari & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10764 of 2021
Prasenjit Mandal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 12270 of 2021
IA NO:CAN/1/2022
CAN/2/2022
CAN/3/2022
CAN/7/2023
CAN/8/2024
CAN/9/2024
CAN/10/2024
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 17048 of 2021
Sampa Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18589 of 2021
Saikh Dil Mahammad & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18590 of 2021
Amit Ghosh
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18593 of 2021
Biswajit Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19975 of 2021
Prodip Dey & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8614 of 2022
Sanju Panda & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10213 of 2022
Sayani Deb & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14525 of 2022
Abhranil Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14634 of 2022
Joydeb Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 15360 of 2022
Uttam Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 17340 of 2022
Sk Nagimul Haque
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19060 of 2022
Kanchan Rani Maicap & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 20030 of 2022
Titab Roy & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27161 of 2022
Krishna Nandi & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With
MAT 443 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Arup Ratan Show & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 457 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Subhadip Saha & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.

With

MAT 458 of 2023
IA NO: CAN/2/2023
CAN/3/2024
CAN/4/2024

Subhadip Paik & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.

With

MAT 476 of 2023
Kousik Mukherjee.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 502 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Goutam Patra & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 470 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Debasis Roy & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 480 of 2023
Arpita Maiti
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 521 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Kaji Enamul Hoque & Ors.
Vs.
Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
&
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 30653 of 2016
Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 30065 of 2017
Prasanta Kumar Dey
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 12662 of 2018
Biswajit Biswas & others.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13105 of 2018
IA NO: CAN/1/2018(Old No:CAN/8494/2018)
Munshi Wasim Asgar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22777 of 2018
Abu Torap Molla & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22971 of 2018
IA NO:CAN/1/2020(Old No:CAN/2737/2020)
Ashrafun Nessa & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18352 of 2019
IA NO:CAN/1/2020
Madhumita Mondal
Vs.

W.B. Central School Service Commission & anr.

With

WPA 21154 of 2019
Swastika Jana
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22076 of 2019
Madhumita Pan & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23064 of 2019
Sabari Adak @ Sabari Adak Modak
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23480 of 2019
Tumpa Pal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23481 of 2019
Mahamud Hasan Gazi
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3476 of 2020
Snehangshu Rout
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 6887 of 2020
Apu Bej
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7425 of 2020
IA NO:CAN/1/2020
Kakali (Ranjit) Mondal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7616 of 2020
IA NO:CAN/1/2020
Sathi Mondal Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7630 of 2020
IA NO:CAN/1/2020
Sarmistha Pandit
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8536 of 2020
Latarani As.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2898 of 2021
Moumita Paul
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2903 of 2021
Mousumi Ghosh Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7982 of 2021
Bapi Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 8266 of 2021
Monika Sarkar.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10316 of 2021
Sabita Biswas
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10929 of 2021
Laxmi Paul.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 16936 of 2021
Sk. Najirul Hoque & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18475 of 2021
Ilias Sk
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 19000 of 2021
Arpita Karmakar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21312 of 2021
Srimanta Maity & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21386 of 2021
Arif Sarkar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1637 of 2022
Debasish Chaudhury
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5405 of 2022
Priti Mukherjee
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 5406 of 2022
IA NO:CAN/1/2022
CAN/3/2022
CAN/4/2022
CAN/5/2022
CAN/6/2022
CAN/7/2022
CAN/11/2023
CAN/12/2023
CAN/21/2023
CAN/22/2023
CAN/23/2023
CAN/24/2023
CAN/25/2023
CAN/26/2023
CAN/27/2024
CAN/28/2024
CAN/29/2024
CAN 30/2024
CAN 31/2024
CAN/32/2024
CAN/33/2024
CAN/34/2024
CAN/35/2024
CAN/36/2024
CAN/37/2024
CAN/38/2024
Babita Sarkar.
Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 13431 of 2022
Sreejeeta Dey
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22845 of 2022
Abbasuddin Mollah
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 25379 of 2022
Paly Debnath & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 26756 of 2022
Baneshwar Bera & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 27457 of 2022
Pradip Hait & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 160 of 2023
Kuheli Ghosh
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 199 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
The West Bengal Central School Service Commission & Ors.
Vs.
Priyanka Shaw & Ors.

With

MAT 950 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Babita Sarkar.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1079 of 2023
Julekha Mandal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1080 of 2023
Dipanwita Das
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1083 of 2023
Isha Sk. & Anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 1086 of 2023
Rumki Sutradhar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

MAT 1302 of 2023
Tanmoy Sinha & Ors.
Vs.
Babita Sarkar & Ors.

With

MAT 1304 of 2023
Supratim Manna & Ors.
Vs.
Babita Sarkar & Ors.

With

WPA 2077 of 2023
IA NO:CAN/1/2023
Moumita Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2511 of 2023
Bulti Manna
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 2982 of 2023
Dilip Kumar Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 3463 of 2023
Monsur Rahaman.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4519 of 2023
Sumanta Suin & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 4715 of 2023
Shilpi Saha
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 7031 of 2023
Srimanta Ghorai
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 9315 of 2023
Biswajit Biswas & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10617 of 2023
Nandita Sarkar
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 10724 of 2023
Ramjan Khan & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 14104 of 2023
Rita Sarkar (Das)
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 18400 of 2023
Mihir Baral & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21210 of 2023
Falguni Dutta
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 21999 of 2023
Bangiya Nyajya Adhikar Pratistha Mancha & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 22860 of 2023

Lipika Pal
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23204 of 2023
Apu Bej
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 23652 of 2023
Mira Roy
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 24930 of 2023
Arindam Sarkar & anr.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With

WPA 25669 of 2023
Shah Alamgir
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

For the petitioner in WPA 7592 of 2021 to WPA 13701/2021,WPA 13727/2021 to WPA 21268/ 2021, WPA 781/2022, WPA 1618/2022, WPA 5786 of 2022 to WPA 7347 of 2022,WPA 28197 of 2022, WPA 18401 of 2023, WPA 21211 of 2023, WPA 18585 of 2021, WPA 20070/2021, WPA 8598 of 2022, WPA 14630/2022, WPA 27164 of 2022, WPA 27166 of 2022, WPA 27168/2022, WPA 18589/2021 to WPA 18593/2021, WPA 8614/2022, WPA 14634/2022, WPA 27161/2022, WPA 7616/2020, WPA 7630/2020, WPA 7982/2021 to WPA 19000/2021, WPA 5405/2022, WPA 5406/2022, WPA 2077/2023, WPA 3463/2023, WPA 18400/2023, WPA 21210/2023	Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta, Mr. Bikram Banerjee, Mr. Arkadeb Biswas, Mr. Arka Nandi, Ms. Dipa Acharyya Mr. Sondwip Sutradhar, Mr. Saikat Sutradhar, Mr. Sutirtha Nayek, Ms. Shalini Ghosh, Ms. Sinjini Chakrabarti Mr. Baibhav Roy Ms. Sagarika Goswami Mr. Sagar Dey Ms. Saptaparni Raha Ms. Suryatapa Das ... Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate. Mr. Firdous Samim, Ms. Gopa Biswas, Ms. Payel Shome, Ms. Sampriti Saha, Ms. Purba Mukherjee Mr. Avijit Kar, Ms. Mohona Das
For the appellant in MAT 950 of 2023	Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Firdous Samim, Ms. Gopa Biswas, Ms. Payel Shome, Ms. Sampriti Saha, Mr. Avijit Kar, Ms. Mohona Das
For the respondents in MAT 85 of 2023, MAT 124 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023 to MAT 382 of 2023, MAT 1302 of 2023, MAT 1304 of 2023,	Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Firdous Samim, Ms. Gopa Biswas, Ms. Payel Shome, Ms. Sampriti Saha, Mr. Avijit Kar, Ms. Mohona Das

For the writ petitioners in WPA 20045 of 2019, WPA 22119 of 2019, WPA 8078 of 2020, WPA 8555 of 2020, WPA 11455 of 2020, WPA 13700 of 2021, WPA 17679 of 2023, WPA 8536 of 2020,	Mr. Prasenjit Mukherjee Mr. Golam Mohiuddin, Ms. Puja Mondal
For the petitioner in WPA 19278/2019	Mr. Asish Kr. Chowdhury Mr. Ashif Iquebal, Mr. Rameshwar Sinha, Ms. Debanjana Sen,
For the respondent no.6 to 8 in WPA 21665 of 2019.	Mr. Anindya Bose, Mr. Apalak Basu, Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta, Ms. Pritha Bhaumik Ms. Aayushi Mukherjee
For the petitioners in WPA 3846 of 2023.	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta, Ms. Aayeshi Mukherjee
For the writ petitioner in WPA 2967 of 2023	Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. A. Chakraborty
For the petitioner in WPA 5406 of 2022(CAN 12 of 2023).	Mr. Vishak Bhattacharya, Ms. Biyanka Bhattacharya
For the added respondent of CAN 27 of 2024 in WPA 5406 of 2022 and WPA 10960 of 2021	Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury, Ms. Shreyeta Mitra

For the added party-respondent in CAN 17 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Ujjal Ray, Mr. Arpa Chakraborty
For the added respondents of CAN 1 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Sakti Pada Jana Mr. Subhajyoti Das
For the added respondents in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Kalyan Kumar Bandopadhyay, Sr. Advocate Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the appellants in MAT 85/2023, WPA 5526/2023, WPA 5538 of 2022, WPA 25380 of 2022, MAT 124 of 2023, MAT 245 of 2023, WPA 362 of 2023, WPA 5526 of 2023, WPA 5531 of 2023, WPA 5797 of 2023, WPA 5799 of 2023, WPA 6164 of 2023, WPA 10614 of 2023, WPA 18585 of 2021, WPA 7370/2023 and MAT 458 of 2023 and For the added respondents in WPA 19273 of 2019, 19278 of 2019, 19749 of 2019,20776 of 2019, 20778 of 2019, 13700 of 2021, 1618 of 2022, 8059 of 2022, 5538 of 2022, 25380 of 2022, 10614 of 2023, 18585 of 2021, 12270 of 2021, 18475 of 2021, 2077 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the respondent in WPA 362 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty

For the State in WPA 23761 of 2023 and WPA 26848 of 2023	Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick,Ld. A.G.P. Ms. Mrinalini Majumdar
For the State in WPA 16858 of 2021, WPA 1066 of 2023, WPA 1070 of 2023, WPA 4206/2023, WPA 15359 of 2022 WPA 19053/2022.	Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick,Ld. A.G.P.
For the addition of party in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Shaktipada Jana, Mr. Subhojyoti Das
For the petitioner in WPA 366 of 2024.	Mr. Amitava Choudhuri, Mr. N. Roy, Mr. Chandan Chakraborty
For the State in WPA 2613 of 2018, WPA 18034 of 2018, WPA 19749 of 2019, WPA 8614 of 2022, WPA 5406 of 2022, WPA 16936 of 2021, MAT 1302 of 2023, WPA 1080 of 2023, WPA 1072 of 2023, WPA 18627 of 2019, WPA 3846/23, MAT 199 of 2023, WPA 6213 of 2023 WPA 20070 of 2021, WPA 2903 of 2021 WPA 10316 of 2021 WPA 3463 of 2023	Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay, Ms. Lipika Chatterjee
For the addition of party in WPA 13700 of 2021, WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. S.P. Lahiri, Mr. Rajesh Naskar
For the appellant in MAT 244 of 2023.	Mr. Soumya Majumdar, Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Ms. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadeep Sarkar

For the writ petitioner in WPA 4556 of 2023	Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Mr. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadip Sarkar
For the added respondent in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Anirban Sen, Mr. Anindya Lahiri Mr. Samrat Dey Paul Ms. Pranati Das Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondent in MAT 1302/2023	Mr. Soumya Majumdar, Mr. Anindya Lahiri Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul Ms. Pranati Das Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the writ petitioners in WPA 4556 of 2023	Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Ms. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadeep Sarkar
For the added respondent nos.476 to 642 in WPA 12270 of 2021.	Mr. Amiya Kumar Datta, Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee, Mr. Swadesh Priyo Ghosh, Mr. Santanu Talukdar, Ms. Sananda Bhattacharya, Ms. Barna Das
For the added respondent nos.9 to 20 in WPA 20022 of 2019.	Mr. Amiya Kumar Datta, Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee, Mr. Swadesh Priyo Ghosh, Mr. Santanu Talukdar, Ms. Sananda Bhattacharya, Ms. Barna Das
For the respondent nos.118 to 362 in WPA 18585 of 2021 and for the applicants in CAN 11 of 2024 and CAN 12 of 2024.	Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Suman Sengupta, Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh

For the State in WPA 22522 of 2018, WPA 13700 of 2021, WPA 25380 of 2022, WPA 12270 of 2021, WPA 14525 of 2022, WPA 5406 of 2022, MAT 85 of 2023, MAT 557 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023, MAT 274 of 2023, WPA 5393 of 2023.	Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay, Ld. Jr. Standing Counsel
For the SSC in WPA 2613 of 2018, WPA 20778 for 2019, WPA 21923 of 2019, WPA 18034 of 2018, WPA 20039 of 2019, WPA 30065 of 2017, WPA 8536 of 2020, WPA 18627 of 2029, WPA 18352 of 2019	Mr. Kanak Kiran Bandopadhyay
For the State in WPA 16859 of 2021, WPA 18385 of 2021, WPA 19478 of 2021, WPA 21332 of 2022, WPA 20022 of 2019.	Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay, Ld. AGP Ms. Iti Dutta
For the WBBSE	Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
For the added respondents in WPA 5406 of 2022, WPA 10964 of 2021.	Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chouwdhury Ms. Shreyata Mitra
For the applicant in WPA 18585 of 2021.	Mr. Pramit Kumar Roy, Ms. Sahedli Sen, Mr. Rajiv Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha, Mr. A. Bandopadhyay
For the applicant in CAN 6 of 2024 in WPA 18585 of 2021 and in CAN 7 of 2024 in WPA 25380/22.	Mr. Shaunak Ghosh, Mr. Rajib Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha, Mr. Anindya Sundar Das, Mr. R. D. Banerjee

For the applicant in CAN 7 of 2024 in WPA 18585 of 2021.	Mr. Pramit Kumar Ray, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Shaunak Ghosh, Ms. Saheli Sen, Mr. Rajib Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha
For the applicant in WPA 25380 of 2022, MAT 304 of 2023, For the petitioners in WPA 7952 of 2023, CAN 5 of 2024 in WPA 25380 of 2022.	Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Mr. Rajiv Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha
For the applicant in CAN 7 of 2024 in WPA 25380 of 2022.	Mr. Sounak Ghosh, Mr. Anindya Sundor Das, Mr. R. D. Banerjee
For the petitioner in WPA 20045 of 2019, WPA 22119 of 2019, WPA 8078 of 2020 to WPA 11455 of 2020, WPA 13700 of 2021, wpa 17679 OF 2023, WPA 18352 of 2019, WPA 8536 of 2020	Mr. Prasenjit Mukherjee Mr. Golam Mohiuddin Ms. Puja Mondal
For the applicants in CAN 21 of 2023 and CAN 22 of 2023 in WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. L.K. Gupta, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. S. Banerjee, Mr. A.B. Das, Ms. S. Chongdar, Mr. S.N. Ghosh
For the applicant in CAN 3 of 2023 and CAN 4 of 2023 in WPA 25380 of 2022, and appellant in MAT 290 of 2023.	Mr. Jaydip Kar, Ld, Sr. Advocate Mr. Abhratosh Majumdar, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Mr. A.B. Das, Ms. S. Chongdar, Mr. S.N. Ghosh

For the petitioner in MAT 304 of 2023, MAT 557 of 2023, WPA 5953 of 2023.	Mr. Jaydip Kar, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Ms. Saheli Sen, Mr. Rajib Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha
For the petitioner in WPA 7952 of 2023, the applicant in CAN 5 of 2023 in WPA 25380 of 2022.	Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Mr. Rajib Mullick, Ms. Ayantika Saha
For the applicant in CAN 21/23/ CAN 22/23 in WPA 5405 of 2022.	Mr. L. K. Gupta, Sr. Advocate Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Mr. Abhisek Baran Das, Mrs. Srijoni Chongdar, Mr. Sudipta Nayan Ghosh
For the applicant in CAN 3/23 CAN 4/23 in WPA 25380 of 2022.	Mr. L. K. Gupta, Sr. Advocate Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Mr. Abhisek Baran Das, Mrs. Srijoni Chongdar, Mr. Sudipta Nayan Ghosh
For the petitioners in WPA 5953 of 2023.	Mr. Joydeep Kar, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Abhrotosh Majumdar, Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Ms. Saheli Sen, Mr. Rajiv Mallick, Ms. Ayantika Saha
For the applicant in CAN 3 of 2024 in MAT 85 of 2023.	Mr. Sourav Sengupta
For the State in WPA 23652 of 2023.	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad, Vaisya, Ld. AGP Mr. Gourav Das

For the State in WPA 16484 of 2021	Ms. Rupsha Chakrbaorty
For the appellant in MAT 476 of 2023.	Mr. Tapas Singha Roy
For the petitioner in WPA 14670 of 2022.	Ms. Lakshmi Shaw
For the addition of parties in MAT 458 of 2023.	Mr. P.S. Bhattacharyaa, Mr. G.K. Das, Mr. Kapil Chandra Sahoo
For the ED in WPA 13700 of 2021, WPA 13701 of 2021, WPA 17273 of 2021, WPA 5538 of 2022, WPA 12266 of 2021, WPA 12270 of 2021 and WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. Dhiraj Kumar Trivedi, LD. DSGI Mr. Samrat Goswami
For CAN 23 of 2023 and CAN 31 of 2024 in in WPA 13700 of 2021 and the applicant in CAN 23, 24 & 25 in WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. S.P. Lahiri, Mr. Rajesh Naskar
For the respondent no.4 in WPA 18355 of 2019.	Mr. Rittwik Pattanayak, Mr. Prosenjit Debnath
For the respondent no.641 and 642 in WPA 18593 of 2021.	Mr. Rittwik Pattanayak
For the applicant of CAN 22 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Pratik Dhar, Sr. Adv., Mr. Rittwik Pattanayak, Mr. S. Khandakar, Mr. S. Ghosh, Ms. Swati Jha

For the MAT No. 457 of 2023	Mr. Sakhawat Khandakar Mr. Sounak Ghosh Ms. Swati Jha
For the petitioner in WPA 366 of 2024.	Mr. Amitava Chowdhury, Mr. Moniruzzaman, Mr. N. Roy, Mr. Chandan Chakraborty
For the applicant in WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. Arup Kumar Lahiri, Mr. Debojyoti Dey
For the applicant in WPA 18381 of 2021.	Mr. Partha Sarathi Das, Md. Hafiz Ali, Mr. Debojyoti Dey, Mr. Shanta Sarkar
For the appellant in MAT 259 of 2023.	Mr. Anindya Bose, Mr. Soumyo Sankar Chini
For the respondent no.7 in WPA 21665 of 2019.	Mr. Anindya Bose, Ms. Snehal Seth
For the petitioner in WPA 6282 of 2023.	Mr. Anindya Bose
For the petitioner in WPA 1369 of 2023	Mr. Sudipta Das Gupta
For the respondent nos.6,7 and 8 in WPA 4556 of 2023.	Mr. Anindya Bose, Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta, Ms. Pritha Bhowmik, Mr. Apalak Basu

For the added respondent nos. 6 to 8 in WPA 21665 of 2019	Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta Ms. Pritha Bhaumicki Mr. Apalak Basu
For the appellant in MAT 259 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Bose Mr. Soumya Sankar Chini
For the State in WPA 3399 of 2023, WPA 3990 of 2023.	Mr. Munmun Tewary, Mr. Sanatan Panja
For the added respondents in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Shaktipada Jana, Mr. Subhojyoti Das
For the appellant in MAT 443 of 2023, MAT 502 of 2023, MAT 470 of 2023, MAT 521 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023, MAT 274 of 2023, MAT 334 of 2023 (Item No.135) to MAT 382 of 2023 (Item No.146).	Mr. Partha Sarathi Deb Barman, Mr. Shaharaya Alam, Mr. M. Nazar Chowdhury, Mr. Amit Gupta, Mr. R. D. Banerjee, Mr. Raja Adhikary
For the added party in MAT 250 of 2023.	Mr. Rajnil Mukherjee, Mr. M.F. Rahaman, Ms. Debolina Sarkar, Mr. M.F. Rahaman, Mr. Subham Das, Ms. Satabdi Dey
For the addition of party in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Keshab Chandra Das
For the petitioner in WPA 23259 of 2019	Mr. Ali Ahsan Alamgir, Ms. Rabia Khatoon, Ms. Soma Mal, Ms. June Modak, Mr. Juel Rana

For the applicant in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Raju Bhatacharyya
For the WBCSSC	Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra, Ms. Supriya Dubey, Mr. Sunit Roy Ms. Debolina Chakraborty
For the added respondent nos.363 to 408 and 803 In WPA 18585 of 2021.	Mr. Dilip Kumar Maity, Mr. Chandan Maity
For the respondent nos.21,25,27,30,39,40,41,411,422 ,413 in WPA 12266 of 2021, For the added respondent nos.9-20 in WPA 20022 of 2019, For the added respondent nos.476-642 in WPA 12270 of 2021	Mr. Subir Sanyal, Mr. Chitto Priya Ghosh, Mr. Sourajit Mukherjee, Mr. Amiya Kumar Datta, Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee, Mr. Swadesh Priya Ghosh, Mr. Santanu Talukdar, Ms. Sananda Bhattacharya, Ms. Barna Das
For the applicant in WPA 5406 of 2022 and For the respondents in WPA 362 of 2023	Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Proniti Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the writ petitioners in WPA 12662 of 2018, WPA 22777 of 2018, WPA 21312 of 2021	Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury, Mr. Rajiv Ghosh, Mr. Babhru Bahan Bera
For the State in WPA 16450 of 21, WPA 18994 of 21, WPA 21430 of 21, WPA 4313 of 23, WPA 8598 of 22, WPA 18590 of 21, MAT 458 of 23, WPA 19000 of 21 and WPA 10617 of 23	Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay, Ms. Sayantanee Bhattacharjee

For the State in WPA 2496 of 2023	Mr. Malay Singh Ms. Neelam Singh
For the State in MAT 480 of 2023	Mr. Jayanta Samanta, Jr. Govt. Adv. Mr. Kushal Biswas
For the State in WPA 22523 of 18 and added respondent no.15, in WPA 18585 of 2021	Mr. Sanjib Das
For the added parties/applicants in WPA 5406 of 2022.	Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Nilankan Banerjee
For the added parties/applicants in CAN/29/24, CAN/30/24 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. P. S. Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Nilankan Banerjee
For the writ petitioners in WPA 22522 of 2018 to WPA 22973 of 2018, WPA 21923 of 2019, WPA 4835 of 2020, WPA 21317 of 2021, WPA 21332 of 2022 to WPA 21350 of 2022, WPA 3859 of 2023, WPA 6859 of 2023, WPA 9327/2023, WPA 9315/23, WPA 12662/18 to WPA 22971 of 2018, WPA 21312 of 2021, WPA 9315 of 2023, WPA 14104 of 2023, WPA 21999 of 2023, WPA 25669 of 2023 WPA 4715 of 2023	Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury, Mr. Rajib Ghosh, Mr. Babhru Bahan Bera

For the petitioners in WPA 16844 of 2019 to WPA 21665 of 2019, WPA 21923 of 2019, WPA 10387 of 2023, WPA 24247 of 2023, WPA 18352 of 2019, WPA 8003 of 2021, WPA 7982 of 2021, WPA 22860 of 2023, WPA 7982 of 2021, WPA 22971 of 2018, WPA 21154 of 2019,	Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury, Mr. Rajib Ghosh, Mr. Babhru Bahan Bera
For the applicants in MAT 284 of 2023	Mr. Rajiv Ghosh
For the applicants in WPA 2967 of 2023.	Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the applicants in WPA 5538 of 2022, WPA 25380 of 2022, MAT 85 of 2023 to MAT 245 of 2023, WPA 5526 of 2023, MAT 458 of 2023, WPA 6164 of 2023, WPA 18585 of 2021, WPA 5406 of 2022, MAT 1302 of 2023 MAT 124 of 2023, WPA 10614 of 2023, WPA 18470 of 2021.	Mr. Pratik Dhar, Ld. Sr. Avocate Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondents in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Kalyan Bandyapadhyay, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty

For the added respondent WPA 16844 of 2019 to WPA 5786 of 2022	Mr. Kalyan Bandyapadhyay, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondent WPA 19273 to WPA 20778 of 2019, WPA 1618/2022, WPA 5538 of 2022, WPA 25380/2022, WPA 10614/2023, WPA 18585/2021, WPA 18585 of 2021, WPA 2077 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the respondent Nos. 118 to 362 in W.P.A. 18585 of 2021 and for the applicants in CAN 11 & 12 of 2024	Mr. Kalyan Kumar Bandopadhyay, Sr. Adv., Mr. Suman Sengupta, Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh
For the appellant in WPA 7370 of 2023.	Mr. Anindya Kumar Mitra, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the applicants in CAN 5 of 2021 in WPA 12266 of 2021, For the appellants in MAT 334 of 2023 to MAT 343 of 2023, MAT 345 of 2023, MAT 359 of 2023 to MAT 382 OF 2023, WPA 12270 of 2021, MAT 443 of 2023, MAT 502 of 2023, MAT 470 of 2023 MAT 521 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023, MAT 274 of 2023, applicants of CAN 7 of 2023, CAN 9 of 2024 and CAN 10 of 2024 in WPA 12270 of 2021, applicants of CAN 32 of 2024 and CAN 38 of 2024 in WPA 5406 OF 2022, applicants of CAN 5 of 2023 and CAN 10 of 2024 in WPA 18585 of 2021.	Mr. Anindya Kumar Mitra, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. P.S. Deb Barman, Mr. Debasis Nandi Mr. Amit Gupta, Mr. Aninda Bose, Mr. Raja Adhikary, Mr. S. Alam, Md. M. Nazar Chowdhury, Mr. Marghoob Ahmed Salik Mr. R. D. Banerjee

For the State in WPA 24247 of 2023	Mr. Avijit Sarkar, Mr. Suman Singh
For the writ petitioner in WPA 12270 of 2021	Mr. Mukul Lahiri, Ld. Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the applicants in MAT 244 of 2023, WPA 4556 of 2023, MAT 1302 of 2023, WPA 5406/2022	Mr. Soumya Majumder, Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Mr. Samrat Dey Pal, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadeep Sarkar
For the petitioner in WPA 3859 of 2023, WPA 6210 of 2023, WPA 6213 of 2023 & WPA 6859 of 2023	Mr. Subrata Bhattacharjee
For the appellant in MAT 1302 of 2023	Mr. Soumya Majumder, Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondents in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Anirban Sen, Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondent in WPA 25380 of 2022	Mr. Anirban Sen, Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty

For the respondent in WPA 362 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the respondent in WPA 3846 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta, Ms. Aayushi Mukherjee
For the petitioner in WPA 4556 of 2023	Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Mr. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadip Sarkar
For the added respondent nos.9 to 20 in WPA 12270 of 2021 and For the added respondent nos.476 to 640 in WPA 20022 of 2019	Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya, Mr. Sumitava Chakraborty, Ms. Bratati Pramanick
For the added respondents in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharyya, Mr. Pratik Majumdar, Mr. Snehasish Dey
For the appellants in MAT 318 of 2023, MAT 276 of 2023	Mr. Utkarsh Kaushik, Ms. Devyani Ashra
For the writ petitioner in WPA 3846 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta, Ms. Aayushi Mukherjee
For the writ petitioner in WPA 4556 of 2023	Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Mr. Prisanka Ganguly, Mr. Soumyadip Sarkar

For the added respondents in WPA 19273 of 2019, WPA 19278 of 2019, WPA 19749 of 2019, WPA 20776 of 2019, WPA 20778 of 2019, WPA 7532/2023, WPA 2077/2023, WPA 18475/21, WPA 10614 of 2023	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. A. Chakraborty
For the appellants/writ petitioners MAT 85 of 2023, MAT 124/23, MAT 245/23, WPA 5526/23, WPA 6164/23, WPA 5531/23, WPA 5797 of 2023, WPA 5799/23.	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. A. Chakraborty
For the added respondent in WPA 12270 of 2021	Mr. Mukul Lahiri, Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. A. Chakraborty
For the respondent nos. 385 and 386 in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Ranajit Chatterjee, Mr. Aniruddha Mitra
For private respondent Nos. 6 & 7 in WPA 13701 of 2021 and private respondent no. 6 in WPA 10949 of 2021 and Private respondent no. 6 in WPA 13863 of 2021 and private respondent no. 7 in WPA 18487 of 2021.(candidates for Classes IX & X)	Mr. Partha Sarkar
For the petitioner in WPA 5134 of 2023	Md. Sarwar Jahan, Ms. Tapati Sarkar
For the petitioner in WPA 3476 of 2020	Mr. Rama Haldar

For the applicant in CAN 9 of 2024 in WPA 18585 of 2021	Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Pranati Das, Mr. Souvik Dere, Mr. Anis Chakraborty
For the respondent nos. 6 and 7 in WPA 20404 of 2019	Mr. Susanta Pal, Mr. Prabir Kumar Ray, Mr. Debasish Kar
For the appellants in MAT 276 of 2023 and MAT 318 of 2023	Mr. Utkarsh Kaushik, Ms. Devyani Ashra
For the CBI	Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi, LD. DSGI Mr. Arijit Majumdar, Ms. Supriti Sarkhel
For the State in WPA 16844 OF 2019, WPA 19273/2019, WPA 19278/2019, WPA 21665/2019,WPA 15137 OF 2021, WPA 17273/2021, WPA 18379/2021, WPA 18470/2021, WPA 5810/23, WPA 18475/2021, WPA 21261/2021, WPA 27164/2022, WPA 12662/2018, WPA 21268 OF 2021,WPA 1079 OF 2023, WPA 2077/23, WPA 3846 OF 2023, , WPA 8059 OF 2022, WPA 13105 OF 2018, WPA 5604 OF 2023, WPA 9105 OF 2023	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld.G.P., Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Suman Dey
For the State in WPA 4841 of 2023	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P., Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, A.G.P., Mr. Sanjib Das
For the State in WPA 16481/2021, WPA 16948/ 2021, WPA 18801/2021, WPA25380/2022, WPA 30065/2017, WPA 1083/23 WPA 19060 OF 2022, WPA 6859/23	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Mrinal Kanti Ghosh

For the State in WPA 13863 of 2021, WPA 12266 of 2021	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Niloy Baran Mondal
For the State in WPA 13863 of 2021, WPA 12266 of 2021	Mr. Anirban Ray, GP Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Joydip Banerjee
For the State in WPA 23652/2023	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Gourav Das
For the State in WPA 22785/2018, WPA 16487 OF 2021, WPA 16960/2021, WPA 18379/2021, WPA 18995/2021, WPA 5538/2022, WPA 7346/2022, WPA 21334/2022, WPA 20034/2019, WPA 18401/23, WPA 27168/23, WPA 1072 OF 2023, WPA 10213/22, WPA 18400/23, WPA 21999 OF 2023	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P. Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee
For the State in WPA 19749/2019, WPA 8264/2021, WPA 16487/2021, WPA 18381 /2021, WPA 20906/2021, WPA 1072 OF 2023, WPA 6213 OF 2023, WPA 8614/2022, WPA 16936/2021, WPA 5406/2022, WPA 1080 OF 2023, WPA 3846/2023	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. GP Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay,
For the State in WPA 16968 of 2021, WPA 19477/2023	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Mr. Pinaki Bhattacharyya
For the State in WPA 21266 of 2021, WPA 21267 of 2021, WPA 21340 of 2021, WPA 6550 of 2022	Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. GP Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Ranjan Saha

For the State in WPA 18589 of 2021	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP,
For the State in WPA 23652 of 2023, WPA 30065 of 2017	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP, Mr. Gourab Das
For the State in WPA 13863/21, WPA 12266/21	Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, Ld. AGP Mr. Nilay Baran Mandal
For the State in WPA 24247 of 2023	Mr. Avijit Sarkar, Ms. Suman Singh
For the WBBSE	Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
For the respondent no.6 in WPA 16844 of 2019.	Mr. Tanmoy Biswas, Ms. Antara Mukherjee
For the applicant/added party in CAN 29 of 2024, CAN 30 of 2024 and for respondent no.6 in WPA 13700 of 2021 and WPA 5406 of 2022 in CAN 28 of 2024	Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharya, Sr. Adv., Mr. Nilankan Banerjee
For the petitioners in WPA 6210 of 2023 and WPA 6213 of 2023	Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharya, Sr. Adv., Mr.Nilankan Banerjee
For the petitioners in WPA 13700 of 2021.	Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Anindya Bose,
For the added parties in CAN 2 of 2023 in MAT 458 of 2023	Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. G.K. Das, Mr. Kapil Chandra Sahoo

For the applicants of CAN 19 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Anindya Bose
For the State in WPA 20776 of 2019 & WPA 16505 of 2021	Mr. Santanu Kr. MIira, Mr. Amartya Pal
For the added respondent nos. 476 to 642 in WPA 12270 of 2021 and added respondent nos. 9-20 in WPA 20022 of 2019	Mr. Amiya Kumar Dutta, Mr. Dipanjan Chatterjee, Mr. Swadesh Priya Ghosh, Mr. Santanu Talukdar, Ms. Sananda Bhattacharya, Mr. Barna Das
For the added respondents in WPA 10960 of 2021 and WPA 5406 of 2022 with CAN 27 of 2024	Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury, Ms. Shreyeta Mitra
For the appellant in MAT 476 of 2023	Mr. Tapas Singha Roy
For the petitioner in WPA 366 of 2024	Mr. Amitava Chaudhuri, Mr. N. Ray, Mr. Chandan Chakraborty
For the added respondent in CAN 13 of 2021 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. S. N. Mukherjee, sr. adv. Mr. Niraj Gupta, Ms. Afroja Nusrat, Mr. Hafizul Islam
For the petitioner in WPA 18034 of 2018	Mr. Kamal Mishra, Mr. Pratap Sanfui
For the added parties in CAN 2 of 2023 in MAT 1458 of 2023	Mr. Gourango Das, Mr. Kapil Chandra Sahoo
For the petitioner in WPA 4117/23, WPA 4213 of 2023, WPA 6577 of 2023 and WPA 160 of 2023	Mr. Sankar Prasad Dalapati, Mr. Pritam Chowdhury, Mr. Safik Dewan, Mr. Sourav Mondal

For the applicant in WPA 30065/2016, WPA 5406/2022 (CAN 26/2024)	Mr. Tamal Taru Panda
For the applicant in CAN 12 of 2023 in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Vishak Bhattacharya, Ms. Ruchika Chatterjee, Ms. Biyanka Bhattacharya
For the petitioner in WPA 362 of 2023	Mr. Dibyendu Chatterjee, Mr. Pritam Majumdar, Mr. Rahul Deb Goenka, Mr. Mainak Singh Barma
For the added party in CAN 26 of 2023 in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Arup Kr. Lahiri, Mr. Debojyoti De
For the petitioner in WPA 18627 of 2019, WPA 23946 of 2019,WPA 19053 of 2022 and WPA 19060 of 2022	Mr. Santanu Maji, Ms. Sayani Biswas
For the added party in CAN 1 of 2023 in WPA 18381 of 2021	Mr. Partha Sarathi Das, Md. Hyafiz Ali, Mr. Shanta Sarkar, Mr. Debojyoti De
For the ED	Mr. Dhiraj Triwedi, Ld. DSG Mr. Samrat Goswami
For the CBI	Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi Mr. Arijit Majumder Ms. Supriti Sarkhel
For the respondent no.4 in WPA 18355 of 2019	Mr. Ritwik Pattanayak, Mr. Prasenjit Debnath
For the applicants in CAN 22 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Pratik Dhar, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Ritwik Pattanayak, Mr. S. Khandakhar, Mr. S. Ghosh, Ms. Swati Jha

For the respondent nos.641 & 642 in WPA 12270 of 2021	Mr. Ritwick Pattanayak
For the respondent no.4 in WPA 18355/2019	Mr. Ritwick Pattanayak, Mr. Prasenjit Debnath
For the applicant CAN 6 of 2024 in WPA 25380 of 2022	Mr. Jayanta Mitra, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Yuvraj P. Narvankar, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Supratim Dhar, Ms. Madhupriya, Mr. Hebzur Rahaman
For the added respondent nos. 3, 5, 6 & 7 in WPA 18355 of 2019	Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharya, Mr. Mahadeb Sarkar, Mr. Bikash Chowdhury
For the petitioners in WPA 4313 of 2023	Ms. Kakoli Samajpaty Ms. Sangita Jangra Mr. Subhronil Roy
For the appellant in MAT 85 of 2023	Mr. Arunava Ghosh, Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Mr. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the added respondent in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Mr. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the writ petitioner in WPA 2967 of 2023	Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv., Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Ms. Tannistha Lahiri, Mr. Samrat Dey Paul, Mr. Pranati Das, Mr. Anish Chakraborty

For the petitioner in WPA 4313 of 2023	Ms. Kakali Samajpaty, Ms. Sangita Jangra Mr. Subranil Ray
For the respondent no. 4 in WPA 21430 of 2021	Ms. Kakali Samajpaty, Ms. Sangita Jangra Mr. Subranil Ray
For the added respondent in CAN 13 of 2023 in WPA 13700 of 2021	Mr. Sankar Nath Mukherjee Mr. Niraj Gupta Ms. Afroja Nusrat
For the applicant in MAT 345 of 2023, MAT 359 of 2023	Ms. Debyani Ashra
For the SSC in WPA 2613/2018, WPA 18627/2019, WPA 21923/2019, WPA 18034/2018, WPA 20039/2019, WPA 30065/2017, WPA 8536/2020	Mr. Kanak Kiran Bandyoapdhyay
For the added respondent in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Ranajit Chatterjee, Mr. Aniruddha Mitra
For the applicant in CAN 31/24 in WPA 13700/2021	Mr. P.S. Bhattacharya, Sr. Advocate Mr. A. Maitra, Mr. S. P. Lahiri
For the petitioners in WPA 21430/21, WPA 4313/2023	Mr. Shuvro P. Lahiri
For the added respondents of CAN 23/23 in WPA 13700/21 and CAN 23/2023, CAN 24/2023 and CAN 25/2023 in WPA 5406/2022	Mr. Shuvro P. Lahiri Mr. R. Naskar
For the petitioners in WPA 4313 of 2023 and for the private respondent in WPA 21266 of 2021	Mrs. Kakali Samajpaty, Ms. Sangeeta Jangra

For the added respondent of CAN/1/24 in WPA 10947/2021	Ms. Reshmi Ghosh, Ms. Parna Mukherjee, Ms.Barnali Gantait
For the petitioner of CAN 34/24 in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Yudhisthir Maity
For the applicant in WPA 5406 of 2022	Mr. Anirban Sen
Heard on	: January 15, 2024, January 16, 2024, January 17, 2024, January 18, 2024, January 19, 2024, January 24, 2024, February 5, 2024, March 4, 2024, March 6, 2024, March 7, 2024, March 8, 2024, March 11, 2024, March12, 2024, March 13, 2024, March 14, 2024, March 19, 2024, March 20, 2024
Judgement on	: April 22, 2024

DEBANGSU BASAK, J.:-

PREFACE **80**

CONTENTIONS OF WRIT PETITIONERS **81**

CONTENTIONS OF WRIT PETITIONERS COMPLAINING OF RANK JUMP **93**

CONTENTIONS OF CBI **97**

CONTENTIONS OF SSC **98**

CONTENTIONS OF SELECTED CANDIDATES OF GROUP-D **100**

CONTENTION OF ADDED RESPONDENTS **113**

CONTENTION OF WRIT PETITIONERS IN WPA 7370 OF 2023 ..... **121**

CONTENTIONS OF APPELLANTS IN MAT 274 OF 2023 AND MAT 443 OF 2023 ..... **123**

CONTENTIONS OF PARTIES OPPOSING THE WRIT PETITIONS **130**

ISSUES **152**

JURISDICTION **154**

MAINTAINABILITY **158**

Cited Authorities on Maintainability 159
Analysis of the Cited Authorities on Maintainability 166
Nature of the writ petitions 167
Delay on part of Writ Petitioners and Maintainability 172
Court's Orders on the Writ Petitions 178
Affidavits and Reports of SSC 193
Affidavits and Reports of Board 206
Reports of CBI 207
Role of State Government 214
Inferences from the role of Article 12 authorities 218
Findings on Maintainability 222

CERTIFICATE ISSUED UNDER SECTION 65B OF THE EVIDENCE ACT **225**

UPLOADING OF OMR SHEETS **246**

REMAINING ISSUES **247**

Options available 247
First Option 248
Second Option 248
Third Option 257

ILLEGALITIES IN THE SELECTION PROCESS **259**

RELIEFS **262**

EXCEPTION **270**

DIRECTIONS **272**

CONCLUSION **275**

Preface

1. Several writ petitions and few appeals have been heard by this Division Bench, relating to the 2016 selection process conducted by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (SSC for short), in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023 passed by the Supreme Court.

2. Initially, in terms of such order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court, we had commenced hearing of the matters on January 29, 2024. In the midst of hearing, learned counsel for one of the parties had submitted that, his clients would require inspection of the documents sought to be relied upon in the proceedings. Pursuant to such request being made, hearing of the matters had been adjourned and directions for giving inspection were passed on February 5, 2024. Further directions had been passed to facilitate the inspection. Subsequently, hearing of the matters had commenced on March 4, 2024. The matters have been heard practically on a day-to-day basis and for the substantial period of the day on the dates of hearing. Learned counsels for the parties has submitted written notes on argument.

Contentions of Writ Petitioners

3. Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharjee, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the writ petitioners has drawn the attention of the Court to the fact that, the 2016 selection process conducted by SSC for recruitment to the post of Group D and C in terms of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for the Appointment to Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes IX and X in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Post of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2006 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes XI and XII in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to Post of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 are under challenge. He has pointed out that in one of such writ petitions being WPA No. 12266 of 2021 (Sandeep Prasad & Ors. vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) the writ petitioners approached the learned single Judge pointing out 25 examples where candidates were recommended by SSC after expiry of the panel prepared in relation to recruitment process in respect of Group D appointments. Similar writ

petitions had been filed in respect of Group C appointments. In this context, he has drawn the attention of the Court to the affidavit of SSC affirmed on November 18, 2021 and November 22, 2021. The learned single Judge by an order dated November 21, 2021 passed in WPA 12266 of 2021 had appointed the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) to investigate the illegalities and the money trail, if any. An appeal had been carried against such order dated November 21, 2021 when the Appeal Court by an order dated December 6, 2021 found serious irregularities in the recruitment process and held that a constitutional Court can go deeper into the matter in the interest of justice and mould the relief accordingly. He has contended that, the issue of non-maintainability of the writ petitions had been decided by the Division Bench and that the Division Bench had found the writ petitions to be maintainable.

4. On the aspect of maintainability, Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, such issue is no longer germane at this stage of the proceeding, as the Court proceeded all throughout in exercise of constitutional powers, in order to ensure Articles 14 and 15 of the Constitution of India were not violated. In support of his contentions, he has relied upon ***2003 Volume***

6 Supreme Court Cases 581 (T. K. Rangarajan vs. Government of Tamil Nadu and others), ***2016 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 160 (Dharam Pal vs. State of Haryana and Others)***, and ***2018 Volume 12 Supreme Court Cases 61 (Bharati Reddy vs. State of Karnataka and Others)***. He has contended that, in facts of the present case, since a scam relating to public appointments has been discovered a Constitutional Court should not hesitate to enforce compliance with Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

5. Mr. Bhattacharya has submitted that, the Division Bench appointed a four-member committee consisting of a representative of SSC, a representative of the Board, a practising advocate of the Court under the Chairmanship of Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag (retired) for a thorough investigation with regard to the selection process. Such committee had made a thorough investigation and made several recommendations. He has pointed out the recommendations and the findings of such committee.

6. Mr. Bhattacharya has submitted that, SSC filed several affidavits in the matters admitting illegal appointments and sought to justify them under the garb of inadvertent mistakes. In this regard, he has referred to the affidavit of SSC

affirmed on November 3, 2021 in WPA 13700 of 2021, affidavit affirmed on March 11, 2022 by SSC in WPA 18590 of 2021, affidavit affirmed by SSC in March 2022 in WPA 21268 of 2021, affidavit of SSC affirmed on March 11, 2022 in WPA 21258 of 2021, affidavit affirmed in March 2022 by SSC in WPA 18802 of 2021, affidavit affirmed in March 2022 by SSC in WPA 18381 of 2021, affidavit affirmed on March 10, 2022 by SSC in WPA 18387 of 2021, affidavit affirmed on March 9, 2022 by SSC in WPA 18379 of 2021. He has also relied upon a report of SSC where according to him, SSC effectively admitted the illegality with regard to candidates not being in the merit list at all but still made it to the panel. SSC had admitted that, the beneficiaries of such illegal act did not have any legal right to challenge the same.

7. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, an appeal was preferred against various orders of the learned single Judge pertaining to different writ petitions relating to the 4 categories of the recruitment. Such appeal had been dismissed by a judgement and order dated May 18, 2022.

8. Mr. Bhattacharya has submitted that, CBI produced an interim report with regard to another kind of discrepancy being the manipulation of the Optical Marks Recognition

(OMR) answer scripts. The learned single Judge has passed an order dated September 20, 2022 with regard thereto. CBI has filed an affidavit dated December 7, 2022 which stated that scanning of all original OMR sheets had been done at the office of the SSC by M/s NYSA. He has pointed out that, SSC, Board or the state government including several candidates who are private respondents in WPA 13700 of 2021 did not challenge such affidavit of CBI.

9. Mr. Bhattacharya has pointed out that, CBI filed several interim reports before the learned single Judge on the basis of which learned single Judge directed SSC to file an affidavit. Thereafter SSC has filed an affidavit affirmed on 2023 wherein it admitted that SSC appointed M/s NYSA for scanning and assessing the OMR answer scripts in relation to the recruitment processes. Such affidavit had also acknowledged that 2,819 OMR sheets and four answer strings were lesser than the marks of the candidates kept in the server of SSC. Similar affidavits had been filed in respect of the other 3 categories of the selection processes. He has pointed out that, these affidavits were the first threshold reaction of SSC.

10. Mr. Bhattacharya has pointed out that, SSC has filed an affidavit before the Supreme Court admitting that the Chairman of SSC in exercise of executive powers directed destruction of the OMRs, answer scripts and other papers within a year after keeping a mirror image of the same. He has contended that, such decision was arbitrary and illegal and in violation of Section 8 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

11. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, SSC still retains the mirror image of the OMR sheets in respect of the candidates and in support of such contention, he has referred to 3 affidavits of 3 of the petitioners, namely, Anindita Bera affirmed on January 24, 2024, Nasrin Khatun affirmed on February 5, 2024 and Setab Uddin affirmed on February 5, 2024. He has contended that, SSC supplied copy of OMR on January 18, 2024 from the data stored in the SSC database. Such OMR for at least one candidate had been given from the database in 2018. SSC had published some OMR sheets in terms of the order of the Court dated December 14, 2022. OMRs published in 2018 and 2022 are the same and identical. He has contended that, SSC is still in possession of the OMR answer sheets.

12. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, the Court by an order dated February 5, 2024 gave opportunity to all interested parties in the litigation to inspect the OMR sheets from the CBI authorities. After taking such inspection, none has disputed the veracity of the OMR sheets in possession of CBI. On the contrary, one of the candidates had admitted the OMR sheet to be genuine and questioned the authority of marks awarded to that particular candidate in variance with the OMR sheet.

13. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, CBI from time to time filed several reports which disclose that OMR sheets recovered were shown to the SSC who checked and found serious manipulations. Accordingly, the learned single Judge had directed SSC to publish the list of beneficiaries of such manipulations. List of beneficiaries of manipulations had been directed to be published in respect of appointments for classes IX and X as well as for Group C and D. He has pointed out that, the list published by SSC shows that candidates who secured zero in the OMR sheets were given more than qualifying marks and subsequently appointed. Supreme Court had stayed the publication of the list for appointment of Assistants Teachers for classes XI and XII.

14. Mr. Bhattacharya has pointed out that, SSC terminated the appointments of the beneficiaries of the illegalities by invoking Rule 17 of the Rules. SSC had also stated in an affidavit that there may be more candidates who were wrongly recommended for appointment by SSC and prayed for time for verifying the records. In this regard, he has referred to page 334 of volume 2 of the compilation. He has pointed out that, till date, SSC did not conclusively identify the total number of illegalities. He has pointed out that before the Division Bench, SSC by an affidavit affirmed on December 20, 2023 stated that with regard to the issue of rank jump for appointments of classes IX and X, out of 183 candidates, 122 candidates have been removed and 61 were still remaining. Subsequently, by another affidavit affirmed on January 5, 2024, SSC had stated that out of the remaining 61 candidates 40 candidates were neither in the panel nor in the wait list and stated that 2 more candidates were identified. He has contended that, SSC was still in the process of identifying the illegal appointments.

15. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, the vires of Rule 17 had not been challenged by appointees. Appointments had been cancelled. He has contended that, vires can be

challenged if the Rule was in violation of the parent statute and if the same was arbitrary. According to him, Rule 17 does not suffer from any infirmity especially on the ground of violation of the principles of natural justice since there is no scope for any pre decisional hearing in case of appointments made in public employment which was vitiated by fraud or illegalities.

16. Referring to Section 65B (4) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, SSC acted upon the disclosures made by CBI. He has contended that, primary document is the scanned copy of the OMR and that such scanning was prepared at the office of SSC. Assessment had been made on the basis of such scanned copy. Without prejudice to his contention, he has relied upon ***2014 Volume 10 Supreme Court Cases 473 (Anvar P.V. Vs. P.K. Basheer and Others)*** and ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and Others)*** with regard thereto. He has contended that, requirement of certificate under Section 65B (4) was procedural in nature and can be relaxed by the Court wherever the interest of justice so justifies. He has also relied upon paragraph 18.21 of Murphy on Evidence, 5th edition for

the proposition that, if the Court's conscience is satisfied that the evidence is relevant, the Court has inherent powers to take the affidavit of documents on record for proper adjudication.

17. Mr. Bhattacharya has submitted that, powers under Section 165 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1862 should be exercised by a Constitutional Court in order to effectively adjudicate the disputes, without even deciding on the question of admissibility of evidence. He has pointed out that, SSC is the creator of the OMR which invariably contains the signature of the respective candidates, signature of the respective invigilators, a barcode which is unique to each OMR and a unique identification number along with the question booklet number to which it relates to. Moreover, SSC had accepted the electronic data seized by CBI during the course of the investigation. SSC had compared and checked such data with the digital records available in the servers of SSC and admitted the genuineness of the retrieved OMR. He has contended that, CBI in its affidavit affirmed on December 7, 2022 filed in WPA 13700 of 2021 adequately described the manner in which, such electronic data was retrieved. According to him, there has been sufficient compliance with

the provisions of Section 65B (4) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. He has pointed out that, requisite certificate had been produced by CBI and filed by way of an affidavit affirmed on January 15, 2024.

18. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, appointments to any post under the control of a statutory authority is bound to be in conformity with Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution. There is no ground to challenge the report of the CBI which had exposed the nature and variety of the manipulations and which ultimately snowballed and took the shape of a public scam relating to appointments of school teachers and non-teaching staff. He has relied upon ***1994 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 164 (H. R. Adyanthaya and Others vs. Sandoz (India) Limited and Others)*** *and* ***2023 Volume 9 Supreme Court Cases 749 (Dulu Deka vs. State of Assam and Others)*** in support of his contentions. According to him, the appointments have been vitiated also due to infractions of the declared reservation policy.

19. Referring to ***2013 Volume 3 Supreme Court Cases 1 (State of Gujarat and Another vs. Justice R.A. Mehta and Others)*** Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, corruption is a cancer to the democratic governance. According to him, Court

has the duty to reveal the truth to ensure justice. For revelation of truth, the Court may not always be shackled with the procedural niceties. He has relied upon ***2012 Volume 5 Supreme Court Cases 370 (Maria Margarida Sequeira Fernandes and Other vs. Erasmo Jack De Sequeira)*** in this regard.

20. Mr. Bhattacharya has pointed out that SSC filed an application to protect illegal appointments by creating supernumerary post. He has pointed out that, due to the opposition of the writ petitioners, such application was not allowed to be withdrawn. He has also pointed out that, on appeal from the order refusing to grant permission to withdraw such application, the Division Bench was pleased to decline the permission for withdrawal of such application made by SSC by the order dated November 24, 2022. A special leave petition had been preferred in which an order dated November 25, 2022 was passed which did not grant permission to withdraw the application. Therefore, according to him, SSC had admitted illegal appointments.

21. Mr. Bhattacharya has contended that, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the Court has no option but to set aside the entire selection process. In support of

such contention, he has relied upon ***2021 Volume 16 Supreme Court Cases 217 (State of Tamil Nadu and Another vs. A. Kalaimani and Others)***. Alternatively, he has submitted that the Court will be pleased to direct preparation of the merit list on the basis of the performance of the candidates reflected in the scanned OMR and direct issuance of appointment letters in terms of the new merit ranking after setting aside all appointments made by the tainted process till date.

Contentions of writ petitioners complaining of rank jump

22. Mr Ashish Kumar Chowdhury, learned advocate appearing for another set of writ petitioners has submitted that, he was representing writ petitioners who had suffered rank jumping. He has contended that, there were several irregularities in the selection process. Moreover, there has been wrong assessment of answers. Writ petitioners have raised disputes in the counselling process as also the vacancy said to be declared. He has contended that, he was representing writ petitioners who have raised such issues.

23. On the rank jumping issue, Mr. Chowdhury has contended that, SSC adopted 2 types of irregularities with the

intention to give appointments to the lower ranked and less meritorious candidates. According to him, firstly, lower rank order candidates got the appointment since SSC did not prepare the panel in terms of Rule 12 (7) of the recruitment Rules on the basis of total marks obtained by the candidate by adding the marks obtained in the written test and marks of academics and professional qualification and marks of the personality test. SSC had followed Rule 12 (6) on the basis of marks obtained by the candidates in the written test and marks obtained in academics and professional qualification by excluding the marks of the personality test as a result of which the candidate who obtained higher marks before personality test got the appointment and the candidate who got high marks in total of the personality test was deprived of the appointment. Secondly, SSC did not follow Rule 12 (9) of the Recruitment Rules and had given recommendation to the lower ranked candidates without considering the seniority of age according to date of birth, if more than one candidate obtained the same aggregate marks in total.

24. Mr. Chowdhury has contended that, in similar circumstances the High Court had from time to time passed orders that higher ranked candidates in terms of Rule 12 (7)

were legally entitled to get appointments. Subsequently SSC had admitted their mistakes and gave appointments to the candidates those who were deprived. He has relied upon orders passed in WPA 1310 of 2019 and WPA 5406 of 2022 in this regard.

25. Referring to the affidavit of SSC that, out of 183 candidates in rank jump issue, 122 candidates were removed by SSC, Mr Chowdhury has submitted that, the fact is that 122 candidates recommended did not join the post and as such removal of such a candidate by the SSC is gross suppression of fact.

26. Mr Chowdhury has contended that, SSC acted in violation of Rule 12 (7) of the recruitment Rules. The method of categorisation as has been spoken about by SSC does not find place or support by any Rules. Such a method permits a lower meritorious candidate to obtain appointment over a better candidate. Such a course of action should not be countenanced.

27. Mr. Chowdhury has contended that, the entire selection process conducted by SSC by not publishing the interview list, merit list and panel separately in terms of Rule 2 (e), (f) and (g) of the recruitment Rules in each and every

stage of the selection process violated the Rules. Moreover, SSC did not declare the cut off marks subject-wise and category -wise before the personality test in terms of Rule 12 (6). SSC had prepared the panel without considering the total marks obtained by the candidates by following Rule 12 (7) and as a result, lower meritorious candidates got appointment and higher meritorious candidates were deprived. Appointment had been given without taking into consideration the marks of the personality test by following Rule 12 (6) and the seniority according to age by following Rule 12 (9) of the recruitment Rules at the time of preparation of the panel. SSC did not publish the final answers key as a result of which the candidates were unable to ascertain the marks granted for the right answers. Before counselling the entire vacant post of the schools was not declared and as a result the higher rank and higher meritorious candidates had been deprived from getting opportunity to choose their schools nearest to their residence. SSC had failed to declare vacancy as per the ratio of 1:1.4.

28. Mr. Chowdhury has referred to the order dated July 12, 2018 passed in AST No. 49 of 2018. He has pointed out that list of successful candidates was published on August 20, 2018 without the details of the candidates and without

breakup of the marks obtained by the candidates. He has contended that, the panel published in terms of the order dated May 12, 2022 passed in WPA 19580 of 2021 was without complying with the provisions of Rule 12 (7) and Rule 12 (9) of the recruitment Rules 2016. In support of his contentions, Mr. Chowdhury has relied upon ***2011 Volume 12 Supreme Court Cases 85 (Bedanga Talukdar vs. Saifudaullah Khan and Others)***, ***2008 Volume 3 Supreme Court Cases 512 (K. Manjusree vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and Another)***, ***2001 Volume 10 Supreme Court Cases 51 (Maharashtra State Road Transport Corpn. And Another vs. Rajendra Bhimrao Mandve and Another)*** and ***2013 SCC OnLine Cal 5639 (Alo Basak vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.)***, and ***2023 SCC OnLine SC 1408 (Amitava Sengupta vs. Malati Saha)***.

Contentions of CBI

29. Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi, learned Deputy Solicitor General appearing for CBI has referred to the final report of the CBI dated February 5, 2024 as also to the report dated January 16, 2024. He has submitted that, CBI concluded the investigations and submitted chargesheet against the accused

persons before the Jurisdictional Court. He has contended that, there was widespread manipulation in the selection process.

Contentions of SSC

30. Dr. Sutanu Patra appearing for the School Service Commission has referred to the four affidavits filed pursuant to the order of this Court. He has drawn the attention of the Court to the steps and measures that SSC took subsequent to the discovery of the illegalities in the selection process. He has contended that, SSC took such steps on the basis of the data supplied by CBI. He has pointed out that, SSC had no material to disbelieve the data supplied by CBI. Moreover, SSC had acted pursuant to the orders of the Court.

31. Dr. Patra, on the aspect of categorisation, has referred to the various provisions of the Rules and contended that, the list was prepared and published in the web site on the understanding of the categorization process as prescribed by the Rules. He has contended that, such categorisation was uniformly applied for all the candidates. He has pointed out that, pursuant to orders passed by the Court subsequently, the process for categorisation was altered. However, prior to

such orders being passed by the Court, as there was no judicial pronouncement, SSC had categorised the various candidates in accordance with what SSC understood of the provisions of categorisation as laid down in the rules.

32. Dr. Patra has drawn the attention of the Court to the fact that 7 phases of counselling were held within the validity period of the panel. The 8th phase of counselling had been held after the expiry of the panel.

33. In response to the queries of the Court, Dr. Patra has submitted that, should the Court direct evaluation of the OMR sheets afresh, then, SSC would be in a position to do so. However, the same would require considerable amount of time. In response to another query of the Court, he and the Chairman of SSC, who was directed to be present in Court, stated that, SSC did not have any knowledge of the appointment of M/s. Data Scantech Solutions by M/s. M/s NYSA. SSC does not have any records with regard to the appointment of M/s. Data Scantech Solutions.

34. Dr. Patra has referred to the quantum of declared vacancy and the recommendations made. He has also pointed out that, certain recommendations were made after the expiry

of the panel and that, appointments were given to candidates who were not even in the panel.

Contentions of Selected Candidates of Group-D

35. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay learned Senior Advocate appearing for some candidates who had secured appointments in Group D has referred to a list of dates. From such list of dates, he has pointed out that, Rules of 2009 which governs such selection process, were notified on June 9, 2009. The notification for the 3rd regional level selection test for recruitment of non-teaching staff 2016 had been published on August 9, 2016. Written examination in that regard had been held on February 19, 2017. His clients had appeared for verification of the documents and credentials and for personality test on August 19, 2017. Final result had been published on November 6, 2017. Panel of successful candidates had been published on November 6, 2017. His clients had been called for first phase of counselling in February 2018. His clients had been recommended for appointment on February 21, 2018 and May 11, 2018. Appointment letters had been issued to his client on June 4, 2018. By an order dated March 28, 2019, learned single

Judge had directed SSC to opt out the district by counseling in compliance of the requirement of Rules 14 (13) and 15 of the 2009 Rules. He has pointed out that, the panel and waitlist in relation to the 2016 recruitment for the post of Group D staff had expired on May 4, 2019 which was notified by SSC on September 2, 2019. SSC had published revised panel in compliance with the order dated March 28, 2019 on May 20, 2019. He has pointed out that on November 22, 2021, 4 unsuccessful candidates who were placed in the waiting list had filed WPA 18585 of 2021 for setting aside of the memo dated September 2, 2019 and appointment to the post of Group D. By an order dated November 25, 2021, High Court had directed stopping of the salary of the Group D employees who were being investigated until further orders. His clients were not being investigated into. He has pointed out that there was another order dated February 19, 2022 passed by the High Court in WPA 12266 of 2021. He has also pointed out that between the period April 2022 and August 2022 services of some of his clients were confirmed by the Secretary of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education. The learned single Judge had passed an order dated September 20, 2022 in WPA 12266 of 2021 observing that

persons who were illegally appointed should resign failing which strict orders would be passed. On November 22, 2022, a list titled Final Panel under 3rd RLST 2016 had been published by SSC containing the names, roll numbers, marks and ranks of the successful participants according to the respective districts. CBI had filed an affidavit on December 6, 2022 stating that OMRs were scanned by M/s NYSA. On December 22, 2022, CBI had supplied a chart containing names of 1,698 persons who according to CBI could have been illegally appointed to the post of Group D. He has submitted that out of 1,698 persons disclosed by CBI, 1,694 were given appointments. High Court had directed SSC to upload the 1,694 names on the website with the intimation of the pendency of the writ petition. By an order dated February 9, 2023 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021 the High Court had directed SSC to take steps in respect of 2,819 candidates whose OMR sheets were found by CBI in the M/s NYSA hard disks and which according to CBI was manipulated. By an order dated February 10, 2023, the learned single Judge had directed SSC to cancel the recommendation of 1,911 candidates and directed such candidates to refund their salary. The learned Single Judge had held that, such

candidates were not required to be heard since the publication of OMR sheets demonstrated the manipulations and discrepancies. The learned single Judge had also directed SSC to declare 1,911 post as vacant and give recommendation to the waitlisted candidates. By a letter dated February 10, 2023, the Chairman of SSC had cancelled the recommendation letters issued to 1,911 Group D candidates in connection with the 3rd Regional Level Selection Test (NT) 2016 which included his clients. West Bengal Board for Secondary Education had also cancelled the appointment letters of his clients on February 10, 2023. Notification for counselling for recruitment to the post had been published on February 10, 2023. SSC had issued a tentative list of candidates in such counselling on February 11, 2023. In appeals directed against the orders dated February 9, 2023 and February 10, 2023, the Division Bench had stayed the part of the order dated February 10, 2023 directing refund of the salary and refused to grant any other stay on February 16, 2023. On a Special Leave Petition directed against the order dated February 16, 2023, the Supreme Court on May 1, 2023 had stayed the orders of the High Court. By the order dated November 1, 2023, the Supreme Court had directed hearing of all writ

petitions and appeals by a Division Bench afresh with the direction that all earlier findings on the issue should be ignored. Division Bench constituted specially in terms of such order had by an order dated December 6, 2023 allowed the application of his clients for being added as party respondents to the writ petition. SSC had filed its first report in the form of an affidavit on December 12, 2023. SSC had filed its 2nd report in the form of an affidavit of December 18, 2023. SSC had filed its 3rd report in the form of an affidavit on December 20, 2023. SSC had filed its 4th report in the form of an affidavit on January 5, 2024 wherein additional documents were furnished. On January 9, 2024 CBI had filed a comprehensive status report disclosing the names of persons against whom they filed chargesheet after investigation into the selection processes. His clients had filed an exception to the comprehensive status report of the CBI as also to the reports filed by the SSC. CBI had filed an affidavit on January 16, 2024 in compliance with the order dated January 15, 2024 disclosing the alleged certificate under Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1862 dated September 16, 2022. His clients had filed an exception to the affidavit of the CBI dated January 16, 2024 on January 18, 2024. He has pointed out

that, CBI filed an affidavit in compliance with the order dated January 24, 2024 which stated that the job of scanning of OMR was sub- delegated by M/s NYSA to M/s Data Scantech Solutions.

36. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has submitted that, till the date of the personality test, all the candidates including the writ petitioners did not raise any objection with regard to the selection process. Writ petitioners had participated in the selection process with their eyes open. Even on November 6, 2017 when the result of the 3rd RLST was published, and the respondents had become successful candidates, the writ petitioners did not challenge such result. After 3 years of the successful candidates having joined their respective posts was WPA 18585 of 2021 filed. According to him, the writ petition was hit by delay and laches and that, the learned single Judge could not have entertained the writ petitions. None of the present respondents had been made parties in such writ petitions and therefore the point of maintainability on the ground of delay could not be agitated at an earlier point of time. He has contended that, in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023, the Hon'ble Court may be placed to decide the point of maintainability on the ground of delay and laches

and that, unsuccessful candidates cannot challenge the selection process after participating in the selection.

37. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has submitted that, the writ petition did not contain any prayer for setting aside or cancelling the entire selection process including the selection of the successful candidates. According to him, since the writ petitioners did not seek such relief setting aside the selection process would be beyond the scope of the writ petition. He has referred to the pleadings of the writ petition and contended that, the pleadings in the writ petition are vague.

38. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that, the writ petitions should be considered in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court. He has pointed out that, the High Court should examine the admissibility of the OMR sheet images recovered by the CBI before placing full-scale reliance on them and directing termination of services of concerned candidates. The question of maintainability had been kept open to the decided. Supreme Court had observed that, the proceedings have strong attributes of a public interest litigation. Direction for uploading the OMR sheet can only be passed after deciding on the admissibility of the recovered data in terms of the prevailing Rules of evidence.

39. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has submitted that, the burden of proof is on the CBI to establish the genuineness of the OMR on the basis of the established principles of law and not on the basis of preponderance of probability. He has referred to the report of the SSC filed by the affidavit affirmed on December 18, 2023 in this regard. He has contended that, SSC found mismatch only because the OMR sheets recovered by CBI was directed to be looked into by the Court. He has referred to the order dated June 24, 2022 passed by the learned single Judge in WPA No. 8059 of 2022. He has contended that, the marks stored in the server were uploaded and thereafter merit list was published. He has pointed out that, the position of all successful candidates in the merit lists published in terms of the order dated June 24, 2022 was the same as the merit list published in terms of the order of the High Court on May 20, 2019 or November 6, 2017. He has contended that, therefore there is no question of any irregularity and/or illegality in preparing the merit list. Moreover, none of the writ petitioners had ever questioned the legality and/or validity of the merit lists.

40. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that, his client filed an exception to the report filed by SSC affirmed on

January 15, 2024. In such exception, his clients have contended that, SSC proceeded on the basis of OMR sheets supplied by CBI. He has contended that, the uploaded version has lost its force in view of the subsequent order of the Supreme Court dated November 9, 2023.

41. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that, it will be evident from the report of the CBI submitted on December 7, 2022 in WPA 13700 of 2021 that scanning of all original OMR sheets was done at the office of SSC by M/s. NYSA. The hard disks had been seized from an ex-employee. He has pointed out that, from paragraph 4 of the affidavit affirmed by CBI on February 5, 2024 that, M/s NYSA had appointed another entity. He has contended that, the report of CBI was misleading and that they were taking different stands.

42. Referring to the alleged certificate under Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that, the same did not fulfil the requirements of Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872. The person who has given the certificate was not in charge of the operations in respect of the recruitment examination conducted by SSC. Such person had no lawful control over the computer in terms of Section 65B (2) (a) of the Evidence Act, 1872. The alleged

hard disks seized cannot be said to be computer as understood in Section 65B (2) of the Evidence Act, 1872. He has pointed out that, in absence of the definition of computer in the Evidence Act itself, general meaning of computer has to be taken. He has contended that, requirements as mentioned in Section 65B (2) and (4) of the Evidence Act, 1872 are completely absent in the alleged certificate. The alleged certificate had been issued from a completely different company. The person did not hold any sensitive or key position in M/s NYSA. He has referred to ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and Others)*** in support of his contentions. He has also pointed out that CBI filed a chargesheet against Mr. Pankaj Bansal who had given the alleged certificate and therefore, he cannot be relied upon.

43. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has referred to Section 45A of the Evidence Act, 1872 and contended that, since the Court is required to form an opinion in respect of the matter where information was stored in a computer, the examiner of electronic evidence as contemplated in Section 79A of the Information Technology Act is a relevant fact. He has referred to circulars issued in this regard.

44. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that, Section 45B of the Evidence Act, 1872 puts safeguards for the accused person in place in view of the fact that the electronic device may be misused by an investigating agency. He has contended that right to fair trial is a constitutional right protected under Article 21 of the Constitution. Moreover, SSC cannot cancel an appointed employee recommendation without providing an opportunity of hearing to the affected person. The right of hearing of the affected person is inbuilt in view of the fact that the principles of natural justice have not been excluded under the recruitment Rules.

45. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has contended that SSC has already destroyed the OMR after one year from the date of publication of the panel.

46. Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay has relied upon ***2002 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 113 (State of Punjab vs. Raghbir Chand Sharma and Another)***, ***2009 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 555 (Mohd. Sohrab Khan vs. Aligarh Muslim University and Others)***, ***1997 Volume 8 Supreme Court Cases 488 (Surinder Singh and Others vs. State of Punjab and Another)***, ***1996 Volume 2 Supreme Court Cases 7 (State of Bihar and Others vs. Md.***

Kalimuddin and Others, ***2006 Volume 8 Supreme Court Cases 686 (Union of India and Others vs. B. Valluvan and Others)*** on the aspect of panel. On the aspect of delay, he has relied upon ***1975 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 285 (Aflatoon and Others vs. Lt. Governor of Delhi and Others)***, ***2022 Volume 2 Supreme Court Cases 25 (Union of India vs. N. Murugeshan and others)*** and ***2014 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 108 (Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board and others)***. On the contention that unsuccessful candidates cannot challenge the selection process and that a Court should not take a microscopic view he has relied upon ***2008 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 619 (Sadananda Halo and others vs. Momtaz Ali Sheikh and others)***, ***2020 Volume 2 Supreme Court Cases 173 (Anupal Singh and others vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and others)***, ***1995 Volume 3 Supreme Court Cases 486 (Madan Lal and others vs. State of J & K and others)*** and ***2011 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 150 (Vijendra Kumar Verma vs. Public Service Commission, Uttarakhand and others)***. On the aspect that the relief cannot be granted beyond the scope of the writ petition, he has relied upon ***2000 Volume 2***

Supreme Court Cases 439 (Commissioner, Bangalore Development Authority vs. S. Vasudeva and others) and ***2012 Volume 5 Supreme Court Cases 297 (State of Jharkhand and others vs. K.N. Farms and Industries Private Limited)***. On the applicability of Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 he has relied upon ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others)***. On the aspect of Section 45A of the Evidence Act, 1872 he has relied upon ***2021 SCC OnLine Bom 354 (Yogesh Arun Wakure vs. State of Maharashtra and another)***. With regard to the evidentiary value of a report of the CBI, he has relied upon ***2007 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 110 (M.C. Mehta (Taj Corridor Scam) vs. Union of India and others)***, ***2022 Volume 12 Supreme Court Cases 200 (Rajesh Yadav and another vs. State of Uttar Pradesh)*** and ***2009 Volume 5 Supreme Court Cases 528 (Syed Askari Hadi Ali Augustine Imam and another vs. State (Delhi Administration) and another)***. On the aspect that chargesheet is not a public document, he has relied upon ***2023 SCC OnLine SC 58 (Saurav Das vs. Union of India and others)***. With regard to the civil consequences and

applicability of natural justice, he has relied upon ***1978 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 405 (Mohinder Singh Gill and Another vs. The Chief Electioner Commissioner, New Delhi and Others)***. He has contended that, post decision hearing will not cure the lack of hearing on the breach of principles of natural justice, and relied upon ***1989 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 764 (H.L. Trehan and others vs. Union of India and others)*** and ***1987 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 431 (K.I. Shephard and others vs. Union of India and others)*** in this regard.

Contention of added Respondents

47. Mr Pratik Dhar learned senior advocate appearing for some of the added respondents in WPA 13700 of 2021 and representing the applicants in CAN 22 of 2023 filed therein, has submitted that, point of maintainability remains open. According to him, in addition to the issue of maintainability, the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court requires the Division Bench to examine the admissibility of the OMR sheet images. According to him, allegations of manipulations have to be established by cogent evidence. Supreme Court has directed the Court to ignore the findings

of the earlier Division Bench on Rule 17 and to decide such matter afresh. Court may consider issuing directions to upload the CBI recovered OMR sheet only after the authenticity of the images have been established after applying the prevailing Rules of evidence. CBI has also been directed to submit its report.

48. Mr. Pratik Dhar has submitted that, the writ petition is not maintainable on the ground of delay. He has referred to the list of dates. He has contended that, the writ petition was filed after a lapse of 4 years 10 months from the date of the examination, 3 years 6 months from the publication of final panel and at 1 year 8 months from the date of expiry thereof. According to him, the writ petitioners having participated in the selection process and being unsuccessful therein is not entitled to maintain a writ petition particularly in view of the delay. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2013 Volume 11 Supreme Court Cases 309 (Ramesh Chandra Shah and others vs. Anil Joshi and others), 2022 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 294 (Mohd. Mustafa vs. Union of India and others), 2017 Volume 9 Supreme Court Cases 478 (D. Sarojakumari vs. R. Helen Thilakom and Others)***

and ***2019 Volume 19 Supreme Court Cases 633 (Union of India and Others vs. C. Girija and Others).***

49. Mr Dhar has contended that, the writ petitions do not contain any pleadings with regard to the alleged wrongdoings. He has contended that the writ petitions do not contain any prayer for setting aside the entire selection process. Consequently, according to him, the writ petitioners are not entitled to any relief. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2003 Volume 8 Supreme Court Cases 40 (V. K. Majotra and others vs. Union of India and others)*** and ***2011 Volume 14 Supreme Court Cases 243 (State of Jammu and Kashmir and others vs. Ajay Dogra).***

50. On the interpretation of Rule 17, Mr Dhar has contended that, power given therein is limited. According to him, the moment appointment is made, such power gets extinguished. Withdrawal of recommendation cannot be equated with withdrawal of appointment. He has referred to Rule 17 and contended that, power to withdraw recommendation can at best be till the appointment. Moreover, once a teacher is appointed, such teacher is governed under separate Rules namely West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (Appointment, Confirmation, Conduct

and Discipline of Teacher and Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2018. Rule 17 cannot have overriding effect over the Rules of 2018. Moreover, Rule 17 does not have principles of natural justice built into it and therefore, interpreting Rule 17 as a power to terminate the appointment particularly when other Rules exist for suspension, dismissal and conduct of a teacher should not be done. According to him, SSC becomes functus officio upon making the recommendation. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2006 SCC OnLine Cal 708 (Rama Bandyopadhyay vs. State of West Bengal and others), 2012 SCC OnLine Cal 1860 (Ayesha Khatun vs. State of West Bengal and others), 2005 SCC OnLine All 1341 (Dr. Ravi Shankar Pandey vs. State of U.P. and others)*** and ***2011 SCC OnLine J & K 49 (Renu Bala vs. State of J & K and others).***

51. Mr Dhar has contended that, Rule 17 cannot be invoked since, SSC is proceeding on the basis of error whereas, CBI has alleged manipulation/corruption. According to him, both cannot go together. On such issue, he has relied upon ***2011 Volume 10 Supreme Court Cases 420 (Cauvery Coffee Traders, Mangalore vs. Hornor Resources (International) Company Limited)***. On the issue of post

decisional hearing cannot cure the inherent defect of not hearing an affected party on the principles of natural justice, he has relied upon ***1989 Volume 1 Supreme Court Cases 764 (H.L. Trehan and others vs. Union of India and others)*** and ***1986 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 537 (Institute of Chartered Accountants of India vs. L.K. Ratna and others).***

52. Mr Dhar has contended that, four conditions must be satisfied for a certificate to be valid under Section 65B of the Evidence Act. According to him such four conditions are that, the person giving the certificate must have lawful control as opposed to exclusive custody, the data must be regularly fed and in ordinary course of activity. Moreover, it has to be established that the computer was operating properly and that, the information which was ultimately reproduced was what was fed into the computer in ordinary course of activity. He has contended that, the alleged certificate does not allude to the person giving the certificate having lawful control or having regularly fed the data in the ordinary course of activity or the computer operating properly. Consequently, the so called certificate should not be admitted into evidence. The certificate must have such details and that such details

cannot be filled up by an affidavit of someone else. Moreover, the alleged certificate was not given by an ex-employee of M/s NYSA. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2014 Volume 10 Supreme Court Cases 473 (Anvar P.V. vs. P.K. Basheer and others), 2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others), 2023 Volume 3 Supreme Court Cases 654 (Mohd. Arif alias Ashfaq vs. State (NCT of Delhi)*** and ***2022 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 581 (Ravinder Singh alias Kaku vs. State of Punjab).***

53. Mr. Dhar has contended that, scanned copy of OMR sheets cannot be in .Dat format. According to him, some other software had been used to put it into .Dat format. Therefore, the data available in the hard disks is processed data and not the scanned images of OMR sheets as initially claimed by CBI. Moreover, matching of Hash value requires the same file format. Consequently, the materials allegedly seized by CBI should not be relied upon.

54. Mr. Mukul Lahiri learned senior advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No. 643 to 645 and applicants in CAN 8 of 2024 filed in WP No. 12270 of 2021 has contended that, his clients come from poor and humble background. His clients

had participated in the selection process and fulfil the prescribed requirements. His clients had never made any misrepresentation. He has pointed out that, his client received the appointment after following the due process. Board of Secondary Education had published an order dated March 11, 2023 stating that appointment of 785 of Group C candidates stood cancelled. Names of his clients had appeared in such list. He has contended that, his clients were not parties to the writ petition in which an order dated March 10, 2023 was passed. He has pointed out the prayers made in the writ petition and contended that, there was no prayer for setting aside the selection process. His clients upon coming to know of the orders dated December 21, 2022 and March 10, 2023 passed in the writ petition applied for being added as party respondents to the writ petition.

55. Mr. Mukul Lahiri has contended that, the hard disks and pen drives which CBI has seized cannot be admitted in evidence. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2014 Volume 10 Supreme Court Cases 473 (Anvar P.V. vs. P.K. Basheer and others)*** and ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others).***

56. Referring to the reports of the CBI, Mr Mukul Lahiri has contended that, the last affidavit dated February 5, 2020 of CBI is self-contradictory in nature and no reliance can be placed on it. He has pointed out that, going by the affidavit of CBI then, scanning job was made by another entity namely M/s Data Scantech Solutions. Evaluation had been done by M/s NYSA and that there is no allegation to the contrary.

57. Mr Lahiri has contended that, all original OMR sheets have been destroyed by the SSC. Hence any basis for evaluation of OMR sheets has been lost. What remains in the records of SSC is the polluted and contaminated data supplied from the hard disks by Mr. Pankaj Bansal. Therefore, it is not possible for SSC to arrive at any definite conclusion regarding the alleged manipulation of the evaluation of OMR sheets for the examination results. Distinguishing ***A. Kalaimani and others (supra)*** cited on behalf of the petitioners he has contended that, in that case, the original records of selection and answer sheets were available unlike the present case. He has adopted the submissions of other learned advocates appearing for other similarly placed parties.

Contention of Writ Petitioners in WPA 7370 of 2023

58. Mr Anindya Kumar Mitra learned senior advocate appearing for the writ petitioners in WPA 7370 of 2023 has submitted that, his clients sought a declaration that Rule 17 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Appointment to the Post of Teachers for Class IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 is ultra vires. He has contended that the writ petitioners participated in the selection process initiated by the notification dated September 23, 2016. They have been duly appointed. They had worked for about 3 years. Without giving opportunity of hearing, suddenly by administrative order dated March 1, 2023 in respect of 618 teachers and March 3, 2023 in respect of 157 teachers the recommendations issued to the petitioners and similarly circumstanced persons were withdrawn. He has contended that, Rule 17 of the Rules of 2016 is ultra vires and arbitrary on the grounds that it violates the principles of natural justice and Article 14 of the Constitution of India. Such Rule does not contemplate granting opportunity of hearing to the persons against whom SSC has contemplated to cancel or withdraw the recommendation invoking Rule 17.

59. Mr Mitra has contended that, after the recommendation has been issued under Rule 17 and acted upon by giving appointment, the recommendation ceased to exist as it merges with the appointment. SSC then becomes functus officio and loses power to withdraw the recommendation it had made. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2010 (1) CLJ 518 (Mridula Ghosh and another vs. State of West Bengal and others).***

60. Without prejudice to the aforesaid contentions, Mr Mitra has contended that, if SSC decides to withdraw recommendation at any stage after the appointment, such action has to be made contemporaneously and within the validity period of the panel so that the commission is in a position to take steps to fill up such post from the waiting list within the validity period of the panel and the waiting list. In the facts of the present case, the panel and the waiting list had expired one year after their publication, on August 28, 2018 and as such Rule 17 could not have been validly invoked.

61. Mr Mitra has contended that, in accordance with Rule 16 (3) of the Rules of 2016, recommendations made by SSC remains valid initially for 90 days and if extended till 60 days.

This validity period of the recommendation ends when the appointment is made. Thus there cannot be any ad infinitum extension of validity of the recommendations issued under Rule 17 by which SSC retains the power to withdraw the recommendation of the teacher till he retires from service. Recommending body cannot have power of withdrawal after the recommendation has merged into the appointment.

Contentions of Appellants in MAT 274 of 2023 and MAT 443 of 2023

62. Mr Anindya Kumar Mitra, learned senior advocate has also appeared on behalf of Group D appointees who had preferred appeal being MAT No. 274 of 2023 and appointees of Group C who had preferred appeal being MAT No. 443 of 2023. On behalf of such clients, he has contended that, in respect of all the 4 categories, no dispute or complaint regarding due publication of the final list stored in the server of SSC has been raised in the writ petitions filed by the unsuccessful candidates. Moreover, the successful candidates have not been impleaded therein.

63. Mr Mitra has contended that, the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court does not permit all

matters that have been listed before the Division Bench to be heard by the Division Bench. He has contended that, only those matters which have been remitted by the order dated November 9, 2023 can be heard by the present Division Bench and that, this Division Bench has no jurisdiction to hear any of the writ petitions moved by the clients of Mr Ashish Chowdhury, advocate.

64. Mr Mitra has referred to the order dated November 9, 2023 passed by the Supreme Court and contended that, the core issues involved are whether the OMR sheets received by CBI from Mr Pankaj Bansal are admissible in evidence and their authenticity has been established by applying the prevailing rule of evidence or not. If the answer is in the negative, then, can those OMR be uploaded in the server of SSC to compare with the final list stored therein and can any reliance be placed upon them to terminate service of any employee. Moreover, whether, revocation of recommendations under Rule 17 by SSC is valid or not is another core issue.

65. Referring to Section 65B of the Evidence Act he has contended that, ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1, (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others)*** has laid down that there is difference

between admissibility and reliability of a document. He has referred to various paragraphs of such decision. He has contended that, the person who had issued the certificate was not at all competent to issue the same since, another person who supervising the process of scanning and evaluation for M/s NYSA. Moreover, none of the 3 hard disks had been produced in Court for admission as evidence. Inspection of those hard disks have not been given in spite of the order of the Court. Inspections of OMR sheets have not been given. According to him there is no other cogent evidence to contradict the final merit list available in the server of SSC.

66. On the aspect of withdrawal of recommendation, Mr Mitra has contended that, grounds on which recommendations could be withdrawn under Rule 17 are not available in this case. Withdrawal order has referred to an order of the Court which is not a ground mentioned in Rule 17. Rule 17 in any event applies to Assistant Teacher for class IX and X and not for non-teaching staff where, the applicable rule is Rule 18 of the Rules of 2009. According to him, the withdrawal of recommendations was arbitrary. Rule 18 does not confer any specific power on the regional commission to do away with the name of any candidate from the panel. None

of the affected candidates had been heard prior to the issuance of the order of withdrawal.

67. Mr Mitra has contended that, questions which did not arise out of the pleadings of the parties or mentioned in the impugned order of the single Judge were sought to be raised at the hearing of the writ petitions. He has contended that, Court has no jurisdiction to consider any question which is not covered by the pleadings of the parties. He has contended that, there was no admission on the part of SSC before the learned single Judge and that, the so-called admission was irrelevant. He has contended that there cannot be any estoppel against statute and that, statutory bar under Section 65B of the Evidence Act cannot be overridden.

68. Mr Mitra has contended that so far as the 2nd question which came up for consideration before the Court as to defect in the selection process leading to the preparation of the final list of candidates is concerned, no complaint regarding this regard has been raised in the pleadings nor argued before the learned single Judge. No demands of the irregularity or invalidity in the matter of appointment of M/s NYSA has been raised by CBI. Writ petitioners have not made any grievance regarding the appointment of M/s NYSA,

scanning and evaluation of OMR sheets even after receipt of CBI report. According to him, CBI upon investigation has found nothing wrong with the selection process and uploading of the evaluated marks of candidates prepared by M/s NYSA into the office database namely server of the SSC which was made public on February 5, 2024. Similar is the stand taken by SSC in the affidavit dated February 10, 2023. Thus in absence of any illegality regarding the selection process culminating in preparation of the final list it was not open for the learned single Judge to set aside the appointment of 1,911 members of Group D.

69. Mr Mitra has contended that the 3rd question raised in the course of the hearing, that, the appointment of M/s NYSA was bad as no public tender was issued, should not be considered as, no such case was made out by the parties or by CBI or the SSC. Court cannot consider any point not raised on affidavits particularly in writ proceedings which are tried on affidavits and in support of such contention, he is relied upon ***AIR 1981 SC 588 (S.S. Sharma and others vs. Union of India and others)*** and ***2003 Volume 8 Supreme Court Cases 40 (V.K. Majotra and others vs Union of India and others).*** M/s NYSA is not a party to the proceedings and

cancellation of their appointment would be prejudicial to them. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2022 Volume 15 Supreme Court Cases 511 (Acqua Borewell Pvt. Ltd. vs. Swayamprabha and others)***. He has contended that, appointment by tender is not a must and that it is only desirable that appointments are made by tender. Nobody has argued that such appointment is void because of non-issuance of tender. At the highest it can be said that such appointment was irregular but cannot vitiate the selection process.

70. Mr Mitra has contended that the scope of challenge to a selection process is limited. Unsuccessful candidates after participation cannot challenge the selection process and in support of such contention, he has relied upon ***1995 Volume 3 Supreme Court Cases 486 (Madan Lal and others vs. State of J & K and others)*** and ***2008 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 619 (Sadananda Halo and others vs. Momtaz Ali Sheikh and others).*** He has contended that, no allegation regarding malpractice or corruption against SSC had been made. No officer of SSC had been named as guilty of malpractice or corruption in the pleadings.

71. Mr Mitra has contended that, principles of natural justice stood violated by the order of the learned single Judge which resulted in the termination of services of the successful candidates. He has contended that, the successful candidates were entitled to a hearing. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2008 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 619 (Sadananda Halo and others vs. Momtaz Ali Sheikh and others)***.

72. Mr Mitra has contended that, the order dated February 10, 2023 passed by the learned single Judge in WPA No. 18585 of 2021 and the order dated March 10, 2023 passed in WPA No. 12270 of 2023 should be set aside. He has contended that, concept of community guilt is not accepted in India. Court has no jurisdiction to pass any order contrary to the provisions of Article 311 of the Constitution of India.

73. Mr Mitra has contended that, cancelation of the entire selection will not ensure to the benefit of anybody. Court cannot give any appointment to anybody since the waiting list and the panel of all expired long back.

74. Mr Mitra has contended that, the report of the CBI regarding OMR sheet is unreliable. According to him, CBI has not explained why it has not gone to the office of M/s NYSA

and looked into the records maintained by M/s NYSA. What had led CBI to approach an unknown employee of M/s NYSA at Ghaziabad. It is not explained how the hard disks which are properties of M/s NYSA could be at the residence of an ex-employee. Mr Pankaj Bansal has not said and CBI has not found out how any property of M/s NYSA could go out of the office of M/s NYSA. Such deficiencies have made the investigation report of CBI unreliable.

75. Referring to ***2021 Volume 16 Supreme Court Cases 217 (State of Tamil Nadu and Another vs. A. Kalaimani and Others)***, Mr Mitra has contended that, such Judgement has no application to the facts of the present case since, the OMR sheets were available therein which is not the case here.

Contentions of Parties opposing the writ petitions

76. Mr. Jayanta Kumar Mitra learned Senior Advocate appearing for three candidates of Class IX and X comprised in CAN 6 of 2024 filed in WPA 25380 of 2022 has in response to a query of the Court, submitted that, his clients did not take inspection of the documents. He has referred to the orders dated April 12, 2023 and November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court. He has also referred to the report of the CBI dated December 7, 2022, and the report of Justice Bag Committee

dated December 15, 2022. He has contended that, SSC is unreliable. According to him SSC is a partner in the crime. In this regard he has referred to the final report of the CBI dated February 5, 2024.

77. Mr. Anindya Bose learned advocate appearing for added respondent No. 151 and respondent Nos. 25 to 32 in WPA 13700 of 2021 has contended that, his clients have requisite qualification to receive the appointment. No hearing had been given nor any reasons assigned as to why the appointments had been terminated. It has been wrongfully alleged as against his clients that; his clients received appointment post the expiry of the panel.

78. Mr. Shankar Prasad Dalapati learned advocate appearing for some of the candidates whose names feature in the 952 candidates whose recommendations were withdrawn by SSC pursuant to the orders of the Court, has submitted that, his clients were not involved in any scam. His clients have not been heard or given a chance of being heard before their services were terminated. His clients have not been investigated into by CBI nor have his clients being interrogated. His clients has submitted full-fledged written OMR sheets which did not contain any blank. His clients had

answered all 55 questions. He has contended that, since there is an embargo to re-evaluate OMR sheets by SSC in terms of the first proviso to Rule 12 (8) of the Rules of 2016, the Court ought not to have considered the reports or submissions made by SSC in respect of his clients getting low marks than they have actually secured at the time of evaluation.

79. Mr. Dalapati has contended that, CBI failed to disclose the errors committed by SSC. According to him, the errors which CBI has hinted towards are illegality and corruption and as such Rule 17 cannot be applied. He has questioned the reliance on the OMR sheet images available in the hard disks seized by CBI. He has contended that, the only option left to the Court is to set aside the entire selection process and that Court should direct compensation to be paid to innocent candidates who are not involved in the corruption.

80. Mr Apalak Basu learned advocate appearing for the added respondent No. 6, 7 and 8 in WPA 21665 of 2019 has contended that, his clients secured the appointments fairly. He has contended that, none of his clients jumped the rank as alleged by the petitioners. He has compared the marks obtained by the writ petitioners and his clients. He has contended that SSC categorised the aspirants in accordance

with a declared policy. His client had secured better marks in the academic and professional categories than the writ petitioners and therefore, his clients were entitled to the appointment in preference to the writ petitioners.

81. Mr Apalak Basu has relied upon ***2023 SCC OnLine SC 344 (Tajvir Singh Sodhi and others vs. State of Jammu and Kashmir and others), 2020 Volume 18 Supreme Court Cases 673 (Vishal Ashok Thorat and others vs. Rajesh Shrirambapu Fate and others), 2011 Volume 15 Supreme Court Cases 455 (Sunil vs. State of Maharashtra and others), 2006 Volume 6 Supreme Court Cases 467 (Sanjay Kumar and others vs. Narinder Verma and others), 2017 SCC OnLine Cal 3799 (The State of West Bengal & others vs. Chandra Kanta Ganguli & others)*** in support of his contentions.

82. Mr Anindya Lahiri learned advocate appearing for added respondents in WPA 19278 of 2019, WPA 19273 of 2019, WPA 19749 of 2019, WPA 20776 of 2019 and WPA 20778 of 2019 has contended that, his clients received the appointments in accordance with law. He has referred to Rule 12 (6) of the Rules of 2016 and contended that, the merit list category -wise. He has referred to the various developments

subsequent to the filing of the writ petition. He has contended that, the writ petitions are infructuous in view of the fact that the panel prepared by SSC expired. He has referred to the prayers made in the writ petition. He has contended that unsuccessful candidates cannot challenge the appointments that too after a delay of so many years. He has relied upon ***2006 Volume 6 Supreme Court Cases 467 (Sanjay Kumar and others vs. Narinder Verma and others)*** in support of his contention.

83. Mr Anindya Lahiri learned advocate has also appeared for the appellants in MAT 85 of 2023. He has contended that, the 2016 Rules are not applicable as SSC became functus officio after the recommendation culminated into appointments. Rule 17 of the SSC Rules cannot be invoked as the conditions of service became regulated by the Rules of 2018.

84. Mr Lahiri has contended that, the writ petitions cannot be treated as a public interest litigation as no public interest litigation is maintainable in respect of service matters. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2005 Volume 5 Supreme Court Cases 136 (Gurpal Singh vs. State of Punjab and others).***

85. Mr Lahiri has contended that, no negative marks was awarded in the evaluation process. He has contended that, since there was no negative marking there was no logic as to why any candidate would submit blank OMR without attempting the answers. The issue as to the appointment of M/s NYSA and some delegation to M/s Data Scantech Solutions cannot be raised in absence of pleadings and without opportunity to the contesting parties to meet such allegations. He has pointed out that, all candidates in respect of whom, mismatch of numbers were found by CBI were not favoured with appointments. Court has to presume the truth about the server data or the break-up of marks. According to him, re-evaluation is not possible as there is no basis for the same. He has contended that, weeding out the illegalities is possible. So far as inspection of OMR sheets are concerned, he has contended that only one teacher took such inspection. The other teachers who had taken inspection disputed the authenticity, perversity and contents of such OMR sheets. With regard to Section 65B of the Evidence Act, he has reiterated the submissions advanced by other learned advocates.

86. Mr Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury, learned advocate appearing for the added respondents in WPA 5406 of 2022 and applicants in CAN 27 of 2023 has contended that, his clients are working as school teachers in their respective schools being selected through a proper recruitment process. He has contended that, services of his clients should not be disturbed.

87. Mr Prasenjit Debnath, learned advocate appearing for respondent No. 4 in WPA 18355 of 2019 has contended that, his client received the appointment without the alleged rank jumping. He has questioned the maintainability of the writ petition. He has adopted the submissions made by Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay learned senior advocate and Mr Pratik Dhar, learned senior advocate.

88. Mr Milan Mukherjee, learned senior advocate appearing for the writ petitioners in WPA 2967 of 2023 has referred to the list of dates. He has submitted that, his client filed the writ petition seeking a declaration that the purported OMR sheets published under the notice dated December 29, 2022 are not conclusive and are subject to proof following due process of law. He has referred to the prayers made in the writ petition.

89. Mr Mukherjee has contended that, till the date of the personality test none of the unsuccessful candidates raised any objection with regard to the selection process or as to any alleged illegality while conducting the same. The unsuccessful candidates had participated in the selection process with their eyes open. Long after the joining of the successful candidates, the writ petitions had been filed by some unsuccessful candidates. He has contended that, such writ petitions filed by unsuccessful candidates were hit by delay and laches. He has reiterated the contentions of the learned counsel appearing for the successful candidates with regard to delay, laches, lack of pleadings and prayers as also admissibility of the OMR sheets.

90. Mr Mukherjee has contended that, the so-called certificate cannot be treated as a certificate under Section 65B of the Evidence Act on the ground that the key person who was looking after the works of M/s NYSA for scanning of the OMR sheets evaluation did not issue the certificate. The person who had issued the certificate did not have lawful control over the computer at all, in terms of provisions of Section 65B (2) (a) of the Evidence Act. The hard disks cannot be said to be a computer as referred to in Section 65B (2) of the Evidence Act. In absence of definition of computer, in the

Evidence Act, general meaning of computer has to be applied. He has contended that requirements of Section 65B (2) and Section 65B (4) are completely absent in the certificate. The certificate was issued by a different company. The certificate was contrary to the law laid down in ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others).*** CBI has failed to obtain a valid certificate. He has reiterated the contentions of Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay with regard to Section 45A of the Evidence Act and Section 79A of the Information Technology Act.

91. Mr Mukherjee has contended that, the writ petitions of the unsuccessful candidates are not maintainable. In this regard he has reiterated the contentions of other learned advocates appearing for the successful candidates. He has contended that, burden of proof cannot be shifted upon the in-service candidates.

92. Mr Pramit Ray learned senior advocate appearing for the applicants of CAN 7 of 2014 in WPA No. 18585 of 2021 has contended that, the writ petitions are not maintainable. He has referred to a list of dates on the question of delay. He has contended that, lack of explanation of the SSC regarding

adherence to the Rules cannot take away the employment of his clients in absence of pleadings and proof that any particular candidate misrepresented any facts relating to his or her candidature.

93. Mr Ray has contended that, Regional Commission after recommendation cannot cancel the panel nor can it withdraw the recommendation. He has contended that, the so-called withdrawal of recommendation that too after the expiry of the validity of the panel is not legal.

94. Mr Ray has contended that, records seized by CBI from the residence of Mr. Pankaj Bansal cannot be admitted as evidence. The records stored in the server of SSC should not also be admitted as evidence. In any event, in absence of any pleading or proof that any individual candidate had misrepresented any fact relating to the selection, services of such candidate cannot be terminated. Moreover, his clients had worked for a considerable period of time.

95. Mr Ray has contended that, the so-called certificate is dated September 16, 2022 and that the same was disclosed for the first time by an affidavit of CBI affirmed on January 16, 2024. The late disclosure has clearly indicated that the document was manufactured. CBI could not produce the

records in respect of at least 3 writ petitioners. Therefore, the information collected by CBI is not genuine. He has pointed out that, there are 2 OMR answer sheets produced by CBI in respect of at least one writ petitioner namely Mampi Banerjee. He has highlighted the fact that his clients were denied the right of hearing prior to their services being terminated. He has contended that, SSC has acted in violation of the principles of natural justice.

96. On the aspect of the options available to the Court in these proceedings, Mr Ray has contended that, there can be other alternatives than, dismissing all the writ petitions or cancelling all the appointments or going in for fresh evaluation through the agency of SSC. He has contended that, conduct of SSC does not inspire confidence and therefore the evaluation through SSC will be a travesty of justice. Moreover, since the original materials have been destroyed prior to institution of the proceedings, authenticity of the thing that stood in the server of SSC or the data recovered by CBI is questionable.

97. Mr Jaydip Kar learned senior advocate appearing for the appellants in MAT 557 of 2023 arising out of WPA 5953 of 2023 has contended that his clients, numbering 33 assistant teachers for class IX and X were not parties to the writ

petition. He has referred to the sequence of events leading to the order dated November 9, 2023. He has contended that, evidence produced by CBI on OMR sheets are not admissible in evidence. The purported certificate issued under Section 65B of the Evidence Act is not in compliance of Section 65B. He has contended that certification and contents are not in compliance with Section 65B and in support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and others), 2021 Volume 12 Supreme Court Cases 289 (Smriti Madan Kansagra vs. Perry Kansagra)*** and ***2022 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 581 (Ravinder Singh alias Kaku vs. State of Punjab).***

98. Mr Kar has contended that, SSC in their affidavit did not admit the genuineness or authenticity of the OMR sheets, in their affidavit. SSC had taken steps pursuant to orders of Court. He has contended that, in order to construe a statement made by a party as an enforceable admission, the admission should be unequivocal. Such admission has to be taken as a whole, the admission must not be conditional and that there can be no admission contrary to the statutory

provisions. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***1877 ILR 2 Cal 23 (The Queen vs. Bholanath Sen).***

99. Mr Kar has referred to Section 58 of the Evidence Act and contended that, facts need not be proved does not apply in the case of secondary electronic evidence. Section 3 of the Evidence Act has excluded electronic evidence. Section 65B of the Evidence Act is the only mandatory provision by which secondary electronic evidence can be brought on record and proved.

100. Mr Kar has contended that, nullifying the entire recruitment process will be against public interest as students in schools will suffer because of such mass scale termination of service of teachers. He has contended that, irregularity does not vitiate the recruitment process because the same was not an illegality. The portion of the candidates who have been selected on their own merits cannot be made victim of mistakes/irregularities on the part of SSC if at all there were any irregularities or mistakes. In any event, State government has stepped in and suggested amelioration by creating supernumerary post to the candidates who have suffered in the selection process by these alleged mistakes/irregularities. Cabinet has approved creation of supernumerary post.

101. Mr Anirban Sen, learned advocate appearing for respondent Nos. 691 and 692 in WPA 5406 of 2022 and applicants in CAN 29 of 2024 has submitted that, Section 65B was inserted into the Evidence Act in 2000 when digital devices did not make its foray into the Indian legal system. He has pointed out that Section 65B finds its place under Chapter V pertaining to proof of documentary evidence and after the Sections of primary evidence, secondary evidence and when secondary evidence may be given. He has contended that Section 65B is a mechanism introduced to authenticate emails, website, etc in a Courtroom which was at that time without substantial computerisation. According to him, the rationale behind Section 65B is to have a human being who takes responsibility for mainly printing an existing electronic record. Such person certifies and stands by such record vouching for the authenticity and correctness of the transformation from the digital record to a physical document. In support of such contentions, he has relied upon ***2020 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 1 (Arjun Panditrao Khotkar vs. Kailash Kushanrao Gorantyal and Others)***.

102. Mr Sen has contended that, Section 65B (2) of the Evidence Act list out various conjunctive conditions to be met

which are also mandatory. He has contended that, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, such mandatory provisions have not been met.

103. Mr Sen has contended that, the chain of custody of the digital evidence is necessary to establish that the digital evidence being supplied/used was really from the same source to be believed, and not a substituted/false source. He has pointed out that the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court requires this Court to decide on both the issue of admissibility as also authenticity of the OMR data. According to him, whereas the point of admissibility requires a Section 65B certificate the requirement of authenticity demands hash value/MD5 fingerprint of the created electronic record to be matched with the last electronic record in the entire chain on data transfers. He has contended that, neither of the conditions stand satisfied.

104. Mr Dilip Kumar Maiti learned advocate appearing for respondent Nos. 363 to 408 and 803 in WPA 18585 of 2021 has adopted the submissions of the learned advocates opposing Mr Bikas Ranjan Bhattacharya.

105. Mr Biswaroop Bhattacharya learned advocate appearing for 76 added respondents in WPA 5406 of 2022 has

contended that, his clients were given appointments to the post of assistant teachers in classes XI and XII. He has pointed out that the writ petitioner in WPA 5406 of 2022 is an unsuccessful candidate who was placed in the waiting list panel of the selection process. Such waiting list panel had expired on May 4, 2019. He has reiterated the contentions with regard to maintainability of the writ petition. He has also reiterated the contentions with regard to Evidence Act as also Information Technology Act advanced on behalf of similarly circumstanced persons. He has relied upon ***AIR 1964 SC 1006 (State of Madhya Pradesh and another vs. Bhailal Bhai and others), 2008 Volume 2 Supreme Court Cases 479 (Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan vs. Mehbub Alam Laskar),*** and ***2008 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 788 (Atma Linga Reddy and others vs. Union of India and others)*** in support of his contentions.

106. Mr Pushpal Chakraborty learned advocate appearing for the petitioners in WPA 4556 of 2023 has submitted that the writ petitioners filed the petition praying for consideration to initiate new/fresh process for filling up the present and anticipated vacancies by adopting the established procedures.

He has contended that, a wait list cannot be a perennial source for recruitment.

107. Mr Sakti Pada Jana learned advocate appearing for the added respondent and applicant in CAN 1 of 2022 and CAN 18 of 2023 filed in WPA 13700 of 2021 has submitted that, his clients did not jump the rank as wrongfully alleged. He has contended that, due to the wrongful action of the SSC in recommending 8 candidates and OMR sheets being allegedly manipulated, his clients cannot be deprived of the appointment.

108. Mr Shuvro Prokash Lahiri learned advocate appearing for the added respondents and applicants in CAN 23 of 2023 filed in WPA 13700 of 2021, CAN 23 of 2023, CAN 24 of 2023 and CAN 25 of 2023 filed in WPA 5406 of 2022 has contended that his clients secured the appointments on merit and upon performing competitively. No adverse evidence either digital or physical has been recovered as against his clients. His clients became aware of the writ petitions subsequent to the notice issued pursuant to the order dated December 6, 2023. He has contended that, the selection process may not be cancelled in its entirety but an effort should be made to segregate the fair recruitees from the tainted candidates.

109. Mr Partha Sarathi Bhattacharya learned senior advocate appearing for the added respondent Nos. 25 to 32 in WPA 13700 of 2021 has submitted that, the application for addition of party filed by his clients was disposed of by an order dated December 20, 2023 and that his clients were added as party respondents in the writ petition. His clients have filed an affidavit in the writ petition.

110. Mr Partha Sarathi Bhattacharya has contended that, his clients possess the requisite qualification and are within prescribed age limit to receive the appointments. His clients had appeared in the written test and personality test. Names of his clients had appeared in the waiting list in connection with the selection process. Thereafter, SSC had issued recommendation letters and the board had issued appointment letters in favour of his clients in the month of March 2020. His clients have joined the respective post and that the service of his clients was approved by the competent authority.

111. Mr Bhattacharya has contended that, SSC had published a list of 183 persons claiming that they were wrongly recommended where names of his clients appear. He has contended that, no hearing was given to his clients nor

any reason assigned regarding the alleged wrong recommendation. He has pointed out that, the panel expired on December 18, 2019 and the writ petition was filed on August 26, 2021. He has also pointed out that, state government issued an order on May 19, 2022 for filling up of supernumerary posts of Assistant Teachers. He has also pointed out that, one person was provided appointment pursuant to the direction of the Court dated April 18, 2022 passed in WPA 6942 of 2022. He has also pointed out that 102 candidates were dealt with on January 6, 2023 pursuant to an order dated December 14, 2022 passed in WPA 13700 of 2021, WP No. 17273 of 2021 and WPA 13701 of 2021. He has contended that, such conduct goes to show that the panel was made to operate in 2023 after expiry of the panel on December 19, 2019.

112. Mr Bhattacharya has also appeared for the applicants in CAN 31 of 2024 filed in WPA 13700 of 2021. He has pointed out that the applicants were waitlisted candidates based on their merit. Letters of recommendation had been issued on August 3, 2020, letter of appointment had been issued in the month of February 2021 and that, the applicants are presently rendering their services as Assistant Teachers

without any blemish. He has contended that during the 8th phase of counselling the applicants were informed by way of SMS sent to the respective mobile phone numbers as mode of communication for the purpose of participating in the counselling process. He has contended that the applicants were part of a valid recruitment process is conducted by the SSC and responded to the call of authority. His clients had no control over the process at all. He has contended that, the applicants were part of the wait list and not the panel and therefore the allegation that the panel expired will have no bearing so far as the applicants were concerned.

113. Mr Lakshmi Kumar Gupta learned senior advocate appearing on behalf of the applicants in CAN 21 of 2023 and CAN 22 of 2023 filed in WPA 5406 of 2022 has contended that, there was substantial procedural compliance of the 2016 recruitment rules for the recruitment of assistant teachers for classes XI and XII. He has in this regard referred to the relevant rules. He has pointed out that, the writ petition was filed 3 to 4 years after the recruitment process was concluded. He has contended that, marks obtained by individual candidates are not required to be published as per Rule 12. There was no judicial interpretation of this Rule and in

particular Rule 12 (6) at the relevant point of time. In absence thereof, he has contended that, an administrative interpretation could be the only basis for the executing authority to adopt or follow. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***1992 (Supp 1) Supreme Court Cases 584***.

114. Mr Lakshmi Kumar Gupta has contended that, evaluation of OMR sheets is always outsourced by all examining bodies. He has contended that outsourcing in the present context must not be through open disclosure and secrecy is necessary to be maintained to protect the sanctity of the system and to prevent outside influence. Moreover, engagement of M/s. NYSA has not been questioned by the petitioners and hence such aspect need not be gone into by the Court.

115. Mr Lakshmi Kumar Gupta has contended that the so-called admission by SSC about the correctness of the data comprised in the hard disk recovered from the ex-employee of M/s. NYSA was of no consequence. The so-called admission was not unequivocal. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2003 SCC OnLine Bom 148 (Western Coalfields Ltd vs. Swati Industries), 2006 SCC OnLine Del 490, 2007 SCC OnLine Del 1213 and 2011 Volume 15 Supreme***

Court Cases 273 (Himani Alloys Limited vs. Tata Steel Limited).

116. Mr Lakshmi Kumar Gupta has questioned the maintainability of the writ petition. He has contended that no writ petition can be filed after the expiry of the panel. In support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2002 Volume 9 Supreme Court Cases 650 (M.P. Electricity Board through the Chief Engineer, M.P. EB and another vs. Virendra Kumar Sharma).*** He has contended that the panel remains valid only till the time fixed by the Rule or on completion of appointments, whichever is earlier. He has referred to ***1996 Volume 9 Supreme Court Cases 309 (State of U.P. and others vs. Harash Chandra and others)*** in this regard. Moreover, the panel is not the reservoir for filling up vacancies anytime and in this regard, he has relied upon ***2010 Volume 6 Supreme Court Cases 777 (State of Orissa and another vs. Rajkishore Nanda and others)***. He has contended that, the point of maintainability of the writ petition is to be considered from the point of view of laches or unexplained delay. In this regard, he has referred to ***2014 Volume 4 Supreme Court Cases 108 (Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board and***

others vs. T.T. Murali Babu). The contention that documents are required to be preserved for 20 years under the Right to Information Act is erroneous and in support of such contention, he has relied upon ***2013 SCC OnLine Mad 63 (N. Amirthaguru vs. Syndicate Bank)***. He has contended that, the issue raised in the writ petitions may evoke public interest only after authenticity of the data recovered was established by applying the rules of evidence.

117. Mr Lakshmi Kumar Gupta has contended that, no case for cancellation of the entire selection process was made out. Entire recruitment need not be interfered with and only wrongful and illegal appointments after the expiry of the panel needs to be set aside since the grains can be separated from the chaff. In this regard he has relied upon ***2006 Volume 11 Supreme Court Cases 356 (Inderpreet Singh Kahlon and others vs. State of Punjab and others)*** and ***2003 Volume 7 Supreme Court Cases 285 (Union of India and others vs. Rajesh P.U., Puthuvalnikathu and another)***.

Issues

118. The prevenient adumbrated rival contentions have delineated the following issues for consideration: –

i. does this Division Bench have jurisdiction to hear all the writ petitions and appeals listed before it?

ii. are the writ petitions maintainable at the behest of unsuccessful candidates who has filed the writ petitions subsequent to the expiry of the validity period of the panel?

iii. can appointments be made subsequent to the expiry of the validity period of the panel?

iv. is the certificate dated September 19, 2022 valid and admissible in evidence?

v. should the OMR sheets available in the seized hard disks be uploaded in the server of SSC to compare with the final list stored therein?

vi. should any reliance be placed upon the data stored in the seized hard disks to terminate the services of any employee?

vii. can the recommendations be withdrawn by SSC without hearing the appointee?

viii. whether revocation of recommendations under rule 17 by SSC is valid or not?

ix. to what relief or reliefs are the parties to the appeals and writ petitions entitled to?

Jurisdiction

119. Normally a writ petition filed before the Calcutta High Court is heard by a single Judge. Here we have heard a number of writ petitions as well as appeals as a Division Bench pursuant to an order dated November 9, 2023 passed by the Supreme Court and an order of assignment of the Chief Justice dated November 16, 2023.

120. The order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court had been passed in a set of proceedings arising out of the controversy in the selections/appointment of different categories of employees in state funded schools. It would be apposite to refer to paragraph 1 of such order which is as follows: –

> *"1. The present set of proceedings arises out of controversy in selection/appointment of three categories of employees in different State funded schools in the State of West Bengal. These are (i) non-teaching staffs belonging to Groups 'C' and 'D', (ii) Assistant Teachers and Teachers of classes 9 and 10 and (iii) Assistant Teachers of classes 11 and 12. Recommendations were made by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission ("the Commission") in the years 2017-2018 for appointment to these posts. The recruitmen process for these posts was initiated in the year 2016. Several*

unsuccessful/wait-listed candidates who had participated in the said recruitment process had approached the High Court at Calcutta questioning sanctity of the selection process for these posts. These writ petitions were instituted in the years 2021-22 and the unsuccessful candidates questioned the recruitments on the ground of several irregularities."

121. The direction of the Supreme Court in the order dated November 9, 2023 with regard to hearing of the writ petitions and the appeals by a Division Bench is contained in paragraphs 8, 9 and 11 thereof which are as follows: –

"8. In our opinion, piece-meal proceedings are not warranted in relation to the disputes of this dimension and termination of service of candidates at the interim stage before final disposal of the writ petitions also ought to have been avoided having regard to the nature of the controversy involved in these proceedings. It was necessary for the High Court to examine admissibility of the OMR sheet images recovered by the CBI before placing full scale reliance on them in directing termination of services of the concerned employees. We are not suggesting that in cases of gross irregularities detected in appointment of certain individuals, termination at the interim stage is altogether impermissible. If some grave error in the appointment process can be demonstrated before the Court at the interim stage, services of such illegally appointed persons can be terminated at the interlocutory stage only. But in the present set of proceedings, at this stage the unsuccessful candidates have not been able to make out such outstanding case at the interim stage. Investigation by the CBI is yet to be completed. The argument of corrupting the original OMR sheets is yet to be supported by cogent evidence. In none of the orders

impugned before us, these is no clear cut finding that there was distortion in OMR sheet evaluation.

9. The question of exercise of power under the aforesaid Rules also arise out of discovery of electronically stored OMR sheets.

Serious allegations have been made of manipulation of records in exchange of money, but these allegations would have to be established through evidence. It is also our opinion, considering the importance of the points of law involved in these cases, the number of persons who are likely to be affected by the outcome of these proceedings and also having regard to the fact that majority of the writ petitions giving rise to these proceedings have strong attributes of Public Interest Litigations (PIL), this Court would consider it preferable to have these proceedings heard by a Division Bench of the High Court.

11. We, accordingly, request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of the High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench and all the writ petitions and appeals from which this set of proceedings arise be assigned to the Bench to be constituted in the light of this order for early adjudication. So far as 19 petitions/appeals pending before us are concerned, we dispose of the same with an observation that in the cases where termination orders or withdrawal of recommendations have been directed involving the appointees described in the first paragraph of this order, such terminations or withdrawal orders shall not be given effect to until the Division Bench of the High Court to be constituted in pursuance of this order adjudicates the matters on merit The direction of the Single Judge to upload the CBI recovered OMR sheets shall stand invalidated, and the Division Bench may consider issuing a similar order only after the authenticity of these images are established before it by applying the prevailing

Rules of evidence. We have consciously avoided in this order giving any finding on merits of the individual cases, lest such observation or findings influence the Bench to be constituted for hearing the subject-controversy."

122. Subsequent to the order dated November 9, 2023, the Chief Justice had by an order of assignment dated November 16, 2023 assigned the matters to this Division Bench which is as follows:-

"Before the Hon'ble Division Bench Presided over by Hon'ble Justice Debangsu Basak.

The other matters which are said to be arising out of the same recruitment be mentioned before the Hon'ble Division Bench."

123. In our understanding of the order dated November 9, 2023 and the order of assignment of the Chief Justice, we have been vested with the jurisdiction to decide proceedings arising out of the controversy in selection/appointment of non-teaching staff belonging to Group C and D as well as teaching staff being Assistant Teachers and Teachers of classes X and X as also classes XI and XII, in different State funded schools in the State of West Bengal for the recruitment process initiated in the year 2016.

124. In our understanding all writ petitions relating to the recruitment process initiated in the year 2016 have not been directed to be heard by the Division Bench but only those writ

petitions relating to the categories as noted above, in respect of the 2016 recruitment process and instituted in 2021/2022 and the appeals arising out of the orders passed in such writ petitions. We have understood paragraph 1 of the order dated November 9, 2023 to prescribe the categories of matters as also the time limit of filing of the writ petitions required, to be decided by the Division Bench. We have understood the order of assignment dated November 16, 2023 of the Chief Justice in such context.

125. Consequently, we have jurisdiction to hear and dispose of appeals arising out of the orders passed in the four categories of matters in writ petitions filed in 2021/2022.

126. Contours of our jurisdiction to decide the writ petitions and the appeals emanating out of orders passed in such writ petitions having been adverted to, we shall now proceed to decide such matters which fall within such parameters.

127. In view of the discussions above the first issue is answered accordingly.

Maintainability

128. Having understood the parameters of the matters which we have to decide the issue of maintainability of the

writ petitions needs to be answered. Persons opposing the writ petitions have questioned their maintainability.

129. The order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court has enjoined upon us the obligation to decide on the maintainability of the writ petitions.

130. Maintainability of the writ petitions have been questioned on the grounds of delay, laches and writ petitions being at the behest of unsuccessful candidates who had participated in the selection process and failed. Various authorities have been cited at the bar on such an issue which we shall consider presently.

Cited Authorities on Maintainability

131. ***Aflatoon and others (supra)*** has considered the issue of delay and laches in filing of a writ petition in the context of Land Acquisition proceedings. In the facts of that case, there had been a delay of the 11 years. In the facts of that case, the Supreme Court had found the writ petitioners to be fence sitters allowing third-party rights to intervene and therefore not entitled to reliefs.

132. In ***Madan Lal and others (supra)*** locus standi to challenge a selection process on the ground of unfairness of

the interview process and defect in constitution of Selection Committee by unsuccessful candidates who had taken a chance to get themselves selected at the interview was found lacking.

133. In ***Vijendra Kumar Verma (supra)***, the Supreme Court has held that, once a candidate appeared in the interview knowing the selection criteria, without any protest at any stage, cannot turn around to contend that the procedure adopted for selection was wrong and without jurisdiction. Similar view had been expressed in ***Anil Joshi and others (supra), D. Sarojkumari (supra), Anupal Singh*** *and* ***others (supra), and Mohd Mustafa (supra)***.

134. In ***Md. Kalimuddin and others (supra)*** the Supreme Court has held that, of where under the statutory rule, the period of the life of the select list had already expired, High Court acted without jurisdiction in directing extension of the validity period of such select list.

135. In ***Harish Chandra and others (supra)*** Supreme Court has held that, no mandamus can be issued either to refrain from enforcing the law or to act contrary to the law.

136. ***Surinder Singh and others (supra)*** has explained the scope and extent of a waiting list in the selection process. It

has held that, waiting list cannot be used as an open source of recruitment for filling up the vacancies not advertised. It has also held that, the candidates in the waiting list have no vested right to be appointed except to the limited extent that when a candidate selected against the existing vacancy does not join for some reason and the waiting list is still operative. The candidates included in the waiting list cannot claim appointment on the ground that the vacancies were not worked out properly.

137. ***Raghbir Chand Sharma and another (supra)*** has held that with the appointment of the first candidate for the only post in respect of which the select panel was prepared, the panel ceased to exist and had outlived its utility and no one else in the panel can legitimately contend that he should have been offered appointment either in the vacancy arising on account of the subsequent resignation of the person appointed from the panel or any other vacancies arising subsequently.

138. ***Virendra Kumar Sharma (supra)*** has held that, denial of appointment to a candidate in the panel after expiry of the panel on the basis that, all vacancies were not filled up, did not call for interference by the High Court.

139. ***Sanjay Kumar and others (supra)*** has held that, where the writ petition challenging the selection process did not challenge the rules relating to the selection process, and arguments to such effect was not made in writ petition, such contentions cannot be raised on appeal.

140. ***B. Valluvan and others (supra)*** has held that, period of operation of the panel can be extended by the State and not by Court. A Selection Committee while preparing the panel is not concerned with the future vacancies.

141. ***Nehru Yuva Kendra Sangathan (supra)*** has held that, only in the event of unsatisfactory performance by the employee, the termination of probation is justified. However, when the foundation for such an order is not an unsatisfactory performance of the part of the employee but overt act amounting to misconduct, an opportunity of hearing to the employee concerned is imperative.

142. ***Mohd. Sohrab Khan (supra)*** in the facts of that case has held that, once the first candidate in the select list was appointed and subsequently his appointment was cancelled, the other person in the panel cannot claim appointment since there was only one post which stood filled up the appointment of the 4 selected candidates.

143. In ***Rajkishore Nanda and others (supra)***, Supreme Court has held that, select list cannot be treated as a perpetual reservoir for purpose of appointments. If the selection process was over whereby select list had expired and appointments had been made, no relief can be granted by the Court subsequently on the basis of the expired select list.

144. In ***T. T. Murali Babu (supra)*** the Supreme Court has held that four years delay in filing writ petition challenging the dismissal order was fatal. It has observed that, delay comes in way of equity and although delay and laches may not be fatal in all circumstances, inordinate delay brings in hazard and cause injury to the lis. In given circumstances, delay may affect others' rights and may unnecessarily drag others into litigation which in acceptable realm of possibility may have attained finality.

145. ***N. Murugesan and others (supra)*** has considered the issue of delay, laches and acquiescence in the context of a writ petition and whether, delay/laches/limitation affects the discretionary nature of relief that may be granted under Article 226 of the Constitution. It has considered a number of authorities on the subject including ***T. T. Murali Babu (supra)*** and held as follows: –

"Delay, laches and acquiescence

20. The principles governing delay, laches, and acquiescence are overlapping and interconnected on many occasions. However, they have their distinct characters and distinct elements. One can say that delay is the genus to which laches and acquiescence are species. Similarly, laches might be called a genus to a species by name acquiescence. However, there may be a case where acquiescence is involved, but not laches. These principles are common law principles, and perhaps one could identify that these principles find place in various statutes which restrict the period of limitation and create non-consideration of condonation in certain circumstances. They are bound to be applied by way of practice requiring prudence of the Court than of a strict application of law. The underlying principle governing these concepts would be one of estoppel. The question of prejudice is also an important issue to be taken note of by the Court.

Laches

21. The word "laches" is derived from the French language meaning "remissness and slackness". It thus involves unreasonable delay or negligence in pursuing a claim involving an equitable relief while causing prejudice to the other party. It is neglect on the part of a party to do an act which law requires while asserting a right, and therefore, must stand in the way of the party getting relief or remedy.

22. Two essential factors to be seen are the length of the delay and the nature of acts done during the interval. As stated, it would also involve acquiescence on the part of the party approaching the Court apart from the change in position in the interregnum. Therefore, it would be unjustifiable for a Court of Equity to confer a remedy on a party who knocks its doors

when his acts would indicate a waiver of such a right. By his conduct, he has put the other party in a particular position, and therefore, it would be unreasonable to facilitate a challenge before the Court. Thus, a man responsible for his conduct on equity is not expected to be allowed to avail a remedy.

23. A defence of laches can only be allowed when there is no statutory bar. The question as to whether there exists a clear case of laches on the part of a person seeking a remedy is one of fact and so also that of prejudice. The said principle may not have any application when the existence of fraud is pleaded and proved by the other side. To determine the difference between the concept of laches and acquiescence is that, in a case involving mere laches, the principle of estoppel would apply to all the defences that are available to a party. Therefore, a defendant can succeed on the various grounds raised by the plaintiff, while an issue concerned alone would be amenable to acquiescence.

Acquiescence

24. We have already discussed the relationship between acquiescence on the one hand and delay and laches on the other.

25. Acquiescence would mean a tacit or passive acceptance. It is implied and reluctant consent to an act. In other words, such an action would qualify a passive assent. Thus, when acquiescence takes place, it presupposes knowledge against a particular act. From the knowledge comes passive acceptance, therefore instead of taking any action against any alleged refusal to perform the original contract, despite adequate knowledge of its terms, and instead being allowed to continue by consciously ignoring it and thereafter proceeding further, acquiescence does take place. As a consequence, it reintroduces a new implied agreement between the parties.

Once such a situation arises, it is not open to the party that acquiesced itself to insist upon the compliance of the original terms. Hence, what is essential, is the conduct of the parties. We only dealt with the distinction involving a mere acquiescence. When acquiescence is followed by delay, it may become laches. Here again, we are inclined to hold that the concept of acquiescence is to be seen on a case-to-case basis."

Analysis of the Cited Authorities on Maintainability

146. The authorities cited at the bar have underscored the underlying principle governing delay, laches and acquiescence to defeat a writ petition to be estoppel and prejudice. In the facts and circumstances of a given case, if the writ petitioner is found to be guilty of such delay and laches so as to have allowed third party rights to intervene and attain finality then such writ petition is not to be entertained. Similarly, when a writ petitioner is found to have acquiesced in a particular course of action, he is held to be estopped from questioning the same. However, these defences of delay, laches and acquiescence would not have application when the existence of fraud is established.

147. No appointment can be made after expiry of the panel as the panel is not a perennial reservoir for appointments. Panel is valid for the declared vacancy and for the specified period. Appointments towards future vacancies cannot be

made from the panel. Appointments made in violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India are a nullity. Courts have no jurisdiction to direct appointment to be made beyond the validity period of the panel.

Nature of the writ petitions

148. We have to appreciate the timeline of the cause of action leading to the filing of the writ petitions as also the events subsequent thereto in order to pronounce whether the defence of delay, laches and acquiescence setup by the private respondents to the writ petition, merits acceptance or not.

149. A number of writ petitions relating to the four categories of the 2016 recruitment process had been filed during the period 2021 and 2022. The learned single Judge had considered a member of them and passed orders from time to time therein. We shall advert to some of the orders passed after outlying briefly the cause of action, averments and prayers in some of the writ petitions.

150. A candidate who had participated in the recruitment notification for third Regional Level Selection Test 2016 for the post of clerk (Group-C) has filed WPA 14612 of 2021. He had averred in his writ petition that, the Board had issued a

provisional appointment letter in his favour for such post on March 20, 2020. He has stated that, such letter was issued three days before the commencement of the Covid pandemic. He had gone to the school at which, he was given the appointment, but was not allowed to join. He had prayed for a writ to allow him to join the post of clerk in the school on the basis of the appointment letter dated March 20, 2020.

151. Writ petitioners in WPA 12266 of 2021 have alleged that, they participated in the selection process for Group-D. They have claimed that, the authorities had acted de hors the 2009 Rules by not publishing the fourth phase counselling list and not calling the petitioners thereto. They have claimed that the authorities did not prepare and publish the panel in accordance with 2009 Rules and that, the entire panel was full of discrepancies. They have alleged that the entire selection process lacked transparency. They have claimed that, several candidates holding rank below them had been given appointment. They have given details of some of the persons who were ranked below them but given appointments. They have sought appointment to the Group-D post and cancellation of the notification declaring the panel to be expired.

152. Another candidate who had participated in the selection process for the post of Group-D has filed WPA 27106 of 2022. He has prayed for consideration of his representation dated October 22, 2022.

153. Writ petitioners in WPA 12270 of 2021 have alleged that, the writ petitioners therein were in the waiting list in respect of the Group-D post. Writ petitioners have stated that, panel was published on June 20, 2019 and that, thereafter on June 14, 2021, the authorities had issued a notification for initiating further process of recruitment. The writ petitioners have prayed for a direction upon the authorities to call the petitioners for counselling and appoint them in the post of Group-D in terms of the notifications dated August 8, 2016 and to fill up remaining 44 vacancies by appointing the writ petitioners therein. The writ petitioners have also sought cancellation of the memo dated September 2, 2019. Writ petitioners have also sought a direction upon the authorities to publish the merit list/panels of candidates already appointed in the post of Group-D disclosing full details including marks obtained by them and the marks obtained by the writ petitioners. In such writ petition, the writ petitioners have alleged that, several of candidates had been

recommended for appointment to the post of Group-D although they did not feature in the merit list. Moreover, candidates securing marks below them had been given appointment.

154. Candidates who had participated in selection process for the post of assistant teachers in Classes IX and X in the subject History has filed WPA 13700 of 2021. They have alleged that; the authorities did not act in accordance with recruitment rules. The authorities had adopted a pick and choose formula in selecting candidates for appointment, as a result of which less meritorious favourite candidate got preference over more meritorious candidates. The writ petitioners have been denied their legitimate right to get an appointment. The respondent authorities did not call the petitioners for counselling whimsically and arbitrary. The respondent authorities had given appointment to the below rank holder and the writ petitioners had given some instance with regard thereto. They had prayed for appointment to themselves and a direction upon the authorities to publish a *de novo* panel and waiting list in accordance with the actual merits and in terms of the Rules prescribed.

155. Another unsuccessful candidate for the post of assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X has filed WPA 17273 of 2021. The writ petitioner has given one instance of a candidate not listed either in the merit list or in the waiting list, given an appointment and such candidate joining the school. Writ petitioner has prayed for grant of appointment to himself and preparation and the publication of *de novo* panel and waiting list in accordance with merits and in terms of Rules.

156. Candidates who had participated in the selection process for the Group-D posts has filed WPA 18585 of 2021. They have alleged, there were various illegalities in the merit list. They have also alleged that below rank holders in their category and persons who were not even listed in the merit list had been given appointment. They have sought a direction for giving appointment to them and for publication of the merit list.

157. WPA 5406 of 2022 had been filed by a writ petitioner claiming a direction upon the respondent to issue appointment to such writ petitioner as Assistant Teacher for the subject of Political Science (PG) in connection with the first

State Level Selection Test 2016, recruitment of Assistant Teachers for classes XI and XII.

158. There are other writ petitions, falling under our determination/jurisdiction, which we have heard. We are not detailing each one of them for the sake of brevity and as the writ petitions depicted above largely represents a cross section of the other petitions.

159. Writ petitioners had approached Court essentially for redressal of their private grievances emanating out of their participation of a public selection process. Have the subsequent events transformed these writ petitions to public interest litigation needs to be decided.

Delay on part of Writ Petitioners and Maintainability

160. At the time when, the writ petitioners had approached the Court, they were interested in securing employment for themselves rather than having the entire selection process nullified. Moreover, most of the writ petitioners had been unsuccessful in the selection process. We say most since the writ petitioner in WPA 14612 of 2021 claimed to be successful, given an appointment letter and not allowed to join. He cannot be held guilty of delay as his appointment

letter is dated March 20, 2020. Covid intervened thereafter and he has filed the writ petition in 2021 when remnants of Covid was still continuing.

161. Delay on the part of the writ petitioners as also they being unsuccessful candidates would ordinarily have visited the writ petitioners with a devastatingly negative result on the writ petition filed by them. The negative result would however have been after a final hearing in the writ petition. None of the writ petitions could have been dismissed on the ground that they did not disclose a cause of action or was barred by law.

162. It has been contended on behalf of the private respondents opposing the writ petitions that, since on the date of the filing of the writ petition, the same was not maintainable, no order should have been passed excepting one of dismissal of the writ petition.

163. On a purposive reading of the writ petitions, one cannot arrive at an explicit finding that, any of the writ petitions or the prayers made therein are barred by law or do not disclose a cause of action. The writ petitioners are entitled to approach the writ Court with the prayers made on the basis of the pleadings as existing in the writ petitions. At the bare minimum the writ petitioners allege misadventure by the

authorities in the selection process. The writ petitioners are participants of the selection process, albeit unsuccessfully by most, in respect of which they allege misadventure. They are entitled to a fair consideration in the selection process, a fundamental right under Article 14, denial of which they allege in the writ petitions.

164. In respect of all categories of the 2016 selection process the common allegations are that, merit list contemplated under the Rules of the selection process governing the category was never published, persons not featuring either in the merit list or the waiting list were given appointment, below ranked candidates were favoured with appointments, and appointments given after expiry of the panel. None of these allegations can be construed to be unmeritorious of consideration of a writ Court even if made at the behest of an unsuccessful candidate. Such allegations if proved would mean that selection process had violated the fundamental right of the unsuccessful candidate to be treated fairly in the selection process. That would have made the writ petition at the behest of unsuccessful candidate not only maintainable but entitled the writ petitioner appropriate reliefs.

165. Delay aspect needs consideration from the Covid perspective also. Entire country went into lockdown for a considerable period in 2020 -2021. The selection process had culminated just prior to the onset of the Covid lockdown. Therefore, to hold the time period lost due to the Covid lockdown as against the writ petitioners as they had been unsuccessful would be a travesty of justice.

166. The nature of misadventure alleged is such that, such allegation cannot be dismissed at the threshold as not disclosing a cause of action to approach a writ Court or such allegation being barred by law must not be enquired into by a writ Court. Quite to the contrary, if the statements made in the writ petitions are taken as true and correct then they make out a case of transgression of fundamental right to be treated fairly in a selection process undertaken by a State authority. Whether the writ petitioners would ultimately receive any relief on the basis of the pleadings of the parties completed after affording the parties opportunity of filing affidavits, and the prayers made in such writ petitions, would be a different issue.

167. On the face of the pleadings contained in the writ petitions and taking such pleadings to be true and correct, on

the principles akin to considerations of an application under Order VII Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, it cannot be said that, any of the writ petitions are barred by law or does not disclose a cause of action, and that any of them must be dismissed as not maintainable at the threshold.

168. Having returned the finding that, none of the writ petitions could not have been dismissed as the threshold on the ground that it was barred by law or did not disclose any cause of action, in our view, the issue of maintainability should not be decided on the limited prism of the pleadings available in the writ petitions to the exclusion of all other materials, but a decision on such an issue should also encompass the sequel of events happening subsequent to the filing of the writ petitions, affidavits and reports filed therein and the orders passed from time to time.

169. Group-D selection process is governed by the West Bengal Selection Service Commission (Selection of persons for appointment to the post of Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009 which had been notified on July 09, 2016. Subsequent thereto, recruitment notification for vacancy had been published for the post of Group-D staff on August 08, 2016. Written test in respect of such selection process had been held

on February 19, 2017. Panel for both eligible candidates as well as wait-listed candidates had been prepared and published on November 06, 2017. Personality test and counseling had been held in 2018. SSC had commenced issuance of recommendation letters from February, 2018 and in turn West Bengal Board of Secondary Education had issued appointment letters. Candidates had commenced joining their respective posts since April 2018. Appointment and service of such candidates had been approved by the District Inspector of Schools, from the respective date of joining, commencing from the period June, 2018. The panel including the wait-listed candidate panel had expired on May 04, 2019.

170. Two writ petitions being WPA 12266 and 12270 of 2021 had been filed before the High Court alleging that, appointment letters in respect of Group-D staff were issued even after expiry of the term of panel. These writ petitions had been filed in August, 2021. Wait-listed candidates had filed writ petition relating to appointment to the post of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X being WPA 13700 of 2021 alleging that they had priority in the waiting list as they were placed higher than some who were given the appointment. This writ petition had been filed in September, 2021.

Court's Orders on the Writ Petitions

171. By an order dated November 09, 2021 passed in WPA 12270 of 2021 (writ petition relating to Group-D), the High Court has directed the Commission to file an affidavit explaining the situation.

172. On November 22, 2021, wait-listed candidates for Group-D have filed a writ petition being WPA 18585 of 2021 alleging that they had priority in the waiting list and that persons who were placed lower than them in the waiting list had been given appointment. On the same day, an order was passed in WPA 12266 of 2021 (Group D writ petition) directing investigation by the CBI after noting the submissions made on behalf of the Board claiming that they had received recommendations in the proper manner. Court had also directed 542 persons to be added as parties to such writ petition. Appeal had been preferred against the order dated November 21, 2021. Order directing investigation by CBI has not been interfered with upto Supreme Court. CBI had conducted the investigation pursuant to orders of the Court. CBI has filed charge sheet before the jurisdictional Court.

173. On November 30, 2021, the Court had noted that, SSC in its affidavit denied issuing recommendation letters annexed

to the writ petition. The Court had also allowed 350 other persons to be added as party respondents in such writ petition.

174. On December 02, 2021, the Court in WPA 12270 of 2021 and WPA 14612 of 2021 had called upon the Commission to explain by way of an affidavit how the recommendation letters and the subsequent appointment letters had been issued. The Court had passed another order on December 14, 2021 in the both the above noted writ petitions calling for certain particulars from the SSC with regard to the vacancies and the persons recommended for appointment in Group-C.

175. In WPA 12266 of 2021 (writ petition relating to Group-D), Court by an order dated February 09, 2022, had directed publication of information relating to 573 candidates, on its official website, whose appointments were held to be invalid since the recommendations were issued after the expiry of the panel. SSC had complied with such directions and uploaded the particulars of such candidates in its website. In the same writ petition, another order dated February 15, 2022 had been passed directing stopping the salary of 350 persons and

requiring those 350 persons to refund their salary as they had received appointment subsequent to the expiry of the panel.

176. By an order dated February 22, 2022, passed in WPA 13700 of 2021 (Writ petition relating to Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X), the Court after considering the reports of SSC, had found the respondent no.6 therein not to be entitled for appointment as the writ petitioner was found not to be within the zone of consideration by SSC. Respondent No.6 was directed to refund the salary that had been received with liberty to sue the government.

177. The learned single Judge has by an order dated March 3, 2022 passed in WPA 13700 2021 noted that, in paragraph 5 of the affidavit of SSC affirmed on March 3, 2022, it has been stated that, generally recommendation letters are issued in favour of the empanelled wait listed candidates on the date of counselling after opting the school by the respective candidates, but in respect of respondent No. 6, he was not called for counselling and in spite of that recommendation letter was issued in favour of respondent No. 6.

178. Learned single Judge had held by an order on March 3, 2022 passed in WPA 13700 of 2021 that, there was no delay in filing of the writ petition since, the writ petitioner could not

know about such misconduct in the selection process at the time of filing of the writ petition.

179. By an order dated March 08, 2022, passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the Court had directed SSC to submit a report about the status of certain persons. In such writ petition, the Court had directed adding 98 persons as respondents upon the request of the writ petitioners by an order dated March 17, 2022. Noting that a large number of writ petitions alleging illegal appointment had been filed, by an order dated March 31, 2022 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the Court directed the CBI to question a member of SSC in order to bust the racket of giving illegal appointments.

180. On April 01, 2022, the Court had passed an order in WPA 18585 of 2021 effectively terminating the appointments of 90 persons and directing the CBI to register a case and commence investigations including interrogations.

181. Applications for stay were filed in WPA 18585 of 2021 which were disallowed by an order dated April 04, 2022 by the Court. On April 05, 2022, the Court had directed the CBI to commence interrogation of a member of the Board of SSC and if thought fit by CBI, commence custodial interrogation of such member. Another order was passed on April 06, 2022 in

such writ petition directing two other persons to proceed to the office of the CBI for further interrogation.

182. On April 07, 2022, an order was passed in WPA 5538 of 2022 where the Court had observed that deliberate false statements had been made by a member of the five-member committee. The Court had directed investigations to be conducted by CBI and a new case be registered in relation to appointments of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X. CBI had submitted a report in WPA 5538 of 2022 on April 08, 2022 when the Court had given further directions.

183. The Court had adjourned WPA 18585 of 2021 till May 17, 2022 in view of the pending appeals. On May 18, 2022, the Court had passed an order in WPA 18585 of 2021 directing four persons named in such order and the then Education Minister to report before CBI and to be interrogated by CBI. If necessary, CBI had been permitted to take custody of such persons for interrogation.

184. Three orders had been passed by the learned single Judge on May 18, 2022. In WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had directed the officer on special duty, Minister in charge, Parliamentary affairs, Senior Law Officer in the Department of Education, Deputy Director, School Education

and Joint Secretary cum- Private Secretary of the Minister in Charge to attend the office of the CBI for interrogation. The learned single Judge had also directed the then Education Minister to attend the office of the CBI for interrogation.

185. The learned single Judge had passed an order on May 18, 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 where the learned Judge had expressed his expectations that the Minister should step down. Another order was passed in WPA 12270 on the same date taking note of the contention of the writ petitioners that some persons had entered the office of the SSC and were interfering with the records including computer records. The Court had directed the Secretary of SSC to produce the CCTV footage and directed CRPF to deploy to protect the office of SSC.

186. On May 20, 2022 the learned Single Judge had passed 2 orders, one in WPA 18585 of 2021 where the learned single Judge directed the Minister to be added as a party respondent in such writ petition. The learned single Judge had also directed affidavit of assets of various respondents to be kept on record. The learned single Judge had passed another order in WPA 12270 of 2021 where CRPF were directed to allow employees of SSC into the building without impediment as

CBI had already sealed and taken possession of the assets and the records in the data room.

187. On June 17, 2022, the learned single Judge had kept a report of CBI filed in WPA 18585 of 2021 and WPA 12266 of 2021 in the records of WPA 12266 of 2021. The learned single Judge had allowed SSC access to the data room, on its prayer, after recording that CBI had already obtained the necessary information, material and records for its investigation, by an order passed in WPA 12270 of 2021.

188. By an order dated June 23, 2022 passed in WPA 12270 of 2021 the learned single Judge had allowed certain papers to be handed over to CBI by opening the office of the erstwhile Justice Bag Committee.

189. The learned single Judge had dealt with the singular case of a daughter of a minister who was appointed as an Assistant Teacher in the concerned selection process and termed the service of such daughter as stealing the service of another. The learned single Judge had directed the monies received by her to be refunded.

190. By an order dated September 9, 2022, the learned single Judge had directed the handing over of the data room to SSC.

191. By an order dated September 21, 2022 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had observed that, since appointments given to 573 candidates were declared as invalid, SSC should take immediate action to fill up such vacancies. In WPA 5538 of 2022, the learned single Judge had directed SSC to hold meeting with the learned advocate for the petitioner and of the Board and file a report, regarding how many illegal appointments have been detected. CBI had also been directed to file a report. The learned single Judge had directed that the process of giving appointments to the genuine candidates should start immediately. In WPA 12270 of 2021 the learned single such had found that 350 persons had been appointed after expiry of the panel and directed SSC to give recommendation to 350 persons from the wait list and to hold counselling for such vacancies.

192. On September 28, 2022, the learned single Judge had passed an order in WPA 12266 of 2021, WPA 12270 of 2021, WPA 13700 2021, WPA 13701 of 2021, WPA 17273 of 2021, WPA 18585 of 2021 and WPA 5528 of 2022 where the learned single Judge had noted that CBI filed four status reports. The learned single Judge had observed that a large number of blank OMR sheets were found by CBI. Learned single Judge

had directed CBI to give the names and roll numbers of the persons who submitted such type of OMR sheet for the selection process to be checked by SSC whether such persons received recommendations and appointments or not. The learned single Judge had extended a request to the persons receiving such illegal appointments to resign by November 19, 2022 assuring such persons of protection. The learned single Judge had also directed uploading of the order in the website of SSC and a short advertisement to be published in a prominent place in newspapers stating that an order in respect of illegal appointments have been passed by the Court which is available in the website of SSC.

193. The learned single Judge had considered CAN 2 of 2022 filed in WPA 5538 of 2022 on November 16, 2022. By CAN 2 of 2022, SSC wanted permission for creation of supernumerary post. The learned single Judge had recorded that such application proposed creation of supernumerary post to accommodate those appointees whose appointments may subsequently be found to be invalid. The learned single Judge had directed the Secretary of the SCC to come with the file under which instructions were issued for drafting and filing such application.

194. On the same day, the learned single Judge had issued directions to the CBI with regard to investigation and reconstituted the Special Investigating Team. Learned single Judge had also issued directions with regard to CAN/2/2022.

195. On November 23, 2022, the Chairman of SSC appeared before the High Court and sought to take responsibility for filing CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 12266 of 2021. The learned single Judge had disbelieved the Chairman, SSC with regard to him taking the responsibility. The learned single Judge had directed the Principal Secretary, Government of West Bengal to appear personally on the next date for answering the questions pertaining to the matter. The learned single Judge had directed the CBI to investigate the matter and also directed SSC to start the counseling process and publish the details of the panel.

196. By an order dated November 25, 2022, passed in WPA 5538 of 2022, the learned single Judge had taken on record a cabinet note and cabinet memorandum produced before it in a sealed cover. The learned single Judge had dispensed with the personal appearance of the Principal Secretary, Department of

Education owing to the stay granted by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

197. By an order dated December 6, 2022 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had noted the submission of CBI that manipulations were found in the data of 2823 candidates and directed the petitioners to attend the meeting with CBI and SSC in respect of comparative study of 100 OMR sheets.

198. Justice Bag Committee had submitted a report detailing some of the illegalities committed in the selection process. Such report had been taken into consideration by the coordinate Division Bench then seisen of the appeals.

199. By an order dated December 15, 2022, the learned single Judge upon being appraised that Mr. Subiresh Bhattacharayya had been arrested sought a report from the District and Session Judge, 24 Parganas South, Alipur.

200. By an order dated December 21, 2022 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had noted the report of CBI filed in the form of an affidavit in WPA 13700 of 2021 to the effect that, there were huge numbers of manipulations/mismatch in the evaluated marks of OMR sheets pertaining to the selection tests to Group C, D as well

as Assistant Teachers of classes IX, X, XI and XII. The learned single Judge had directed the Enforcement Directorate to be made as a party respondent in the writ petition. The learned single Judge had directed CBI to continue with the investigation and that such investigation would be monitored by the High Court.

201. By an order dated January 13, 2023 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had directed SSC to file a report in form of an affidavit about the figures pertaining to the 2016 recruitment process for Group D post. The learned single Judge had directed SSC to upload all OMR sheets received from the CBI and the list of 4,487 candidates.

202. By an order dated February 2, 2023 passed in WPA 25380 of 2022, another learned single Judge had noticed manipulation of marks in the OMR sheet of the candidates named under paragraph 9 and 10 of the writ petition. Such writ petition had been filed in relation to appointments granted to Assistant Teachers in classes IX and X. Such order had also noted the submission made on behalf of SSC that recommendations of appointment in respect of candidates named in paragraph 9 and 10 of the writ petition was erroneous.

203. The same learned single Judge who had passed the order dated February 2, 2023 in WPA 25380 of 2022 had passed an order dated February 8, 2023 in the same writ petition. The learned judge had noted an affidavit filed on behalf of SSC in such writ petition. In fact, the learned single Judge had set out the relevant paragraphs of such writ petition. In such affidavit, SSC had stated that 937 persons had been identified against whom Rule 17, that is, revoking the recommendation should be made. The order has recorded the undertaking of SSC to initiate the process in cancelling the recommendations in 7 days' time.

204. By an order dated February 9, 2023 passed in WPA 18585 of 2021, the learned single Judge had directed SSC to file an affidavit and directed SSC and the Board to take steps against 2,819 candidates out of which 1,698 were appointed.

205. By an order dated February 10, 2023, the learned single Judge had cancelled the recommendation of SSC and appointment letter issued by the Board and directed candidates to refund the salaries received and not to sit in any examination. SSC and Board had cancelled the recommendation letters as well as the appointment letters on February 10, 2023 itself. SSC had published a notification for

counseling for the post thereby falling vacant, on February 10, 2023.

206. Appeal directed against invocation of Rule 17 as had been directed by the order dated February 10, 2023 passed in WPA 25380 of 2022 was upheld by the Division Bench on March 1, 2023 in MAT 245 of 2023.

207. SSC had published a list of wait listed candidates for counseling of Group D post on February 11, 2023.

208. Several appeals had been preferred against the order dated February 10, 2023 of the learned single Judge being MAT/250/2023, MAT/274/2023, MAT/259/2023, MAT/284/2023 and MAT/276/2023. The Division Bench had stayed a part of paragraph 19 of the order dated February 10, 2023 of the learned single Judge but refused to stay any other portion of such impugned order.

209. Several Special Leave Petitions had been filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court directed against the order of the Division Bench dated February 16, 2023 when the Hon'ble Supreme Court stayed the counselling for wait listed candidates that were scheduled to fill up the vacancies created after the termination of the employment. The Hon'ble Supreme Court had passed an order on May 1, 2023 staying

the impugned order of the Division Bench till the next hearing. Ultimately, such Special Leave Petition had been disposed of by the order dated November 9, 2023.

210. In the interregnum, another learned Single Judge had passed an order dated December 22, 2022 in WPA 27106 of 2022 directing service of such writ petition on the Group D employees. On January 24, 2023, some employees of Group D had appeared before the other learned single Judge and had prayed for being added as parties to such writ petition. Another order by the other learned Single Judge had been passed in February 8, 2023 in such writ petition.

211. This timeline of events occurring during the selection process up to the orders passed in the writ petitions largely permeates to the other three categories of employment involved in the batch of writ petitions under consideration by us. The orders passed in the writ petitions have resonance across all the categories.

212. The writ petitions have SSC, Board, CBI, ED and State Government as parties. We should take into consideration the stand taken and role that had been played by these Article 12 authorities during the proceedings.

Affidavits and Reports of SSC

213. SSC had filed several affidavits and reports in the proceedings some of which are noted thereafter.

214. SSC by an affidavit affirmed on March 3, 2021 in WPA 13700 of 2021 where SSC has admitted that the private respondent in such writ petition was "inadvertently and by mistake recommended by S and after such detection and the Commission has taken a decision for taking appropriate steps for correction of such mistake regarding recommendation of the respondent No. 6 in terms of Rule 17".

215. It has affirmed an affidavit on March 11, 2022 in WPA No. 18590 of 2021 where SSC referred to its earlier affidavit affirmed on November 9, 2021 in WPA 12270 2021 and stated that, no recommendation had been made either by the Central Commission or any of the Regional Commissions, in the post of Group C staff after expiry of the panel on May 18, 2019 and that the recommendations made after May 18, 2019 were not issued from any of the offices of the Commission. It has admitted that a recommendation letter came to be issued in favour of one of the candidates in such writ petition on December 20, 2019 and that has not been issued by SSC.

216. It has also affirmed an affidavit on the same date in WPA No. 21268 of 2021 where SSC admitted that, “upon perusal of the office record it appears that the private respondent No. 7 namely Siddik Gazi was recommended by the Commission without following the extant rules and procedures and that the said recommendation was a faulty recommendation”. It has stated that, SSC decided to cancel such erroneous recommendation of the private respondent.

217. Similarly, by an affidavit of the same date in WPA No. 21258 of 2021 SSC has admitted that the private respondent No. 7 in such writ petition was “recommended by the Commission without moving the relevant provisions of rule and that the said recommendations were faulty and not tenable".

218. It has affirmed two affidavits in March 2022 one in WPA 18802 of 2021 and the other in WPA 18381 of 2021. In WPA 18802 of 2021 SSC admitted that, the respondent Nos. 6 and 7 in such writ petition were “neither included in the merit list nor in the waiting list and their recommendation letters were issued without following the procedure and hence were faulty recommendations”.

219. It has in WPA 18381 of 2021 stated that, the private respondent's therein "were recommended by the Commission without following the relevant rules and procedures and fit to be dealt with in terms of Rule 17".

220. In WPA 18387 of 2021 SSC has stated that, the private respondents therein were recommended by the Commission without opening the provisions of the relevant rules and that such recommendations were faulty and not tenable.

221. It has affirmed an affidavit on March 9, 2022 in WPA 18379 of 2021 where it has stated that, the respondent No. 6 and 7 in such writ petition had been recommended without following the extant rules and procedures. SSC has taken steps for cancellation or withdrawal of such recommendation.

222. It has submitted a report in the form of affidavit affirmed on February 14, 2022 in WPA 17273 of 2091 pursuant to an order dated January 4, 2022 admitting that the private respondents therein were recommended without they not being in either the merit list or in the waiting list. Writ petitioner had taken an exception to the report. SSC has filed another report in the form of an affidavit were, it stated that, SSC on verification of the records available in the office of the Commission, it "has managed to detect 183 number of

candidates who were wrongly recommended for appointment by the Commission, 20 of whom have already had their recommendations cancelled. The 183 number of candidates includes the 16 persons referred to in paragraph 15 of the said application. It is to mention that in the list as in paragraph 15, the details of first person were not specified and could not be identified". It has gone on to say that, "there may be more candidates who were only recommended for appointment by the Commission, for which I most humbly pray for some time to further verify the records". Referring to the investigations by CBI, the report has stated that, "the Chairman and the Secretary of the Commission have had several meetings with the officials of the CBI including the Head of Branch ACB were from it appeared that in the course of their investigation/interrogation they have also come across a considerable number of illegal appointments".

223. SSC in a report in the form of an affidavit filed in WPA 13701 of 2021 has admitted that, private respondents in such writ petition were "inadvertently and by mistake recommended by the Commission and after such detection the Commission has taken a decision for taking appropriate steps for correction of such mistake". Writ petitioner therein had taken an

exception to such report. SSC has filed a report in the form of an affidavit therein were, it contended that, the alleged irregularities in recommendations pointed out in the exception to the report was without any basis.

224. The added respondent No. 7 in WPA 13700 of 2021 has filed an affidavit in compliance with the order dated February 28, 2022 passed by the learned single Judge. There, he has stated that, he was appointed as the Chairman of SSC by an order dated January 9, 2019. He had taken charge in the late evening of January 9, 2019 and that for all practical purposes he was functioning as the Chairman on and from January 10, 2019 morning. He had been released from the post of Chairman SSC by the added respondent No. 8 on January 15, 2020 pursuant to an order dated January 13, 2020. He has stated that, while in the office of Chairman, SSC, his mother expired on December 4, 2019 and he went to his native place for performing the last rites. In view of his absence, the then Secretary of the Commission had been appointed as the Chairman of SSC by an order dated December 4, 2019. He had resumed his duties as the Chairman of SSC on December 19, 2019 in the forenoon. According to him, he had discharged duties of the Chairman,

SSC on and from January 10, 2019 till January 15, 2020 excluding the period December 4, 2019 till December 18, 2019. According to him, the first recommendation letter in the name of respondent No. 6 that had been issued on December 18, 2019 when he was not the Chairman of SSC and that the signature appearing in such recommendation letter was not his signature. The 2nd recommendation letter in the name of the respondent No. 6 had been issued on February 25, 2020 when he was no longer in the office of the Chairman, SSC and that the signature appearing in such recommendation letter was not his signature. He has stated that, he had no knowledge as to the issuance of such recommendation letter. He has averred that, on the dates when the 7th phase of counselling of wait listed candidates was notified and postponed and rescheduled, he was not the Chairman of SSC. None of those notifications had been issued under his seal and signature. He did not have any intimation, idea or knowledge of the issuance of any such notification. He also did not have any idea or knowledge of issuance of any recommendation letter/letters issued in favour of any candidate after the expiry of the validity period of the concerned panels and waiting lists. The same were not issued, if any, at all, with prior

consultation with him or after obtaining prior permission or consent from him. He has stated that, if any letter is found to be issued under his signature, the same was not issued without his permission or consent and that he did not have any idea or knowledge of the same and that he was no way responsible for the same.

225. The Assistant Secretary of SSC has filed an affidavit pursuant to the order dated February 28, 2022 in WPA 13700 2021 stating that, he had acted as the Chairman in charge of SSC for the period from December 4, 2019 till December 18, 2019 and subsequently from January 15, 2020 till December 16, 2020. He has stated that, the recommendation letters dated December 18, 2019 and February 25, 2020 issued in favour of the respondent No. 6 were under the scanned signature of the Chairman, SSC and that, the recommendation letters had been issued in favour of respondent No. 6 without calling him for counselling. He has stated that, for the purpose of issuance of recommendation letters to the candidates only the Programme Officer can generate recommendation letter without utilising the scanned signature of the Chairman. He has stated that, the then Advisor, SSC had looked after the work of issuing recommendation letters.

226. The Secretary of SCC has filed a report in the form of an affidavit in WPA 18585 of 2021. He has stated that, recommendation letters were issued after the expiry of the panel and that the facts are similar to the facts of WPA 12266 of 2021. In such affidavit it was stated that, the southern region did not issue the recommendation letters in favour of two persons, western region stated that they did not issue recommendation letters in respect of 96 persons. Another report in the form of an affidavit had been affirmed on behalf of SSC in WPA 18585 of 2021 where, it was denied that any recommendation was made by SSC in respect of pages 72, 73, 85 and 86 of writ petition.

227. SSC has filed an affidavit in compliance with the order dated November 18, 2021 passed in WPA 12266 of 2021 where it has stated that, none of the regional SSC had issued the recommendation letters alleged by the writ petitioner.

228. The Secretary of SSC has affirmed an affidavit in WPA 12270 of 2021 where it was stated that, no recommendation had been made either by the Central Commission or by any Regional Commission in the post of Group-C staff after expiry of the panel on May 18, 2019 and that the recommendations

made after May 18, 2019 were not issued from any offices of the Commission.

229. The Assistant Secretary of SSC has submitted a report in the form of an affidavit in WPA 18585 of 2021 in response to order dated January 13, 2023 where, the number of vacancies declared in Group-D posts were tabulated. Number of candidates recommended was stated, the number of the candidates empaneled and the number of wait listed candidates were also stated. Significantly, it has stated that, manipulated OMR sheet supplied to SSC by CBI were 2,819.

230. Another affidavit of SSC in WPA 18585 of 2021, SSC has stated that M/s Nysa was an agency appointed by SSC for the purpose of assessing/scanning of the OMR sheet with regard to the Third Regional Level Selection Test 2016, for appointment of Group-D staff. After receiving the OMR sheet, and in compliance with the orders of the Court, SSC had checked the OMR sheet and others data supplied by CBI in the form of hard disks whereupon, it appeared that the marks of 2,819 OMR sheet were lesser then the marks of the candidates as were kept in the server of SSC. Out of 2823 candidates in which, marks had been manipulated/enhanced, 1,911 candidates had been wrongly recommended.

231. SSC has submitted a report in the form of an affidavit in WPA 25380 of 2022 stating that in respect of 937 candidates the mark difference varies from 1 to 53. It has submitted a chart with regard to the wrong recommendations. It has found discrepancy in 805 cases.

232. At the hearing of the writ petitions, SSC had disclosed relevant documents relating to the recruitment process. Such documents had been disclosed by a compilation. Pages 89 to 96 have the photocopies of the tender document and work orders issued to M/s NYSA by SSC.

233. By a writing dated July 12, 2016, SSC had called upon 7 addresses of such letter including M/s. NYSA to quote rates for 3 types of works enumerated in such letter. The next document disclosed by SSC has suggested that, M/s. NYSA was selected as it was the lowest bidder. No document has been disclosed by SSC as to whether, SSC evaluated the expert eyes of any of the 7 addresses of the letter dated July 12, 2016 with regard to the nature of job required of them to be performed. Technical qualifications have not been spelt out.

234. By letter dated December 1, 2016, SSC had referred to a work order dated October 24, 2016 and called upon M/s. 2 start scanning of OMR sheet of both Secondary and Higher

Secondary examinations immediately and process result of the same in due course. The work order dated October 2016 has not been disclosed.

235. By letter dated March 29, 2017, SSC had called upon M/s NYSA 2 start scanning of OMR sheets of Group C examination immediately along with other activities as enumerated therein. The other activities that had been enumerated in such letter are scanning and processes of results, return of OMR sheet after completion of the process to SSC and handing over scanned images of OMR is and records of result and other information in soft copy mode with clarifications. This letter dated March 29, 2017 has referred to a work order dated January 17, 2017 of SSC which has not been brought on record.

236. By letter dated March 29, 2017, SSC, referring to a work order dated January 17, 2017 had called upon M/s. NYSA to start scanning of OMR sheet for the post of Group D examinations. Same other activities as the earlier letter had been specified. Again, the work order spoken of has not been disclosed.

237. By a letter dated September 7, 2017, SSC had informed M/s. NYSA that, offer of M/s. NYSA had been

accepted and that such entity was requested to take up Type Test of Group C selection process.

238. In respect of the entrustment of the work for Group C and D examinations to M/s. NYSA, even the semblance of a selection from amongst other bidders was not undertaken by SSC.

239. In the factual matrix of the present case, we are unable to accept a contention that, appointment of M/s NYSA in such a process has been an irregularity, and not an illegality. Appointment of such an entity in such a manner had been unashamedly orchestrated in order to facilitate, implement and perpetuate the eventual fraud which was subsequently discovered.

240. SSC had submitted a statistical report in respect of the 4 selection processes which is as follows: –

Sl. No.	Post Name	Class Level	Total Recommendation	OMR Issue	Rank Jumping	Alleged Irregularity	Alleged Irregularity in percentage
1	Assistant Teacher	IX-X Level	11610	808	185	993	8.50%
2	Assistant Teacher	XI-XII Level	5596	771	39	810	14.47%
3	Group C (Clerk)		2037	783		783	38.43%
4	Group D		3880	1741			44.87%

241. These illegalities admiited by SSC cannot be said to be within tolerable errors of a selection process of a large magnititude. Despite the recalcitrant attitude of SSC, it had to admit these illegalities.

242. In course of hearing SSC did not discount the fact that possibilities of further illegatities exists. One would have appreciated an Article 12 authority when show illegalities to own up the mistakes, identify them, identify the lacunae in the system causing the illegalities and corrected course so as extend ameoliration to the affected persons to the extent possible. We however witnessed an SSC persistently treating the writ petitioners as adversaries, despite a number of them bringing forth credible informations regarding the illegalities, which ultimately SSC had also acknowledged as correct.

243. Although litigations in India are adversarial in nature, Article 12 authority is endowed with the obligation to uphold the rule of law. It must side with rule of law and in so doing, in the facts and circumstances of the present matters, the writ petitoners could not be treated by SSC as adverseries. Objective of SSC should have found common platform of fighting corruption with the candidates affected by it. Bonafide candidates who had participated in the selection process

deserved a lot better and far more humane treatment than they received from SSC. It is debateable as to whether the present stance of SSC as has been exhibited in Court would assist in repairing the damage to the creditiblity of SSC to conduct a free, fair and transparent selection process.

Affidavits and Reports of Board

244. The then president of the Adhoc Committee of the Board has affirmed an affidavit in WPA 12266 of 2021 claiming that board received all the recommendations from different regional offices of the Commission mostly by hand and some through postal department. He has claimed that the Board is in possession of original recommendation issued by the regional SSC with DI Memo mentioned upon each recommendation. He has claimed that the Board had issued approximately 25,000 appointments of teachers and non-teaching staffs since 2018 and that for all such appointment same methods were followed.

245. The then President of Adhoc Committee of the Board has affirmed an affidavit in WPA 12270 of 2021 claiming that the Board received all the recommendations from the different regional offices of SSC. Board had given 357 appointments on

the basis of the recommendations between the time period December 2019 to February 2020.

246. The Secretary of the Board has submitted a report in the form of an affidavit in WPA 18585 of 2021 stating that the Board received 4,550 numbers of recommendations for Group-D post from Regional Commission and issued equal number of appointment letters for the candidates for the post of the Group-D in different schools. He has tabulated the joining status of the candidates given such appointments.

Reports of CBI

247. From time to time as called upon, CBI has filed several reports as to the progress and result of the investigations. CBI has submitted a report in the form of an affidavit in terms of the order dated December 6, 2022 in WPA 13700 of 2021. In such report, it has stated that, during investigation, it revealed that, SSC awarded a contract to M/s. NYSA Communications Private Limited for scanning and evaluation of OMR answer sheets of the written answer sheets pertaining to the selection test of Group D, Group C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX-X, and XI-XII. Scanning of all original OMR sheets had been done at the office of SSC by M/s. NYSA, scanned OMR sheets were

used by M/s. NYSA for evaluation of such OMR sheets by way of comparing those with the corresponding answer key of the booklet which the candidate was provided with. Thereafter, M/s. NYSA made a final tabulation of result of OMR evaluation and shared this evaluation of marks with the SSC. Once SSC had received the evaluated marks of the candidates from M/s. NYSA they uploaded the same to their office database. During investigation, the office database of SSC had been seized by CBI containing all the data pertaining to the selection test for all the 4 categories. The 3 hard disks had been seized during investigation by way of search of the premises of one ex-employee Mr Pankaj Bansal at Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh. Those hard disks had scanned images and the evaluated marks of the OMR sheets. On comparison of the data seized from SSC during investigation and the data contained in the 3 hard disks seized during the investigation from the premises of Mr Pankaj Bansal it was found that there had been huge number of manipulation/mismatches in the evaluated marks of OMR sheets pertaining to the all 4 categories of the selection process. The entire data which was seized during the investigation from the premises of Mr Pankaj Bansal had been provided to SSC for appropriate action at their end.

248. CBI has filed a comprehensive status report dated January 19, 2024 in relation to the present proceedings. In such status report, it has stated that in WPA 18585 of 2021, it registered CBI case dated April 5, 2022 in compliance with the order dated April 4, 2022 passed in such writ petition inter alia under Sections 120B/420/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 in connection with the irregularities in the recruitment of Group D post in various sponsored and aided schools under the SSC. It has also stated that, a chargesheet No. 31/2022 dated December 13, 2022 had been filed against 15 persons under Section 120B read with Sections 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7 and 7A of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. At that filed a supplementary chargesheet, and 2nd supplementary chargesheet involving other accused.

249. The status report dated January 19, 2024 of CBI has stated that it registered a case on April 7, 2022 under Section 120 B/420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 in compliance with the order dated April 7, 2022 passed by the High Court in WPA 5538 of 2022. Such a FIR had been registered against the then

convener of 5 members committee as well as advisor to SSC and unknown other public servants of SSC and other related departments of the Government of West Bengal for the irregularities in the process of appointment of candidates in the post of Assistant Teachers for class IX-X first SLST 2016 of SSC. It has filed a chargesheet on October 21, 2022 under Section 120B read with 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 7, 7A, 8 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. It has filed a supplementary chargesheet, 2nd supplementary chargesheet and 3rd supplementary chargesheet therein.

250. Such status report of CBI has stated that, it registered another case on May 18, 2022 on the basis of the order dated May 18, 2022 passed in WPA 5406 of 2022 which was registered under Section 120B, 420, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 against several persons for manipulations in the merit list for appointment of Teachers for classes XI-XII in schools under SSC. It has filed chargesheet No. 32/2022 dated December 13, 2022 against several persons under Section 120B read with 109, 201, 420, 477A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7, 7A and 8 of the Prevention of Corruption

Act, 1988. It has filed for supplementary chargesheet and 2nd supplementary chargesheet therein.

251. Again, such status report of CBI has disclosed that, CBI registered a FIR dated May 20, 2022 in compliance with order dated May 18, 2022 passed in connection with WPA 12270 of 2021. There, CBI had registered the FIR in connection with the irregularities in the recruitment of Group C (Clerks) in various sponsored and aided schools under SSC stop it has submitted chargesheet on September 13, 2022 against 5 FIRs named accused including the then Minister and 10 other persons under Sections 120B, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 7 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 for supplementary chargesheet and final chargesheet therein.

252. In such status report, CBI has stated that, by the order dated November 9, 2023, Supreme Court has directed completion of the investigation within the period of 2 months. Accordingly, investigation has been completed in the SSC cases and final chargesheet has been submitted before the Trial Court.

253. CBI has submitted a report dated January 16, 2024 in compliance with the order dated January 15, 2024 passed by

the Division Bench. It has stated in such compliance report as follows: –

> *"3. That during investigation, it was revealed that the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as 'Commission') has awarded a work order to M/s. Nysa Communication Private Limited (hereinafter be referred to as 'M/s. NYSA') for scanning and evaluation of OMR answer sheets pertaining to the selection tests of Group D, Group C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX-X and XI-XII.*
>
> *4. That, scanning of all original OMR sheets was done at the office of Commission by M/s. NYSA. The process adopted by M/s. NYSA was when the OMR is scanned, that generates two outputs, first is the scan image of the OMR and the other is the answer string, which is a language coded inputs of the responses filled up by the candidate in the respective OMR sheet.*
>
> *5. That scanned OMR sheets were used by M/s. NYSA for evaluation of such OMR sheets by way of comparing those with the corresponding answer key. Thereafter, M/s. NYSA made a final tabulation of result of OMRs evaluation and shared this evaluation of marks with the Commission.*
>
> *6. That once the Commission received the evaluated marks of candidates from M/s. NYSA, they uploaded the same in their office database.*
>
> *7. That during investigation the office database of the Commission was seized by the Central Bureau of Investigation containing all the data pertaining to selection tests of Group D, Group C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX-X and XI-XII.*
>
> *8. That, during investigation of the case, three hard disks were recovered on 15.09.2022 and 16.09.2022 from the residence of Pankaj Bansal, ex-employee to M/s. NYSA, at Ghaziabad. The*

certificates dated 16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, from Shri. Pankaj Bansal were also obtained, in triplicate, with regard to genuineness of the data contained in these three hard-disks so recovered.

9. These three hard-disks contained scanned images and the evaluated marks of above such OMR sheets.

10. That, in compliance to the solemn order dated 15.01.2024 of this Hon'ble Court, a certificate dated under Section 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, obtained from Pankaj Bansal is being submitted before this Hon'ble Court. Photocopy of such certificate u/s 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 obtained from Shri Pankaj Bansal dated 16.09.2022 is annexed hereto and marked as "Annexure-A" to this affidavit.

11. That, the 2nd Supplementary Chargesheet filed before the Learned Trial Court on 08.01.2024 along with the list of witnesses, list of documents and list of material objects in which three hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal's residence are made the part of it."

254. Reports in the form of affidavit of SSC, Board and CBI have established that, there had been serious illegalities/manipulations in the selection process in respect of all the 4 categories of post involved. Orders of the learned single judge directing investigations by CBI in respect of all the 4 categories, have not been interfered with by the Supreme Court. Rather, by the order dated November 9, 2023, Supreme Court has directed CBI to conclude the investigations and

submit its report before the jurisdictional Court. CBI has done so.

255. The writ petitions have prompted investigations to be undertaken in respect of illegalities and manipulations in the selection process. CBI has found serious illegalities and manipulations involving a swathe of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 relating to cheating and corruption. CBI has filed chargesheet in the 4 several FIRs registered by pursuant to orders of Court, passed in 4 different writ petitions.

256. Events that have occurred subsequent to the filing of the petition are perturbing and replete with profound ramifications, transcending the perimeter of the parties to the proceedings and impacting the society at large. They have therefore, transmuted the issue of maintainability into one of entertainability and rather to the nature of reliefs that the parties are entitled to.

Role of State Government

257. The role of the State Government in the writ petitions and the appeals that have been heard by us has to be taken into consideration. As has been noted before, SSC filed CAN 6

of 2022 in WPA 5406 of 2022 and an application being CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022. SSC had prayed for creation of supernumerary post in respect of appointments given illegally. State Government has not opposed such a prayer. In fact, documents placed on record suggest that the State Government, at the level of the Cabinet had approved creation of the supernumerary posts to accommodate the persons who had received employment illegally in the selection process. The Principal Secretary had appeared before the learned single Judge and produced a Cabinet note and Cabinet memo with regard to creation of the supernumerary post which such documents were taken on record by an order dated November 25, 2022.

258. CBI had conducted investigation pursuant to orders of Court. CBI had filed charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets in the four cases that it registered. CBI had filed charge sheet against State Government officials. In course of hearing, the Court had been informed that, SIT had applied for sanction to prosecute the State Government officials in September, 2023 and that, a member of SIT had met relevant officials trying to persuade expeditious disposal of the application for sanction to prosecute, since then.

259. Since the SIT had been constituted in these proceeding, and the proceedings are yet to be disposed of finally, we had called upon the learned Government Pleader to apprise the Court as to the time required for disposal of the application for prosecution.

260. We had been informed by the learned Government Pleader on March 5, 2024 that in view of the ensuing elections, Chief Secretary who is the authority to decide on the application for grant of sanction to prosecute the State Government officials, would not be in position to dispose of the same before the conclusion of the election and would require further time thereafter. We had requested the learned Government Pleader to inform us the time frame required by the Chief Secretary to dispose of such application so that appropriate order may be passed in that regard. We had requested the learned Government Pleader to inform us on the next date, as the hearing of the matters were being continued on a day-to-day basis.

261. On March 6, 2024, learned Government Pleader had submitted that one more day's accommodation may be grant to him to apprise the Court of the time required. Considering

such prayer, we had accommodated the learned Government Pleader as prayed for.

262. On March 7, 2024, the learned Government Pleader had informed the Court that, he will apprise the Court with regard to the time frame on March 13, 202 as the criminal case was fixed before the jurisdictional Court on March 12, 2024. We have acceded to such a prayer also.

263. Thereafter, the matters had been heard on several days subsequent to March 13, 2024. Neither the learned Government Pleader had appeared nor any advocate for the State thought it prudent to apprise the Court as to the time frame required by the Chief Secretary to dispose the application for sanction to prosecute.

264. The obdufurate attitude of the Chief Secretary has to yield to his obligation to uphold the rule of law. Since a coordinate Bench has issued directions for the expeditious disposal of the application for sanction, we refrain from issuing any directions to the Chief Secretary in this regard. We however deem it appropriate to grant leave to SIT to seek appropriate directions from Court so that the investigations and the trials come to their logical conclusions.

Inferences from the role of Article 12 authorities

265. State Government therefore has accepted that, there were widespread illegalities in the selection process and that, the numbers of persons who received appointments illegally could not be determined with exactitude. They had resolved to create supernumerary posts to accommodate the illegal appointees. In other words, State has resolved to expend taxpayers' money to accord sanction to an employment secured dishonestly. Such course of action of the State violates Articles 14 and 16 which enjoins upon the State the obligation to grant appointments in conformity with such provisions and never otherwise.

266. Learned single Judge had directed investigation by CBI. Learned single Judge had constituted a Special Investigating Team (SIT) to conduct such investigation. CBI has filed charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets in the FIR registered pursuant to the order of the Court. SIT had applied to the competent authority to accord sanction for prosecution as against the State Government employees against whom the charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets had been filed in the FIR.

267. State Government has appeared sporadically during the course of hearing of the writ petitions and the appeals and that to only after learned Advocate for the State was requested to address the Court on the issues in the matters including the issues of consideration of the grant of sanction to prosecute the government officials against whom CBI had filed chargesheet making them as accused. Learned Government Pleader has represented the State Government. Learned Government Pleader, with deepest of respect, has been assiduously evasive, obviously due to the quality of the instructions, with regard to the time required for the purpose of disposing of the application for grant of sanction to prosecute.

268. This course of action has been adopted by the State Government in order to prevent discovery of further manipulations/illegalities in the selection process, if possible.

269. SSC, Board and State Government have consistently stonewalled any queries with regard to the selection process and have not come clean with regard to the manipulations/illegalities involved. Conduct of the 3 authorities, during the course of hearing can be classified as anything other than being cooperative.

270. The Secretary, SSC has submitted a statistical report with regard to the illegalities in the appointment in the respect of the four categories, by a signed report dated March 6, 2024 to which we have allude to herein.

271. In course of hearing, SSC, in response to queries of the Court, has submitted details of the recommendations made by SSC in respect of the 4 categories and number of appointment letters issued by the Board in respect of the 4 categories. Significantly, SSC had recommended 11,425 candidates for appointments post of Assistant Teachers for classes IX and X whereas, Board succeeded in generating 12,946 appointment letters thereby issuing 1,539 excess appointment letters than Board was entitled to similarly, in respect of Assistant Teachers for classes XI and XII the Board had 5, 756 appointment letters as against a recommendation of 5,557 candidates by SSC thereby issuing 199 excess appointment letters than entitled to. In respect of Group D Board has issued 4,550 appointment letters against a recommendation of 3,881 by SSC thereby generating 669 excess appointment letters. In respect of Group C, Board has generated 2,483 appointment letters as against recommendation of 2,067 by SSC thereby generating 416 excess appointment letters.

Justification that has been sought proffered by Board is that, all appointment letters were on the basis of the recommendations of SSC. SSC has contradicted such justification of Board by claiming that no such recommendation letters were issued.

272. Board has no authority to issue appointment letters unless such candidate is recommended by SSC. In the 4 categories involved, there have been appointments far in excess of the recommendations. There have been manipulations/illegalities in the recommendations also.

273. SSC had at no stages of hearing, claimed that it was able to check all the records and be definite as to the number of illegalities in recommendations made but had limited itself to those figure as stated in the paragraphs above. In fact, reports in the form of affidavit filed by the SSC from time to time had always stated that, they discovered the illegalities as were brought to their notice by the litigants or on order of Court.

274. SSC had proceeded to withdraw/cancel the recommendations issued in favour of candidates participating in the 4 categories on the basis that there were manipulations in obtaining the recommendations. SSC had accepted the data

found in the hard disks seized from ex-employee of M/s NYSA namely, Pankaj Bansal by CBI and acted upon it. SSC has filed two applications as noted above for creation of supernumerary post.

Findings on Maintainability

275. Supreme Court in its order dated November 9, 2023 has observed that, the writ petitions have strong attributes of Public Interest Litigation. If one understands a public interest litigation to be a litigation which strives to secure public interest and ensure that the activities of the administration in violation the Constitutional provisions are attended to by the judiciary, then these batch of litigations qualify the definition. The sheer number of candidates involved in the subject selection process and the quantum of illegalities discovered renders the litigations involved as public interest litigations. In view of the magnitude of the illegalities discovered so far with further discoveries yet to be made, we are of the view that, the writ petitions have metamorphized themselves into Public Interest Litigations.

276. It is trite law that, the Court in furtherance of public interest may deem it necessary to enquire into the subject

matter of the litigation in the interest of justice. Court can consider a writ petition filed with a private grievance and treat it as a public interest litigation, if the fact situation so warrants.

277. In view of the events happening subsequent to the filing of writ petitions and in the view of the writ petitions transforming themselves into Public Interest Litigations, and more so in view of the CBI filing charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets in respect of 4 FIRs alleging widespread manipulations in the selection process, the second issue is answered in favour of the writ petitioners and as against the persons opposing the writ petitions. All writ petitions falling with the jurisdiction of this Division Bench to be heard in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Supreme Court, and the orders of assignment are held to be maintainable.

278. Respective stand of SSC, CBI, ED, State and Board as noted above has established, there were widespread manipulations in the selection process involved. Fraud had been established. At the very least, persons who were not even in the panel were found to have been blessed with appointments. OMR sheets of the candidates have been found

to be manipulated across all four categories. Exact numbers of candidates given appointments, whose OMR sheet have been manipulated in all the four categories are yet to be finally decided.

279. In view of such widespread fraud in a selection process, and such fraud having been discovered subsequent to filing of the writ petition, it cannot be held that any of the writ petitions are not maintainable. The fraud discovered subsequent to the filing of the writ petitions have answered the second issue in favour of the writ petitioners.

280. None of the writ petitioners can be said to have knowledge of the fraud involved which such fraud was discovered subsequently. Therefore, the question of the writ petitioners participating in the selection process with their eyes open and having been unsuccessful therein, not being entitled to file the writ petition challenging the selection process does not arise.

281. In view of the ratio laid down in ***Mohd. Kalimuddin and others (supra), Surinder Singh and others (supra), Raghbir Chand Sharma and another (supra), Virendra Kumar Sharma (supra) B. Valluvan and others (supra). Mohd. Sohrab Khan (supra)*** *and* ***Rajkishore Nanda and***

others (supra), appointments cannot be made subsequent to expiry of the validity period of panel.

282. In the facts of the present case, SSC has placed on record several persons who had been granted appointments subsequent to the expiry of the validity period of panel. Such appointments therefore have to be cancelled and are hereby directed to be so.

283. In view of discussions above, the third issue with regard to the appointment made subsequent to the expiry of the validity period of the panel is answered by holding that, appointments made subsequent to the expiry of the validity period of the panel are null and void.

Certificate Issued under Section 65B of the Evidence Act

284. CBI has filed an affidavit on January 16, 2024 disclosing a certificate dated September 19, 2022 issued under Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 by Mr. Pankaj Bansal with regard to the genuineness of the data contained in the 3 hard disks recovered. Such report has stated that, CBI seized the hard disks containing all the data pertaining to the selection test of all the 4 categories. CBI has produced the three hard disks in Court.

285. Such certificate dated September 19, 2022 is as follows:-

"CERTIFICATE U/S 65B OF INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872"

It is certified that the information, enclosed herewith in the form of data stored. in:

(i) One WD 2 TB External Hard Disk bearing Serial No. WX71AC82C54A (Copy of which was voluntarily provided to CBI by the undersigned)

(ii) One Seagate Back up plus portable Drive, capacity 1 TB, bearing Serial No.:NA7ZW704 having hash value .SHA1: 28E5D8FBA6A251F41E0BD2CA5A9E1A8A83243944 & MD5 - 304FDD1C49D7D52AC50437F6DB571641 (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

(iii) One Seagate BUP Slim RD SCSI Disc device, capacity 1 TB bearing Serial No. NA7XR3VB having hash value SHA1 :- A8387158B2C36759ACB2188FCC9D4B7E905A54D6 & MD5 - 7BE5529279BEFFID74074E5BE98AC3AC (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

containing relevant data of WBCSSC pertaining to 1st SLST (AT), 2016 for Class XI-XII, IX-X, Group-C and Group-D; is the original scanned images of OMRs and corresponding data regarding the same for the aforesaid examination.

It is further certified that to the best of my knowledge:

All data including the scanned images of OMRs for the aforesaid examinations were under my exclusive custody throughout and there has been no tampering or manipulation in any of them at any point of time. Said data is the same which was extracted during the scanning process of OMRs of respective examinations at the office of WBCSSC, Acharya

Sadan, Salt Lake Kolkata by M/s Nysa Communications Private Limited.

Authorized signatory:
Sh. Pankaj Bansal
Data Analyst, M/s ND Info Systems Pvt. Ltd."

286. Order of remand dated November 9, 2023 passed by the Supreme Court, in relation to the present matters, has required us to pronounce on the admissibility of the data contained in the three hard disks on the basis of rules of evidence.

287. Issues of admissibility on the data contained in the three hard disks have been raised by the beneficiary of the fraud.

288. None of the Article 12 authorities had ever disputed the genuineness, veracity, authenticity and legality of the data contained in the 3 hard disks seized by the CBI from Mr. Pankaj Bansal at any material point of time. SSC had accepted such data, acted upon it, found manipulations/illegalities in the OMR sheets, proceeded on basis of such findings and withdrew/cancelled recommendations of various candidates. It had utilized such data to arrive at a finding that, OMR sheets had been manipulated and that, marks obtained by candidates

stored in its server were considerably higher than the marks which such candidate could have received on a thorough and proper evaluation of the OMR sheets of such individual candidates found in the hard disks seized by the CBI.

289. The 3 hard disks seized by CBI, had been produced in Court. CBI had granted inspection of documents downloaded from such hard disks, to persons seeking such inspection, pursuant to orders of this Bench. None of the persons taking inspections have contended that, the OMR sheets are not theirs. The OMR sheets bear unique bar code and have several features which distinguish one OMR sheets from the other such as the signature of the candidates as also the invigilator and other aspects.

290. Section 3 of the Evidence Act, 1872 has included electronic records produced for the inspection of the Court as documentary evidence. It has also laid down that a fact is said to be proved when, after considering the matters before it, the Court either believes it to exist, or considers its existence so probable that a prudent man ought, under the circumstances of the particular case, to act upon the supposition that it exists.

291. Section 58 of the Evidence Act, 1872 has laid down that, facts admitted need not be proved. Section 58 is as follows-

> *"58. Facts admitted need not be proved. – No fact need be proved in any proceeding which the parties thereto or their agents agree to admit at the hearing, or which, before the hearing, they agree to admit by any writing under their hands, or which by any rule of pleading in force at the time they are deemed to have admitted by their pleadings.*
>
> *Provided that the Court may, in its discretion, require the facts admitted to be proved otherwise than by such admissions."*

292. Subsequent to the seizure of the 3 hard disks and subsequent to the certificate dated September 16, 2022, two applications being CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 12266 of 2022 had been filed ostensibly by SSC seeking permission to create supernumerary post for the illegal appointees. In those two applications, orders dated November 16, 2022, November 23, 2022 and November 25, 2022 had been passed. In those two applications, Cabinet note of approval to grant supernumerary post had been produced in Court.

293. After the seizure of the 3 hard disks by CBI, the data contained therein had been shared by CBI with SSC. SSC had accepted such data as true and correct. SSC had acted

thereon and proceeded to identify manipulations/illegalities in the recommendations as also appointments. SSC had proceeded to withdraw/cancel the recommendations acting on the basis of the data contained in 3 hard disks seized by CBI. We shall also examine whether the certificate dated September 19, 2022 is in conformity with Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 or not.

294. In our view, the fact that the 3 hard disks contained data relating to the 4 categories of the selection process conducted by SSC had stood proved by SSC and State making admissions with regard thereto in the applications filed in the writ petition and by their conduct.

295. Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 occurs in Chapter-IV while Section 58 occurs in Chapter-III thereof. Chapter-IV of the Evidence Act, 1872 deals with oral evidence.

296. In ***Anvar P.V. (supra)*** Supreme Court has considered provisions of Sections 62, 65A and 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872. It has held that, admissibility of secondary evidence of electronic record depends upon the satisfaction of the conditions as prescribed under Section 64B thereof. It has enumerated the conditions under Section 65B which are required to be satisfied. It has observed that, on the other

hand, if the primary evidence of the electronic record is adduced, that is, the original electronic record itself is produced in Court under Section 62, then the same is admissible in evidence, without compliance with the conditions in Section 65B.

297. In ***Arjun Panditrao Khotkar (supra)***, Supreme Court has held that, production of the certificate under Section 65B(4) of the Evidence Act, 1872 is mandatory but only in case of secondary evidence i.e. where the primary evidence is not led and original is not produced. It has distinguished primary and secondary evidence with regard to electronic records /documents.

298. In ***Ravinder Singh alias Kaku (supra),*** Supreme Court has addressed the issue with regard to admissibility of the electronic records. It has held that, noncompliance with requirement of certification of electronic evidence is not permissible. It has observed that, Section 65B(4) is a mandatory requirement of law.

299. In ***Mohd. Arif (supra)***, Supreme Court has summarized the manner in which the electronic evidence can be introduced under Section 65A and 65B of the Evidence Act,

1872. It has taken note of the principles laid down in ***Anvar P.V. (supra)*** as clarified in ***Arjun Panditrao Khotkar (supra)***.

300. Section 65-B of the Evidence Act, 1872 is as follows: -

"65-B Admissibility of electronic records. — (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, any information contained in an electronic record which is printed on a paper, stored, recorded or copied in optical or magnetic media produced by a computer (hereinafter referred to as the computer output) shall be deemed to be also a document, if the conditions mentioned in this Section are satisfied in relation to the information and computer in question and shall be admissible in any proceedings, without further proof or production of the original, as evidence or any contents of the original or of any fact stated therein of which direct evidence would be admissible.

(2) The conditions referred to in sub-Section (1) in respect of a computer output shall be the following, namely: -

(a) the computer output containing the information was produced by the computer during the period over which the computer was used regularly to store or process information for the purposes of any activities regularly carried on over that period by the person having lawful control over the use of the computer;

(b) during the said period, information of the kind contained in the electronic record or of the kind from which the information so contained is derived was regularly fed into the computer in the ordinary course of the said activities;

(c) throughout the material part of the said period, the computer was operating properly or, if not, then in respect of any period in which it was not operating properly or

was out of operation during that part of the period, was not such as to affect the electronic record or the accuracy of its contents; and

(d) the information contained in the electronic record reproduces or is derived from such information fed into the computer in the ordinary course of the said activities.

(3) Where over any period, the function of storing or processing information for the purposes of any activities regularly carried on over that period as mentioned in clause (a) of sub-Section (2) was regularly performed by computers, whether –

(a) by a combination of computers operating over that period; or

(b) by different computers operating in succession over that period; or

(c) by different combinations of computers operating in succession over that period; or

(d) in any other manner involving the successive operation over that period, in whatever order, of one or more computers and one or more combinations of computers, all the computers used for that purpose during that period shall be treated for the purposes of this Section as constituting a single computer; and references in this Section to a computer shall be construed accordingly.

(4) In any proceedings where it is desired to give a statement in evidence by virtue of this Section, a certificate doing any of the following things, that is to say, -

(a) identifying the electronic record containing the statement and describing the manner in which it was produced;

(b) giving such particulars of any device involved in the production of that electronic record as may be appropriate for the purpose of showing that the electronic record was produced by a computer;

(c) dealing with any of the matters to which the conditions mentioned in sub-Section (2) relate,

and purporting to be signed by a person occupying a responsible official position in relation to the operation of the relevant device or the management of the relevant activities (whichever is appropriate) shall be evidence of any matter stated in the certificate; and for the purposes of this sub-Section it shall be sufficient for a matter to be stated to the best of the knowledge and belief of the person stating it.

(5) For the purposes of this Section, -

(a) information shall be taken to be supplied to a computer if it is supplied thereto in any appropriate form and whether it is so supplied directly or (with or without human intervention) by means of any appropriate equipment;

(b) whether in the course of activities carried on by any official, information is supplied with a view to its being stored or processed for the purposes of those activities by a computer operated otherwise than in the course of those activities, that information, if duly supplied to that computer, shall be taken to be supplied to it in the course of those activities;

(c) a computer output shall be taken to have been produced by a computer whether it was produced by it directly or (with or without human intervention) by means of any appropriate equipment."

301. Section 65B contains a deeming fiction allowing electronic data stored in the electronic devices to be admitted as evidence of, the contents of the original, subject to the cumulative satisfaction of the following conditions: -

(i) computer output was produced by the computer in regular use carried out by a person in lawful custody over the computer.

(ii) information contained was regularly fed into the computer in the ordinary course.

(iii) computer was working properly.

(iv) certificate is signed by a person enjoying a responsible official position.

302. Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 does not prescribe any format of a certificate that has to be issued thereunder. What it prescribes is that, a certificate must conform with the essential requirements of such section. In light of such discussions, we have to consider whether the certificate dated September 16, 2022 is in accordance with Section 65B or not.

303. The certificate dated September 19, 2022 issued by Mr. Pankaj Bansal has stated that he was having exclusive custody of the 3 hard disks. He has also stated that, the data fed therein and the production thereof was what was fed into the hard disk in ordinary course of activity. He has stated that, the 3 hard disks contained relevant data of the selection process pertaining to all the 4 categories and had the original scanned images of OMRs and corresponding data regarding the same in respect of such selection process. He has stated that, such data including the scanned images of the OMR for the selection process had been under his exclusive custody throughout and there has been no tampering, manipulation of any of them at any point of time. He has also stated that such data is the same which was extracted during the scanning process of OMRs of the respective examination at the office of SSC by M/s NYSA.

304. Certificate dated September 16, 2022 had been issued by Mr. Pankaj Bansal as a Data Analyst of ND Info Systems Pvt. Ltd. When he had issued that certificate, he was working in the post and at the organization at that material point of time. His working at such post in the new organization does not militate against the requirements of Section 65B of the

Evidence Act, 1872. In the body of the certificate, he has stated that the three electronic devices contained the necessary data in the electronic format relating to the selection process concerned.

305. CBI has filed several reports in these proceedings. In its report dated February 5, 2024 filed in compliance with the order dated January 24, 2024 passed by us, it has stated as follows: -

> *"3. That during investigation, it was revealed that the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as 'commission' had awarded a work order to M/s Nysa Communication Private Limited ('hereinafter referred to as M/s. NYSA') for scanning and evaluation of OMR answer sheets pertaining to the selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.*
>
> *4. Investigation has established that Sh. Puneet Kumar was the then Director and Sh. Niladri Das was the then Vice President of the said M.s Nysa Communications Pvt. Ltd. during relevant period. It has been established during investigation that Sh. Niladri Das was in charge of operations in respect of recruitment examinations conducted by WBCSSC and frequently visited the officer of WBCSSC to attend to the actual operational part and did the requisite liaison on behalf of the agency for necessary compliance of the instructions given by WBCSSC. Sh Puneet Kumar, being the Director, mainly looked after the financial affairs of the agency and represented it on records.*
>
> *5. Investigation has established that the scanning of OMR sheets was undertaken by the said M/s Nysa Communication Pvt Ltd at WBCSSC office at Acharya Sadan under direct supervision of Sh*

Niladri Das and in p[resence of WBCSSC officials. It has been further established that M/s NYSA communication Pvt. Ltd. had further given work order w.r.t. scanning the original OMR to M/s Data Scantech Solutions, Noida who remained present on the premises of WBCSSC for the scanning work. After completion of scanning, the precessed data in the form of scanned images of OMRs, scan data etc. were handed over by M/s Data Scantech Solutions to M/s Nysa Communication Pvt Ltd. who took the same to their officer located at Noida in digital form (Hard Disks) leaving the original hard copies of OMR sheets in the office of the WBCSSC, WBCSSC handed over their answer keys in respect of all subjects to M/s Nysa Communications Pvt Ltd for evaluation of OMR responses.

6. That, while scanning the original OMR sheets by M/s Data Scantech Solutions on behalf of M/s Nysa, two ".DAT" files were generated containing SCAN NO., Bar Code, ROLL NO., VENUE CODE, BOOKLET SERIAL NUMBER, SUBJECT CODE, CATEGORY, GENDER, MEDIUM and RESPONSE CODE. In the process of scanning, the image copies of the original OMR sheets were also captured.

7. That, a sample of the ".DAT" file generated during sscaning of one OMR sheet is cited as an example below: -

Bar Code / Scan No	Roll Number	Venue Code	Booklet Serial Number	Subject Code	Category Code	Gender	Medium
1 0 0 0 0 8 9	2 2 2 1 1 6 7 5 0 0 0 1 2 9	2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 6 9	7 5	0 2	F	1
D D A A E A C	A A A E E A A A D A D D D A C D D C E C D E	A	A		D
E E E A A C D	C A C E A C E C E #						

Responses of candidates in the OMR is appearing as Response Code in alphabets, while "#" indicates end of one OMR sheet.

8. That, two such ".DAT" files are generated since scanning is done twice to avoid any technical error. Thereafter, a final ".DAT" file is prepared which is called a clean data file. After receiving the answer keys from Commission, the same is compared with this final ".DAT" file and a "DBF" File is generated having the score of the candidates.

9. That during investigation the server database of the Commission was seized by the Central Bureau of Investigation containing all the data pertaining to selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.

10. That, during investigation of the case, three hard disks were recovered on 15/16.09.2022 from the residence of Pankaj Bansal, ex-employee of M/s. NYSA, located at Ghaziabad. The certificates dated 16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, from Shri. Pankaj Bansal were also obtained, in triplicate, with regard to genuineness of the date contained in these three hard-disks so recovered.

11. That, During investigation, data files containing scanned OMRs, ".DAT" files etc. pertaining to the aforesaid WBCSSC recruitment matters were also seized from M/s Data Scantech Solutions. During investigation of RC-03(A)/2022-Kol, the hash values of these Data files of Scantech Solutions were matched with the hash value of the corresponding files recovered from the hard discs seized from Pankaj Bansal and was found to be matching, which establishes that the data contained in the three hard disks recovered from Pankaj Bansal's possession were not contaminated.

12. That, a similar exercise of matching the data available on the hard disks of Pankaj Bansal with the data seized from the Commission was done during the course of investigation and it was found that there were mismatch between the two, in as much as, the written marks awarded to candidates as available on the server of the commission had been increased to qualify

undeserving candidates. This mismatch establishes that manipulation in marks of written examination in the case of many candidates was resorted to and such candidates were identified. The comparison of these actual/genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 952 nos. of candidates of IX-X, 907 nos. of candidates of XI-XII, 3481 nos. of Gr. C candidates and 2823 nos. of Gr. D candidates.

13. That, during the course of investigation, several emails were found to have been exchanged between the accused officials of the Commission, certain private persons and officials of NYSA. These emails contained lists of candidates, whose OMR marks were found to be increased in the server of the Commission. Besides this, emails have been exchanged between the staff of NYSA themselves containing manipulated data of candidates. This shows the complicity of officials of M/s NYSA in this conspiracy.

14. That, during investigation, it emerged that in the year 2019, Shri Niladri Das of M/s NYSA Communications Pvt Ltd left NYSA and started his own business in name & style of M/s ND Info Systems Pvt Ltd., Noida, and was engaged in the business of Data processing in line of M/s NYSA. The said Niladri Das poached Pankaj Bansal, Kuldeep Singh, Anoy Saha, Muzammil Hossain and others support staff from NYSA.

15. That, it has emerged from the investigation that before leaving NYSA, Niladri Das and his staffs retained all the data pertaining to recruitment examinations of WBCSSC including the data of Group C,D, Assistant Teacher (IX-X, XI – XII) with them. Even after leaving NYSA, Niladri Das, Pankaj Bansal and Muzammil Hossain kept providing assistance to WBCSSC in the matters of RTI on the basis of the data of NYSA which was manipulated and also hosted on the WBCSSC server. This also establishes that Niladri Das, Pankaj Bansal and Muzammil Hossain who were involved in the entire scam and it was in their knowledge that

data has been manipulated and therefore they continued to extend this assistance solely to avoid detection.

16. That, if there would have been no manipulations then the scanned images of OMRs available with WBCSSC were sufficient to respond to RTI queries. Investigation revealed that WBCSSC had destroyed the original OMR sheets and the scanned images of original OMR sheets in the year 2019, which again leads to an inference that the same were destroyed to keep the entire scan under wraps.

17. That, investigation establishes that as a reward for doing aforesaid manipulation in the OMR score, M/s NDISPL of Niladri das was provided work of recruitment of Teachers in Upper Primary conducted by WBCSSC. Apart from this, many other recruitment works were also assigned to Niladri Das by the Government of West Bengal. Various list of candidates related to Upper Primary were communicated to Niladri Das from S P Sinha, Sharmila Mitra, etc. Were found in the email of Niladri Das (niladri@ndispl.com) which shows his criminal conduct.

18. Result of comparison of these electronic records collected from M/s Data Scantech Solutions with that of the hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal –

(a) As discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the hard disk recovered from Pankaj Bansal contained the marks of written examination, typing test, etc. This marks when compared with marks available in WBCSSC server resulted in the identification of candidates whose marks of written examination, typing test were manipulated.

(b) M/s Data Scantech Solutions made the initial scanned images of OMR's. These scanned images were given to M/s NYSA. Pankaj Bansal retained a copy of these scanned images.

(c) That in connection with candidates of Class IX – X, XI – XII, the scanned image of OMR sheets as collected from M/s Data Scantech Solutions pertaining to the alleged candidates

whose OMR marks were found manipulated were matched with the scanned image of OMR sheets as available in the hard disk of Pankaj Bansal and the same are found identical.

(d) The Response string of candidates pertaining to IX-X and XI- XII as recovered from M/s Data Scantech Solutions matches with the Response String available in the hard disk seized from Pankaj Bansal. On the basis of these response strings the actual/ genuine OMR marks of IX-X and XI-XII candidates were determined. The comparison of these actual/ genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 952 nos. Of candidates of IX-X and 907 nos. of candidates of XI-XII.

(e) The Response string of candidates pertaining to Gr. C & Gr. D as recovered from M/s Data Scantech Solutions matches with the Response String available in the hard disk seized from Pankaj Bansal. On the basis of these response strings the actual/ genuine OMR marks of Gr. C & Gr. D candidates were determined. The comparison of these actual/ genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 3481 nos. of Gr. C candidates and 2823 nos. of Gr. D candidates.

(f) That, the investigation has established the genuineness of the data of hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal.

19. THAT, in compliance to the solemn order dated 24.01.2024 of this Hon'ble Court, three hard-disks, in original, seized from the residence of Pankaj Bansal along with original certificate dated 16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, obtained from Pankaj Bansal are being submitted before this Hon'ble Court. The three hard-disks are in sealed condition. The certificate u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, in original, obtained from Shri. Pankaj Bansal dated 16.09.2022 is annexed hereto and marked as "Annexure – A".

20. That, Hon'ble Division Bench at High Court at Calcutta in WPA 2613 of 2018 (Basanta Das Vs The State of West Bengal &

Ors) directed CBI on 24.01.2024 for production of the above mentioned three original hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal and in compliance to such direction all the said three hard disks were returned back by CFSL, Hyderabad in sealed condition and are now being produced with this report.

21. That, the present status of all the above mentioned four recruitment cases of CBI is "disposed –off from investigation", where multiple charge sheets in each such cases have been filed by CBI before the Learned Trial Court, Alipore. The alleged offences of the First Information Reports and the subsequent irregularities found during the course of investigation have been substantiated in all such cases of CBI and all the charge sheets contain the detailed investigation carried out by CBI in such cases."

306. CBI in its reports have detailed how Mr. Pankaj Bansal along with persons in the control and management of M/s NYSA kept the mirror images of the OMR sheets and were providing data as called for from time to time by SSC. SSC, in fact had responded to Right to Information Act applications providing OMR sheets to individual RTI applicants, claiming that such data was in its database. Affidavits filed by writ petitioners have borne out such facts. SSC had provided Anindita Bera, writ petitioner in WPA 5538 of 2022, a copy of OMR sheet in January 18, 2024 from the data stored in SSC data base. Nasrin Khatun who is the writ petitioner in WPA 17273 of 2021 had been supplied OMR sheet on October 12, 2023 by SSC from its database. Setab Uddin, writ petitioner in

WPA 13700 of 2021 had been provided OMR Sheet by SSC on October 18, 2018. All OMR sheets provided are scanned copies. CBI has stated that, when it took possession of the server of SSC, in it did not find any OMR sheets in the database. Obviously, the then persons in control of SSC had utilized the same data as are available in the 3 hard disks from Mr. Pankaj Bansal to provide such information to the RTI applicant.

307. The certificate dated September 16, 2022 issued by Mr. Pankaj Bansal, relates to the data contained in the 3 hard disks. Adherence to the requirement of the Section 65B, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, has to be adjudged on the conduct of the parties to the proceedings. All the relevant parties have admitted the contents of the 3 hard disks and acted on it without any demur. SSC has acknowledged manipulations/illegalities in the recommendations and withdrew/cancelled the same. State has decided at the Cabinet level to grant and create supernumerary posts to accommodate the illegal appointments. Factum, validity, legality, sufficiency, authenticity of the data stored in the seized hard disks has been proved by the conduct and actions of the relevant Article

12 authorities subsequent to the seizure of the 3 hard disks and after CBI had shared such data with the relevant authorities. CBI has given inspection to such of the parties to the proceedings who wanted inspection of the data stored therein, relevant to the party. Claim of custody of the three hard disks from the time to seizure thereof by CBI till inspections given or the data stored therein has not been broken. Insisting on further proof of such data stored in the 3 hard disks seized, for admissible of the same, would be an idle formality. Therefore, it would be improper and a travesty of justice to allow the beneficiaries of the fraud to question the contents of the 3 hard disks. They have questioned the data contained in the 3 hard disks in desperation so that the fraud committed by them remains under wraps.

308. Neither Sections 3 and 58 of the Evidence Act, 1872 on one part and Section 65B thereof on the other, mutually excludes each other. Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 cannot be read to mean that Section 3 or Section 58 of the Evidence Act, 1872 stands excluded. A fact sought to be proved under Section 65B to said to be proved when the Court believes it to exist or considers its existence probable, in lines

of Section 3. A fact which otherwise would attract Section 65B need no further proof if Section 58 conditions are satisfied.

309. In view of the discussions above, the certificate dated September 19, 2022 is held to be in conformity with Section 65B of the Evidence Act, 1872 also and the contents of the three hard disks to which it relates are admissible in evidence. The issue with regard thereto is answered in favour of the writ petitioners and as against the persons opposing the writ petitioners.

Uploading of OMR sheets

310. The order of remand dated September 9, 2023 has permitted uploading of OMR sheets available in the seized hard disks upon a finding being returned with regard to the admissibility of the data contained in such hard disks. Having answered the issue with regard to the admissibility of such data, in favour of the writ petitioners seeking uploading of such OMR sheets in the server of SSC, the fifth issue tabulated in paragraph No. 119 herein, is answered by holding that the OMR sheets available in the seized hard disks be uploaded in the server of SSC in order to compare the same with the final list available in website of SSC. This direction of uploading is

passed so that the transparency of the selection process, or the lack of it, is available in public domain.

Remaining Issues

311. For the sake of convenience, the last four issues are taken up for consideration together. Writ petitions and the orders passed therein from time to time have uncovered a scam in relation to the 2016 selection process in respect of all the 4 categories. CBI has been appointed to investigate with a SIT being constituted by the Court. CBI has registered four cases and filed charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets in all the four cases. SSC has admitted manipulations/illegalities in issuance of recommendations. Appointments have been given by the Board far in access of the recommendations issued in respect of all the 4 categories. The Board could not have issued the appointment letters without the recommendations.

Options available

312. In the factual context of the present proceedings, we have three options to explore, namely: -

i) Dismiss the writ petitions on the ground of maintainability; or

ii) Explore the possibility of segregating the valid appointments from the illegal ones; or

iii) Set aside the selection made in the selection process in its entirety.

First Option

313. First option noted above is no longer available to us in view of the decision rendered by us on the issue of maintainability of the writ petitions by us.

Second Option

314. So far as the second option is concerned few authorities have been cited at the bar on such subject.

315. In ***A. Kalaimani and others (supra)***, the selecting authority had cancelled the entire selection process. Which was challenged. Supreme Court has noted the previous authorities on the subject which were of the view that there is a vast difference in the cancellation of examination prior to the selection and the termination of services of appointed persons. In facts of that case, the selecting authority had initially conducted an inquiry on its own regarding the allegations

pertaining to manipulation of the OMR sheets. Selecting Authority had found that a few people benefited due to the tampering of the OMR sheets. On a deeper scrutiny sufficient material had been found against 196 persons who were beneficiaries of the fraud in the alteration of marks. The Selecting Authority had been convinced that there were chances of more people being involved in the manipulation of marks for which reason a decision to cancel the entire examination. Such decision of the selecting authority had been upheld.

316. ***A. Kalaimani and others (supra)*** has observed that, a bonafide decision taken by the Selecting Authority to instill confidence regarding the integrity of the selection process could not have been interfered with by the High Court. More so, sufficiency of the material on the basis of which a decision had been taken by an authority is not within the purview of the High Court in exercising its power of judicial review.

317. ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon (supra)*** has held that, only in the event it is found to be impossible or highly improbable to separate tainted cases from the non-tainted ones, could en masse orders of termination be issued. It has reviewed various authorities on the subject at that point of time. It has noticed

that, cases where the selection process was perceived to be tainted may be categorised into 4 classes. First being where the event has been investigated. Second being where CBI enquiry had taken place and completed or a preliminary investigation was concluded. Third where the selection had been made but appointment not made and fourth where the candidates were also ineligible and the appointments were found to be contrary to law or rules.

318. ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon (supra)*** has observed that, if after appointment, the services are required to be terminated then, 3 principles must be satisfied. Firstly, it has to be established satisfactorily that there are sufficient materials to arrive at the finding that the selection process was tainted. Secondly, sufficient materials have been gathered by a thorough investigation in a fair and transparent matter determining the question of the illegalities committed going to the root of the matter. Thirdly, sufficient materials exist to arrive at a finding that the appointees had been found to be part of the fraudulent purpose or the system itself was corrupt. It is also observed that an appointment made in violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India would be void. It would be a nullity.

319. In ***Rajesh P.U. Puthuvalnikathu and another (supra)***, the authorities had cancelled the entire selection process. Supreme Court in the facts of the that case has found that, there is no justification to cancel the entire selection when the impact of irregularities into the evaluation on merits could be identified specifically and was found. In the facts of that case, on a reconsideration of the entire records, it was found that the selection process had resulted in 31 specific numbers of candidates being selected undeservedly. In such circumstances, Supreme Court has observed that, in absence of any specific and categorical finding supported by any concrete and relevant materials that widespread infirmity of all pervasive nature existed which could be said to undermine the very process itself in its entirety or as whole and it was impossible to weed out the beneficiaries of one or other irregularities or illegalities, there was hardly any justification in law to deny appointment to other selected candidates whose selections were not vitiated.

320. The authorities noted above have observed that cancellation of a selection process without appointment being given therein stands on a different footing than a selection process where appointments had been granted. In the second

category Courts must shift the grains from the chaff, if possible. In the event it is impossible or highly improbable to shift the grain from the chaff then the Court may venture to cancel the entire selection process. Prior to embarking upon such an exercise, it must be satisfied that, the selection process was tainted on the basis of cogent materials. There must be sufficient materials gathered through a thorough investigation that there had been illegalities in the selection process. The appointees had benefited out of the illegalities and were a part of it and that the appointments made were contrary to law.

321. In respect of the 4 categories of the selection process involved, written examination has been held. Written examination was in the form of OMR sheets. Original OMR sheets have been destroyed by SSC. Mirror image of the destroyed OMR sheets was required to have been preserved by SSC. CBI had seized the server of SSC and did not find mirror image of such OMR sheets in the server of SSC.

322. SSC is an authority within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution and have been enjoined with the task of undertaking the selection process. Last report of CBI has stated that, SSC appointed M/s NYSA for the purpose of

scanning and evaluation the OMR sheets. M/s NYSA had engaged another organization namely, Data Scantech to do so. It is unclear as to whether, the new agency had merely scanned the OMR sheets or was instrumental in evaluating the same also or not. Chairman of SSC, in response to a query of the Court, has stated that, he was not aware of the engagement of Data Scantech in the selection process and that, in fact, he became aware of such name only from the Court, for the first time. No material has been disclosed as to who authorized M/s. NYSA to engage Data Scantech or that such instrumentality was lawfully engaged by SSC.

323. Significantly, it is the claim of SSC that scanning of the OMR sheets had taken place at its office. If that be so, then, SSC had knowingly allowed another organisation to scan the OMR sheets, namely, Data Scantech who was not authorised at all. These raises serious questions as to the integrity, sanctity and validity of the entire selection process and giving to the root of the selection process. The evaluator of the selection process had been selected through a process which, at best, shrouded in mystery if not downright illegal. Then the conduct of such evaluator is such that it affects the validity of the process of evaluation itself.

324. Significantly, M/s NYSA had been appointed without an open tender. SSC had selected M/s. NYSA ostensibly on the ground of it being the lowest tenderer from amongst a chosen few who were asked to participate. The parameters/qualification required to participate remains undisclosed despite request being made in Court to SSC. No explanation has been provided by SSC in course of the hearing as to how and why such a course of action had been undertaken in identifying the instrumentality who was to scan and evaluate the OMR sheets. Documents that had been made available on record does not suggest that, competency, efficiency and whether M/s NYSA has the requisite expertise to undertake such exercise was not considered by SSC at all. Letter of appointment of M/s NYSA contains only two lines which requires M/s NYSA to scan and evaluate the OMR sheets. No other terms and conditions of the appointment have been specified. The appointment letter has been received by one employee of M/s NYSA from the office of SSC.

325. SSC had destroyed the original OMR sheets ostensibly by keeping mirror image thereof in its server. CBI did not find those mirror images in the server of SSC. These facts have effectively ruled out an exercise of shifting the grains from the

chaff. The non-cooperative stand of SSC, State and Board had added to the burden.

326. The entire selection process is shrouded in such mystery and in such layers that it is difficult to fathom the quantum of illegalities performed. That illegalities had vitiated the selection process of M/s. NYSA stands established. We have discussed the illegalities, so far discovered, vitiating the selection process in course of our discussions herein and will tabulate the same hereafter.

327. The plausible inference from the materials made available on record and the conduct of the relevant parties is that, the entire machinery was devised for the purpose of effectuating a scam which will be hard to discover and even if discovered difficult to prove. Appointment of M/s. NYSA, destruction of original OMR and non-availability of mirror images of OMR are some of the crucial points in the fraud that came to be perpetuated in the selection process. As noted above, in course of hearing all these matters, SSC, State and Board have perseveringly non-cooperated so that even the possibility of trying to separate the grains from the chaff could be rendered nugatory.

328. What has been produced by the selection process is not a cereal comprising of grains and chaff capable of segregation but a product unfit for human consumption. Fraud perpetrated and perpetuated is deep and pervasive. Any attempt to shift the proverbial grain from the chaff would be an unprofitable exercise, prolonging the agony and would put premium on dishonesty.

329. In the facts of the present case, blank OMR sheets have been submitted and such candidates have been shown to have scored sufficiently higher number so as to be recommended for appointment and ultimately given an appointment. The exact nature of candidates fraudulently given the appointments cannot be identified, more so, in view of the attitude of noncooperation exhibited by SSC. There are other manipulations/illegalities in the selection process which have come to light. Again, exact number of persons who have benefited out of such manipulations and illegalities in the selection process cannot be identified in its entirety. Some have been identified. Identification of some is such that in respect of Group-D appointments, 48 % has been found to be obtained by manipulations/illegalities. The percentages of manipulated/illegally appointed persons across the other three

categories are on a reducing scale compared to Group-D but none the less sufficiently significant to question the validity of the entire selection process.

Third Option

330. In such circumstances, with the possibility of the second option of attempting to shift the grain from the chaff becoming inconsequential, we are left with the only option of cancelling all appointments in the four categories of the selection process involved.

331. We have given anxious consideration to the passionate plea that persons who had obtained the appointments legally would be prejudiced, if we cancel the entire selection process. The other two options being ruled out for the reasons noted above we have hardly been left with a choice. We would rather have persons of integrity appointed as teachers through an untainted selection process rather than expose students to elements securing appointments through an unscrupulous selection process. Retaining appointees selected through such a dubious process would be contrary to public interest. By dint of the tenure of service of such appointees, successive generations of students would be exposed to these elements

which would be counterproductive to public and national interest. Individual interest should yield to public interest.

332. Right to education has been recognized as part of fundamental right to life guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Right to education as a fundamental right would entail a right to receive education from duly qualified personnel. The selection process concerned does not inspire any confidence to hold that, appointed persons had been selected in a fair and transparent manner. The selection process was so mired that it was incapable of throwing up, the best in accordance with merit, from amongst the participants. Persons submitting blank OMR sheets had secured appointments, amongst other stark illegalities.

333. We are therefore constrained to hold that since the entire selection process is vitiated, all appointments given thereunder are required to be cancelled. All appointments granted thereunder are declared null and void.

334. In view of our finding that, the entire selection process stands vitiated and the appointments granted therein, has to be cancelled, issue No. vi need not be answered. Similarly, we need not detain ourselves, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, after having held that the entire selection

process stands vitiated and the appointments stands terminated, to answer issue Nos. vii and viii of paragraph 119. Suffice it to say that, appointments that have been granted in violations of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India are nullity and void ab initio.

Illegalities in the selection process

335. The evidence placed before us have established the following illegalities in the selection process: –

(i) SSC had appointed an agency namely M/s. NYSA for the purpose of scanning and evaluating the OMR sheets by a closed-door tender process in violation of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India

(ii) such agency had engaged another agency namely, Data Scantech to scan the OMR sheets

(iii) although scanning was done at the office premises of SSC, it is claimed by SSC that, SSC had never engaged Data Scantech to scan the OMR sheets or authorised M/s. NYSA to engage Data Scantech or any other agency

(iv) SSC had destroyed the original OMR sheet ostensibly with scanned mirror image thereof being preserved in its server

(v) CBI did not find any scanned mirror image of OMR sheets in the server of SSC

(vi) OMR sheets had been destroyed without the scanned mirror images being preserved in the server of SSC

(vii) SSC had provided scanned OMR sheets to RTI applicants in the year 2018 till 2023 claiming that such OMR sheets were from its database although, CBI did not find any OMR sheets in the server of SSC

(viii) appointments higher than the declared vacancies had been given in respect of all 4 categories

(ix) appointments had been given to persons who were not even in the panel

(x) appointments had been given to persons who submitted blank OMR sheets

(xi) appointments had been given persons after expiry of the panel

(xii) persons placed lower in rank had been given appointment in preference to persons placed higher in rank in the merit list

(xiii) merit list containing the marks obtained by the respective candidates had never been published

(xiv) counselling had been held subsequent to the expiry of the panel

(xv) total beneficiaries of the illegalities are yet to be identified and rendered improbable given the stand of SSC, Board and State

(xvi) SSC had applied for permission to create supernumerary posts to accommodate the illegal appointees

(xvii) Recruitment Rules governing the four categories had never been adhered to either in letter or spirit

336. These illegalities have been established by Justice Bag Committee Report, Reports and affidavits of SSC, Reports and affidavits of CBI as well as conduct of State.

337. These established illegalities, singularly and cumulatively have demarcated the contours for the Court to nagivate with regard to the reliefs ordained.

Reliefs

338. Having decided on the issues raised, and the illegalities committed in the selection process we have to now consider the reliefs warranted in the factual matrix. In considering the reliefs that may be granted, we have to take into consideration the objections raised on behalf of the persons opposing the writ petition that, reliefs beyond the pleadings and the prayers of the writ petition should not be granted. We have to bear in mind that the reliefs granted should be of such nature so as act as a disincentive if not deterrence for the sordid saga replaying itself in any manner or form.

339. Although, the ordinary rule is that the rights of the party stand crystallized on the date of institution of the proceedings, yet the Court has powers to mold the reliefs should the reliefs originally claimed by reason of subsequent events becomes inappropriate or cannot be granted. Courts can also mold the reliefs when, if a note is taken of the subsequent events, the litigation between the parties would be shortened. All that is required in such circumstances is that the subsequent event is brought to the notice of the parties and the Court so that the parties are not taken by surprise.

Courts have bounden duty not to ignore subsequent events which have occurred during the pendency of lis, when such subsequent events are brought to the notice of the Court by the parties.

340. In the facts of the present case, the writ petitioners had approached the writ Court primarily to secure employment for themselves. Orders had been passed in the writ petitions; an investigation had been carried out by CBI which disclosed a scam of an epic proportions. Order of investigation through CBI has not been interfered with by the Supreme Court. CBI has registered four cases, filed charge sheets and supplementary charge sheets therein. State has taken into consideration the subsequent events and at the level of the Cabinet decided to create supernumerary post for persons who had been granted appointments illegally. Therefore, we are obligated to take into consideration the subsequent events, mold the reliefs that the parties are entitled to. We have to provide substantial justice between the parties, take cognizance of the subsequent events and grant such relief as is just and proper.

341. ***S.S. Sharma and others (supra)*** has held that, Court should ordinarily insist on the parties being confined to their

specific written pleadings and should not be permitted to deviate from them by way of modification or supplementation except through the well-known process of formally applying for amendment.

342. ***S. Vasudeva and others (supra)*** has held that, the High Court should not travel beyond the scope of the writ petition. In the facts of that case, justification in the allotment as done by the authorities was found by the Supreme Court.

343. In ***V.K. Majotra and others (supra),*** the Supreme Court has held that, the High Court overstepped the jurisdiction in issuing directions to non-parties and considering questions which were not raised. The Court had overstepped its jurisdiction in giving a direction beyond the pleadings or the points raised by the parties during the course of the arguments. It has also observed that, if additional points are raised then the concerned parties likely to be affected should be put on notice on such additional points to satisfy the principle of natural justice.

344. In the facts of the case of the ***Ajay Dogra and others (supra)***, the Supreme Court has held that, the High Court was incorrect in issuing direction for relaxation of recruitment rule going beyond the pleadings.

345. In a challenge thrown to a land acquisition proceeding, Supreme Court in ***K.N. Farms and Industries Private Limited (supra),*** has held that, multiple writ petitions and particularly when the pleadings and reliefs claimed in the first writ petition affects the subsequent ones more so when there was delay and laches with the claim being hopelessly barred, the writ petition should be held to be not maintainable.

346. Authorities cited at the bar with regard to grant of reliefs beyond pleadings have not stated, that, there is complete embargo on the Court taking note of the subsequent events and moulding the reliefs so as to render complete justice to the parties.

347. The events that had occurred subsequently are largely results of investigations conducted by the CBI and ED which has brought forth the scam. It would be putting premium on dishonesty should the Court decide to ignore such subsequent events on the plea that the same has not been raised by the writ petitioners in the writ petitions at the time when they had filed the writ petitions. It is not the case of opposing parties to the writ petitions that, the writ petitioners had known about the nature, extent and scope of the scam that had come to light during the pendency of the writ petitions. None of the

parties to the proceedings has been taken by surprise with regard to the materials that have been brought on the record in the sense that, all the parties are aware of at least the stand of the State, CBI and SSC with regard to selection process in question. All candidates who had received appointments through the selection process had been informed by the State as to the pendency of the proceedings pursuant to our order.

348. While deciding on the reliefs that should be granted, we have to take in consideration the fact that, the selection processes were for teaching and non-teaching staff in respect of State Funded Educational Institutions. A school is a place where a ward is sent for holistic development. A school imparts education that prepares a child for his/her onward journey in life. It is imperative that the child is placed in company of persons who are not tainted.

349. Since time immemorial, every civilization has placed teachers at a pedestal of reverence. They are role models which every student by reason of they being of impressionable age have always tried to emulate. Law has recognized that teachers step into the shoes of loco parentis of the ward once the ward is placed in the educational institution. Teachers have the onerous task of inculcating values in the students. They have

the task of creating and maintaining a pristine atmosphere around a student so as to nurture the creativity of the student. It would be naïve to except such qualities from persons obtaining employment by dubious means.

350. Educational institutions have been admired, accorded special place in the society and have been ring fenced against the ills that may befall the society. Civilizations have made such attempts so that, the future generation develops in an environment conducive for attaining the dreams of an individual student and caters to the holistic development of the student. Educational Institutions are expected to produce better and evolved citizens who would be a in position to contribute to the society, nation and to mankind. Parents put their wards into educational institutions with the expectation that, such educational institution imparts a level of education so as to assist the wards to develop into a better human being.

351. Human beings are in control of any educational institution, as in other institutions. If the teacher and the non-teaching staff of such educational institution, or even a portion thereof, obtains appointments fraudulently, such teacher or nonteaching staff immediately forfeits his integrity, honesty and his ability to impart wholesome education to a child in

such an educational institution. An educational institution must be protected against such elements attempting to percolate, let alone permeate into it. It is therefore, imperative and in the beneficial interest of the society that such elements are removed from an educational institution.

352. SSC had filed CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 5406 of 2022 seeking permission for creation of supernumerary posts for the illegal appointees. When objected to by the writ petitioner, SSC had sought to withdraw such applications. Learned Single Judge had declined the request for withdrawal by the order dated November 24, 2022. A Special Leave Petition had been preferred where; an order dated November 25, 2022 had been passed.

353. In the two applications noted above, the learned Single Judge had directed CBI to undertake investigations in respect of the creation of supernumerary posts. Division Bench had refused to stay such directions. Supreme Court had, by the order dated November 25, 2022, stayed such direction.

354. Stay granted by the Supreme Court on November 25, 2022 was against the interim order passed in the pending writ petitions. Lest it be contended that, we have not directed

measures to be taken in respect of the creation of the supernumerary post, on final hearing of the writ petitions and the appeals, we deem it appropriate to pass necessary directions with regard thereto also.

355. Investigation by CBI, with regard to the creation of supernumerary posts is imperative to bring to light, the nature and extent of the scam and persons that are involved therein. It is shocking that, at the level of the cabinet of the State Government, decision is taken to protect employment obtained fraudulently in a selection process conducted by SSC for State Funded Schools, knowing fully well that, such appointments were obtained beyond the panel and after expiry of the panel, at the bare minimum.

356. The enormity of such wrong doing is accentuated by the fact that the illegal appointments are sought to be confirmed in educational institutions. Persons involved in such decision-making process therefore have exposed children to persons who obtained their employment through fraudulent means.

357. Unless there is a deep and pervasive connection between the persons perpetuating the fraud and the beneficiaries thereof with persons involved in the decision-

making process such course of action in resolving to create supernumerary posts to protect illegal appointments is inconceivable. Moreover, each of the persons involved acted in violation Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India. Whether such violations have resulted in criminal liability should be investigated into.

358. Since at least a portion of the beneficiaries of the scam that is to say that, some of the persons who were appointed beyond the panel and after expiry of the panel and appointees submitting blank OMR sheets, stands identified, it would be appropriate to direct such persons to return the benefits and usufructs they received through fraudulent means. These are proceeds of crime. Therefore, we propose to issue directions for return and recovery thereof.

359. Their role in the entire episode should also be investigated into. Consequently, we propose to issue directions with regard thereto also. It is imperative that their role is also investigated into so as to identify, if possible, the manner in which, the fraud came to be executed.

Exception

360. During the pendency of these proceedings, learned single Judge had taken note of the plight of one candidate

namely, Ms. Soma Das and directed registration of a writ petition being WPA 6836 of 2022 with regard thereto. Ms. Soma Das had subsequently filed WPA 6942 of 2022 in which an order dated April 18, 2022 was passed by the learned single Judge. There, the learned single Judge had taken note of the medical condition of Ms. Soma Das and requested the State Government to consider providing her an employment purely on humanitarian grounds.

361. In course of hearing of the matters, before us, it has been submitted that, Court should make an exception so far as Ms. Soma Das is concerned since, her appointment was purely on humanitarian grounds.

362. We have considered the order dated April 18, 2022 passed in WPA 6942 of 2022 and find that, request for consideration of appointment of Ms. Soma Das was made purely on humanitarian grounds due to the medical conditions of such person. Ms. Soma Das had been granted appointment by the State Government purely on humanitarian grounds. Consequently, we propose not to disturb her appointment as, the State had granted her appointment on humanitarian grounds. She will stand outside the directions issued hereafter.

Directions

363. In view of the discussions above, we issue the following directions: -

(i) Writ petitions appearing in the monthly list of March, 2024 of this Bench, which are not filed and numbered in the years 2021 and 2022 are released from the list due to lack of jurisdiction/determination.

(ii) All appointments granted in the selection processes involved being violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India, are declared null and void and cancelled.

(iii) OMR sheets available in the three hard disks, if not already done or such portion not done, must be uploaded in the website of SSC forthwith and made available to the public for viewing.

(iv) Persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest

calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till deposit, within a period of four weeks from date.

(v) In default, the District Magistrates under whose jurisdictions, such candidates reside, will take expeditious steps to realize such amount from such persons, as arrears of land revenue and shall ensure that recovery is made within a period of six weeks of the date of initiation of proceeding for recovery.

(vi) Respective District Inspectors of School will report to the respective District Magistrates as to whether money directed to be paid by the persons concerned have been paid to the State exchequer or not.

(vii) CBI will undertake further investigation in respect of all the four cases. CBI will interrogate all persons who had received appointments beyond the panel, after expiry of the panel and after submitting blank OMR sheets. If necessary, CBI shall undertake custodial interrogation in respect of each of them.

(viii) CBI will undertake further investigations with regard to the persons involved, in the State Government approving creation of supernumerary post to

accommodate illegal appointments. If necessary, CBI will undertake custodial interrogation of such person involved.

(ix) CBI shall submit its reports with regard to further investigations as directed herein, preferably within three months from date, with the jurisdictional Court.

(x) Leave granted to SIT to seek appropriate directions so that the investigations and trials come to their logical conclusions.

(xi) SSC shall undertake a fresh selection process in respect the declared vacancies involved in these selection processes prefereably within a fortnight from the date of declaration of results of the ensuing elections.

(xii) Appointments for preparation, evaluation and scanning of OMR sheets shall be made by SSC by open tender and after declaring the eligibility citeria and other terms and conditions of the contract.

(xiii) SSC shall follow the Rules governing the selection processes in letter and spirit.

(xiv) SSC shall make available all policy decisions with regard to compliance of the Recruitment Rules governing any of the categories of the selection process in its website.

Conclusion

364. WPA 7592 of 2021, WPA 8003 of 2021, WPA 8264 of 2021, WPA 10938 of 2021, WPA 10947 of 2021, WPA 10949 of 2021, WPA 10960 of 2021, WPA 13700 of 2021, WPA 13701 of 2021, WPA 13721 of 2021, WPA 13727 of 2021, WPA 13863 of 2021, WPA 13885 of 2021, WPA 15137 of 2021, WPA 15154 of 2021, WPA 16443 of 2021, WPA 16444 of 2021, WPA 16448 of 2021, WPA 16450 of 2021, WPA 16476 of 2021, WPA 16481 of 2021, WPA 16484 of 2021, WPA 16487 of 2021, WPA 16489 of 2021, WPA 16505 of 2021, WPA 16519 of 2021, WPA 16858 of 2021, WPA 16859 of 2021, WPA 16860 of 2021, WPA 16879 of 2021, WPA 16880 of 2021, WPA 16889 of 2021, WPA 16896 of 2021, WPA 16902 of 2021, WPA 16930 of 2021, WPA 16948 of 2021, WPA 16960 of 2021, WPA 16968 of 2021, WPA 17273 of 2021, WPA 18379 of 2021, WPA 18381 of 2021, WPA 18383 of 2021, WPA 18385 of 2021, WPA 18387 of 2021, WPA 18388 of 2021, WPA 18460 of 2021, WPA 18470 of 2021, WPA 18487 of

2021, WPA 18491 of 2021, WPA 18496 of 2021, WPA 18499 of 2021, WPA 18801 of 2021, WPA 18994 of 2021, WPA 19475 of 2021, WPA 18995 of 2021, WPA 19477 of 2021, WPA 19478 of 2021, WPA 19580 of 2021, WPA 20906 of 2021, WPA 21258 of 2021, WPA 21261 of 2021, WPA 21263 of 2021, WPA 21266 of 2021, WPA 21267 of 2021, WPA 21268 of 2021, WPA 21317 of 2021, WPA 21430 of 2021, WPA 3665 of 2021, WPA 10772 of 2021, WPA 12266 of 2021, WPA 17068 of 2021, WPA 18585 of 2021, WPA 19977 of 2021, WPA 20070 of 2021, WPA 3654 of 2021, WPA 10764 of 2021, WPA 12270 of 2021, WPA 17048 of 2021, WPA 18589 of 2021, WPA 18590 of 2021, WPA 18593 of 2021, WPA 19975 of 2021, WPA 2898 of 2021, WPA 2903 of 2021, WPA 7982 of 2021, WPA 8266 of 2021, WPA 10316 of 2021, WPA 10929 of 2021, WPA 16936 of 2021, WPA 18475 of 2021, WPA 19000 of 2021, WPA 21312 of 2021, WPA 21386 of 2021 along with all other connected applications are disposed of accordingly.

365. WPA 781 of 2022, WPA 1618 of 2022, WPA 5538 of 2022, WPA 5786 of 2022, WPA 5788 of 2022, WPA 6550 of 2022, WPA 7346 of 2022, WPA 7347 of 2022, WPA 8059 of 2022, WPA 16935 of 2022, WPA 20389 of 2022, WPA 21332 of 2022, WPA 21334 of 2022, WPA 21340 of 2022, WPA 21344 of

2022, WPA 21346 of 2022, WPA 21349 of 2022, WPA 21350 of 2022, WPA 25380 of 2022, WPA 26770 of 2022, WPA 27886 of 2022, WPA 28197 of 2022, WPA 6754 of 2022,WPA 8598 of 2022, WPA 10211 of 2022, WPA 14630 of 2022, WPA 14670 of 2022, WPA 15359 of 2022, WPA 19053 of 2022, WPA 19916 of 2022, WPA 20028 of 2022, WPA 27164 of 2022, WPA 27166 of 2022, WPA 27168 of 2022, WPA 8614 of 2022, WPA 10213 of 2022, WPA 14525 of 2022, WPA 14634 of 2022, WPA 15360 of 2022, WPA 17340 of 2022, WPA 19060 of 2022, WPA 20030 of 2022, WPA 27161 of 2022, WPA 1637 of 2022, WPA 5405 of 2022, WPA 5406 of 2022, WPA 13431 of 2022, WPA 22845 of 2022, WPA 25379 of 2022, WPA 26756 of 2022, WPA 27457 of 2022 along with all other connected applications are disposed of accordingly.

366. WPA 30649 of 2016, WPA 30653 of 2016, WPA 30065 of 2017, WPA 2613 of 2018, WPA 22522 of 2018, WPA 22523 of 2018, WPA 22550 of 2018, WPA 22773 of 2018, WPA 22780 of 2018, WPA 22782 of 2018, WPA 22785 of 2018, WPA 22973 of 2018, WPA 13113 of 2018, WPA 18034 of 2018, WPA 12662 of 2018, WPA 13105 of 2018, WPA 22777 of 2018, WPA 22971 of 2018, WPA 16844 of 2019, WPA 18355 of 2019, WPA 19273 of 2019, WPA 19278 of 2019, WPA 19749 of 2019, WPA 20404

of 2019, WPA 20776 of 2019, WPA 20778 of 2019, WPA 21665 of 2019, WPA 18100 of 2019, WPA 18627 of 2019, WPA 20045 of 2019, WPA 21923 of 2019, WPA 22119 of 2019, WPA 23259 of 2019, WPA 23454 of 2019, WPA 23946 of 2019, WPA 20034 of 2019, WPA 20022 of 2019, WPA 20029 of 2019, WPA 20039 of 2019, WPA 18352 of 2019, WPA 21154 of 2019, WPA 22076 of 2019, WPA 23064 of 2019, WPA 23480 of 2019, WPA 23481 of 2019, WPA 4835 of 2020, WPA 8078 of 2020, WPA 8555 of 2020, WPA 11455 of 2020, WPA 3476 of 2020, WPA 6887 of 2020, WPA 7425 of 2020, WPA 7616 of 2020, WPA 7630 of 2020, WPA 8536 of 2020, WPA 362 of 2023, WPA 1062 of 2023, WPA 1066 of 2023, WPA 1070 of 2023, WPA 1072 of 2023, WPA 1075 of 2023, WPA 1369 of 2023, WPA 1466 of 2023, WPA 3771 of 2023, WPA 4206 of 2023, WPA 4841 of 2023, WPA 4989 of 2023, WPA 5087 of 2023, WPA 5379 of 2023, WPA 5604 of 2023, WPA 5609 of 2023, WPA 2081 of 2023, WPA 2149 of 2023, WPA 2151 of 2023, WPA 2154 of 2023, WPA 2172 of 2023, WPA 2175 of 2023, WPA 2179 of 2023, WPA 2182 of 2023, WPA 2215 of 2023, WPA 2496 of 2023, WPA 2760 of 2023, WPA 2967 of 2023, WPA 2984 of 2023, WPA 3126 of 2023, WPA 3399 of 2023, WPA 3652 of 2023, WPA 3658 of 2023, WPA 3661 of 2023, WPA 3664 of

2023, WPA 3666 of 2023, WPA 3846 of 2023, WPA 3859 of 2023, WPA 3926 of 2023, WPA 3931 of 2023, WPA 3935 of 2023, WPA 3990 of 2023, WPA 4117 of 2023, WPA 4213 of 2023, WPA 4313 of 2023, WPA 4522 of 2023, WPA 4556 of 2023, WPA 5134 of 2023, WPA 5464 of 2023, WPA 5526 of 2023, WPA 5531 of 2023, WPA 5797 of 2023, WPA 5799 of 2023, WPA 5953 of 2023, WPA 6164 of 2023, WPA 6210 of 2023, WPA 6213 of 2023, WPA 6282 of 2023, WPA 6577 of 2023, WPA 6854 of 2023, WPA 6859 of 2023, WPA 6915 of 2023, WPA 7370 of 2023, WPA 7528 of 2023, WPA 7831 of 2023, WPA 7952 of 2023, WPA 9105 of 2023, WPA 9327 of 2023, WPA 10387 of 2023, WPA 10614 of 2023, WPA 12557 of 2023, WPA 13588 of 2023, WPA 14824 of 2023, WPA 17679 of 2023, WPA 18401 of 2023, WPA 19126 of 2023, WPA 19604 of 2023, WPA 19605 of 2023, WPA 19869 of 2023, WPA 21000 of 2023, WPA 21211 of 2023, WPA 22796 of 2023, WPA 23761 of 2023, WPA 24247 of 2023, WPA 26848 of 2023, WPA 160 of 2023, WPA 1079 of 2023, WPA 1080 of 2023, WPA 1083 of 2023, WPA 1086 of 2023, WPA 2077 of 2023, WPA 2511 of 2023, WPA 2982 of 2023, WPA 3463 of 2023, WPA 4519 of 2023, WPA 4715 of 2023, WPA 7031 of 2023, WPA 9315 of 2023, WPA 10617 of 2023, WPA 10724 of 2023, WPA 14104 of

2023, WPA 18400 of 2023, WPA 21210 of 2023, WPA 21999 of 2023, WPA 22860 of 2023, WPA 23204 of 2023, WPA 23652 of 2023, WPA 24930 of 2023, WPA 25669 of 2023, WPA 366 of 2024 along with all connected applications are released from the list.

367. So far as the appeals are concerned, all appeals emanating out of orders passed by the learned Single Judge in writ petitions filed in 2021 and 2022 are disposed of in terms of the directions passed herein. Appeals arising out of orders passed by the larned Single Judge in writ petitions other than writ petitions filed in 2021 and 2022 are released from the list.

368. MAT 85 of 2023, MAT 124 of 2023, MAT 245 of 2023, MAT 290 of 2023, MAT 304 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023, MAT 259 of 2023, MAT 274 of 2023, MAT 275 of 2023, MAT 276 of 2023, MAT 284 of 2023, MAT 318 of 2023, MAT 336 of 2023, MAT 334 of 2023, MAT 338 of 2023, MAT 342 of 2023, MAT 343 of 2023, MAT 344 of 2023, MAT 345 of 2023, MAT 346 of 2023, MAT 358 of 2023, MAT 359 of 2023, MAT 361 of 2023, MAT 382 of 2023, MAT 443 of 2023, MAT 457 of 2023, MAT 458 of 2023, MAT 476 of 2023, MAT 502 of 2023, MAT 470 of 2023, MAT 480 of 2023, MAT 521 of 2023, MAT 199 of 2023, MAT 950 of 2023, MAT 1302 of 2023, MAT 1304 of 2023 along

with all other connected applications are disposed of accordingly.

369. MAT 244 of 2023 and MAT 557 of 2023 along with all other connected applications are released from the list.

[DEBANGSU BASAK, J.]

370. I agree.

[MD. SHABBAR RASHIDI, J.]

Later :-

Learned advocates opposing the writ petitions as also learned advocate appearing for SSC have prayed for stay of the operation of the judgement and order.

Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the writ petitioners and learned Additional Solicitor General for CBI have opposed the prayer for stay.

We have considered the respective submissions.

We have passed our judgement and order where we have found the appointments to be in violation of the constitutional provisions.

In such circumstances, we are unable to accede to the prayer for stay.

Department will take steps to have the judgement and order bound in an appropriate form.

[DEBANGSU BASAK, J.]

I agree.

[MD. SHABBAR RASHIDI, J.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

(Arising out of the final order and judgment in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta)

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

	BETWEEN	**POSITION OF PARTIES**	
		Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta	Before this Hon'ble Court
1.	The State of West Bengal, Service through the Secretary, School Education Department (Secondary Branch), having its office at Bikash Bhavan, Bidhan Nagar, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700091.	Respondent No. 1	Petitioner No. 1
	Versus		
1.	Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) wife of Goutam Chatterjee and daughter of Adyanath Bhattacharyya residing at Village-Andul Dakshin Para, Post Office – Andul-Mouri, Police Station-Sankrail, District-Howrah-711302	Petitioner No. 1	Respondent No. 1

2.	Molly Roy (Ghosh) wife of Satyapriya Ray and daughter of Manabendra Nath Ghosh, residing at Post office-Parbangla (Siva Kali Mandir Lane), Police Station-Mahaeshtala, District-South 24 Parganas, Pin-700 140.	Petitioner No. 2	Respondent No. 2
3.	Suparna De wife of Pradip Kumar De and daughter of Saktipada De, residing at Village and post office-Sehara Bazar, District-Burdwan, Pin-713 423.	Petitioner No. 3	Respondent No. 3
4.	Sujit Kumar Pal son of Ajit Kumar Pal, residing at Village and Post office- Burar, District- Burdwan, Pin-713 424.	Petitioner No. 4	Respondent No. 4
5.	Namita Khan daughter of Siddheswar Khan, residing at Village and Kandakhola, Post Police Office Station- Santipur, District-Nadia, Pin-741404.	Petitioner No. 5	Respondent No. 5
6.	Aloke Kumar Khan son of Bhaktadas Khan, residing at Kalinagar Para, Post office-Kaina, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.	Petitioner No. 6	Respondent No. 6
7.	Tanima Mondal daughter of Harendra Krishna Monda!, residing at Danga Para, Post office- Kaina,	Petitioner No. 7	Respondent No. 7

	Police Station- Kaina, District-Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.		
8.	Nirmala Ghosh daughter of late Naresh Chandra Ghosh, residing at Post office- Kaina, Police station-Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713409.	Petitioner No. 8	Respondent No. 8
9.	Anita Bhattacharyya wife of Sanjoy Basu and daughter of late Amiyangshu Bhattacharya, residing at 2 No. Udaypur, Post office-Nimta, Kolkata- 700 049.	Petitioner No. 9	Respondent No. 9
10.	Kakaly Ganguly alias Kakali Ganguli (Choudhury) wife of Amitava Choudhury, and daughter of Prasanta Kumar Ganguly, residing at Lala Lajpat Sarani Saheb Kachhari Para, Post office- Balurghat, District- Dakshin Dinajpur, Pin- 733 101.	Petitioner No. 10	Respondent No. 10
11.	Chandrima Sengupta wife of Sankar Prasad Sengupta and daughter of Dilip Kumar Das, residing at Bisharpara, Saptagram, Post office- Bisharpara, Police Station- Nimta, Kolkata- 700 158.	Petitioner No. 11	Respondent No. 11
12.	12. Bhaswati Chakraborti daughter of Bhupen Chakrabarty, residing at 3/44 Dakshin Behala	Petitioner No. 12	Respondent No. 12

	Road·, Post office- Sarsuna, BLK/MUN/Police station- Thakurpukur, Kolkata- 700 061.		
13.	Sanchita Pradhan daughter of late Suk:hamay Pradhan, residing at Bardhaman Residency-2, 196, Kachari Road, Post office- Burdwan, District- Burdwan, Pin 713101.	Petitioner No. 13	Respondent No. 13
14.	Ram Chandra Pal son of Madhusudan Pal, residing at Old Calcutta Road, Bank Park, Post office- Talpukur, District- 24 Parganas, (North), Pin-700123.	Petitioner No. 14	Respondent No. 14
15.	The West Bengal Central School Service Commission, service through the Secretary, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & ll/1, Kolkata- 700 091, West Bengal.	Respondent No. 2	Respondent No. 15
16.	The Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata- 700 09.	Respondent No. 3	Respondent No. 16
17.	The Secretary, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at Acharya Sadan,	Respondent No. 4	Respondent No. 17

Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE -11 &
11/1, Kolkata- 700 091.

TO
THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA
AND HIS HON'BLE COMPANION JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF THE PETITIONER ABOVENAMED

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

1. That the instant Special Leave Petition is filed assailing the common Final Order and Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.*" wherein the Hon'ble Division Bench has while adjudicating a batch of matters has clubbed together a host of issues, and instead of segregating the valid appointments, which could not have formed part of the adjudication as opposed to the alleged illegal ones has erroneously set aside the selection process in its entirety, which affects nearly 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff in the State of West Bengal, while also directing the persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till deposit, within a period of four weeks from date of the Impugned Order.

2. QUESTIONS OF LAW

I. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has gravely erred in cancelling the appointment of all the teachers without examining each case of the teachers to assess the correctness of their appointment?

II. Whether the direction passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court is in teeth the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon & Ors vs. State of Punjab & Ors*** **2006 11 SCC 356**, as no case for cancellation of the entire selection process was made out, and there was no occasion for the Hon'ble High Court to set aside/cancel the entire recruitment?

III. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has erred in straightaway termination of 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff from service with immediate effect, without giving sufficient time to the Petitioner State to deal with such an exigency, rendering the education system at a stand-still?

IV. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has failed to stay the operation of the order until fresh appointments are made by the SSC in terms of the directions contained in para 363 (xi) of the Impugned order?

V. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has failed to appreciate that the material placed on record by the SSC and CBI after extensive scrutiny by no stretch of imagination indicates that the entire selection process was tainted?

VI. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has failed to consider the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 25.11.2022 in SLP (C) NO. 22731-22732 of 2022, titled- "*State of West*

Bengal versus Sandeep Prasad and Ors" wherein this Hon'ble Court has stayed the order dated 24.11.2022 passed by the Ld. Single Judge directing CBI investigation on the prayer of SSC to create supernumerary post?

VII. Whether the Hon'ble High Court on facts has failed appreciate that the creation of such supernumerary post was a policy decision to instill public confidence and it was made subject to the outcome of the pending litigation (s) before the Hon'ble High Court and whimsically directed CBI investigation on the issue of creation of supernumerary post?

VIII. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has erroneously directed a CBI investigation on the cabinet's decision to create conditional supernumerary post when not a single appointment was made to to the said supernumerary posts till date, except for the said Smt. Soma Das whose appointment against supernumerary posts has been upheld by the Hon'ble High Court vide impugned order as an exception?

IX. Whether the Hon'ble High Court has erred in passing order of CBI investigation on creation of conditional supernumerary post which was not even an issue before it and without calling for response from the Petitioner?

X. Whether the Hon'ble High Court erred by passing a direction in relation to all the appointments made pursuant to 2016 selection process, when a subject matter of the same selection process relating to rank jumping is already under consideration before this Hon'ble Court in SLP (C) 16152

of 2023- *Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors* and this Hon'ble Court has passed interim order on 24.07.2023?

3. DECLARATION IN TERMS OF RULE 3(2)

The Petitioner states that no other petition seeking Special Leave to Appeal has been filed against the final order and judgment in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

4. DECLARATION IN TERMS OF RULE 5

The Annexures P-1 to P22 produced along with the Special Leave Petition are true copies of the pleadings/documents which form part of the record in the court below against whose order leave to appeal is sought in the present petition.

5. GROUNDS

That the Petitioner herein seeks Leave to Appeal in the instant matter on the following grounds inter alia: -

A. BECAUSE the Hon'ble Division Bench has while adjudicating a batch of matters has clubbed together a host of issues, and instead of segregating the valid appointments, which could not have formed part of the adjudication as opposed to the alleged illegal ones has erroneously set aside the selection process in its entirety, which affects nearly 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff in the State of West Bengal, while also directing the persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest

calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till deposit, within a period of four weeks from date of the Impugned Order.

B. BECAUSE to extensive scrutiny by the SSC based on the CBI Enquiry reports and various documents produced by the writ petitioners before the Hon'ble High, various irregularities were found in the selection process as per the following table which is relied upon by the Hon'ble High Court at Para 240 of the Impugned Order, and which is culled out hereinbelow for the ready reference of this Hon'ble Court:

Sl. No.	Post Name	Class Level	Total Recommendation	OMR Issue	Rank Jumping	Alleged Irregularity	Alleged Irregularity in percentage
1	Assistant Teacher	IX-X Level	11610	808	185	993	8.50%
2	Assistant Teacher	XI-XII Level	5596	771	39	810	14.47%
3	Group C (Clerk)		2037	783		783	38.43%
4	Group D		3880	1741		1741	44.87%
	TOTAL		**23123**	**4103**	**224**	**4327**	

C. BECAUSE the Impugned Order, the Hon'ble High Court, basis oral submissions, without any affidavit on record, has proceeded to in a cursory manner, direction to cancel all appointments of teachers and non-teaching staff, in utter disregard to the fact that the same will lead to a huge vacuum in the State Schools, unless new selection process is completed by the SSC, especially when the new academic sessions is on its brim, leading to the students being adversely impacted.

D. BECAUSE is most respectfully submitted that the Impugned order is further in teeth of the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon & Ors vs. State of Punjab & Ors*** **2006 11 SCC 356**, as no case for cancellation of the entire selection process was made out, and there was no occasion for the Hon'ble High Court to set aside/cancel the entire recruitment, when the allegedly wrongful and illegal appointments identified by the SSC based on the CBI Report, could have as per law been set aside, after hearing the aggrieved parties. However, the Hon'ble Court has instead of separating the grains from the chaff, has proceeded to paint the entire selection process with the same colour of irregularity, leaving the State Govt. as the sole authority responsible to maintain the Teacher-Pupil ratio in schools in a precarious position.

E. BECAUSE what is further strange, is that the Hon'ble High Court has further transgressed the issues before it, and proceeded to direct an investigation by the CBI, into the policy decision taken by the cabinet for the creation of conditional supernumerary posts for accommodating the teachers whose appointment was found to be irregular. Even though eventually no appointments were made in those posts, and especially when this Hon'ble Court is in seisen of the said issue, and the Hon'ble High Court was not called upon to adjudicate the same.

F. BECAUSE the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 was passed for the establishment of the School Service Commission for the selection of persons for

appointment to the posts of Teachers and Non-teaching staff in different State-funded schools in the State of West Bengal.

G. BECAUSE briefly, the appointment of teaching and non-teaching staff in State-funded schools is made based on the aggregate results of the State Level Selection Test ("**SLST**") comprising written test, evaluation of academic qualifications and personality tests of the candidates. The process begins with the publication of a vacancy through public advertisement followed by State wide SLST. On the basis of the aggregate marks obtained in written tests, evaluation of academic qualifications and personality tests of the candidates SSC prepares a panel in order of merit , the strength of which is equal to the number of vacancies, the SSC also prepares a panel of the waiting list of the candidates who have appeared in the personality test and have not been disqualified, the validity of panel and wait list is of one year. Thereafter, the SSC holds counselling with a view to recommend the name of the candidate from the panel for appointment against each vacancy. Upon the recommendation of the SSC, a candidate is issued an appointment letter by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education ("**WBBSE**").

H. BECAUSE in the year 2016 a notification was issued by the SSC inviting applications for SLST. Pursuant to the conclusion of the examination a merit panel was created and candidates were offered appointment. However, unsuccessful candidates approached the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court by filing various petitions challenging 2016 selection process conducted by SSC for recruitment to the post of Group D and

C in terms of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for the Appointment to Non- Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes IX and X in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Post of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2006 and to the post of Assistant Teacher in classes XI and XII in terms of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to Post of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016.

I. BECAUSE orders have been passed by the Hon'ble High Court from time to time cancelling the appointments of several such candidates on the grounds that their appointments were made illegally by manipulating the evaluation process. These orders were assailed by the affected parties before the Division Bench and ultimately before this Hon'ble Court.

J. BECAUSE on 09.11.2023, this Hon'ble Court while dealing with the batch of petitions arising from the said selection process in SLP (C) No. 4078-4079/2023-"*Achinta Kumar Mondal & Ors. Etc. versus Laxmi Tunga & Ors. Etc.*", directed that **all the issues relating to 2016 appointments should be examined by a Division Bench of the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court and render its finding on the final merits of the case**.

K. BECAUSE in view of the direction passed by this Hon'ble Court, the Ld. Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court took up the batch matters relating to the irregularities in the

2016 selection process wherein the impugned orders came to be passed.

L. BECAUSE the Hon'ble High Court failed to appreciate the ramification of cancelling the entire selection process leading to straightaway termination of 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff from service with immediate effect, without giving sufficient time to the Petitioner State to deal with such an exigency, rendering the education system at a stand-still. That even though as per the CBI enquiry report and the affidavit by the SSC irregularity in appointments were only found for 4,327 teachers and non teaching staff, the Impugned Order on its own wisdom strikes at the legal and valid selections of 23,123 teachers, which was not found to be riddled with any anomaly as per the chargesheet filed by CBI concluding the investigation.

M. BECAUSE the Hon'ble High Court further mechanically directed that the SSC shall undertake a fresh selection process in respect of the declared vacancies involved in these selection processes preferably within a fortnight from the date of declaration of results of the ensuing elections, without considering that, till the aforesaid process is completely by the SSC and actual appointments are made pursuant thereto, the Schools in the Petitioner State will be seriously understaffed which will severely affect the students in such schools.

N. BECAUSE moreover, Schedule of the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act, 2009 prescribes that the appropriate government is bound to maintain the Student to Teacher ratio of 40:1 at the elementary level. As a standard

practice same ratio is also maintained by the State in the Secondary level. The Hon'ble High Court has failed to appreciate that the Petitioner State is bound maintain such student to teacher ratio for effective impartment of knowledge.

O. BECAUSE this Hon'ble Court in ***Inderpreet Singh Kahlon & Ors vs. State of Punjab & Ors*** **2006 11 SCC 356** held that if the services of the appointees who had put in few years of service were terminated; following factors need to be established [at Para 40]:

a. Satisfaction in regard to the sufficiency of the materials collected so as to enable the State to arrive at its satisfaction that the selection process was tainted;
b. Determine the question that the illegalities committed go to the root of the matter which vitiate the entire selection process. Such satisfaction as also the sufficiency of materials was required to be gathered by reason of a thorough investigation in a fair and transparent manner;
c. Whether the sufficient material present enabled the State to arrive at satisfaction that the officers in majority have been found to be part of the fraudulent purpose or the system itself was corrupt.

P. BECAUSE admittedly, the Hon'ble High Court failed to appreciate that the material placed on record by the SSC and CBI after extensive scrutiny by no stretch of imagination indicates that the entire selection process was tainted and as a consequence all the appointments made thereto suffers from

grave irregularity. In fact, the Hon'ble High Court proceeds on a premise that further scrutiny of the selection might reveal more irregularity, which is purely based on conjecture and surmises and not supported by any cogent material on record.

Q. BECAUSE surprisingly, the SSC and CBI have filed multiple reports in addition to the materials produced by the writ petitioner which clearly elicits a tangible data of limited illegal appointments, and therefore, the Hon'ble High Court erred in law by cancelling the entire selection process by ignoring the specific data on purported illegal appointment, which formed the foundation of the said directions.

R. BECAUSE in fact, the Hon'ble High Court at Para 252 of the Impugned order records that the CBI has already completed its investigation and chargesheets are already filed. Therefore, further scrutiny and revelation of further irregularity is very unlikely, and therefore, cancelling the entire selection process, and passing an en masse order, was neither required nor called for in the present case.

S. BECAUSE the Hon'ble High failed to consider that the subject matter related to "rank jump" is pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Court in SLP (C) 16152 of 2023 *(Sanju Ghosh and Ors Vs Laxmi Tunga and Ors),* wherein the judgement and final order dated 18.05.2022 in MAT 535 of 2022 is challenged by the appointees to the Group D posts.

T. BECAUSE this Hon'ble Court issued notice in the said SLP vide Order 24.07.2023 and directed that the operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed until further orders in the said SLP which has not been varied till date.

U. BECAUSE in fact, the Hon'ble Bench while passing the order dated 09.11.2023 consciously kept the issue pending before another Hon'ble Bench regarding rank jump out of the scope of remand order by using the phrase "*present set of petitions/appeals are disposed of*".

V. BECAUSE the SSC had filed CAN 2 of 2022 in WPA 5538 of 2022 and CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 5406 of 2022 seeking permission for creation of supernumerary posts for the appointees whose appointments were cancelled by an interim order subject to final outcome of the proceedings before the Hon'ble Court.

W. BECAUSE however, the SSC had during the course of the hearing, sought liberty to withdraw such applications but the Ld. Single Judge declined the request for withdrawal by the order dated 24.11.2022 and directed CBI to investigate the origin of such application as it is sought to be done by way of the impugned order.

X. BECAUSE it is pertinent to note that the Petitioner State had preferred SLP (C) NO. 22731-22732 of 2022, titled- "*State of West Bengal versus Sandeep Prasad and Ors*", against the aforesaid order, wherein this Hon'ble Court vide Order dated 25.11.2022 was pleased to stay the order passed by the Ld. Single Judge till further orders in the said SLP which is presently pending adjudication before this Hon'ble Court.

Y. BECAUSE to the utter shock and dismay of the Petitioner herein, the Hon'ble High Court though refers to the aforesaid facts including the pendency of the petition and the interim order operating as on date, but deliberately overreaches the said interim order passed by this Hon'ble Court to revive the

directions given by the Ld. Single Judge vide order dated 24.11.2022 to investigate the purported Cabinet Note suggesting creation of conditional supernumerary posts, which is not only patently illegal, but unheard of.

Z. BECAUSE the background for creation of such posts is detailed in Cabinet notes which reveals that while taking the decision for creation of supernumerary posts, a number of cases were pending before the Hon'ble Single Bench and Ld. Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court alleging that recommendation/appointment were given either in violation of merit or recommendation/appointment were given beyond panel/waitlist.

AA. BECAUSE at the relevant point of time in order to instill the confidence of general public, and to meet up the grievances of candidates affected by Rank Jumping appointment, the State Government took a decision to create supernumerary posts to accommodate persons affected by Rank Jumping appointment, in public interest.

BB. BECAUSE creation of such posts was not absolute but it was created with rider that appointment to such posts will be subject to outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

CC. BECAUSE it may be mentioned here that the selection to the said posts started in 2016 but couldn't finalized for long due to court cases, resulting vacancies of teachers at school levels and adverse Pupil Teachers Ratio in many schools of the state.

DD. BECAUSE in the meantime, in WPA No. 6942 of 2022, (Soma Das v. State of West Bengal). The Petitioner therein alleged that in spite of her merit in waitlist 186 rank, she was not recommended whereas candidates not even in the waitlist/ panel got recommendation and appointment. The Hon'ble Court requested the State Government to look into the matter of the petitioner so that, if possible, she can be engaged in any future vacancy.

EE. BECAUSE the Impugned Order further loses sight of the fact that there is no appointment to the said supernumerary posts till date, except for the said Smt. Soma Das whose appointment against supernumerary posts has been upheld by the Hon'ble High Court vide impugned order as an exception.

FF. BECAUSE in an unfortunate turn of events, the issue pertaining to the creation of the conditional supernumerary posts was not raised before the High Court, and at no stage was the state govt called upon to respond to the allegations, either before the Ld. Single Judge, Ld. Division Bench or the Ld. Special Bench which passed the Impugned Order. This lack of opportunity being granted to the petitioners not only vitiates the principles of natural justice, where the petitioners are being condemned without placing their stand on record, but further transgresses the issues which the high court was seized upon to adjudicate and further disregards the proceedings pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court where the very issue arises and has been stayed by this Hon'ble Court.

6. GROUNDS FOR INTERIM RELIEF

A. Because the balance of convenience is in favour of the grant of interim stay on the final order and judgment in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

B. Because the petitioner has a very good case on merits and a reasonable likelihood of success before this Hon'ble Court.

C. Because In light of the above irreparable loss and injury would be occasioned if an ad-interim ex parte stay is not granted.

***7.* MAIN PRAYER**

The petitioner, therefore, most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:

a) Grant Special Leave under Article 136 of the Constitution of India to prefer an appeal against the final order and judgment in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West Bengal & Ors.*" passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

b) Pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, just and necessary.

8. INTERIM RELIEF PRAYER

This Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to:


a) Pass ad interim ex-parte stay of the operation of the final order and judgment in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. versus State of West*

Bengal & Ors." passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta;

b) Pass interim order in terms of prayer;

c) pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit, just, and necessary.

AND FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS THE PETITIONERS AS IN DUTY BOUND SHALL EVER PRAY.



DRAWN AND FILED BY

[ASTHA SHARMA]

Advocate for the Petitioner

DRAWN ON:

FILED ON: 24.04.2024

NEW DELHI

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:


STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

CERTIFICATE

Certified that the Special Leave Petition is confined only to the pleadings before the court whose order is challenged, and the other documents relied upon in those proceedings. No additional facts, documents or grounds have been taken therein or relied upon in the Special Leave Petition. It is further certified that the copies of the documents/annexures attached to the Special Leave Petition are necessary to answer the questions of law raised in the petition or to make out grounds urged in the Special Leave Petition for consideration of this Hon'ble Court. This certificate is given on the basis of the instructions given by the Petitioner/person authorized by the Petitioner whose affidavit is filed in support of the SLP.



(**ASTHA SHARMA**)

Advocate for the Petitioner

FILED ON: 24.04.2024
NEW DELHI

SL. No. C-312 Dt. 23/04/2024

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C.) _____ OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA

(CHATTERJEE) & ORS. ...RESPONDENTS

Before the Notary Public
West Bengal Taxation Tribunal

AFFIDAVIT

I, Bhupal Chandra Halder, S/o Late Buddhiswar Halder, aged about 59 years, presently posted as Deputy Secretary, School Education Department, Government of West Bengal having his office at Bikash Bhavan, 5th floor, Salt Lake, Bidhannagar, Kolkata – 700091 do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:-

1. That I am the authorised person of the Petitioner – State of West Bengal and I am competent to swear, affirm and file the instant affidavit based on my knowledge as derived from the official records maintained by the State in this regard and made available to me and believed by me to be true and correct.

7. 3 APR 2024

2. That I have read the contents of the Special Leave Petition (Paras 1 to __; pages ___ to ___), Synopsis and List of Dates (Pages B to ___) and accompanying applications and I say that the contents therein are true to my personal knowledge & belief.

3. That the present affidavit is of the same or subsequent date of the drafting of the petition.

ATTESTED
Goutam Chakraborty
Notary, West Bengal
Taxation Tribunal
Regd. No. 095/2022

4. That the Annexures, if any, are true copies of the originals thereof.

Bhupal Chandra Halder

DEPONENT

VERIFICATION

I, the deponent above named, do hereby state on solemn affirmation that the contents of Para Nos. 1 to 4 herein above are true and correct to my own knowledge and that nothing material has been concealed there from.

Verified at _____ this _____ day of_____2024.

Bhupal Chandra Halder

DEPONENT

ASTHA SHARMA
-ADVOCATE
AOR Code No.: 2450
Advocate on-Record
for the Petitioner
New Delhi.

23 APR 2024

NOTARY * GOVERNMENT OF WEST BENGAL *

Solemnly affirmed and declared
before me on identification

ATTESTED
Goutam Chakraborty
Notary, West Bengal
Taxation Tribunal
Reg. No. 096/2022

ANNEXURE P-1

THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION ACHARYA SADAN

11&11/1, BLOCK-EE SALT LAKE, SECTOR-1, KOLKATA - 700091

NOTIFICATION

1st State Level Selection Test for Recruitment of Assistant Teachers 2016 in Govt. aided/sponsored Secondary/Higher Secondary Schools

Memo No.138/0117(6602)/CSSC/ESTT/2016 Date: 16.02.2016

As per the Instructions laid down by the Department of School Education, Govt. of West Bengal, vide no: 165-SEIS)/15-26/2010 dated 03.03.2015 read with amendment vide no 33-58/3/15- 26/2010 (Pt. II) dated 13.01.2016, the West Bengal Central School Service Commission has been designated to conduct 1 State Level Selection Test, for recruitment of Assistant Teachers 2016 in Hons/Post Graduate vacancies and Work Education & Physical Education vacancies in Govt. alded / sponsored Secondary/Higher Secondary Schools in West Bengal against the vacancies available under the W.R. School Service Commission (State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers in Secondary or Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2015, received from Commissioner of School Education, West Bengal vide No.190/GA dated: 10.02.2016

Note A.

Eligible candidates for SLST, as per the Minimum Qualification laid down by the WB. School Service Commission

(State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers in Secondary or Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2015, may apply Online only and her no ether made) visiting the official website of The West Bengal School Service Commission (i.e.www.websiteillegible.com)

Note B.

(i) Eligible Candidates must enclose passport size photograph with signature there under mentioning subdivision/area (for allotment of examination venue to the nearest location as far as possible) and academic qualification till date of submission (as on last date of application) of Application for SLST, 2016 and fill in all details required and make necessary payment of fees as applicable.

Information Brochure is available in the official website of the Commission (www.westbengalssc.com) where the entire process of submission of Online Application Form and other guidelines have been described. Candidates are advised to follow these instructions before filling up their Online Application Forms

Incentplett and wrong applications will be treated compelled

1.Scheduled Dates for SLST 2016

(1) Submission of Online Applications Opening:
19-02-2016 (5pm)
Closing 15-03-2016 (5pm)

ii) Fees Payments through CASH-e-Challan Opening: After 24 Hours (During Banking Hours) of submission of online

Application Form and last date of Deposit of Pees is: 19-03-2016

Challan will be available on successful submission of filled in online application form

2. Tentative Date of the Test will be noted in due course.

3. Admit Card Admit card of the said SLST will be available on the official website www. westbengalec.com). Candidates are requested to download the same. Date will be announced in due course.

4 Fees for Examination:

1.	General &OBC Candidates	Rs.250/-
2.	SC/ST/PH candidates	Rs.80/-
3.	Bank Charges	Rs.5/-

5. Manner of Selection of Asst Teachers.D/PG) and Physical Education & Wark Education Teachers1:

A) Selection to the posts of the Asst Teachers shall be made strictly on the basis of merit. The merit will be determined by the results of the State Level Selection Test comprising written examination (MCQ Type), evaluation of academic qualifications including professional qualifications and personality test of the candidates in the manner as specified in the following schedule:

1.	Written Examination (MCQ Type)	55marks
2.	Academic qualification Including professional qualifications	35 marks
3.	Personality Test (Appearance before the personality Test Board is compulsory.	

i) Candidates will be allowed to apply only in one subject under any one medium of instructions.

ii) Standard of Examination will be of Honours Level for Hons/PG Posts and of Pass Level for Work Education/Physical Education Posts.

B) Preparation of panel for the posts of Assistant Teachers:

a) The number of qualified candidates to be called for the personality test shall not exceed 1.2 (One Point Two) times the number of Final Vacancies published at the time of declaration of the result of written examination.

b) The Central Commission shall, on the basis of the marks obtained in the written examination, evaluation of the academic qualifications Including professional qualification and marks obtained in the personality test, all added together, prepare

i) A panel of candidates found fit for recommendation to the posts of Assistant Teachers, strictly in order of merit under a specific category and each such panel shall include the names equal to the number of FINAL

vacancies referred to in sub-rule (1), read with sub-rule (3)(b), of rule 8 of W.B. School Service Commission (State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers in Secondary or Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2015, and:

ii) Awaiting list containing the names of rest of the candidates appeared in the personality test and not disqualified.

Provided that there shall be separate medium - wise, subject-wise, category-wise or gender-wise sets of sub-panels or waiting list, as necessary, for Honours/Post Graduate vacancies and Physical Education & Work Education vacancies

c) Male candidates shall not be recommended for appointment in any girls school

d) If more than one candidate obtains the same aggregate (total marks) the merit position of the candidates shall be determined according to their date of birth Le, candidates with earlier date of birth shall be preferred and if the aggregate and date of birth shall also be same, the candidates obtaining higher academic score shall be preferred and if the aggregate, date of birth and academic score shall also be same, the candidates obtaining higher marks in the written examination shall be preferred.

Validity of Panel and waiting list: Each panel and waiting list prepared by the Central Commission shall remain valid for one year from the date of publication of the panel and waiting list, or

the date of advertisement for the next State Level Selection Test, whichever is earlier.

iii) The Central Commission shall publish such panel and waiting list of candidates In the website of the Commission

C) After the last date of online submission of application no certificates obtained/ Informed in respect of qualification and others will be considered.

D) Qualifying Marks for Written Test:

1. General Candidates 22

2. SC/ST/OBC/PH Candidates 19

E) Syllabus and Equivalence of Subjects / Qualifications have been published in WBCSSC official Website.

F) Vacancy (Tentative): Published in WBCSSC Official Website with notification

a. Hons/PG =15947

b. Work Education =1019

c. Physical Education=1237

Total =18203

N.B. Pinal Vacancies may increase due to creation of New Vacancies and Vacancies may decrease due to General Transfer on special ground and transfer in compliance with order of Hon'ble Courts.

G) Scale of Pay: As admissible as per Govt. Rules.

H) THE SCHEDULE OF TEST:

Examination Name	Full Marks	Duration	Provisional Dates of Holding Examination.
The State level Selection Test 2016 for Appointment to the post of Asst. teacher of Hons/PG work Education and Physical Education.	5S To be notified later on in WBCSSC Website, in Newspapers and at all the offices of the Commission.	1 hours	To be notified later on in WBCSSC Website, in Newspapers and at all the offices of the Commission.

1. TEST CENTRES/EXAMINATION VENUES: To be informed later on through Commission's

Website and all the offices of this Commission.

I. Eligibility

1. Minimum Marks required for appearing at recruitment examination la respect of Assistant Teachers for the Pests of Honoura Graduate/Post Graduate Teachers is

I. Essential

i. Honours Graduate/Post Graduate with at least 50% marks (or its equivalent) from

UGC recognized University and Bachelor of Education (B.Ed) from National Council for Teacher Education recognized institution; or

ii. Honours Graduate / Post Graduate with at least 45% marks (or its equivalent) from UGC recognized University and of Education (B.Ed) from National Council for Teacher Education recognized institution in accordance with the National Council for Teacher Education (Form of application for recognition, the time limit of of application, determination of norms and standards for recognition of teacher education programmes and permission to start new course or training) Regulations 2002 exited on 1311.2002 and National Council for Teacher Education (Regulation norms and procedure) Regulations, 2007 notified on 10.12.2007.or;

iii. Honours Graduate/Post Graduate with at least 50% marks (or its equivalent) from UGC recognized University and BA. Ed. /B.Sc.Ed from any National Council for Teachers' Education recognized institution.

iv. Relaxation of 5% of marks for Reserved Category Candidates.

2. Minimum Marks required for appearing at recruitment examination in respect of Assistant Teacher for the Posts of graduate in Work Education in school:

I. Essential:

i. Graduate from a UCC recogntred University with Post Graduate Basic Training or Work Education as a subject in Bachelor of Education in regular coarse from a Teachers Training Institution duly recognized by the National Council for Teacher Education (NCTE): or

ii. Graduate with Degree or Diplonia in Art and craft from any UGC Recognized University/ any UGC recognized educational Institution affiliated to any University; or

iii. Graduate with Degree or Diploma in Tailoring and needle work from any Institution duly recognized by any UGC recognized University/ from any UGC recognized University: or

iv. Graduate from UGC recognized University with at least two years Degree or Diploma in the Work Education curriculum awarded by any UGC recognized University; or:

v. Graduate Degree in Home Science or Agriculture or Graduate in Computer Science or Information Technology or Software systems from any UGC recognized University; or

vi. Graduate in General Stream in Arts/Science/Commerce with Computer Science or Computer Application as a subject of at least 300 marks at the degree level from any UGC recognized University:

vii. Relaxation of 5% of marks for Reserved Category Candidates.

3. Minimum Marks required for appearing at recruitment examination in respect of Assistant Inacher for the Posts of Pass Graduate Physical Education in School:

II. Essential:

i. Bachelor's Degree with Physical Education as an elective subject with 50% marks; or

Bachelor's Degree with Physical Education as an elective subject with 45% marks and participation in National or State or Inter University competitions in sports or games or athletics recognized by Association of Indian University or Indian Olympic Association: or

Bachelor's Degree with 45% marks and having participated in National or State or Inter-University sports or games or athletics

For deputed in service candidates (Le, Trained Physical Education Teachers/Coaches), Graduation with 45% marks and at least 3 years of teaching experience as per National Council

for Teacher Education (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2009, or

Graduate in Physical Education with 40% marks; or

Graduate with Physical Education as an elective subject with 40% marks, or

Graduate who participated in school, Inter Collegiate in sports/games or passed NCCC Certificate in accordance with the National Council for Teacher Education (Recognition Norms and Procedure) Regulations, 2007 notified on 10.12.2007.or

Graduate in Physical Education Le B.Ed Course (or its equivalent) of 3 years duration, or

Graduate having represented State/University in sports/games/ athletics, or:

Graduate who has secured 14, 24 or 34 position in Inter-Collegiate sports/ games/ tournaments/possessing NCC C certificate or passed basic course in Adventure Sports, or

Graduate with one year training programme in sports scheme, Sports Management. Sports Coaching. Yoga, Olympic Education, Sports Journalism, etc. (in accordance with the National Council for Teacher Education (Forms of application for recognition, the time limit of submission of application, determination of

norms and standards for recognition of teacher education programme and permission to start new course or training) Regulations, 2002 notified on 13.11.2002, AND:

ii. Bachelor of Physical Education (BP8d) of at least one year duration (or its equivalent) from any National Council for Teachers Education recognised institution".

iii. Relaxation of 5% of marks for Reserved Category Candidates.

Age:

As on 1st January 2016, candidate's age should be minimum 20 years and maximum 40 years. Upper age limit is however relaxable by 5 years for Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribe Candidates, 3 Years for Other Backward Class Candidates and Byears for the Physically Handicapped Candidates, and up to 55 years of age as on 01.01.2016 for approved teaching and Non-Teaching Staff in recognized Govt. aided/ Sponsored by High/High/Higher Secondary Schools approved by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education/West Bengal Council of Higher Secondary Education.

i) For Consideration of belonging to SC/ST/ OBC-A/ OBC-B/PH Category, the certificates issued by the competent authorities of only West Bengal Govt, shall be treated as valid Documents.

ii) Candidates belonging to such Categories from other states will have to appear as General Candidates only without

any concession/relaxation in respect of Age and Fees and Qualifying Marks

7. Medium Of Instruction: A candidate willing to be selected as a Teacher in any School having Bengali or English or Hindi or Nepali or Oriya or Santhall or Telegu or Urdu as the medium of instruction, shall have Bengal or English or Hindi or Nepali or Oriya or Santhali or Telegu or Urdu, as the case may be as first or second or third language at any of the Secondary or Higher Secondary or Graduation Level

   a) At Secondary level of the Board or equivalent: and/or

   b) At Higher Secondary level of the Council or equivalent; or

   c) At any subsequent higher level of education in that language paper.

B. Language of question paper: Question paper shall be bilingual (English & Bengali)

9. ONLINE APPLICATION FORM & SUBMISSION THEREOF AND RELATED INFORMATION:

1) Domain www.westbengalssc.com

ii) Online Application has to be submitted by accessing the following official website of The West Bengal School Service Commission (Le. www.westbengalssc.com). The candidate should supply all the required details as mentioned in the application form while filling up the Online Application

iii) Candidates can apply online by accessing the official website of The West Bengal School Service Commission

(e.www.westbengalsst.com) and then feeding his/her personal and educational information as required by the commission and finally he/she will get an e-Chalian (Cash Challan) of Allahabad Bank. He/she has to take a printout copy of the same and has to visit any branch of Allahabad Bank in West Bengal to submit the fees after 24 hours (during banking hours) of successful submission of the Online Application Form.

Cash e Challan

a) Incumbent has to contact any Branch of Allahabad Bank in West Bengal after 24 hours of Application Submission with printed Challan from official website of West Bengal central School Service Commission (www.westbengalssc.com) and required Fees (Rs.255/ Rs.85) in cash. The branch representative will accept the fees and provide Journal No, date, seal & sign on the Challan and will return the candidate's portion of the Challan with Bank Branch seal.

B) Candidate can check the payment status after 48 hours of cash deposit. All the applicants are instructed to retain the sealed & signed challan copy of the bank for future reference. Any manually modified challans will not be accepted at selected Bank counters.

iv) Candidates can also submit online application visiting any "Sabai Tathya Mitra Kendra Common Service centre (CSC) with his/her documents with recent passport size photograph. At Sahaj Tathya Mitra Kendra applicant can apply online and also

can pay the fees in cash at Sahaj Tathya Mitra Kendra and take a Receipt

v) for uploading the Photograph and the Signature-Please paste the coloured photograph on a white paper and put your full signature just below the Photograph. Now scan the photograph and the signature below it and crop it. Next save it such a way that the file size is between 10 KB and 30 KB. Now upload the photograph by clicking the button and click on the final submit button. For details please follow the instruction given in Information Brochure.

vi) After successful uploading of all the requisite information and the photo with signature, candidates will be able to print their field in Application form where he/she will get an Application ID which can be used for future reference. Candidate can also take a print-out of the application form with Payment-Done status after 48 hours of payment of the fees.

vii) Detailed instructions/guidelines for filling the Application form, and Application Fees payment method can be found in the Brochure.

viii) The Bank official will return the Customer Acknowledgement slip to the applicant and the applicant will retain the Acknowledgement slip for future reference.

ix) Every Candidate is requested to visit the official website (www westbengalssc.com) every Friday. All information's will be given in the website from time to time

x) Citizenship: Only citizens of India can apply for the said State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers 2016

xi) The candidate should satisfy himself/herself about his/her eligibility before applying and shall be personally responsible in case he/she is not eligible to apply as per the given eligibility criteria. It is to be noted that if a candidate has been allowed to appear in the State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers 2016, it does not imply that the candidate's eligibility has been verified. It does not vest any right with the candidate for appointment. The eligibility even for a candidate, who has qualified in State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers 2016, shall be finally verified by the concerned selecting/ appointing authority.

xii) Candidates must abide by the instructions as may be specified on the cover page of the question Booklet or any other instructions as may be printed in the Admit Card/DMR Sheet or may be given by the Officer-in-Change of the Centre.

xiii) No. TA/DA will be paid to the candidates for appearing for the Examination

xiv) Signature in Capital Letters will not be accepted.

xv) Scribe Permission: Permission of Scribe should be given from Regional School Service Commission but originality of scribe must be verified in the Examination Centre/Venue in the date of Examination. Candidates are requested to submit the details of the scribe to the Regional School Service Commission at least 5 days before examination date for

procuring the Permission Letter. All other regarding scribe is given in the Brochure published in the official website of the School Service Commission (www.westbengalssc.com)

xvi) Any in-service graduate or honours graduate or postgraduate, work education, physical education teacher shall be eligible for selection for appointment only to the post of higher category in any School under these rules (State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers in Secondary or Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2015 (NOC from concerned authority to be submitted when asked for).

xvii) For in-service candidates (within the jurisdiction of school service commission). NOC (Na Objection Certificate) from the concerned school authority needs to be drawn which has to be produced in front of the Commission when he/she will be asked for.

10. Important Notes

(a) Candidates can only apply Online for State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Assistant Teachers 2016,

(b) Candidates must follow instructions strictly as given in the Information Brochure/ the website of The West Bengal School Service Commission (www.weathengalssc.com)

(c) The candidature of candidates not complying with the instructions shall be summarily rejected.

(d) Candidate should enter his particulars Le Name, Father's Name, Mother's Name & Date of Birth as per his/her

Madhyanaik/School leaving Certificate. (e) It is requested to every candidate submit their farm carefully as after submission of Ordine Application form no further rectification will be allowed.

11. Commission's Helpline: To assist the candidates to submit the online application of State Level Selection Test for Appointment to the posts of Teachers 2016, the following centres will be available for and stance in this regard. Candidates may utilize the facility on contacting these from 11:00 am to 5:00 pm on all working days:

Addresses And Contact Numbers of The Central Commission and Regional Commissions:

Sl.No.	Name of the office with Address	Contact No.
1.	W.B. Central School Service Commission. "Acharya Sadan', Salt Lake. EB-11&11/1, Bidhannagar, Sector-11, Kolkata-700091	033-2321-4550
2.	W.B. Regional School Service Commission. (Eastern Region) MBC Institute of Engineering & Technology Campus Sadhanpur PO & District- Bardhaman Pin-713101	0342-25625596
3.	W.B. Regional School Service Commission. (Northern Region)	03512-278014

	Govt Teachers' Training College Hostel (Ground Floor), PO: Makdumpur, Dist: Malda, Pin: 732103	
4.	WB. Regional School Service Commission. (Southern Region) 84, Sarat Bose Road, Kolkata-700026	033-2485-1415
5.	W.B. Regional School Service Commission (Western Region) Acharya Bhavan, PO & Dist - Bankura, Pin-722101	03242-255065
6.	W.B. Regional School Service Commission. (South-Eastern Region) Zilla Parishad Bhavan (Annex Building) 1st Floor, Rishi Bankin Sarani, PO-Barasat, District North 24 Parganas, Pin-700124	033-2584-1060

Official Website: http://www.westbengalscc.com

Help Line Ph No for Application Form Fillup related Queries:: 033-2321-4550/9051176500/9051174600 /9051174700/9830454218

Help Lines for getting information regarding Sabal Tathya Mitra Kendras:

Candidates may utilize the facility on contacting there from 11:00 am to 5:00 pm on all working days.

District	Nam	Ph no.
Darjeeltng, Jatpaigurr Alipurduar, Coochbehar	Maloy Krishna. Saha	9800043428
Bankura, Purufla	Timir Haran kuiry	9800256966
Malda. Uttar Oinajpur & Dakshin Dinaipur	Sultan Sarkar	9800158798
Hooghty, Burdwali	Dabdas Haldar	9002277096
Purba & Paschim Mcdinipur	Shubhsh De	8016099923
Murshidabad, Birbhum	Arup Saha	9800897162
Nadia & north 24pgs	Prantik Chowdhury	8016094976
Howrah and south 24 Pas	Safik Hossam Purkait	9679998404

Allahabad Bank Help Line Nos. (Only for Bank related queries and payment related queries through Allahabad Bank:

1. Ranjana Bhattacharya,9874740518

2. Sudeshna Mallick 8902710614

N.B.

[A] VACANCIES OF H/PG AND WORK EDUCATION & PHYSICAL EDUCATION IS AVAILABLE IN SEPARATE LINK (TENTATIVE VACANCIES FOR 14 State Level Selection Test for Recruitment of Assistant Teachers 2016 in Govt. aided/sponsored Secondary/Higher Secondary Schools) IN WEBSITE.(www.westbengalscc.com)

[B] CANDIDATES MAY DOWNLOAD THE NOTIFICATION INFORMATION BROCHURE RECRUITMENT BULES SYLLABUS AND EQUIVALENCE OF SUBIECTS/QUALIFICATIONS AND AMENDMENT TO RECRUITMENT BULES FROM THE WEBSITE(www.westbengalscc.com)

ICL HARDCOPY OF APPLICATION FORM CERTIFICATES BANK CHALLANS ETC SHOULD NOT BE SENT TO COMMISSION. THE SAME MAY BE KEPT FOR FUTURE REFERENCES

Date 16.02.2016

Place – Kolkata

Secretary

W.B. Central School Service Commission

TRUE COPY

ANNEXURE P-2

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN: 11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake; Kolkata-700091

NOTIFICATION

Memo. No.859 /6602 /CSSC/ESTT/2016 Dated: 23.09.2016

Public Notice No.138/0117(6602)/CSSC/ESTT/2016 dt.16.02.2016 inviting applications for 1ST SLST-2016 (for Classes IX to XII) issued by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission is hereby withdrawn and cancelled.

Persons who had applied in response to the above mentioned Public Notice and are applying for competing in 1st SLST 2016 for recruitment of Assistant Teachers in State Govt. Aided/Sponsored /Secondary/Higher Secondary Schools (separate examinations for Classes IX-X and Classes XI-XII standard) can get their fees adjusted against anyone examination observing usual process.

For details please visit Commission's Website *(www.westbengalssc.com)*

Sd/-

Secretary

Paartha Sil

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN:
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake; Kolkata-700091

ANNEXURE P-3

NOTICE

1st STATE LEVEL SELECTION TEST FOR RECRUITMENT OF ASSISTANT TEACHERS-2016 IN SECONDARY/HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOLS (IX-X and XI-XII) EXCEPT HILL REGION

Memo. No.860 / 6602/CSSC/ESTT/2016 Dated: 23.09.2016

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission will hold 1st SLST -2016 for appointment of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX-X and Classes XI-XII in Govt. aided/sponsored Secondary/Higher Secondary Schools. The date of the said examination will be notified in due course.

Tentative number of vacancies are: **Classes - IX-X – 10233**
Classes - XI-XII – 6296

Fess to the tune of **Rs.250** for **General & OBC candidates** and **Rs.80/-** for **Reserved category.**

Candidates can apply only Online on Commission's website on & from **24.09.2016 (5pm)** to **03.10.2016 (5pm)** and fees may be deposited upto **07.10.2016**.

Only trained candidates can apply.

The detailed notice and programme will be available from 5pm on **24.09.2016** in Commission's Website i.e.www.westbengalssc.com

Sd/-

Secretary

Paartha Sil

Through Dy. Sub-pt. Howrah

ANNEXURE P-4

DISTRICT: HOWRAH.

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

W.P. No. 3064 (W) Of 2016;

In the Matter of:

An application under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

-And –

In the Matter of:

A writ in the nature of mandamus and/or writ in the nature of prohibition and/or a writ in the nature of certiorari and/or any other appropriate writ or writs and/or direction or directions;

And

In the matter of:

Arbitrary, illegal, unjust action on the part of the respondent authorities in

69047 (1) ay

not granting your petitioners being approved para teacher the benefit of age relaxation in the 1st State Level Selection Test for Recruitment of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools.

AND

In the matter of :-

The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules 2016 ;

And

In the matter of:

The West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997;

And

In the Matter of

1. Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) wife of Goutam Chatterjee and daughter of Adyanath Bhattacharyya residing at Village- Andul Dakshin Para, Post office- Andul-Mouri, Police Station- Sankrail, District- Howrah- 711 302.
2. Molly Roy (Ghosh) wife of Satyapriya Ray and daughter of Manabendra Nath Ghosh, residing at Post office- Parbangla (Siva Kali Mandir Lane), Police Station- Mahaeshtala, District- South 24 Parganas, Pin- 700 140.
3. Suparna De wife of Pradip Kumar De and daughter of Saktipada De, residing at Village and post office- Sehara Bazar, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 423.

4. Sujit Kumar Pal son of Ajit Kumar Pal, residing at Village and Post office- Burar, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 424.
5. Namita Khan daughter of Siddheswar Khan, residing at Village and Post office- Kandakhola, Police Station- Santipur, District- Nadia, Pin- 741404.
6. Aloke Kumar Khan son of Bhaktadas Khan, residing at Kalinagar Para, Post office-Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.
7. Tanima Mondal daughter of Harendra Krishna Mondal, residing at Danga Para, Post office- Kalna, Police Station- Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713 409.
8. Nirmala Ghosh daughter of late Naresh Chandra Ghosh, residing at

Post office- Kalna, Police station- Kalna, District- Burdwan, Pin- 713409.

9. Anita Bhattacharyya wife of Sanjoy Basu and daughter of late Amiyangshu Bhattacharya, residing at 2 No. Udaypur, Post office- Nimta, Kolkata- 700 049.

10. Kakaly Ganguly alias Kakali Ganguli (Choudhury) wife of Amitava Choudhury, and daughter of Prasanta Kumar Ganguly, residing at Lala Lajpat Sarani Saheb Kachhari Para, Post office- Balurghat, District- Dakshin Dinajpur, Pin- 733 101.

11. Chandrima Sengupta wife of Sankar Prasad Sengupta and daughter of Dilip Kumar Das, residing at Bisharpara, Saptagram,

Post office- Bisharpara, Police Station- Nimta, Kolkata- 700 158.

12. Bhaswati Chakraborti daughter of Bhupen Chakrabarty, residing at 3/44 Dakshin Behala Road, Post office- Sarsuna, BLK/MUN/Police station- Thakurpukur, Kolkata- 700 061.

13. Sanchita Pradhan daughter of late Sukhamay Pradhan, residing at Bardhaman Residency-2, 196, Kachari Road, Post office- Burdwan, District- Burdwan, Pin 713101.

14. Ram Chandra Pal son of Madhusudan Pal, residing at Old Calcutta Road, Bank Park, Post office- Talpukur, District- 24 Parganas, (North), Pin-700123.

..... Petitioners

-VERSUS-

1. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL, Service through the Secretary, School Education Department (Secondary Branch), having its office at Bikash Bhavan, Bidhan Nagar, Salt Lake, Kolkata- 700091.
2. THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, service through the Secretary, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 091, West Bengal.
3. THE CHAIRMAN, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 09.
4. THE SECRETARY, West Bengal Central School Service Commissioner, having office at

Acharya Sadan, Bidhannagar, Sector-II, EE-11 & 11/1, Kolkata-700 091.

..RESPONDENTS

To

The Hon'ble Mrs. Nishita Mhatre, Acting Chief Justice and Her Companion Justices of said Hon'ble Court.

The humble petition of the Writ Petitioners abovenamed Most Respectfully.

SHEWETH:

1. That your petitioners are law abiding citizen of India and residing at the address given in the cause title above.

2. Your Petitioners state that your petitioners were appointed as para teacher under Sarva Shikha Abhijan against sanctioned post and since them they have been working there without any interruption.

   True copies of the appointment letters are annexed hereto and collectively marked with letter "P-1".

3. Your petitioners state that petitioners have requisite qualification to be appointed as teacher for Classes IX and X in terms of The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher

Secondary Schools) Rules 2016 ; (herein after referred to as the said Rules).

Petitioners crave leave to produce the copy of the educational qualifications at the time of hearing.

4. Your petitioners state that your petitioners have always recognized the value of such experience and from time to time issued directions so that the persons similarly situated like your petitioners may upgrade their qualification for appointment as Assistant Teachers in Schools. By a notification vide no. 277-SE(P)/10M-6/09(Pt-1) dated March 28, 2012 the State Government allowed the Primary School Teacher, shiksha mitras as well as other contractual teachers opportunity to upgrade their qualifications so that they would remain employable under the revised qualifications required after the coming into force of the Right to Education Act.

True copy of the said notification vide no. 277-SE(P)/10M-6/09(Pt-1) dated March 28, 2012 is annexed hereto and marked as Annexure P-2".

5. Your petitioners state that with a view to maintain pupil teacher ratio in terms of Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act 2009, by a notification dated December 28, 2011 additional post was created by the State of West Bengal. It is pertinent to mention here

that before the act of 2009 came into force, state adopted a method of alternative education. Persons who are actually running the scheme are designated in different names i.e part time teacher, contractual teacher, para teacher, shiksha mitra etc. By different notifications they were allowed continue their service till the age of 60 years.

6. Your petitioners state that keeping in tune with such a policy of the state, part time teachers were afforded age relaxation along with ten percent reservation in 12th and 13th Regional Level Selection Test for appointment of Teacher. It is pertinent mention here that the respondent authorities has included para teacher within the definition of teacher in its broucher supplied to the petitioners.

True copy of the broucher is annexed hereto and marked with letter "P-3".

Petitioners crave leave to produce the copy of the advertisement at the time of hearing.

7. Your petitioners state that therefore there exists legitimate expectation on part of the petitioners that the state while valuing the experience gained by the petitioners would provide age relaxation to the petitioners while selecting persons for appointment as assistant Teachers. Such expectation was acknowledged by the state on two occasions.

8. Your petitioners state that by a notice, the West Bengal School Service Commission notified that a selection test would be conducted for appointment of Assistant Teachers for classes IX and X in terms of the newly notified said Rules 2016.

True copy of the said notice is annexed hereto and marked with letter P-4.

9. Your petitioners state that petitioners duly filed up the application form pursuant to the said advertisement. It is stated that in the said Rule 2016 in proviso to Rule 4(2) age relaxation upto 55 years has only been given to teachers and non teaching staff having post graduate decree but holding lower category post and Petitioners are all para teachers appointed against sanctioned post and falls within the definition of a teachers within the meaning section 2(p) of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997 and thus is entitled to have age relaxation has given in Rule 4(2) of the said Rules. With this legitimate interpretation petitioners duly filed up the application form in service teacher. It is pertinent to mention here that while filling up the application form petitioners disclosed their date of birth and the respondents have accepted the same.

Be it mentioned here that the respondents authorities in the application form initially had given a column but surprisingly after two day withdraw the para teacher option and thus petitioners were constrained to fill up the application form as in service teachers.

True copy of the said Rules is annexed hereto and marked with letter "P-5".

10. Your petitioners state that thereafter the respondent authorities notified the date of the written examination on November 27, 2016 and also issued admit card in favour of the petitioners.

True copy of the admit cards are annexed hereto and marked with letter "P-6".

11. Your petitioners state that thereafter by memo no. 985/6723/CSSC/ESTT/2016 dated 8th November 2016 the respondent authorities interalia notified that there will be no age relaxation in respect of para teachers for upper Primary Level.

It caused a great apprehension among the petitioners and they visited the office of the respondent authorities to enquire whether para teachers are entitled to get age relaxations for appearing in the selection process in respect of Classes IX and X when in tern they were told that no age relaxations will be given to para teacher in respect of classes IX and X and very soon the same will be notified. In

the mean time since the petitioner received their admit cards participated in the written examination without prejudice their rights and contention.

True copy of the said notification is annexed hereto and marked with letter "P-7".

12. Your petitioners states that your petitioners have accrued their rights to get the benefit of age relaxation in terms of section 2 (p) of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997 and Rule 4(2) of the Rules 2016 but the respondent authorities has illegally and arbitrarily sought to take away petitioners right of getting age relaxation. Be it mentioned here that respondent authorities are also estopped from depriving your petitioners from the benefit of age relaxation since they have accepted their form knowing their age and issued their admit cards.

13. Your petitioners states that your petitioners have been working as para teacher against sanctioned vacancy and are holding lower category post. The respondents authorities in violation of the own rules are now by a subsequent notification depriving your petitioners from getting the benefit of age relaxations.

14. Your petitioners states that your have been told by the respondent authorities that although they have been allowed to participate in the

selection process their candidatureship will not be considered since they are age barred. It is stated that such action as aforesaid is bad in law in as much as the act of 1997 and said Rules 2016 are binding and respondent authorities cannot bypass the same and as such said action is not sustainable in law.

15. Your petitioners state that there is great urgency in the matter since panel will be prepared very soon and unless appropriate interim order is passed by allowing the petitioners the benefit of age relaxations, petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

16. Your petitioners state that the aforesaid act of the respondent authorities are violative of Article 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

17. Your petitioner state that your petitioner has a strong prima facie case and balance of convenience and inconvenience is in favour of the petitioner and unless the orders prayed herein are not granted petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

18. Your petitioners further crave leave to appropriate submission and file additional documents at the time of hearing.

19. Being aggrieved by and dissatisfied with the act and/or action on the part of the concerned respondent authorities by prejudicially affecting

the petitioners, your petitioners begs to move this writ application, before this Hon'ble Court on the following amongst other:-

G R O U N D S

I. FOR THAT the respondents authorities has miserably failed to perform the statuary duties and discharge their bounded obligation as per as the instant case concerned.

II. FOR THAT your petitioners were appointed as para teacher under Sarva Shikha Abhijan against sanctioned post and since them they have been working there without any interruption.

III. FOR THAT petitioners have requisite qualification to be appointed as teacher for Classes IX and X in terms of The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules 2016 ; (herein after referred to as the said Rules).

IV. FOR THAT part time teachers were afforded age relaxation along with ten percent reservation in 12th and 13th Regional Level Selection Test for appointment of Teacher. It is pertinent mention here that the respondent authorities has included para teacher within the definition of teacher in its broucher supplied to the petitioners.

V. FOR THAT the West Bengal School Service Commission notified that a selection test would be conducted for appointment of Assistant

Teachers for classes IX and X in terms of the newly notified said Rules 2016.

VI. FOR THAT in the said Rule 2016 in proviso to Rule 4(2) age relaxation upto 55 years has only been given to teachers and non teaching staff having post graduate decree but holding lower category post and Petitioners are all para teachers appointed against sanctioned post and falls within the definition of a teachers within the meaning section 2(p) of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997 and thus is entitled to have age relaxation has given in Rule 4(2) of the said Rules.

VII. FOR THAT while filling up the application form petitioners disclosed their date of birth and the respondents have accepted the same.

VIII. FOR THAT the respondents authorities in the application form initially had given a column but surprisingly after two day withdraw the para teacher option and thus petitioners were constrained to fill up the application form as in service teachers.

IX. FOR THAT your petitioners have accrued their rights to get the benefit of age relaxation in terms of section 2 (p) of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act 1997 and Rule 4(2) of the Rules 2016 but the respondent authorities has illegally and arbitrarily sought to take away petitioners right of getting age relaxation.

X. FOR THAT the respondent authorities are also estopped from depriving your petitioners from the benefit of age relaxation since they have accepted their form knowing their age and issued their admit cards.

XI. FOR THAT your petitioners have been working as para teacher against sanctioned vacancy and are holding lower category post. The respondents authorities in violation of the own rules are now by a subsequent notification depriving your petitioners from getting the benefit of age relaxations.

XII. FOR THAT your have been told by the respondent authorities that although they have been allowed to participate in the selection process their candidatureship will not be considered since they are age barred.

XIII. FOR THAT such action as aforesaid is bad in law in as much as the act of 1997 and said Rules 2016 are binding and respondent authorities cannot bypass the same and as such said action is not sustainable in law.

XIV. FOR THAT the aforesaid act of the respondent authorities are violative of Article 14, 16 and 21 of the Constitution of India.

XV. FOR THAT your petitioner has a strong prima facie case and balance of convenience and inconvenience is in favour of the petitioner and

unless the orders prayed herein are not granted petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

XVI. FOR THAT the actions on the part of the respondent authorities are otherwise bad in law and liable to be interfered with in exercise of constitutional writ jurisdiction.

20. That on the self-same facts and upon the self-same cause of action, your petitioner has not moved any other application in this Hon'ble Court or before any other Court of Law.

21. That your petitioners have no other alternative remedy efficacious than to move Your Lordships and their remedy will be complete and adequate if the prayers made hereunder are granted.

22. That your petitioners have demanded justice before the respondents and further demand of justice will be a futile formality.

23. That the records of the case are lying in the offices of the respondents authorities concerned which are within the appellate jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court.

24. That the application is made bonafide and for the ends of justice.

Under the circumstances your petitioners Humbly prays that your Lordships would graciously be pleased to issue :-

a. A declaration that proviso to Rule 4(2) of The West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules 2016 is applicable to your petitioners and the petitioners are entitled to get benefit of age relaxations upto 55 years.

b. A writ and/or in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents authorities to grant your petitioners, being para teacher, the benefit of age relaxation upto 55 years in terms of proviso to Rule 4(2) of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules 2016;

c. A writ in the nature of certiorari commanding the respondents and/or their men, agents and/or subordinates to produce the relevant document before this Hon'ble Court so that after perusing the same conscionable justice may be administered;

d. Rule NISI in terms of prayers (a), (b) and (c) above;

e. To pass an interim order directing the respondent school service commission to grant the petitioners the benefit of age relaxation;

f. An interim order directing the respondent authorities not to publish the result of the written examinations held on November 27, 2016 for selection of Assistant Teacher for Classes IX and X till the disposal of the writ application;

g. To pass an Ad-interim order in terms of prayers (e) and (f) above.

h. Cost and incidentals to this proceeding.

i. And/or to pass such other order or further or further order or orders as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper.

And your petitioners, as in duty bound, shall ever pray.

Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee)
20.12.16

I, erroneously stated the petitioner No. 1 is duly authorised to sign and affirm this affidavit for self and on behalf of the other Petitioners

Sudipta Dasgupta
Advocate

ANNEXURE P-5

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission ACHARYA SADAN: 11&11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake: Kolkata-700091

NOTICE

VERIFICATION OF DOCUMENTS OF CANDIDATES CALLED FOR VERIFICATION IN WRITTEN EXAMINATION OF 1 STATE LEVEL SELECTION TEST FOR RECRUITMENT OF ASSISTANT TEACHERS IN STATE GOVT.AIDED/SPONSORED SECONDARY AND HIGHER SECONDARY (CLASSES-IX & X SCHOOLS(EXCEPT HILL REGION

Memo. No. 692/6878 ACSSC/ESTT/2017

Dated : 03.07.2017

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission will conduct programme for verification of documents in respect of Educational & Professional Qualifications of the candidates called for verification (1" phase) in aforementioned examination. Candidates called for verification in 1 phase are requested to appear in the verification programme with all relevant documents in original as per details notified in Commission's official website ie www.weatbengalssc.com.

It be informed that candidates, or his/her authorized representative, remaining absent in the notified verification programme as well as those who will not be able to produce valid documents will be excluded from the list to be prepared for next course of action.

Candidates are requested to download intimation letter from official website of Commission noted above 14 digit Roll No. and date of birth which will be made available from the afternoon of 03.07.2017.

Candidates may also download their application form from the official website of Commission

for details please visit Commission's Website (www.westbengalsse.com

TRUE COPY

ANNEXURE P-6

Government of West Bengal
School Education Department
Secondary Branch
Bikash Bhawan, Salt Lake City, Kolkata – 700091

No. 596/SL/5S-202/2022(Pt.) Date: 05th May, 2022

CASE FOR CABINET DISCUSSION

The under mentioned case of the School Education Department along with a spare set of papers is forwarded herewith for placing in the next meeting of the Cabinet for discussion.

Principal Secretary to the
Government of West Bengal

CABINET MEMORANDUM

Sub: Proposals for creation of supernumerary posts of assistant teachers including Physical & Work Education teachers and non-teaching staff in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools for accommodating waitlisted candidates.

1. Salient facts of the case : -

(a) For Teaching posts Class IX-X:

(i) A total of 12,905 vacancies were involved for all subjects and all categories.

(ii) During 1st Phase counselling to 3rd Phase counselling, total number of candidates recommended was 10815.

(iii) The said panel expired on 19/12/2019.

(b) For teaching posts Class XI-XII:

(i) A total of 5,712 vacancies were involved for all subjects and all categories.

(ii) During 1st Phase counselling to 3rd Phase counselling, total number of candidates recommended was 5,314.

(iii) The said panel expired on 17/12/2019.

(c) For non-teaching posts (Gr. D):

(i) Total Vacancies involved in the selection process 3956.

(ii) Total nos. of candidates recommended was 3881.

(iii) The said panel as per notice of the Commission expired on 04/05/2019.

(d) For non-teaching posts (Gr. C):

(i) Total Vacancies 2067

(ii) Total nos. of candidates recommended was 2037.

(iii) The said panel as per notice of the Commission expired on 18/05/2019.

The matter of Gr. D and Gr. C was referred to the Hon'ble Retired Justice Bag Committee as per order of the Hon'ble Division Bench. The said Committee has placed its report in respect of Gr. D before the Hon'ble Division Bench.

(e) For the teaching posts of Physical Education and Work Education:

(i) Total Vacancies declared 2373 (1149 Physical Education + 1224 Work Education)

(ii) Total nos. of candidates recommended was 2194 (1061 Physical Education + 1133 Work Education)

(iii) The said panel expired on 11/12/2019.

The above mentioned facts and figures are confirmed by the Chairman of the Central School Service Commission.

(f) Grievances on said recruitment:

(i) A number of cases are pending before the Hon'ble Single Bench and Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court alleging that recommendation/appointment were given either in violation of merit or recommendation/appointment were given beyond panel/waitlist.

(ii) In the meantime, in WPA No. 6942 of 2022, (Soma Das v. State of West Bengal). The petitioner alleged that in spite of her merit in waitlist 186 rank, she was not recommended whereas candidates not even in the waitlist/ panel got recommendation and appointment. The Hon'ble Court requested the Principal Secretary to look into the matter

of the petitioner so that, if possible, she can be engaged in any future vacancy.

(iii) The School Education Department also considered the proposal of Commissioner of School Education with regard to the demand of waitlisted candidates of Physical & Work Education for appointment in their favour. It is felt to consider their demand favourably, to instil confidence in the general public in the matters pertaining to education and involving public employment.

2. Proposal of Central School Service Commission and Commissioner of School Education:

(i) The Central School Service Commission:

The Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission vide its letter dated 22/04/2022 placed a report to the State Government stating that 5261 numbers of candidates have been affected by rank jumping in the 1st SLST, 2016 (Assistant Teacher) and 3rd RLST, 2016 (Group C and Group D Staff) (1932 posts of Assistant Teacher for Class IX-X, 247 posts of Assistant Teacher for Class XI-XII, 1102 posts of Gr. C and 1980 posts of Gr. D) and if all the similarly placed candidates like Soma Das are to be recommended, 5261 posts are required to be created.

The Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission further vide its letter dated 25/04/2022 placed a report to the State Government stating that there are 751 nos. of candidates (For Class XI-XII-18, Class IX-X-111, Gr. C- 249 and Gr. D-373) who are not in any list either in panel or in waitlist. The West Bengal Board of Secondary Education has also confirmed the fact of such appointment of non-teaching staff beyond the list(s) as reported by the Commission. The Chairman of the Commission further stated that for teaching posts of Class IX-X, XI-XII who do not feature in any list either in panel or in waitlist should be cancelled by the Commission without waiting for and direction of the Hon'ble Court. Similarly for cases relating to non-teaching candidates (Group C and D) who do not feature in any list

either in panel or in waitlist, the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education may cancel the appointment letter directly.

(ii) Proposal of the Commissioner of School Education:

The Commissioner of School Education vide its proposal dated 04/05/2022 has proposed to create 1600 nos. of supernumerary posts (breakup 750 Work Education and 850 Physical Education) to absorb all waitlisted candidates of Physical and Work Education Teachers subject to validation of panel.

3. **Department's views : -**

(a) Creation and filling up of:

(i) 5261 supernumerary posts with breakup of as follows:

(a) 1932 posts of Assistant Teacher for class IX-X

(b) 247 posts of Assistant Teacher for class XI-XII

(c) 1102 posts of Gr. C and

(d) 1980 posts of Gr. D in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools for accommodating Soma Das and other similarly situated waitlisted candidates subject to outcome of the pending cases.

(ii) 1600 supernumerary posts (with breakup of 750 Work Education and 850 Physical Education) for absorbing all waitlisted candidates.

(b) The State Government may be permitted to issue necessary direction to the Commission by invoking section 19 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 and to the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education, in the interest of education, in terms rule 3(2) of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (Appointment, Confirmation, Conduct and Discipline of Teachers and Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2018 for absorption of such waitlisted candidates in the proposed supernumerary posts, to be created with the approval of the Cabinet. However the same shall be subject

to outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

4. **Legal Views:**

(i) Initially views of the Law Department was taken on 29/04/2022 on the issue. Views of the Law Department dated 29/04/2022 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure A.

(ii) The State Govt. sought views of the Ld. Advocate General in this regard. Ld. Advocate General was pleased to express his considered views on the queries. The Queries of the School Education Department and solemn views of the Ld. Advocate General dated 02/05/2022 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure B.

(iii) After obtaining the opinion of Ld. Advocate General, the matter has further been referred to the Law Department, Govt. of West Bengal. The Law Department offered its view on 03/05/2022. Views of the Law Department dated 03/05/2022 is annexed herewith and marked as Annexure C.

5. **Views of Finance Department : -**

Finance (Audit) Department, Government of West Bengal has agreed to the proposal of the School Education Department vide their U.O. No. 02 Group P2 dated 05/05/2022 with the following observation:

"This is a proposal of School Education Department for creation and filling up of 5261 supernumerary posts of Teaching and non-teaching staff and creation and filling of another 1600 supernumerary posts of Work Education and Physical Education Teachers involving a sum of Rs. 262,40,62,320/- and for other related issues.

The proposals of the administrative department and the memorandum for the cabinet are placed in file which may kindly be seen.

As discussed and in consideration of observations of Law Department and views and advice of Ld. Advocate General, which

appear to be in conformity with the proposals of School Education Department, we may have no objection to the proposals as contained in para 3(a) and 3(b) of the memorandum for the Cabinet. This is subject to approval of Cabinet and adherence to extant rules."

6. **Proposal:-**

Now the Department of School Education proposes for –

a. Creation and filling up of –

(i) 5261 supernumerary posts with breakup of as follows:

(a) 1932 posts of Assistant Teacher for class IX-X

(b) 247 posts of Assistant Teacher for class XI-XII

(c) 1102 posts of Gr. C and

(d) 1980 posts of Gr. D in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools for accommodating Soma Das and other similarly situated waitlisted candidates subject to outcome of the pending cases.

(ii) 1600 supernumerary posts (with breakup of 750 Work Education and 850 Physical Education) for absorbing all waitlisted candidates.

b. Permission to the State Govt. in the Department of School Education to issue necessary direction to the Commission by invoking section 19 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 and to the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education, in the interest of education,, in terms rule 3(2) of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (Appointment, Confirmation, Conduct and Discipline of Teachers and Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2018 for absorption of such waitlisted candidates in the proposed supernumerary posts, to be created with the approval of the Cabinet. However the same shall be subject to outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

Generated from eOffice by BHUPAL CHANDRA HALDER, DS(BCH)-SECN(SED), DS(SECN)(SED), Dept. of SE on 07/11/2023 10:47 AM

7. **Schedule for implementation of the policy/programme /scheme:-**

(a) The decision of the Cabinet will be given immediate effect, not later than 30 days.

(b) Progress report on this matter will be sent to the Cabinet Secretary & Chief Secretary within 45 days from the date of approval of the Cabinet.

8. **Approval of the Cabinet sought for: -**

The proposal contained in para-06 above is now placed before the Cabinet for consideration and approval.

Government of West Bengal
School Education Department
Secondary Branch
Bikash Bhaban, Salt Lake, Kolkata-700091

No.692-SL/5S-202/2022 (Part)

Date: 19.05.2022.

ORDER

ANNEXURE P-7

Sub: Filling up of supernumerary posts of assistant teachers including Physical & Work Education teachers and non-teaching staff in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools for accommodating waitlisted candidates

Whereas, a proposal was sent to the State Cabinet for creation of supernumerary posts of assistant teachers including Physical & Work Education teachers and non-teaching staff in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools as follows:

(a) " Creation and filling up of:

(i) 5261 supernumerary posts with breakup of as follows:

(a) 1932 posts of Assistant Teacher for class IX-X

(b) 247 posts of Assistant Teacher for class XI-XII

(c) 1102 posts of Gr. C and

(d) 1980 posts of Gr. D in the recognised aided/sponsored Schools for accommodating Soma Das and other similarly situated waitlisted candidates who are adversely affected due to recommendation made by jumping in the rank, subject to outcome of the pending cases.

(ii) 1600 supernumerary posts of Assistant Teachers (with breakup of 750 Work Education and 850 Physical Education) for absorbing all waitlisted candidates.

(b) Permission to the State Government in the Department of School Education to issue necessary direction to the Commission by invoking section 19 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 and to the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education,in the interest of education, in terms rule 3(2) of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (Appointment, Confirmation, Conduct and Discipline of Teachers and Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2018 for absorption of such waitlisted candidates in the proposed supernumerary posts to be created with the approval of the cabinet. However, the same shall be subject to outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta."

Contd..

Generated from eOffice by BHUPAL CHANDRA HALDER, DS(BCH)-SECN(SED), DS(SECN)(SED), Dept. of SE on 07/11/2022 10:47 AM

And whereas, the State Cabinet has been pleased to take decision in its 22nd meeting dated 05.05.2022 and accorded approval vide No. 276 dated 05th May,2022

Now, therefore in exercise of power conferred under section 19 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997, the Governor has been pleased to create 6861 supernumerary posts of teachers and non-teaching staff as detailed at para-I for absorbing the waitlisted candidates in the supernumerary posts so created as per approval of the State Cabinet.

In exercise of power under rule 3(2) of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (Appointment, Confirmation, Conduct and Discipline of Teachers and Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2018, the Governor is further pleased to direct that appointment letters to such waitlisted candidates should be issued in terms of recommendation of the West Bengal School Service Commission in strict compliance of the extant rules subject to the outcome of pending litigation(s) before the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta.

This order is issued with the concurrence of the Finance Department vide U.O No. 02 Group P2 dated 05/05/2022 and approval of the State Cabinet vide No.276 dated 5th May, 2022.

sd/-
Deputy Secretary
to the Government of West Bengal

No.692/1(8) –SL/5S-202/2022 Date:19.05.2022

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. Account General (AE), WB
2. The Commissioner, School Education with direction to identify the schools where such waitlisted candidates can be accommodated considering existing Pupil-Teacher ratio and extant guidelines.
3. The Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission, with a request to provide detailed category-wise list of such waitlisted candidates with the Commissioner of School Education. This has a reference to his office letter dated 22.04.2022 & 25.04.2022
4. The President, West Bengal Board of Secondary Education.
5. Joint Secretary, Finance Department(Group P1&P2), Nabanna, Howrah
6. PS to Principal Secretary, Finance Department
7. PS to Hon'ble MIC of this department
8. PS to Secretary of this department

Bhalde
Deputy Secretary
to the Government of West Bengal

Generated from eOffice by BHUPAL CHANDRA HALDER, DS(BCH)-SECN(SED), DS(SECN)(SED), Dept. of SE on 07/11/2022 10:47 AM

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

APPELLATE SIDE

ANNEXURE P-8

PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE SUBRATA TALUKDAR

AND

THE HON'BLE JUSTICE ANANDA KUMAR MUKHERJEE

MAT 490 of 2022
DR. SANTI PRASAD SINHA
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS.

IA NO: CAN 1 of 2022, CAN 2 of 2022
WITH
MAT 173 of 2022

KEYA KAPRI AND ORS
VS
SANDEEP PARASAD AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 of 2022
WITH
MAT 181 OF 2022

STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
SANDEEP PRASAD

IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 189 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
SANDEEP PRASAD AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 192 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
SABINA YEASMIN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 199 OF 2022

SOUGATA BAROMAN AND ORS
VS
SABINA YEASMIN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 200 OF 2022
TUMPA TARAFDAR AND ORS
VS
SABINA YEASMIN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 241 OF 2022
NILMANI BARMAN
VS
SUVANKAR MONDAL AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 251 OF 2022
SK. INSAN ALI
VS
STATE OF WEST BENGAL AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 272 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
NASRIN KHATUN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 295 OF 2022
WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL
SERVICE COMMISSION AND ORS
VS
NASRIN KHATUN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 300 OF 2022
WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL
SERVICE COMMISSION AND ORS
VS
SABINA YEASMIN
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 308 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
SETABUDDIN AND ORS

IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 317 OF 2022
WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL
SERVICE COMMISSION AND ORS
VS
SETAB UDDIN
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 349 OF 2022
ASTO ROY
VS
SANJIB MAITY AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 439 OF 2022
NAZERA KHATUN
VS
PLABONI HOSSAIN AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 474 OF 2022
DR SANTI PRASAD SINHA
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022, CAN 2 OF 2022, CAN 3 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 481 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
LUXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 491 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
WITH
MAT 499 OF 2022
PRABIR KUMAR BANDHOPADHYAY
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 500 OF 2022
SUKANTA ACHARJEE
VS

LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 502 OF 2022
TAPAS PANJA
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 503 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
WITH
MAT 505 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 507 OF 2022
TAPAS PANJA
VS
LUXMI TUNGA AND ORS
WITH
MAT 508 OF 2022
SUKANTA ACHARJEE
VS
LUXMI TUNGA AND ORS
WITH
MAT 509 OF 2022
PRABIR KUMAR BANDHOPADHYAY
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
WITH
MAT 520 OF 2022
PRABIR KUMAR BANDHOPADHYAY
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 521 OF 2022
SUKANTA ACHARJEE
VS
LAXMI TUNGA
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH

MAT 522 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 531 OF 2022
STATE OF WEST BENGAL
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 532 OF 2022
DR SANTI PRASAD SINHA
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022, CAN 2 OF 2022
WITH
MAT 535 OF 2022
ASISH RANA
VS
LAXMI TUNGA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 577 OF 2022
DR. PARTHA CHATTERJEE
VS
MD. ABDUL GANI ANSARI AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 578 OF 2022
SUKANTA ACHARJEE
VS
MD. ABDUL GANI ANSARI AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 579 OF 2022
TAPAS PANJA
VS
MD. ABDUL GANI ANSARI AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 580 OF 2022
PRABIR KUMAR BANDAPADHYAY
VS
MD. ABDUL GANI ANSARI AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022

WITH
MAT NO: 581 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
MD. ABDUL GANI ANSARI AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 582 OF 2022
ALOK KUMAR SARKAR
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 583 OF 2022
TAPAS PANJA
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 584 OF 2022
SUKANTA ACHARJEE
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022
WITH
MAT NO: 585 OF 2022
PRABIR KUMAR BANDAPADHYAY
VS
ANINDITA BERA AND ORS
IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022

Mr. Anindya Kumar Mitra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Victor Chatterjee
.....for the appellant in MAT 509 of 2022, MAT 520 of 2022

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Mr. Dipayan Kundu
Ms. Mayuri Ghosh
.....for the Appellant in MAT 490 of 2022, MAT 474 of 2022 and MAT 532 of 2022.

Mr. Soumendra Nath Mookherjee, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Anirban Roy, Ld. G.P.
Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay

7

Mr. Sandip Sengupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee
Mr. S. Dey
Mr. Avirup Mitra

...for the Appellant/State in MAT 481 of 2022, MAT 181 of 2022, MAT 189 of 2022, MAT 192 of 2022, MAT 272 of 2022, MAT 308 of 2022, MAT 490 of 2022, MAT 317 of 2022,MAT 474 of 2022,

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Supriyo Chatterjee
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
...for the appellant in MAT 181 of 2022

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Supriyo Chatterjee
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
...for the appellant in MAT 189 of 2022

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Supriyo Chatterjee
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
...for the appellant in MAT 192 of 2022

Mr. S.N. Mookherjee, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P.
Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Suman Dey
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
Mr. Supriya Chattopadhyay
Mr. Sumen Dey
Mr. Saqib Siddiqui

Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Avishek Prasad

....for the appellant in MAT 181 of 2022, MAT 189 of 2022, MAT 192 of 2022, MAT 272, 2022, MAT 481 of 2022, Mat 531 of 2022

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sandip Sengupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Shamimul Bari
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
....for the appellant in MAT 308 of 2022

Mr. Saptansu Basu
Mr. Tanmay Kumar Dey
.....for the appellant in MAT 500 of 2022, MAT 508 of 2022, MAT 521 of 2022.

Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Sanjib Das
...for the appellant in MAT 502 of 2022, MAT 507 of 2022

Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya
Mr. Sandip Ghosh
Mr. Raju Bhattacharyya
Mr. Partha Sarkar
.....for the appellant in MAT 251 of 2022

Mr. S.N. Mookherjee, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Jasojeet Mukherjee
...for the appellant in MAT 491 of 2022, MAT 522 of 2022, MAT 503 of 2022, MAT 505 of 2022

Mr. A.K. Mitra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ranjan Bachawat.
Mr. Souman Ghosh
Mr. Victor Chatterjee
.....for the appellant in MAT 499 of 2022, MAT 509 of 2022, MAT 520 of 2022.

Mr. Lakhi Gupta, Sr. Adv
Mr. Subir Sanyal
Mr. Somesh Ghosh
...for the appellant in MAT 349 of 2022, MAT 173 of 2022, MAT 199 of 2022.

Mr. Sarwar Jahan
Mr. Sanjib Das

Mr. Subhankar Nag
....for the appellant in MAT 502 of 2022, MAT 507 of 2022.

Mr. S.S. Arefin
.....for the Appellant in MAT 241 of 2022
Mr. Sarwar Jahan
Mr. Sanjib Das
....for the Appellant in MAT 502 of 2022 and MAT 507 of 2022

Mr. Chittapriya Ghosh
Mr. Somesh Kr. Ghosh
Mr. Komal Singh
... for the appellant in MAT 535 of 2022, MAT 199 of 2022, MAT 349 of 2022, MAT 173 of 2022

Mr. S. P. Lahiri
Md. Habibur Rahman
Ms. Saba Parwen
.. for the appellant in MAT 439 of 2022

Mr. S.N. Mookherjee, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Samrat Sen, Ld. A.A.G.
Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P.
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Nilotpal Chatterjee
Mr. Avishek Prasad
....for the State in MAT 490 of 2022.

Mr. Kishore Datta, Sr. Advocate
Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey
.....for the WBCSSC

Mr. Kanak Kiran Bandyopadhyay
Mr. Soumitra Sarkar
...for the respondent No. 10 in MAT 308 of 2022, respondent No. 8 in MAT 317 of 2022.

Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Mr. Dipayan Kundu
Ms. Mayuri Ghosh
....for the respondent No. 11 in MAT 308 of 2022 and Respondent No. 12 in MAT 317 of 2022

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya
Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Ms. Mausumi Hazra
.....For the respondents in MAT 490 of 2022, MAT 522 of 2022, MAT 481 of 2022, MAT 491 of 2022, MAT 499 of 2022, MAT 500 of 2022, MAT 502 of 2022, MAT 503 of 2022, MAT 505 of 2022, MAT 507 of 2022, MAT 508 of 2022, MAT 509 of 2022, MAT 520 of 2022, MAT 521 of 2022, MAT 474 of 2022, MAT 241 of 2022, MAT 251 of 2022,

MAT 272 of 2022, MAT 308 of 2022, MAT 317 of 2022, MAT 295 of 2022, MAT 499 of 2022, MAT 535 of 2022.

Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya
Mr. Sandip Ghosh
Mr. Raju Bhattacharyya
Mr. Partha Sarkar
.....for the Private Respondents in MAT 308 of 2022, MAT 317 of 2022

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay
...for the State in MAT 439 of 2022

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Shamimul Bari
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
.....for the State in MAT 317 of 2022

Mr. Samrat Sen
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
.....for the State in MAT 474 of 2022

Mr. Santanu Kumar Mitra
...for the WBBSE in MAT 181 of 2022, MAT 189 of 2022, MAT 192 of 2022

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
.....for the WBBSE

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya
Ms. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arkadeb Biswas
Mr. Arka Nandi
Ms. Dipa Acharyya
Mr. Sutirtha Bayek
...for the respondent in MAT 349 of 2022, MAT 173 of 2022, MAT 181 of 2022, MAT 189 of 2022, MAT 192 of 2022, MAT 199 of 2022, MAT 200 of 2022, MAT 300 of 2022, MAT 531 of 2022, MAT 532 of 2022, MAT 582 of 2022, MAT 583 of 2022, MAT 584 of 2022, MAT 585 of 2022.

Mr. Sunit Kumar Roy
....for the respondent No. 8 in MAT 308 of 2022 and MAT 317 of 2022.

Mr. S.N. Mookherjee, Ld. A.G.
Mr. Samrat Sen, Ld. A.A.G.
Mr. Anirban Ray, Ld. G.P.
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay
Mr. Sandip Dasgupta
Mr. Raja Saha
Mr. Saaqib Siddiqui
Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Suman Dey
Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
Mr. Avishek Prasad
...for the State in MAT 490 of 2022, MAT 200 of 2022, MAT 308 of 2022, MAT 317 of 2022, MAT 474 of 2022, MAT 499 of 2022.

Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi, ASGI
Mr. Billwadal Bhattacharyya, Ld. ASGI
Mr. Rajdeep Majumdar
Mr. Samrat Goswami
.....for the CBI

Mr. Chittapriya Ghosh
Mr. Komal Singh

....for the respondent no. 481 in MAT 173 of 2022

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay

...for the State in MAT 349 of 2022

Mr. Lakshmi Kumar Gupta, Ld. Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chittapriya Ghosh
Mr. Somesh Kumar Ghosh
Mr. Komal Singh
.....for the respondent Nos. 10 to 13 in MAT 481 of 2022

Mr. Arunava Banerjee
....in-person for the Committee

Ms. Moumita Ghosh
...intervenor

Mr. Joyjit Dutta
... Intervenor

Ms. Chama Mookherji
Mr. Gourav Das
.. for the State in MAT 241 of 2022

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sagnik Chatterjee

... for the State in MAT 531 of 2022

Mr. Dhiraj Trivedi, ASGI
Mr. Billawadal Bhattacharyya, ASGI
Mr. Rajdeep Majumdar
Mr. Samrat Goswami
... for the CBI

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
.... For the appellant in MAT 308 of 2022 MAT 531 of 2022, MAT 481 of 2022, MAT 181 of 2022, MAT 189 of 2022, MAT 192 of 2022, MAT 349 of 2022

Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharya
Mr. Jakir Hossain
... for the respondent in MAT 439 of 2022

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
... for the WBBSE

Mr. A. K. Mitra
Mr. A. Guha Thakurata, Adv.
Mr. S. Nag
Mr. Animesh Paul
..... for the appellant in MAT 577 of 2022

Mr. Saptansu Basu
Mr. T.K. Dey
..... for the appellant in MAT 578 of 2022, MAT 500 of 2022, MAT 508 of 2022, MAT 521 of 2022

Mr. Sandipan Ganguly
Mr. S. Nag
Mr. Sanjib Das
..... for the appellant MAT 502 of 2022, MAT 507 of 2022, MAT 579 of 2022, MAT 583 of 2022

Mr. A.K. Mitra
Mr. R. Bachawat
Mr. V. Chatterjee
..... for the appellant MAT 580 of 2022, MAT 499 of 2022, MAT 509 of 2022, MAT 520 of 2022

Mr. S. N. Mukherji
Mr. S. Nag
Mr. J. Mukherjee
..... MAT 581 of 2022, MAT 582 of 2022, MAT 491 of 2022, MAT 503 of 2022, MAT 505 of 2022, MAT 522 of 2022

Mr. Joydip Banerjee
Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Baisya
..... For the State

Mr. Kanailal Samanta
Mr. Jakir Hossain
..... for the Respondent No. 1 in MAT 439 of 2022

Mr. Firdous Samim
Ms. Gopa Biswas
Ms. Mousumi Hazra
Mr. Aritra Bhattacharyya
..... For the Respondent in MAT 577 of 2022, MAT 578 of 2022, MAT 579 of 2022, MAT 580 of 2022, MAT 581 of 2022

Heard on : **05/04/2022, 11/04/2022, 12/04/2022, 13/04/2022, 13/05/2022**

Judgment on : **18/05/2022**

Subrata Talukdar, J.:-

1) This batch of individual appeals arise out of a clutch of individual writ petitions which are connected to the appointments of Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X and non-teaching staff for Group C and D Posts as notified by the State School Service Commission (for short SSC). The appellants before this Court are members of a Committee (for short the said Committee) including its Approving Authority and the Advisor, who have organised the appointments by way of recommendations issued by the SSC following the Regional Level Selection Test, 2016 (for short RLST-2016), which the writ petitioners allege to be illegal. The appellants also include candidates who have procured the alleged illegal appointments in all three categories of posts (*supra*).

2) By way of illustration it would be useful to quote the opening pleadings of one such petitioner in WPA 13701 of 2021 (*Muhammad Abdul Gani Ansari Vs. The State of West Bengal and Ors.*), which is also the subject matter of one of the appeals filed before this Court. The pleadings at *Paragraphs 1, 2, 3* and *4* read as follows:-

> *"1. Your petitioner is a citizen of India and residing at the address given in the cause title of this instant petition.*

2. The respondents herein are "State" within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution of India and/or "person or authority" under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and are thus amenable to the writ jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court.

3. That your petitioner is affected by the illegal, arbitrary, whimsical act of the respondent Commission which would as a result cost him to lose an opportunity to get appointment as an Assistant Teacher for Classes (IX to X) for the subject of Mathematics. The respondent commission illegally, arbitrarily and whimsically did not call the petitioner in counselling process and also did not give appointment to the petitioner though there is seat vacant in the petitioner's category and also the respondent authority give appointment to the below rank holder candidates than the petitioner for which the petitioner lost his opportunity to get recommendation letter.

4. Your petitioner states that Petitioner being an OBC-A candidate has adhered all requisite educational qualifications as well as the required training qualification. The petitioner is an eligible candidate to be appointed as an Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X.

Photocopies of the Academic and Professional Testimonials of the petitioner and caste certificate are annexed herewith and collectively marked as Annexure 'P-1'"

Similar pleadings and prayers to set aside the illegal appointments to all the three categories of Posts as stated above are part of the other writ petitions.

3) The Hon'ble Single Bench during the course of hearing of individual writ petitions on several dates was, *inter alia*, pleased to notice several *prima facie* facts which were *startling and surprising*. It would be relevant for this discussion to notice the facts as recorded by the Hon'ble Single Bench through several orders in individual writ petitions:-

"28.02. 2022

WPA 13700 of 2021

Setab Uddin & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

I have asked Mr. Sarkar, Ex-chairman of the Central School Service Commission, who signed the two recommendation letters issued to Respondent 2 No.6 on 18.12.2019 and 25.02.2020?

Mr. Sarkar has intimated this court that he was in Central School Service Commission as Chairman from 10.01.2019 to 15.01.2020. He has no idea who signed these recommendation letters as, when the first recommendation to the respondent No. 6 dated 18.12.2019 was signed he was on leave due to his mother's death and when the second recommendation dated 25.02.2020 was signed for the same respondent he was not in the Commission at all in any post. He was released from the Commission on 15.01.2020. Therefore, he has submitted that he has no role in such illegal issuance of recommendation letters to the Respondent No.6.

I have asked Mr. Saha who worked as Chairman in-charge during the absence of Mr. Sarkar and some days after he was released from his duty as Chairman, as to who signed these recommendation letters. He said that he is totally ignorant about issuance of such recommendation letters because the signatures thereon are mechanically printed and those are scanned signatures and he never signed those two recommendation letters and he does not have absolutely any idea as to how this was done. In fact, he has submitted, before discussion of the matter with his advocates about this writ application and the last order passed by this court, he did not 3 have any idea about such recommendations. However, in reply to court's question he has disclosed the names of two persons (1) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha whose residential address is UV 05-

03-C Bengal Ambuja Housing Complex, 1050/1, P. S. -Survey Park, Santoshpur, Kolkata 700075 who worked as the advisor of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (he is not in the service now) who handled all such recommendations and not Mr. Saha. (2) The Programme Officer of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission Mr. Samarjit Acharya of MIG, K/14, Niva Park, Phase – II, P. S. –Brahmapur, Kolkata 700096.

I direct the petitioner to add these two persons as party respondents in this matter forthwith and to serve copy of the writ application and the reports in the form of an affidavit upon them by hand."

"03.03.2022

WPA 13700 of 2021

Setab Uddin & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

A startling revelation in paragraph no. 5 of such affidavit is – "It is also stated that generally recommendation letters are issued in favour of the empanelled waitlisted candidates on the date of counseling after opting the school by the respective candidates, but here in the instant case the respondent no. 6 was not called for counseling in spite of that recommendation letter was issued in favour of the respondent no. 6."

This paragraph no. 5 of the affidavit, part of which has been quoted above, is affirmed by the deponent as „true to his knowledge".

3. In page 6 of the writ application a table has been given which makes the allegation of the petitioners clear wherefrom it is found that one Sk. Insan Ali, who is the respondent no. 6 in this writ application, was in the waitlisted rank of W-144 whereas the petitioners nos. 1, 2 and 3 were all above the said

Sk. Insan Ali in the waitlist and the petitioner no. 4 had equal marks with Sk. Insan Ali.

4. In this regard specific pleadings have been made in paragraph 26 of the writ application wherein the petitioners have stated that one Sk. Insan Ali a below rank holder (rank W-144 in OBC-A male/female category) than the petitioners in OBC-A male/female merit list, has got appointment letter and has joined in the Bani Niketan R.M. Vidyalaya (High) despite the petitioners being in higher rank holder in OBC-A male/female, have not received any call letter or 4 intimation letter for counseling and also naturally did not get any appointment letter.

5. Here I must record one objection of the learned advocate for the Commission yesterday, (his other objections will be recorded latter) as to the delay in preferring this application.

6. I have found that the recommendation was done surreptitiously. Today it has come to light that no counselling was held before recommending Sk. Insan Ali in a school. If an authority acts surreptitiously in giving recommendation then the persons who are suffering has to act like a sleuth.

7. We are fortunate, today in our country there is an Act, namely, Right to Information Act. The petitioners on 1st February, 2021 filed one application under Right to Information Act to the school for knowing certain facts and the school gave its reply stating that the said Sk. Insan Ali joined the school on 1st December, 2020 under category OBC-A for classes IX and X.

8. So the petitioners for the first time came to learn specifically that the respondent no. 6, who was a below ranked candidate, was appointed in February, 2021. This writ application has been affirmed in August, 2021 and I cannot blame the petitioners for filing it after six months keeping it in mind that the unemployed youth are facing a mammoth authority namely West Bengal Central School Service Commission.

9. After considering that particular objection of the learned advocate for the Commission, Mr. Dutta, the 5 court"s

observation is that there is no delay in filling the application in the facts and circumstances of the case.

11. The petitioners made their submissions initially on that basis and this court (Cor. Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.) directed the Commission to file a report in the form of an affidavit which was filed by them on 3rd November, 2021. This order was passed on 15th September, 2021. On 30th September, 2021 the Hon"ble Court granted further time to the Commission for filing its report. On 21.02.2022 when the matter was being heard and the Commission filed its report in the form of affidavit, the respondent No. 6 produced in court two recommendation letters and two appointment letters along with one envelope of the Commission. After going through the said two recommendation letters, one dated 18th December, 2019 and the other dated 25th February, 2020, I directed the Commission on that day to intimate certain facts which were recorded in paragraph 6 of the order of that date. Those facts have not been intimated to this court till date, which I have noted.

12. On 22nd February, 2022 I was told that at the relevant point of time of issuance of the two recommendation letters one Soumitra Sarkar was the Chairman and one Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha in some intervals acted as the Chairman-in-charge in the absence of the Chairman and I directed them to appear before this court on the next date and they were added as parties.

13. On the next date, i.e., on 28.02.2022, I found from the statement of Mr. Sarkar that when those two recommendation letters were issued to the respondent no. 6, (who was a candidate below the rank of the three petitioners and equal in marks to the fourth petitioner) he was on leave at the time of the first recommendation due to her mother"s death and at the time of the second recommendation letter he was released from the Commission. Therefore, he had no involvement in this matter.

14. Nobody has raised any objection in respect of his statement. However, I directed him to file an affidavit in respect

of the statements made by him which he did and the affidavit was filed yesterday (02.03.2022) in court with the similar statements.

15. On 28.02.2022 Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, who worked as the Chairman-in-charge during the absence of Mr. Sarkar and some days after Mr. Sarkar was released form his duty as Chairman, was asked as to who signed those recommendation letters, he stated that he had been totally ignorant about issuance of such recommendation letters because the signatures on 7 the recommendation letters were mechanically printed and those were scanned signatures and he never signed those two recommendation letters and he did not have absolutely any idea as to how this was done. He further submitted that before discussion of the matter with his advocates about this writ application after receiving a copy of this court"s order dated 22nd February, 2022 and while going through the orders passed by this court, he did not have any idea about such recommendations. However, in reply to the court"s question he disclosed names of two persons. 1) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha (who worked as the Advisor of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission and according to Mr. Saha, who handled all such recommendations and not Mr. Saha) and 2) Mr. Samarjit Acharya (The Programme Officer of the Central School Service Commission).

16. Those two persons were also added as parties by this court and I directed those two persons to appear before this court on 2nd March, 2022 at 2 p.m.

17. On 2nd March, 2022 I first asked some questions exercising my power under Section 165 of the Indian Evidence Act to Mr. Samarjit Acharya who replied on oath. Some of the questions asked to him and his reply thereto are quoted below:

"Q.9) Was this particular recommendation letter was printed at the instruction of the Chairman-inCharge or the advisor? Ans. No, it was not under the instruction of the then Chairman, it was done by the instruction of the then Advisor.

Q.10) Can you name the advisor?

Ans. Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha.

Q.13) On whose instruction you printed this second recommendation letter?

Ans. The printout of the second recommendation letter was also done by me as per the instruction of the Advisor, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha.

Q.18) Why did you act on the instruction of Mr. Santi Prasad Sinha?

Ans. Mylord, I have received that order from the Government and the Chairman told me that I should act accordingly as per the order.

Q.19) Please see this order. What are the names and designations of the members of the committee?

Ans. 1) Sri S. P. Sinha, Advisor to the Central School Service Commission, Convenor

2) Sri. S. Acharya, P.S. to Hon'ble MIC of this department.

3) P. K. Bandyopadhyay, OSD to the Hon'ble MIC of this department.

4) Sri A. K. Sarkar, Deputy Director (GA Section), Directorate of School Education.

5) Sri T. Panja, Senior Law Officer of this department.

Q.20) Who has signed this document?

Ans. The Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal.

Q.22) How Mr. Sinha gave you the instruction to print the recommendation letters, orally or in writing? Ans. He used to instruct verbally.

Q.25) Can you remember for how many times over a period Mr. Sinha gave you instruction to print recommendation letters at this instruction?

Ans. I do not remember the exact number of instructions given by Mr. Sinha. But he has started giving me instruction from the end of 2019. "

18. None of the parties prayed for this court"s leave to cross examine the two persons who were asked questions by the court.

19. While replying to question no. 18 Mr. Acharya produced one document which was marked by this court as Exhibit-1, which is an order passed by the Joint Secretary of the Government of West Bengal of Secondary Branch of School Education Department of Government of West Bengal. That order is reproduced in the next page:

20. This order issued by the Joint Secretary contains five names including the advisor with whom the Committee was constituted and the Chairman of the Commission was given the post of ex-officio Chairman of the Committee.

21. This order of the School Education Department dated 01.11.2019 is extremely surprising. There is no mentioning under what provision of law such an order was issued by the School Education Department naming five persons as have been given in the said letter as member. Under what provisions of law this order was issued is not understood by this court. Learned Advocate for the state has not made any submissions in this regard. Why suddenly supervision and monitoring of pending recruitment process were required to be taken up by this Committee is not mentioned in the said order. The Commission was a complete body constituted by a statue full of experts and it has its own work force for doing all the jobs done which the Commission did for several recruitment processes wherein an average three lakhs or so candidates participated. Why this time such a Committee was constituted shall always remain a question until some enquiry is made in respect of the role of these members of the Committee. That order of the School Education Department was issued with the concurrence of the competent authority; who was the competent authority is also to be found out.

22. There is a provision under Section 19 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 where the State Government can issue directions. The provision is reproduced herein below:

Section 19:

Directions by State Governments.- In the discharge of its functions the Commission shall be guided by such directions as may be given to it by the State Government from time to time in conformity with the provisions of this Act.

23. In the said order issued by the School Education Department singed by the Joint Secretary dated 01.11.2019, no such directions is found. It was only for constitution of the committee. Therefore, this order was not at all an order under Section 19 of the aforesaid Act. At this juncture a reply of Mr. Acharya becomes very important which he gave on oath before this court yesterday.

In reply to question no.25 Mr. Acharya stated as follows:

Q: 25) Can you remember for how many times over a period Mr. Sinha gave you instruction to print recommendation letters at this instruction? (sic)

Ans: I do not remember the exact number of instructions given by Mr. Sinha but he has started giving me instructions from the end of 2019.

24. It is a matter to be noted that Mr. Sinha became the convenor of the Committee on 01.11.2019 i.e at the end of the 2019.

27. Now I come to some examples from the exception taken by the learned advocate for the petitioners (to the report of the Commission) wherein particulars of a large number of illegal recommendation were given:

(i) In the subject Mathematics (GRAD) wait list rank 275 in Medium Bengali got appointment whereas wait list rank 217 was not even called. (Henceforth WL stands for wait list).

(ii) WL 253 in SC Male/Female category in Mathematics (Grad) got appointment and joined a school whereas higher rank holder being WL 226, WL 214, WL 250 and WL 207 in the same subjects and category was not called for counselling and they got no appointment.

(iii) Rank WL 64 in SC Female category in Subject History (Grad) got appointment 15 letter but rank WL 38, WL 47 and WL 55 in the same category did not get any call.

(iv) Rank WL 138 under Male/Female OBC-B in the subject Geography got recommendation whereas rank above WL 138 under same category did not get any call.

(v) Even one non-listed candidate, namely, Sumita Das got appointment in the subject Geography in Tapan Balika Vidyalaya (H.S.) whereas several waiting listed candidates did not receive any call letter.

(vi) Rank WL 194 in OBC-A Male/Female category and WL 123 in OBC-A Female category in subject Bengali (GRAD) got recommendation letter and joined the school but Rank WL 146 in OBC-A Male/Female and Rank WL 87 in OBC-A Female in the same subject and category got no call for counselling.

(vii) One non-listed candidate, namely, Jnui Das got appointment in one school, namely, Gujarpur Shibganj Bishalaxmi High School whereas several waiting listed candidates did not get any call letter.

(viii) Another non-listed candidate Md. Azad Ali Mirza got recommendation letter from the Commission in the subject Bengali whereas similar subject and category wait listed candidates did not get any call.

(ix) One Md. Golam Rabbani being rank holder WL 174 in OBC-A Male/Female category in subject English (GRAD) got appointment letter though in the same 16 category and subject WL 131 and WL 134 did not get any call for counselling.

(x) One Mou Sarkar being rank holder WL 168 in OBC-B Female category got appointment letter in subject English but higher rank holders in the same subjects and category being rank holder WL 65, WL 90, WL 141 and WL 154 did not receive any call letter for counselling.

(xi) One non-listed candidate, namely, Imam Momin got appointment letter in the subject English in a school whereas several waiting listed candidates did not get any appointment letters.

(xii) Another non-listed candidate Jayasri Sarkar got recommendation letter in the subject English whereas other WL candidates did not get any call letter.

(xiii) One Runa Laila being rank holder WL 58 in OBC-A Female category in subject English got appointment letter but higher rank holders being rank WL 50 and WL 53 in OBC-A Female category in same subject did not get any call letter for counselling.

(xiv) Another non-listed candidate Gurupada Gharai got appointment letter in the subject Life Science (GRAD) whereas several waiting listed candidates in the same subject did not get call letter for counselling.

(xv) Another non-listed candidate Md. Tazuddin Ahamed Shafil Imam got appointment letter in the subject Physical Science (Grad) whereas several waiting listed candidates in the same subject did not get call letter for counselling.

(xvi) Another non-listed candidate Arun Kumar Sinha got appointment letter in the subject Physical Science (Grad) whereas several waiting listed candidates in the same subject did not get call letter for counselling.

(xvii) Another non-listed candidate Dibyendu Samajdar got appointment letter in the subject Physical Science (Grad) whereas several waiting listed candidates did not get call letter for counselling. Such allegations have not been denied by the Commission.

31. As the order of the Government has already been quoted above, I am not repeating the names of the highly placed persons with whom the Committee was constituted. Hence in this matter 19 also, I direct the C.B.I. enquiry, as the C.B.I. is an expert agency which is not under the control of State Government, in a scam where a Committee has been formed with the important officers of the State Government by the School Education Department. Therefore, I direct the Director, Central Bureau of Investigation to constitute a Committee immediately, headed by an officer not below the rank of Joint Director with officers not below the rank of D.I.G. to initiate the enquiry. It is expected that all the persons named in the said order of the Government including the „competent authority" with whose concurrence the order was issued and any other

person from whom CBI want to gather facts for inquiry of the matter should come under the umbrella of the enquiry. It is not that I do not have any confidence upon the police of this State. But the police is controlled by the State and in effect they are chained by orders ect. from the different quarters. Otherwise, I have the belief that the Kolkata Police or the West Bengal Police is fully capable to enquire into the matter.

32. For this reason, as C.B.I. is an agency outside the control of this State, I am directing the C.B.I. to hold this enquiry which has happened in this State wherein a Committee constituted by the 20 State of highly placed officials was acting and the tip of „corruption-ice-berg" is found.

34. C.B.I. shall also enquire whether there is any money trail in respect of such appointment. Admittedly, it appears from the aforesaid report of the Commission and answers given by one of its officers indicating the corruption at the instance of the convenor of the Committee that the appointed person's rank was below the first three petitioners and equal to the marks of the fourth petitioner.

35. This morning one startling fact has been placed before this court when Mr. Saha, who acted as Chairman-in-Charge and is the Assistant Secretary of the Commission for some time has placed one affirmed statement that the particular respondent no.6 was not even called for counselling. Without any counselling, recommendation letter was issued in favour of the respondent no.6 which has been recorded in the order passed today at the very inception of this matter before I started dictating this order.

36. This court directs the C.B.I. to take steps immediately in respect of the five named persons in the order of the Government of West Bengal dated 01.11.2019 and others and further start enquiring the matter as extreme illegality is found which has irresistibly brought me to the question of corruption. Thus the C.B.I. has two functions:-

i) take steps to know in respect of the five persons in the order of the Government of West Bengal dated 01.11.2019 and

others felt necessary by CBI immediately and to file an interim report by fifteen days from date of service of a copy of the order before this court and

ii) start enquiring the matter forthwith and to file an interim report as to the enquiry by one month from the date of starting enquiry. The report is to be filed before this court."

4) In another writ petition, being WPA 13701 of 2021, the Hon'ble Single Bench was pleased to further record as follows:-

"07.03. 2022

WPA 13701 of 2021

Md. Abdul Gani Ansari

Vs.

The State of W. B. & Ors.

The District Inspector of Schools, Murshidabad has filed one report in the form of affidavit affirmed on 7th March, 2022.

The Deputy Director of School Education (Grand-in-Aid) has filed one affidavit affirmed on 4th March, 2022 wherein he has stated that the then Deputy Director of School Education (Grand-in-Aid), West Bengal, vide Memo No. 1338-GA dated 11.07.2018 forwarded those six vacancies, which is a relevant question in this writ application, to the School Service Commission on 12.07.2018.

Learned advocate for the State has submitted that there is some mistake in this affidavit and so he 2 wants to file one clarificatory affidavit by day after tomorrow.

Learned advocate for the School Service Commission has submitted that he will also come up with the further report in the form of affidavit day after tomorrow i.e. on 9th March, 2022. Such extension of time is granted to the Commission and if such affidavit is not filed by 9th March, 2022, Commission will not be granted any further opportunity to file such affidavit and necessary presumption will be drawn against it.

Here it is also found from the affidavit filed by the District Inspector of Schools that all recommendation letters were issued by the West Bengal School Service Commission on 14.01.2020 and it appears that these recommendation letters were signed by the then Chairman of the Commission Mr. Soumitra Sarkar.

It has been submitted by Mr. Bhattacharyya, learned senior advocate for the petitioner that the then Chairman Mr. Soumitra Sarkar was in his office till 15th January, 2020.

Mr. Abhratosh Majumder, learned advocate appearing for the Respondent Nos. 8, 10 and 11 has submitted that they are appointed elsewhere and not in any school and the allegation of the petitioner that they were appointed in schools is incorrect. Mr. Majumder has submitted that he will file an affidavit stating that his abovementioned clients are not working in any school and working elsewhere."

"25.03.2022

WPA 13701 of 2021
Md. Abdul Gani Ansari
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

The parties are directed to exchange affidavits amongst themselves by 28th March, 2022.

I direct to my Court Officer to arrange all the exhibits.

Mr. S.P. Sinha who were the advisor of the School Service Commission for long time and also the convenor of the Five Member Committee constituted by the Education Department is directed to file one affidavit of assets as this court has found that there are serious illegalities in giving recommendations to ineligible candidates and the tip of the corruption-iceberg in issuing illegal recommendations is seen which is getting gradually bigger. From the answers given to this court by Mr. Sinha and Mr. Acharya I am satisfied that some other aspects

of the affairs of the persons involved are required to be seen. When illegal appointments have been proved this writ court being a court of equity will take all steps required to go to the root of the matter and will not stop on some technical grounds: if it is done, this court in exercising its equitable jurisdiction will fail which can never be done as this writ court in all such matters has miles to go before it sleeps.

The affidavit of assets has to be filed on 30th March, 2022, when this matter will appear under the heading "To be mentioned".

"31.03.2022

WPA 18585 of 2021

Laxmi Tunga

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

The petitioners have given a list of 98 persons for appointment in Group D posts. All of their appointment letters issued by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education have been annexed.

The Board in paragraph 13 of their affidavit affirmed on 22nd February, 2022, has said that on the basis of the recommendations received from the School Service Commission, both through the hardcopy and softcopy in phases between the period December, 2019 and February, 2020, the appointment letters were issued by them.

On the other hand the Commission in paragraph 9 of its report filed in the form of an affidavit affirmed on 22nd February, 2022, has stated that the Chairman of the concerned Regional School Service Commission by his memo dated 18th February, 2022 informed the Central School Service Commission that 96 recommendation letters, as mentioned in the said memo of the Chairman of the Regional School Service Commission which appears to have been issued between 23rd September, 2019

and 20th December, 2019, have not been issued by the Commission.

Therefore, the Commission has said they did not recommend those candidates. But the Board has said that they have recommended them on the basis of the recommendations received by them from the Commission.

Some relevant facts are required to be mentioned here. In some other matters this court called the Advisor to the Commission Mr. S. P. Sinha and Mr. Samarjit Acharya, the Programme Officer of the Commission and asked them some questions wherefrom it appeared that on the basis of some recommendation from outside the office of the Commission at the instance of Mr. Sinha, the recommendation letters were printed by the Programme Officer wherein the signatures of the Chairman of the Commission were mechanically printed. The Chairman was kept in the dark. Said Mr. S. P. Sinha was also convenor of a five member committee constituted by the School Education Department with high officials of Education department.

Before this court a large number of matters have come in the meantime as to such illegal appointments and now the records of the statements made by the said Mr. Sinha and Mr. Acharya are before this court, along with some exhibits.

In such circumstances a thorough probe is required to bust the racket of giving illegal appointments. I have found from other similar matters that the said Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha as one of the kingpins of this corruption of giving illegal public appointment. Hence, I direct the regional head of the Central Bureau of Investigation to call Mr. S. P. Sinha in course of the day and start questioning him.

The CBI is directed to initiate the said interrogation in course of the day - I mean by 12 midnight today.

With this action CBI investigation will start. This matter will appear tomorrow for further hearing."

5) By a further order dated 1st of April 2022 in WPA 18585 of 2021 connected to the charge of illegal appointments to Group – D posts the Hon'ble Single Bench held as follows:-

"01.04.2022

WPA 18585 of 2021
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

5. School Service Commission has filed a second report affirmed on 31.03.2022 wherein they have stated in paragraph 6:

"i) the candidates mentioned in Pages 72 and 73 of the said application were included in wait list but not recommended by the commission

Copies of the application forms filed by the candidates mentioned in page 73 of the writ application are annexed herewith and marked as "R-1" (collectively) wherefrom the 3 category and the caste of the said 8 candidates will be evident.

ii) Out of the 90 candidates as mentioned in pages 85 and 86 of the said application, 85 candidates were neither waitlisted nor recommended.

With regard to the remaining 5 candidates namely Bapan Das, Tarak Nath Heit, Namai Khalua, Pritish Maity and Raju Das, they were in the waitlist but not recommended by the Commission.

A copy of list containing details of the above 90 candidates as mentioned in pages 85 and 86 of the writ application is annexed herewith and marked as "R-2". "

6. The persons against whom allegations have been made and in respect of whom the Commission has stated in their affidavit that they were never recommended by the Commission and in respect of whom it has been alleged that they are working in different schools as Group D staff, the salary of all such

persons shall not be paid any further. They shall not be treated as candidates recommended by the Commission. They shall not be allowed to enter into the schools as Group D staff of the said schools. Further orders in respect of their illegal appointment and other aspects would be passed later and now I direct the concerned District Inspectors of Schools (S.E.) to take immediate steps for complying with the order passed by this court.

7. In one similar matter of illegal recommendation and appointment the answers given by Mr. S. P. Sinha and Mr. Samarjit Acharya to the questions of this court has been recorded and I direct my court officer to hand over the copies of the questions and answers given by them, which I took under Section 165 of the Indian Evidence Act, to the learned advocate of the CBI in the course of the day. Learned advocate for the CBI will also be handed over the Exhibits made in that proceeding being WPA 13701 of 2021 (Md. Abdul Gani Ansari – Vs.- State of West Bengal & Ors.). In the said proceeding it came to the knowledge of this court that a high-powered committee was constituted by the Education Department of the State of West Bengal constituted by the following five members:

i) Shri S. P. Sinha, Advisor to the West Bengal Central School Service Commission - the Convenor

ii) Shri S. Acharya, Personal Secretary to Hon"ble Minister in Charge of the Department

iii) Shri P. K. Bandyopadhyay, OSD to the Hon"ble MIC of Education Department

iv) Shri A. K. Sarkar, Deputy Director (GA Section), Directorate of School Education Department

v) Shri T. Panja, Senior Law Officer of the department.

I direct the petitioners to add all these persons of that committee as party-respondents in this matter immediately.

8. I direct the Principal Secretary of the School Education Department to supply the addresses of the four persons, except Mr. S. P. Sinha, forthwith to the learned advocate for the petitioners and also to the learned advocate of CBI.

9. I direct the CBI to take steps forthwith to call the above persons for asking questions in respect of this illegal appointment scam in public employment and they are to be called in the course of the day. When they will be questioned, will be decided by the CBI. I am not giving any guideline to that effect, but they are to be called in course of the day and they are directed to attend the office of the CBI as soon as they will be called by the CBI. This order on those persons is mandatory and any non-compliance with this order will be viewed very seriously by this court against the persons who will fail to attend the office of the CBI as soon as they are called.

17. I direct the CBI to register a regular case in this respect so that the matter can be proceeded with on the basis of the said case. Yesterday the steps were taken by the CBI pursuant to the order passed by this court.

18. Learned advocate for the CBI has stated that after registering a case it will be a CBI investigation and not an enquiry."

6) From the contents of the orders reproduced above it is *prima facie* clear that serious irregularities were noticed and recorded by the Hon'ble Single Bench in the appointments to the three categories recommended by the SSC and executed by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education. It was also *prima facie* noticed and recorded by the Hon'ble Single Bench that at the root of the illegal recommendations were the activities of the five member so-called *Super Committee* appointed by the order of the School Education Department dated 1st November, 2019 and approved by then Minister-in-Charge under the advisorship of one S.P. Sinha. The several individual instances of illegal appointments issued on the recommendation of the said *Super Committee* have been detailed in the orders of the Hon'ble Single Bench (*supra*) and such details could

not be rebutted by Ld. Counsel appearing for the SSC and also for some of the appointed candidates.

It would not be irrelevant to point out at this juncture to the roundabout manner in which Ld. Senior Counsel for some of the appointees namely, the respondent Nos. 8, 10 and 11 in WPA 13701 of 2021 took the stand, upon enquiries made by the Hon'ble Single Bench, that his clients have not been appointed to any school but are working elsewhere.

When confronted with a letter of the District Inspector of Schools, Murshidabad dated the 18th of February, 2021 stating, *inter alia*, that the candidates including the said respondents Nos. 8, 10 and 11 received their appointment letters from the President of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (for short the Board) on 20th March 2020, Learned Senior Advocate for the said respondents 8, 10 and 11 sought time to file affidavits.

7) Unable to stop the course of investigation initiated by the Hon'ble Single Bench on noticing and recording large scale illegal recommendations and appointments pursuant thereto, both the State of West Bengal and the SSC arrived before the Hon'ble Division Bench by way of separate appeals. The Hon'ble Division Bench *vide* its order dated 11th March 2022 in MAT 308 of 2022 with MAT 317 of 2022 , *inter alia* , held as follows:-

> *"11.03.2022*
>
> *MAT 308 of 2022*
>
> *with*
>
> *I.A No. CAN 1of 2022*
>
> *State of West Bengal*
>
> *Vs.*

Setab Uddin & Ors.

WITH

MAT 317 of 2022

With

I.A No. CAN 1of 2022

West Bengal Central School Service Commission & Ors.

Vs.

Setab Uddin & Ors.

This appeal has come up at the instance of the State of West Bengal.

The Learned Advocate General appears on behalf of the State and submits that without giving any opportunity to the State to file an affidavit opposing the prayer for appointment of CBI the learned single judge has directed C.B.I to enquire into the alleged malpractices. It is submitted that the State is always in favour of an impartial enquiry and if required by SIT under the supervision of High Court as was done in respect of Group C and Group D employees.

It is further submitted that although the learned single judge has expressed full faith into the state machinery, C.B.I enquiry was directed on the assumption that since the persons named are holding responsible positions in the government no impartial enquiry by the state machinery would be possible.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the School Service Commission, has submitted that no opportunity was given to the School Service Commission to rebut the allegations made against the officials of the School Service Commission.

Mr. Phridoz Shamim, learned counsel appearing for the respondent nos. 1 to 4/petitioners, however, opposed the prayer of the learned Advocate General and submits that learned single judge upon consideration of the materials available on record directed C.B.I enquiry as that was the only recourse open to the court in the given facts and circumstances to unearth the truth.

At the outset, we must say that the prima facie case has been made out against the School Service Commission. The said authority has dealt with the matter complaints in a most unsatisfactory manner. The Learned single judge has referred to few instances of the serious lapse and irregularities, which could be tip of the iceberg. The School Service Commission cannot escape its liability and the likely consequences to follow for such serious acts of omission and commission. The involvement of the officials of School Service Commission also could not be ruled out. Even today, Dr. Patra is unable to demonstrate that the instances of malpractices duly noted by the learned single judge in paragraph 27 of the order dated 3rd March, 2022 is incorrect. One would have expected the School Service Commission to lodge FIR and initiate proceedings against the persons responsible for such alleged malpractices. There are enough materials disclosed before the learned Single Judge to justify such an action. The School Service Commission ought not to have waited for the writ petitioners to approach this Court to demonstrate such large-scale malpractices.

The learned Advocate General has assured this court that appropriate measures would be taken against the persons found responsible for such malpractices and for that prepare a S.I.T under the supervision of a retired high court Judge can be appointed to unearth the truth. This stand appears to be fair.

However, having regard to the fact that this is not the regular bench and the regular bench would be available on and from 14th March, 2022 and also having regard to the fact that the Regular Bench appears to have appointed a committee presided over by a retired Judge of this Court to investigate in matters involving similar alleged malpractices, following the judicial decorum we stay the order directing 4 C.B.I enquiry till 15th March, 2022. All other directions however, shall remain unaffected."

Subsequently on 15 March, 2022 the regular Hon'ble Division Bench held as follows:-

"15.03 2022

MAT 308 of 2022
With
CAN 1 of 2022
The State of West Bengal
Vs.
Setab Uddin and others.

The earlier Bench at the time of moving the stay application found the existence of a prima facie case against the School Service Commission and the manner in which the matters are dealt with appears to be unsatisfactory. Even before the Division Bench, the School Service Commission could not demonstrate the genuine attempts having made after noticing the malpractice nor any criminal law was activated by registering an First Information Report and initiating a proceeding against the persons responsible therefor.

There is no quarrel to the proposition that the Court while adjudicating and/or determining the disputes embarks in pursuit of finding of the truth and the persons, who are indulged in malpractice should not walk merrily from the corridors of the Court. The corruption, if brooded at every strata of the society, has a large impact on the development of the country and, therefore, has to be handled with iron hand.

Though the learned single Judge has found many 3 instances of malpractice, which are vividly narrated in the impugned order but we do not find any findings having recorded, which may justify at least prima facie for investigation to be done by the Central Bureau of Investigation.

By the order dated 11th March 2022 the earlier Bench has stayed the operation of the order by which enquiry was directed to be conducted by the Central Bureau of Investigation and this Court also finds that matter needs to be heard at length, such interim order already granted is required to be extended.

Let the interim order granted earlier on 11th March 2022 be extended for a period of two weeks from date.

Let the appeal and the connected application be listed on 17th March 2022."

8) Thereafter by an order dated 29th March, 2022 in MAT 447 of 2022 (*Dr. Santiprasad Sinha Vs. Md. Abdul Gani Ansari and Ors.*), the Hon'ble Division Bench was pleased to hold as follows:-

"29.03. 2022

MAT 447 of 2022

With

IA No. CAN 1 of 2022

Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha

Vs.

Md. Abdul Gani Ansari and others.

The impugned order would reveal that the Court while invoking the provision under Section 165 of the Evidence Act noticed certain incriminating materials, which created an impression that there has been illegal recommendation in favour of the candidates, who secured lesser marks than the other deserving candidates and the appointments have been made on the basis thereof. The Single Bench further found that the appellant, who was an Advisor of the School Service Commission and the Convenor of the Five-Member Committee constituted by the Education Department, is required to disclose the affidavit of assets.

Though the order is interlocutory in nature and passed in furtherance of the proceeding but the Court must be careful and cautious when the direction is passed to disclose the assets in the form of affidavit so that it may not be disclosed or divulged to the litigating parties. Securing an affidavit of assets is for specified purposes and dependent upon the consequences and circumstances perceived in course of the proceeding but should

not be used as pleading, which the parties have exchanged in course of the said proceeding.

Certain observations have been made in the impugned order against the appellant, which should not be construed as final but tentative in nature and, therefore, shall not stand in the way at the time of final disposal of the proceeding.

Though a prima facie finding has been made that illegal appointments have been surfaced in course of the proceeding and, therefore, the technicalities should not be projected as a hurdle or obstacle in pursuit of securing the justice. The finding is made on the basis of the perceived notion, which is always tentative in nature and for carriage of the proceeding and, therefore, there cannot be any apprehension in the mind of the litigants that such finding has a larger impact at the time of final hearing of the proceeding.

Taking into account the thought process behind the direction passed upon the appellant to file affidavit of assets, we do not find any element warranting interference at this stage. However, we make it clear that such affidavit of assets shall remain in a sealed cover and 3 shall not be divulged or circulated to the litigating parties or the Counsels and shall be appropriately dealt with at the time of final decision to be taken on the issues involved therein.

The time for filing of affidavit of assets is extended by five days from date.

On the basis of the above findings, the appeal and the connected application are disposed of."

9) The directions and observations of the Hon'ble Single Bench as discussed by the Hon'ble Division Bench *vide* its order dated 29th March, 2022 (*supra*), were also the subject matter of observations by the Hon'ble Single Bench *vide* its order dated 30th March, 2022 which reads as follows:-

"30.03.2022

WPA 13701 of 2021

Md. Abdul Gani Ansari

Vs.

State of West Bengal & Ors.

I) My observations made in the order dated 25.03.2022 has been declared by the said appeal bench as tentative. How this declaration is made and why, is not known. There is no reason. Thus, this court"s observation has been diluted.

II) Regarding my finding as to illegal appointments it is held by the said Division Bench - I do not know why - that it was a „perceived notion", when the illegal appointments are hard facts that have come before me why such diluting word „notion" has been used I do not know.

III) The said appeal court has also taken into account the „thought process" behind the direction passed upon the appellant to file affidavit of assets. I do not know how the „thought process" of this Judge can be known? Is this a comment on adjudication or something else, I do not know. 3

IV) The appeal court held that „we do not find any element warranting interference at this stage" but after holding that there is "no element warranting interference", the appeal court interfered in the order and held:

The affidavit of assets shall remain in a sealed cover and shall not be divulged or circulated to the litigating parties or their counsel. That shall be appropriately dealt with at the time of final decision to be taken on the issues involved therein. I do not know what this court will do with a sealed cover in this

proceeding when the hand of this appeal court has been tied by the above observation. I have been prevented from taking any consequential step on going through the said affidavit of assets. It is also not understood by me that how at the time of final decision the sealed cover would be appropriately dealt with as for dealing with the said sealed cover supposedly containing the affidavit of assets other steps were required to be taken for adjudication by this Single Bench but I have been prevented by the Division Bench"s order. I find that the Division Bench has fixed a course of action to be followed by this simple judge. There is absolutely no reason why I have been prevented in such a manner. In a sense the hands of the Single Bench has 4 been tied though it has been stated by the appeal court that it does not find any element warranting interference with the said order at that stage. This is - I am sorry to say - a highest degree of double standard expressed by the appeal court for the reasons best known to it. But to maintain judicial discipline I have to accept such order. I also do not understand who would be benefited by tieing up the hands of the Single Judge when it has been made clear in the order dated 25.03.2022 that „this court has found that there are serious illegalities in giving recommendations to ineligible candidates and the tip of the corruption–iceberg in issuing illegal recommendations is seen which is getting gradually bigger".

However, when my hands are tied from taking further steps, after receiving the affidavit of assets I will not be able to proceed with the same. I do not understand what a court of

law will do with a sealed envelope containing therein some papers which could be affidavit of assets.

Therefore, this matter is required to be adjourned for some days.

It has been submitted by the learned advocate of Mr. S.P. Sinha that the affidavit of assets in a sealed cover will be filed on 5th April, 2022. 5

I adjourn this matter till 5th April, 2022 when it will appear under the heading „To Be Mentioned"."

It is at this stage that this bunch of appeals stood Assigned before this Bench. Since several arguments were placed by Learned Counsel for the appellants, this Court, in the interests of all, has proposed to deal with them by way of a consolidated judgement, in the meantime staying all proceedings before the Hon'ble Single Bench as well as the investigation by the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) for a period of five weeks from the date of the Order, i.e. five weeks on and from the 13th of April 2022. The appeals were directed to appear at the first sitting of the Court on the 13th of May, 2022, on which date supplementary arguments were heard on behalf of the appearing parties.

10) This Court *vide* its Order dated 13th April, 2022 was also pleased to notice the Report of the Committee headed by a retired Hon'ble Judge of this Court (for short referred to as the Bag Committee). The Report was submitted in connection with Group-D appointments, i.e. one out of the three categories of appointments which are being challenged as illegal in the writ petitions. Considering that the proceedings before

the Hon'ble Single Bench were stayed for a period of five weeks as well as the investigation by the CBI, the Bag Committee was requested to complete its enquiry into Group – C appointments in the interregnum and submit its report within four weeks, which it did on the next date fixed, i.e. on the 13th of May, 2022.

11) It would be relevant at this juncture to mention that the Bag Committee was constituted by the Hon'ble Division Bench *vide* its order dated 6th December 2021. Before the respective arguments of the parties are considered it would be also relevant at this juncture to place the following important excerpts from the Final Enquiry Report of the Bag Committee connected to appointments in Group – D posts in Government sponsored/ aided schools in West Bengal.

The excerpts read as follows:-

1. ***Backdrop of the Enquiry:*** *the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as "the Central Commission") published advertisement on August 8, 2016 for selection of candidates to fill up Group 'D' posts in Government aided/ sponsored schools in West Bengal (except Hill Region) by conducting 3rd Regional Level Selection Test for recruitment of non-teaching staff (in short, "3rd RLST-2016 for Group 'D' posts"). The written examination was conducted on February 19, 2017. 1130009 candidates participated in the written examination which was conducted by providing OMR sheets to the candidates in different centres of West Bengal. The personality test of the candidates who qualified in the written test was also conducted and district wise as well as category wise panel of 3, 924 candidates and 9,847 wait listed candidates was prepared and published in the website of the Central Commission on November 6, 2017.*

1.1 *A writ petition was filed [WP No. 3377 (W) of 2019] in the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta in connection with publication of the panel in the website, as the candidate who participated in the selection process could only see his own result in the panel by inserting his Roll Number and date of birth, but he was not in a position to know his own rank vis-à-vis the rank of other candidates from the panel. The publication of panel in the website of the Central Commission was not in accordance with*

the provisions of Rule 14(15) of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for Appointment to the post of non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009 (hereinafter referred to as "the School Service Commission Rules, 2009"). His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Moushumi Bhattacharya disposed of the said writ petition No. WP 3377 (W) of 2019 on March 28, 2019 by directing the Central Commission to publish the entire panel in terms of Rule 14 (13) and (15) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 within a period of one week from the date of the order. Since the Central Commission did not comply with the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, a contempt application being CPAN No. 511 of 2019 was moved and ultimately the Central Commission published the entire panel in the website on June 20, 2019 after about one month of expiry of the validity of the panel. The Chairman of the Central Commission issued one Notification on September 2, 2019 declaring expiry of the validity of the panel for selection of Group 'D' posts with effect from May 4, 2019.

1.2 *With the above factual matrix, four (04) unsuccessful candidates including Sandeep Prasad (hereinafter referred to as "the writ petitioners") filed writ petition (WPA No. 12266 of 2021) before the Hon'ble High Court praying, interalia, for direction upon the Chairman of the Central Commission and the Chairpersons of five (05) Regional School Service Commissions (hereinafter referred to as "the Regional Commissions") to fill up the residual 108 vacancies of Group 'D' posts by counseling and appointing the writ petitioners on the ground that four (04) candidates who were placed below the writ petitioners in the rank of the panel, have already got appointment in Group 'D' posts. By filling supplementary affidavit, the writ petitioners brought to the notice of the Hon'ble High Court the names of 523 candidates who got appointment in Group 'D' posts by the President of West Bengal Board of Secondary Education (hereinafter referred to as "the Board") on the basis of recommendations made by the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019. In course of hearing of the said writ petition (WPA No. 12266 of 2021) the Central Commission and all the Regional Commissions took the stand that the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions did not make any recommendation for appointment in Group 'D' posts in the schools after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019. On the other hand, the Board filed an affidavit disclosing the original recommendation letters under the signature of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 on the basis of which appointment letters were issued by the President of the Board to all those*

candidates after expiry of the panel. The appointments of more than 500 candidates in Group 'D' posts on the basis of recommendations after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019, coupled with contradictory stand taken by the Board and the Central Commission as well as the Regional Commissions, led His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Abhijit Gangopadhyay to observe in the Order dated November 22, 2021 that "corruption writ large in the whole process of this public employment" and directed CBI to conduct an enquiry into the extreme illegality in making recommendation and appointment to the undeserving candidates in Group 'D' posts.

1.3 *The order dated November 22, 2021 passed by His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Abhijit Gangopadhyay in WPA No. 12266 of 2021 was challenged before the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench by preferring four (04) separate appeals by the State of West Bengal, Central Commission as well as the Regional Commissions, the Board and some of the candidates who got appointment in Group 'D' posts dehors the provisions of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 (MAT No. 1254 of 2021 to MAT No. 1256 of 2021 and MAT No. 1273 of 2021). The order dated November 22, 2021 by which Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench directed the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) to conduct an enquiry to identify the miscreants who issued letters of recommendation in order to secure appointments of undeserving candidates in Group 'D' post, was not found favour with the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench in the High Court. On December 6, 2021, His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Harish Tandon and His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Rabindranath Samanta disposed of all the writ appeals by taking the decision to conduct an enquiry to reveal the truth in connection with illegal and/or irregular appointments made in Group 'D' posts by constituting Special Team to be monitored by a retired Judge of the High Court. The Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench modified the direction given by the Hon'ble Single Judge of the High Court to secure equal opportunity to the successful and deserving candidates for appointment in Group 'D' post by revealing the truth and by fixing up the responsibility for the misdeeds of giving recommendation and appointment in Group 'D' post in an illegal manner.*

1.4 *Since the Enquiry Committee could not submit the report on completion of enquiry within time frame given by the Hon'ble judges of the Division Bench of the High Court, the Committee submitted an application before the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench, praying for extension of four months time for submission of the report. During Bench, on February 09, 2022 the Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench made some adverse remarks against the Enquiry Committee and directed the*

Committee to submit interim report and to disclose the minutes of the meeting conducted by the Committee by February 14, 2022. On February 10, 2022, an application was filed before the Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench by the Enquiry Committee through Ld. Registrar General of the High Court, Calcutta, praying for recalling adverse remarks made by the Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench on February 9, 2022 and apprised the Hon'ble Judge of the fact of pendency of application for extension of time before the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench. The Committee also prayed for dealing with the concerned writ petition after submission of the report of the Committee as per mandate of the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench of the High Court. In the mean time, on February 14, 2022 the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench extended the time by four months from the date of the order to complete the enquiry and expunged the adverse remarks made against the Committee by the Hon'ble Single Judge on February 9, 2022. However, on February 15, 2022 the Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench again passed adverse remarks against the Enquiry Committee through Ld. Registrar General on February 10, 2022 and dissolved the Committee constituted by the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench of the High Court. Subsequently, on February 15, 2022 the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench stayed operation of the order dated February 9, 2022 and operation of the order dated February 15, 2022 passed by Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench in WPA 12266 of 2021 and also stayed hearing of the said writ petition pending before the Hon'ble Single Judge in connection with MAT 181 of 2022 read with CAN 1 of 2022, MAT 173 of 2022 read with CAN 1 of 2022 and MAT 189 of 2022 read with CAN 1 of 2022. With the above background facts, the Enquiry Committee proceeded with the enquiry as mandated by the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench of the High Court by passing order on December 6, 2021.

2. ***Constitution of the Enquiry Committee:*** *By order dated December 6, 2021 passed in MAT 1254 of 2021, MAT 1255 of 2021, MAT 1256 of 2021 and MAT 1273 of 2021 and connected applications, His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Harish Tandon and His Lordship Hon'ble Justice Rabindranath Samanta constituted a Committee consisting of (i) Prof. Ashutosh Ghosh, Member of the Board of West Bengal Central School Service Commission, (ii) Ms. Paromita Roy, Deputy Secretary (Administration), West Bengal Board of Secondary Education and (iii) Mr. Arunava Banerjee, practising Advocate of the High Court at Calcutta. The Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench also appointed Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag (Retd.), a Former Judge of the High Court as Chairperson to*

monitor and supervise the entire process of enquiry. The Registrar General was directed to hand over the documents submitted by the Board as well as the documents submitted by the Central Commission and the Regional Commissions before the Enquiry Committee. The time frame for conducting the enquiry and submission of the report by the Enquiry Committee was extended for a period of four months from the dated of the order passed on February 14, 2022 i.e. upto June 6, 2022 on the basis of the prayer of the Chairman and Members of the Enquiry Committee.

3. ***Scope and Ambit of the Enquiry:*** *The Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench of the High Court gave direction to the CBI to conduct an enquiry to trace out the invisible hands involved in preparing and sending recommendation letters to the President of the Board for appointment of undeserving candidates in Group 'D' post as the Hon'ble Judge was of the view that corruption writ large in the whole process of public employment and also suspected money trail in issuance of recommendation letters and appointment letters in favour of the undeserving candidates in Group 'D' post. The said order of Hon'ble Judge of the Single Bench was modified by the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench by making observation that "there has been variation in the stand of the Commission and the Board and, therefore, the enquiry is required to be made to reveal the truth and also to fix up the responsibility for such misdeeds." The Registrar General of the High Court at Calcutta was directed to hand over all the documents submitted by the Board and the Central Commission as well as the Regional Commissions before the Chairman of the Enquiry Committee within specific period of time. Accordingly, the scope of the present enquiry is to discover the full facts in making recommendations by the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions and the Chairman of the Central Commission and the role played by the Chairman and staff members of the Central Commission as well as the Chairpersons and staff members of the Regional Commissions and the President and staff members of the Board and other individuals in making recommendations and issuing appointment letters to the undeserving candidates in Group 'D' posts and to dix up the responsibility of the individuals in the entire process of selection, recommendation and appointment of undeserving candidates in Group 'D' posts of the schools in illegal and unauthorized manner.*

4. ***Collection of information by calling for reports and documents and by recording statements of individuals:*** *We have collected information and reports in prescribed format from the Board, the Central Commission, the Regional Commissions, Agencies engaged by the Central Commission*

and recorded statements of all persons who played different roles in selection, recommendation and appointment of candidates in Group 'D' posts. Each statement of the official who knows English, is recorded by is in English and the concerned official has signed on the statement by giving "declaration" that he has given the statement voluntarily and consciously. The statement of staff members of the Central Commission, the Regional Commissions, the Board and some candidates who have been selected at random is also recorded in English after apprising each of them of the contents of both question and answer in Bengali and thereafter each of them has signed on the statement by giving "declaration" that the statement is made voluntarily and consciously. The reports collected in hard copy and soft copy in the form of CD or pen drive or by e-mail have been analysed for preparation of reports from our end in the particular format prepared by us for proper understanding of the details of the candidates, the name of the school and the Regions. The documents which were collected, have been inspected by us in presence of the persons who prepared and maintained the said documents and the photocopies of relevant portion of those documents have been kept as sample to support and justify veracity of the statements in connection with a particular issue dealt with by us during the enquiry. We would like to describe each of the statements, documents and reports collected in course of enquiry by annexing the same to the report along with Table of Contents of the Annexures for smooth search of the information relied on by us in this enquiry.

6.6.4. By virtue of the Order dated November 1, 2019 issued by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha was emboldened to control and monitor the power and function of the Central Commission which, we have already pointed out is a statutory body empowered to exercise the power and authority under the provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and discharge the duty and obligations under the provisions of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. We would also like to point out that Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha played pivotal rule in recommendation and appointment of Group 'D' posts after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 without having any authority either under the School Service Commission Act, 1997 or under the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. The act of issuance of Order dated November 1, 2019 by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal for constitution of a Committee with the approval of the Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department to supervise and monitor the pending recruitment

process of the Central Commission unerringly points out that the Government of West Bengal exercised the power and authority to supervise and monitor the functions of statutory body like Central Commission and thereby the Government of West Bengal has acted in excess of jurisdiction conferred on it by law. The constitution of the said Committee by Order dated November 1, 2019 by the joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal is illegal and invalid in the eye of law as the said Committee constituted by the executive order cannot prevail over the power and authority to be exercised by the Central Commission under the provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and the duties and obligations to be discharged by the Central Commission under the provisions of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009.

6.7. ***Result of illegal procedure adopted by the Central Commission, the Regional Commissions and the Board.***

In view of the illegal procedure adopted by the Central Commission, the Regional Commissions and the Board, 609 unsuccessful candidates got false recommendation letters from the office of the Central Commission which were prepared by using fictitious memo no. of the Regional Commissions and by affixing scanned signatures of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions by keeping them in the dark after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019. The said 609 unsuccessful candidates who obtained false recommendations from the Central Commission also received appointment letters based on the false recommendations from the new building of the Central Commission situated near Anadalok Hospital at Salt Lake City. We have annexed the names of 609 candidates, their father's name, district, region, name of the school, memo no. and date of recommendation and date of issuing appointment by the President of Board by preparing a format which is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R1. We have made an analysis of the information collected from the Central Commission and the Board and found that names of 318 candidates who got false recommendations even when their names did not appear either in the panel or in the waiting list. The names of 318 candidates their father's name, full address, district, name of the school, category of vacancy, memo no. and date of recommendation letter and the date of appointment letter issued by the Board, who got false recommendations and appointment in Group 'D' posts even when their names did not appear either in the panel or in the waiting list is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R2. On analysis of information collected from the Central Commission and the

Board with regard to the candidates who got appointment on the basis of recommendations made after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019, we have found 178 candidates who got false recommendations and appointment in Group 'D' posts of the schools situated within one Regional Commission, while the false recommendation is made under scanned signature of Chairperson of another Regional Commission. The list of 178 such candidates by giving the details of the names, region from which recommendation is made and the region where the school is situated is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R3.

7. ***Fixing of responsibilities of the individuals.***

In view of our above findings, given under heading 6, we would like to fix the liability of the individuals under two broad categories viz.:

(i) Civil Liability for which administrative action can be taken against the individuals by the Disciplinary Authority or Pension Sanctioning Authority and

(ii) Criminal liability for which First Information Report (FIR) can be registered and the individuals can be prosecuted by following the procedure of law.

7.1 ***Administrative action for dereliction of duty.*** *We have already observed that Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, the then Chairman of the Central Commission took the decision of scanning and storing the image of original signatures of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions in the application server of the Central Commission. He also took the decision of generating the memo no. of the Regional Commissions through system after collection of logic of the memo and first memo no. from the office of the Regional Commissions, so that recommendation letters for Group 'D' candidates can be generated and prepared in the office of the Central Commission by using serial order of the memo no. of the Regional Commissions and scanned signatures of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions without any decision in the Board meeting and without giving any written instruction to the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions. Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Chairperson of the Southern Regional Commission, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, the then Chairperson of the Eastern Regional*

Commission, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, the then Chairman of the South-Eastern Regional Commission and Sk. Sirajuddin, Chairman of Northern Regional Commission and also Chairman of Western Regional Commission gave their signatures to be scanned and stored in the application server of the Central Commission for preparation of recommendation letters for Group 'D' posts in the office of the Central Commission without any decision in the Board meeting and without any written instruction from the Chairman of the Central Commission. What is surprising is that all of them took the above decision in violation of the resolution of the Board meeting No. 506 of the Central Commission on January 3, 2018, where decision was taken to use regional signature on the recommendation letters by the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions. They also gave instruction to their respective staff members for opening a new separate Issue Register and supply the first memo no. of the said Register to the office of the Central Commission, so that the Central Commission can mechanically generate the memo no. of the Regional Commissions from the system by maintaining the serial order of the said memo no. the memo no. of the recommendation letters prepared in the office of the Central Commission on the dates of counseling of the candidates were subsequently collected and entries of those memo nos. were made in this separate Issue Register to give show of colour as if the recommendation letters have been issued from the Regional Commissions. The deviation from the above procedure is made by the South-Eastern Regional Commission, where the first memo no. of the recommendation letter was started from memo no. 1 in the office of the Central Commission and serial order of the said memo no. was generated from the system for preparation of recommendation letter and the print out of memo no. of the recommendation letters prepared during first phase of counseling of the candidates were subsequently collected and kept separately in abound volume in the office of the Regional Commission. The memo no. of the subsequent recommendation letters were supplied in advance from the office of the Regional Commission to the office of the Central Commission and the general Issue Register was kept vacant for making entries of memo nos. of the recommendation letters for Group 'D' posts after collection of the same from the office of the Central Commission on the respective dates of counseling during second and third phase. The admitted position is that the recommendation letters for Group 'D' posts during fourth phase of counseling on 31.12.2018 and on a few subsequent dates in case of absence

of some candidates on that date were forwarded to the Board directly from the office of the Central Commission. In view of the above procedure adopted by both the then Chairman of the Central Commission and the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions, we have already observed that the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions abdicated their duties and responsibilities enshrined in Rule 16(v) and Rule 18 (1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and the then Chairman of the Central Commission usurped the power and authority of the Regional Commissions by conducting the act of counseling of the candidates and by generating and preparing recommendation letters of the candidates for Group 'D' posts during the period of validity of the panel in violation of the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. We would like to hold the above Chairman of the then Central Commission and the above Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions responsible and liable for dereliction of duty and for violating the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. We do not want to hold the staff members of either Central Commission or the staff members of the Regional Commission for violation of the provisions of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 during the period of validity of the panel, as they are duty bound to follow the instruction of their higher authority in the hierarchy of the administration, unless the instruction of the higher authority amounts to commission of an offence. Accordingly, we are of the view that Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, the then Chairman of the Central Commission, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, the then Chairperson of the Eastern Regional Commission, Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Chairperson of the Southern Regional Commission, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, the then Chairman of the South-Eastern Regional Commission and Sk. Sirajuddin, the Chairman of both Northern and Western Regional Commission may be held liable for violating of the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and disciplinary action may be taken against all of them by the Disciplinary Authority or the Pension Sanctioning Authority in case they retired from service in accordance with the provisions of the Rules by which they are governed.

7.2. ***Prosecution for committing offences under Indian Penal Code.*** *We have already observed how Samarjit Acharya, present Programme Officer of the Central Commission generated and prepared recommendation letters after expiry of panel by using fictitious memo no. of the Regional Commissions and scanned signature of Chairpersons of the Regional*

Commissioners keeping them in the dark in favour of the candidates whose names were supplied by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Advisor of the Central Commission and by placing them in the vacancy of the schools, supplied by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha. Thus, Samarjit Acharya and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha prepared false recommendation letters to cause damage to 609 candidates of Group 'D' posts and thereby both of them would be liable for committing forgery with common intention after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019. Both Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and Samarjit Acharya deceived 609 candidates of Group 'D' posts by fraudulently inducing them to accept false recommendation letters and appointment letters by causing damage to their mind and reputation and thereby both of them are liable for committing not only cheating, but also forgery for the purpose of cheating with common intention. Prima facie, it appears to us that both of them are liable for committing offences punishable under Sections 465/417/468/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

7.2.1. We have already pointed out from the materials collected during enquiry that Prof. Saumitra Sarkar collected vacancies for Group 'D' posts as Chairman of the Central Commission by issuing letter dated 30.08.2019 in violation of Rule 8 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. Those vacancies have been filled up by preparing false recommendation letters. All those false recommendations have been made in favour of the candidates who alleged to have submitted applications for re-evaluation of OMR sheets in the garb of filing applications under RTI Act. We have observed in our findings how the proposal for increasing of marks and upgradatiuon of rank of the candidates in the panel on the pretext of consideration of the application under RTI Act was approved after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 by Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, the then Secretary of the Central Commission, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of the Central Commission and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Advisor of the Central Commission. We have also observed how Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha handed over bunch of hard copy of such false recommendation letters and date in soft copy of such false recommendations to Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly, the President of the Board in his chamber and how Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly gave instruction to Rajesh Layek, the Technical Officer of the Board bypassing the normal chain of hierarchy and the procedure of making entries of those recommendation letters in the Receiving Register maintained by Dhruba Chakraborty, P.A. to the President in President's Unit and how Rajesh Layek has generated and prepared appointment letters by using scanned signature of the

President and supplied those appointment letters along with all necessary copies to Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly. The appointment letters prepared on the basis of false recommendations made after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 were not notified in the website of the Board for verification of the testimonials of the candidates and collection of appointment letters by the candidates on the notified date as peer the procedure followed by the Board in case of all other appointment letters. It has also been established from the materials collected by the Enquiry Committee that false recommendation letters were prepared in favour of 318 candidates whose names did not appear either in the panel or in the waiting list. The admitted position is that these candidates did not take part in the Personality Test in terms of Rule 14(13) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. The further admitted position is that counselling of the candidates was not done in violation of Rule 18 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 for preparation of false recommendation letters of 609 candidates for Group 'D' posts after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019. It is well established from the materials collected by the Enquiry Committee that the appointment letters based on false recommendations after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 were distributed among the candidates not from the premises of the Board but from the premises of the new building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital, Salt Lake.

7.2.2. Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha agreed with each other for collection of vacancies for Group 'D' posts in the schools after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 in violation of the provisions of Rule 8 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and used those vacancies for preparation of false recommendation letters in the names of 609 candidates in order to cheat them by giving false appointment on the basis of false recommendation letters with active participation of Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly and as such prima facie materials are available against Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Advisor of the Central Commission, Prof. SAumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of the Central Commission, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, the then Secretary of the Central Commission and Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly, President of the Board for making them liable for criminal conspiracy punishable under Section 120B of Indian Penal Code. The Members of the Enquiry Committee are of view that FIR may be registered against Samarjit Acharya and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha for committing offences punishable under Section 465/417/468/34 of the Indian Penal Code and against Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, Dr. Santi Prasad

Sinha and Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly for offences punishable under Section 120B of Indian Penal Code.

7.2.3. All 609 candidates who got false recommendations after expiry of the panel on May 4, 2019 and ultimately got false appointment letters in Group 'D' posts were well aware that they did not qualify in the selection test for getting appointment in Group 'D' posts. The procedure alleged to have been adopted by these candidates for increase of their marks and upgradation of rank in the panel after its expiry after May 4, 2019 in the garb of filing application under RTI Act is illegal. These candidates have suppressed the actual facts how they got recommendations from the Central Commission and why they collected appointment letters from the new building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital at Salt Lake without collecting the same from the office of the Board. Since these candidates have full Knowledge t6hat they were not qualified in the selection test to get the appointment in Group 'D' posts and since they obtained false recommendation letters and thereby collected false appointment letters for Group 'D' posts of the schools, these candidates cannot claim any right to get appointment in Group 'D' post of the school arising from 3rd RLST-2016.

8. ***Summary of findings of the Enquiry Committee.***

On consideration of our findings, described in detail under heading 6 and fixing of responsibility of the individuals described under heading 7, we would like to summarise our findings as follows:

(i) The Central Commission changed the rank of the candidates in the panel before uploading the panel in the website of the Central Commission on June 20, 2019 in spite of direction of the High Court at Calcutta to upload to entire existing panel already published on November 6, 2017.

(ii) The constitution of the Committee approved by the Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department (Dr. Partha Chatterjee) notified in the form of an Order of the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal on November 1, 2019 to supervise, monitor and guide the Central Commission in connection with pending recruitment process cannot be construed as direction of the State Government in terms of Section 19 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and as such the said Order cannot have any validity in the eye of law.

(iii) Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Chairperson of Southern Regional Commission, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, Former Chairperson of the Eastern Regional Commission, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay,

Former Chairman of the South-Eastern Regional Commission and Sk. Sirajuddin, Chairman of Northern Regional Commission and Chairman of Western Regional Commission abdicated their duties and responsibilities in counseling the candidates and recommending the names of the candidates of Group 'D' posts in terms of the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 during the period of validity of the panel.

(iv) Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, Former Chairman of the Central Commission usurped the power and authority of the Regional Commissions by counseling the candidates and making recommendation of names of the candidates for Group 'D' posts by manipulating infrastructure and evolving illegal procedure in violation of the provisions of Rule 16(v) and 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009.

(v) Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay and Sk. Sirajuddin are prima facie liable for disciplinary action on the charge of gross dereliction of duty for violating the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and as such disciplinary action can be taken against them by the Disciplinary Authority or the Pension Sanctioning Authority in case of retirement of any of the above officials in terms of the provisions of the Rules by which they are governed.

(vi) Samarjit Acharya, Programme Officer of the Central Commission and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, Former Advisor of the Central Commission are prima facie liable for committing offences punishable under Section 465/417/468/34 of Indian Penal Code.

(vii) Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Mormer Chairman of the Central Commission, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, Former Assistant Secretary, Former Secretary and Former Chairman of the Central Commission, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, Former Advisor of the Central Commission and Dr. Kalynmoy Ganguly, President of the Board are prima facie liable for committing offences punishable under Section 120B of Indian Penal Code.

(viii) FIR can be registered against Samarjit Acharya and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha on the allegation of committing offences punishable under Section 465/417/468/34 of Indian Penal Code and against Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly on the allegation of committing offences punishable under Section 120B of Indian Penal Code.

12) In terms of the order of this Court dated 13th of April, 2022, the Bag Committee submitted its Second Enquiry Report on 13th May, 2022

connected to the alleged illegal appointments in Group-C Posts. The Report, *inter alia*, reads as follows:-

> *"**4.6.3.** We have tried to understand the source of authority for issuing the Order dated November 1, 2019 by the Joint Secretary to the Government of Wesst Bengal. Since this order of November 1, 2019 was issued by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, School Education Department with the approval of the competent authority, the question arose who is the competent authority for giving approval to the proposal of constitution of a Committee by an executive order to control, onitor and guide the function of statutory body like the Central Commission. Mr. Manish Jain, Principal Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, School Education Department has produced before the Enquiry Committee a copy of Rules of Business, issued by the Governor of West Bengal under Article 166(3) of the Constitution of India and copy of note sheet dated November 1, 2019 (Annexure E-4) to explain who is the competent authority to approve the proposal of constitution of a Committee issued in the form of an Order on November 1, 2019 by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal. Mr. Jain has stated before the Enquiry Committee (Annexure 5-5) that he is not the competent authority for giving approval of the proposal of constitution of the Committee which was issued in the form of an Order on November 1, 2019 of the Joint Secretar to the Government of West Bengal in terms of the Rules of Busines by which the School Education Department is governed. Rule 19 of the Rules of Bsiness provide that each Hon'ble MIC of the department will issue Standing Ordes describing the matters to be brought to the personal notice of the Hon'ble Minister, so that decision can be taken by the Secretary of the Department in conection with the matters not covered by such Standing Orders. It is relevant to quote the provisions of Rule 19 of the Rules of Business framed by the Governor of West Bengal under Article 166(3) of the Constitution of India, which are as follows:*

"19(1). Except as otherwise provided by anny other rule, cases shall oriidnarily be disposed of by or under the authority of the Minister-in-Charge who may, by means of Standing Orders signed by him, give such directions as he thinks fit for the disposal of the cases in the Department.

(2). Each Minister shall, by means of Standing Orders signed by him, arrange with the Secretary-in-Charge of the Department what matters or classes of matters are to be brought to his personal notice. Such Standing Orderrs shall provide that residual cases not covered by such orders may be disposed of by the Secretary –in-Charge of the Department unless in his opinion any such case is of such importance that it should be placed befre the Minister for his orders:

Provided that until such Standing Orders are made by a Minister, the Standing Orders which were made under the Rules of Business existing immediately before the commencement of these Rules and which are in force in the Department in charge of such Minister immediately befre such commencement shall, so far as may be, be deemed to be the Standing Orders for that Department made under this rules.

(3) Copies of such Standing Orders shall be placed before the Chief Minister before issue highlighting points of departure, if any, from the Standing Orders in force.

(4) After issue, copies of the Standing Orders shall be sent to the Chief Minister and the Governor. "

Mr. Jain has categorically stated in response to question no.6 that Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department has not issued Standing Orders laid down in Rule 19 of Rules of Buniness and as such note sheet is sent to the Hon'ble MIC for approval of every important decision of the Department. He has pointed out from the copy of the note sheet dated November 1, 2019 (Annexure D-4) that the proposal of issuing Order dated November 1, 2019 by constitution of a Committee to supervise

and monitor the pending recruitment process of the Central Commission was sent to the Hon'ble MIC (Dr. Partha Chatterjee) for approval and the Hon'ble MIC has not only approved the proposal of constitution of the Committee, but also mentioned that the Chairman of the Central Commission will be the Ex-Officio Chairman of such Committee in the note sheet on November 1, 2019. It is relevant to point out that Mr. Jain has stated that the recruitment process for Group 'C' and Group 'D' posts in the school was closed at the time of constitution of the Committee on November 1, 2019, but Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha who was the convenor of the said Committee, has categorically stated before the Enquiry Committee in response to question no.14 recorded on February 28, 22 that the recruitment process with regard to 3rd RLST – 2016 was pending when the Supervisory Committee was constituted on November 1, 2019. The statement of Mr. Jain, the provisions of Rule 19 of the Rules of Business and the copy of note sheet dated November 1, 2019 have established that the constitution of the Committee notified under Order dated November 1, 2019 of the Joint Secretary to the government of West Bengal was done with the approval of the then Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department, Dr. Partha Chatterjee, who happens to be the competent authority in terms of Rules of Business issued by the Governor of West Bengal under Article 166(3) of the Constitution of India.

4.6.4. *We have already observed that Mr. Tapas Panja, Senior Law Officer of the School Education Department has stated before the Enquiry Committee that his opinion was not obtained at the time of constitution of the Supervisory Committee on November 1, 2019 though Mr. Manish Jain has tried to justify the constitution of a Supervisory Committee under Section 19 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997, as advised by the Law Branch of the School Education Department. In view of contradictory statement between Mr. Manish Jain and Mr. Tapas Panja and in absence of availability of ay written opinion of Mr. Tapas Panja, we are of the view that the opinion of Mr. Tapas*

Panja was not obtained at the time of Constitution of the Supervisory Committee on November 1, 2019. On consideration of the provisions of Section 19 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997, we find that the State Government can give direction from time to time to the Central Commission in conformity with the provisions of the Act, by which the Commission will be guided in discharge of its function. In our considered view, the constitution of a Committee by order dated November 1, 2019 to supervise, monitor and guide the functions of the Central Commission in connection with pending recruitment process by the persons who are not part of the Central Commission and by making Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha as the Convenor of the said Committee, who is neither part of the School Education Department, nor holding any scnctioned post of the Central Commission, cannot be construed as direction of the State Government in conformity with the provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 1997, only because the Chairman of the Central Commission was made the Chairman of the Supervisor Committee. It is relevant to point out that the persons who are the members of the Supervisory Committee are connected with School Education Department and closely connected with Hon'ble MIC, Dr. Partha Chatterjee. In view of our above observations, we would lide to specifically hold that the constitution of the Supervisory Committee with the approval of the then Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department, Government of West Bengal by issuing of order dated November 1, 2019 of the Joint Secretar of the School Education Department, cannot be construed as direction of the State Government in conformity with the provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and as such, such a Supervisory Committee cannot be constituted under Section 19 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997.

4.6.5. *By an order dated November 1, 2019, issued by the government of West Bengal, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha was emboldened to control and monitor the power and function of the Central Commission which, we have already pointed out, is a*

provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 197 and discharge the duties and functions under the provisions of School Service Commission Rules, 2009. We would like to reiterate that Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha played pivotal role in recommendation of names of unsuccessful candidates of both Group 'C' posts and Group 'D' posts both before expiry of the panel and after expiry of the panel without having any authority either under the provisions of School Service Commission Act, 1997, or under the provisions of School Service Commission Rules, 2009. The act for issuance of order dated November 1, 2019 by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, School Education Department for constitution of the Supervisory Committee with the approval of Hon'ble MIC, Dr. Partha Chatterjee to supervise, monitor and guide the pending recruitment process of the Central Commission unerringly points out that the Government of West Bengal acted in excess of jurisdiction conferred on it b law. The constitution of the Supervisory Committee by order dated November 1, 2019 of the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Begal must be treated as illegal and invalid in the eye of law as the said Committee constituted by an executive order cannot supervise, monitor and guide the statutory body like the central commission, which is empowered to exercise the power and authority under the provisions of the School Service Commission Act, 197 and to perform the duties and functions under the provisions of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009.

4.7. Result of illegal procedure adopted by the Central Commission, the Regional Commissions and the Board. *In view of the illegal procedure adopted by the Central Commission, the Regional commissions and the Board, 381 unsuccessful candidates got recommendations for Group 'C' posts after expiry of the panel on May 18, 2019, though these candidates did not qualify for being considered within the zone of consideration of appointment of Group 'C' posts of the schools. B taking advantage of illegal procedure followed in the office of the Central Commission for preparation of recommendation letters for*

Group 'C' posts, the recommendation letters were prepared in favour of 381 unsuccessful candidates by generating fictitious memo no. Of the Regional Commissions from the system of the computer of the Central Commission and by affixing scanned signatures of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions by keeping them in the dark after expiry of the panel on May 18, 2019. The vacancies for giving recommendation to 381 unsuccessful candidates were collected after expiry of the panel partly by Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of the Central Commission and partly y Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Advisor of the Central Commission. The said 381 unsuccessful candidates obtained fake recommendation letters from the office of the Central Commission and also received appointment letters based on the false recommendation letters from the new building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital at Salt Lake City. We have prepared specific format and filled up the same by giving the names of 381 candidates, their father's name district, region, name of the school where posting is made, memo no. And date of recommendation and date of issuing appointment letter by the President or Board, which is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R1. By making an analysis of the information collected from the Central Commission and the Board, we have found the names of 222 candidates who got fake recommendation letters, even when their names did not appear either in the panel or in the waiting list. The names of 222 such unsuccessful candidates, their fathers name, full postal address, district, name of the school where posting is given, category of vacancy , memo no. And date of recommendation letter and the date of appointment letter issued b the Board, are described by us in a specific format, which is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R2. On analysis of information collected from the Central Commission and the Board with regard to 381 unsuccessful candidates who got recommendations in Group 'C' posts after expiry of the panel on May 18, 2019, we have identified 160 unsuccessful candidates who got fake

recommendations in Group 'C' posts and subsequent appointments in Group 'C' posts of the schools situated within jurisdiction of one Regional commission while the fake recommendation was given under scanned signature of Chairperson of another Regional Commission. Since the recommendation letters have been prepared by the vacancies existed and since these recommendation letters have been issued in favour of the unsuccessful candidates who lived within the territorial jurisdiction of another Regional Commission, we have found mismatch of the Region of 160 unsuccessful candidates. The list of 160 such unsuccessful candidates who got fake recommendations and subsequent appointment letters beyond the territorial jurisdiction of their Regional Commissions, have been prepared by us in the specific format by giving the details of their names, district, region from which recommendation is made and the region where the posting is given along with the name of the school and the same is annexed to the report and marked with Annexure R3.

5. Fixing of responsibilities of the individuals.

In view of our above findings, given under heading 4, we would like to fix the liability of the individuals under two broad categories viz.:

(i) Civil Liability for which administrative action can be taken against the individuals by the Disciplinary Authority or the Pension Sanctioning Authority in case of retirement of the concerned individuals from the service and

(ii) Criminal liability for which First Information Report (FIR) can be registered and the individuals can be prosecuted by following the procedure of law.

4.1 ***Administrative action for dereliction of duty.*** *We have already observed that Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, the then Chairman of the Central Commission gave direction to Ms. Parna Bose, Programme Officer of the Central Commission to prepare and upload and panel of Group 'C' posts in the website of the*

Central Commission on December, 20 2017 in such a manner, so that the individual candidate could know his/her own rank in the panel by putting his/her Roll No. And Date of Birth without knowing his/her rank vis-a-vis the rank of other candidates in the panel. He did not supply the copy of the panel of Group 'C' posts to the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions and to the office of the District Magistrate for publication of the panel in the office of the Regional Commissions and in the office of the District Magistrate. Accordingly, Dr. Subires Bhattacharya violated the provisions of Rule 13(13) and (15) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009, In view of lack of transparency in preparation and uploading of the panel in the website of the Central Commission and keeping the said panel a guarded secret from the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions, it was possible on the part of Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, the then Secretary of the Central Commission, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of the Central Commission and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Advisor of the Central Commission ot recommend the names of unsuccessful candidates in Group 'C' post before expry of the panel and after expiry of the panel in the garb of increase of marks of those candidates by way of re-evaluation of OMR sheets on the basis of their applications under RTI Act. We have already observed how D Santi Prasad Sinha, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha and Prof. Saumitra Sarkar compelled Ms. Parna Bose, Programme Officer of the Central Commission and Mr. Samarjit Acharya, the then Floor Supervisor (ITES) and present Programme Officer of the Central Commission to fabricate the note sheets of the Central Commission on 14.05.2019, 18.06.2019 and June 20, 2019 (Annexure D-2), which were approved by all them to give colour of increase of marks of the candidates due t re-evaluation of OMR sheets on consideration of applications under RTI Act. We cannot persuade ourselves to hold Ms. Parna Bose or Mr. Samarjit Acharya liable for fabricating false note sheet of the Central Commission, as they had to comply with the direction of the higher authority, unless the direction amounts to an offence punishable under law. Mr. Ashok

Kumar Saha, Prof. Suamitra Sarkar and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha agreed jointly for fabricating the false note sheet of the Central Commission for giving recommendation in favour of unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts in the garb of increase of their marks and upgradation of their rank in the panel due to re-evaluation of OMR sheets on consideration of their applications under RTI Act. So, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha must be held liable for disciplinary action for changing the rank of the candidates in the existing panel published on December 20, 2017 before publication of the entie panel after about one month of expiry of validity of the panel, though no one has raised finger on this aspect during the period of validity of the panel of Group 'C' post.

5.1.1. *We have already observed that Dr. Subires Bhattacharya who was the Chairman of the Central Commission during first part of the year 2018 and was Chairman of the Southern Regional Commission during first part of the year 2018, Dr. Sharmila Mitra, who was the Chairperson of the Central Commission during second part of the year 2018 and was Chairperson of the Southern Regional Comission during second part of the year 2018, Dr. Chaitali Bhattacharya, who was the Chairperson of South-Easter Regional Commission during first part of the year 2018, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, who was Charman of the Sounth Eastern Regional Commission during second part of the year 2018. Dr. Mahuya Biswas, who was the Chairperson of the Eastern Regional Commission during the ear 2018 and De. Sk. Sirajuddin, who was the Chairman of the Northern Regional Commission and Western Regional Commission during the year 2018 adopted the procedure of generating memo no. Of the Regional Commission from the system of the computer of the Central Commission by collecting logic of the memo and first memo no. from the office of the each Regional Commission in advance. They also tok the collective decision of providing their signatures to the office of the Central Commission for being scanned and stored in the application*

server of the Central Commission, so that recommendation letters of Group 'C' posts can e prepared from the office of the Central Commission by using scanned signatures of Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions and by generating memo no. of the Regional Commissions by maintaining the serial order of the memo no. collected from the Region in advance through system of the computer in the office of the Central Commission. The above Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions also allowed their staff members to collect the recommendations letters from the office of the Central Commission on the dates of counselling of the candidates for Group 'C' posts during 1st, 2nd and 3rd phase of counselling and to make entries of the said memo nos. In the newly opened Separate issue Register (Dak Despatch Register), except South-Eastern Regional Commission where memo nos. Of recommendation letters were subsequently entered in the Comonn issue Register (Dak Despatch Register) by keeping the appropriate space in the said issue Register blank for making entries of memo no. Of the recommendation letters received subsequently from the office of the Central Commission. The recommendation letters prepared in teh office of the Central Commission by using original signature during 1st phase of counselling and by using scanned signatures of the Chairpersons of the Regional Commission during 2nd, 3rd and 4th phase of counselling and by generating memo no. Of the Regional Commissions from the system of the computer in the office of the Central Commission were despatched from the office of the Central Commission to the office of President of the Board and other authorities during 4th phase of counselling, while the recommendation letters prepared during 1st, 2nd and 3rd phase of counselling in the office of the Central Commission were despatched from the office of the Regional Commission during the period of validity of the panel. The above procedure of preparation of recommendation of letters b using scanned signatures of Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions and by generating memo no. Of the Regional Comision fom the system of the computer in the office of the Central Commission was done by

the then Chairman/ Chairperson of the Central Commission with tacit consent of the Chairman/ Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions and the chairman/chairperson of the Central Commission without any decision in the Meeting of Board of the Central Commission. Rather the Chairman/ Chairpersons of the Central Commission and the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions violated the minutes of the Meeting No.506 dated 03.01.2018 (Annexure D-30) if the Board of the Regional Commissions will sign on the recommendation letters personally and the copy of the entire panel of Group 'C' posts will be circulated among the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions. We have already observed that Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Dr. Chaitaly Bhattacharya, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, Dr. Mahuya Biswas and Dr. Sk. Sirajuddin abdicated their duties and responsibilities of conducting counselling of the candidates and preparing recommendation letters for Group 'C' posts of the candidates during the period of validity of the panel in terms of the amended provisions of the Section 7 of the School Service Commission Act, 197 and the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. Similarly, Dr. Subires Bhattacharya and Dr. Sharmila Mitra conducted the act of counselling of the candidates of Group 'C' posts and prepared recommendation letters in favour of the candidates for Group 'C' posts by usurping the power and authority of the Regional Commissions in violation of the ameded provisions of Section 7 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. Accordingly, Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Dr. Chaitali Bhattacharya, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, Dr. Mahuya Biswas and Dr. Sk. Sirjuddin are liable for disciplinary action on the ground of gross dereliction of duty and for violation of the amended provisions of amended Section 7 of the School Servce Commission Act, 1997 and the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. The Disciplinary Authority of the Pension Sanctioning Authority in case of retirement of the

officials from the service can take appropriate disciplinary action against the above officials in terms of the provisions of the Rules by which they are governed.

5.2. Prosecution for committing offences under Indian Penal Code. *We have already observed that Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of the Central Commission collected vacancies for Group 'C' and Group 'D' posts after expiry of the panel by sending letter dated August 30, 2019 to the Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions in violation of the provisions of Rule 8 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009. Similarly, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha also collected vacancies of Group 'C' posts from the DI of Schools in an unauthorised manner after expiry of the panel in violation of the provisions of Rule 8 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009, as the vacancies collected in response to letter dated August 30, 2019 of Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, were not sufficient for giving recommendations to 381 candidates in Group 'C' posts. In view of evolving procedure of generating memo no. Of the Regional Commissions from the system of the computer in the office of the Central Commission and for availability of scanned signatures of Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions in the application server of the Central Comission, the huge number of vacancies collected in an unauthorised manner by Prof. Saumitra Sarkar and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha after expiry of the panel were tilised by giving recommendations of unsuccessful candidates in those vacancies by issuing fictitious memo no. of the Regional Commissions and by using scanned signatures of Chairpersons of the Regional Commissions by keeping them in the dark. Mr. Samarjit Acharya, the present Programme Officer of the Central Commission prepared 381 recommendation letters in favour of unsuccessful candidates whose names were supplied by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and by placing them in the Group 'C' vacancies of the school supplied by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha. Both Dr. Santi Prasad Siinha and Mr. Samarjit Acharya deceived 381 candidates of Group 'C' posts by fraudulently inducing them to*

accept fake recommendation letters and appointment letters and thereby causing damage to their mind and reputation and as such both of them are liable for committing not only cheating, but also forgery for the purpose of cheating with common intention. Prima facie, it appears t us that both of them are liable for committing offences punishable under Sections 465/417/468/34 of the India Penal Code.

5.2.1. *We have already pointed out from the materials collected during enquiry that huge number of vacancies of Group 'C' posts collected in unauthorised manner by Prof. Saumitra Sarkar and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha after expiry of the panel were filled up by preparing fake recommendation letters in favour of 381 unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts. Most of the candidates of Group 'C' posts whose statements are recorded by us during this enquiry have stated that their marks were increased and rank in the panel was upgraded due to re-evaluation of OMR sheets on consideration of their applications under RTI Act. We have already observed that the note sheets dated 14.05.2019, 16.06.2019, 8.06.2019 and 26.08.2019 (Annexure D-12) of the Central Commission for the purpose of increase of marks and upgradation of rank in the panel on consideration of the applications under RTI Act were fabricated under instruction of Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar and Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha in order to give justification for giving recommendation to unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts and all of them approved the said note sheets. It is already pointed that second part of the note sheet where proposal was given by Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha on August 26, 2019 (Annexure D-12) that panel for Group 'C' and Group 'D' posts may not be extended after its expiry in terms of Rule 17 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009, but the process of giving recommendation to the candidates who have been deprived of the opportunity should continue in the Central Commission and this note sheet was approved by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and Prof. Saumitra Sarkar. Accordingly, we are of the view that Prof.*

Saumitra Sarkar, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha agreed jointly for collection of vacancies of Group 'C' posts after expiry of the panel in violation of the provisions of the Rule 8 of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and for giving recommendations to 381 unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts after expiry of the panel in the garb of consideration of the applications of those unsuccessful candidates under the provisions of RTI Act. Accordingly, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar and Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha are liable for committing offence of criminal conspiracy for the purpose of giving recommendations to unsuccessful candidates after expiry of the panel of Group 'C' post. Thus, Prof. Saumitra Sarkar and Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha may be held liable along with Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha for committing offence punishable under Section 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

5.2.2. *We have observed in our findings how Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha handed over bunch of hard copy of fake recommendation letters to Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly, the President of the Board on four to five occasions after expiry of the panel. We have already observed how Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly gave instruction to Mr. Rajesh Layek, the Technical Officer of the Board for preparation of appointment letters on the basis of those fake recommendation letters by passing the normal chain of hierarchy and without sending those recommendation letters to the Appointment Section of Board through his PA, Mr. Dhruba Chakraborty and as such Mr. Dhruba Chakraborty did not get the opportunity to make entries of those recommendation letters in the issue Register maintained by him in President's Unit in the Office of the Board. We have already pointed out how Mr. Rajesh Layek collected data in soft copy for recommendations either by CD or pen drive or by mail for generating and preparing appointment letters by using scanned signature of the President and by generating memo no. from the system in the computer as per direction of Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly and handed over the appointment letters either directly to Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly or handed over the*

same to the officials of the Central Commission in the new office building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital, Salt Lake City as per instruction of Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly. It is relevant to point out that the venue of new building of Central Commission was not notified in the website of the Board for distribution of Appointment letters of Group 'C' and Group 'D' posts due to closure of the entire office building of the Board for keeping confidential papers of Examination. No explanation is forthcoming before us why Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly did not follow the normal procedure of generation of appointment letters on the basis of recommendation of the School Service Commission and distribution of those appointment letters after notifying the names of the candidates in the website of the Central Commission for verification of testimonials and for collection of appointment letter on the notified date from the office of the Board or any other notified venue. The fact of collection of bunch of recommendation letters by Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly directly from Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha in his chamber and direction to Rajesh Layek for preparation of the appointment letters on the basis of those fake recommendation letters and handing over the said appointment letters to Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha by passing the normal chain of hierarch in the administration of the Board and bypassing the normal procedure of notifying the names of the candidates in the website of the Board for verification of testimonials and collection of appointment letters on the notified date indicate that Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly was hand in glove with Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha in making appointment letters on the basis of fake recommendations supplied by Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha. Accordingly, Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly, President of the Board may also be held liable for criminal conspiracy punishable under Section 120B of India Penal Code.

5.2.3. *381 candidates who got fake recommendations after expiry of the panel on May18, 2019 and ultimately got appointment letters in Group 'C' posts were well aware that they did not qualify int eh selecton test for being considered within the*

zone of consideration during the period of validity of the panel of Group 'C' posts. The admitted position is that none of the 381 unsuccessful candidates for Group 'C' posts participated in the counselling of the candidates, but they got recommendation without being called for counselling and as such they got recommendation in violation of the provisions of Rule 18 of the School Service Commission rules, 2009. The further admitted positions that out of 381 unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts, 222 candidates did not take part in the personality test as they did not qualify in the written test and as such their names did not appear either in the panel or in the waiting list of Group 'C' posts. It is well established from the materials collected by the Enquiry committee that the appointment letters issued on the basis of the fake recommendation letters after expiry of the panel were distributed among unsuccessful candidates of Group 'C' posts from the premises of the new building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital at Salt Lake without notifying the date and venue of distribution of appointment letters in the website of the Board and without notifying the names of the candidates for verification of testimonials in the website of the Board. On consideration of the statements of seven (07) candidates at random out of 381 candidates who got fake recommendations after expiry of the panel, we have found these candidates have tried to suppress the actual fact as to how they got recommendation letter and how they got appointment letters from the new office building of the Central Commission situated near Anandalok Hospital at Salt Lake. Since all these candidates have full knowledge that they did not qualify for being considered within the zone of consideration for getting recommendation and appointment in Group 'C' posts of the school within the period of validity of the panel, these candidates cannot claim any right to get appointment in Group 'C' posts in the school arising from 3rd RLST-2016.

6. Summary of findings of the Enquiry Committee.

On consideration of our findings, described in detail under heading 5 and fixing of responsibility of the individuals described under heading 6, we would like to summarise our findings as follows:

(i) The Central Commission did not maintain transparency at the time of ublication of the panel of Group 'C' posts on December 20, 2017, as the candidates could not access t the panel to know his/her rank vis-a-vis the rank of other candidates in the panel and the copy of the panel was not circulated among the Regional Commissions and the offices of the District Magistrates of the concerned district.

(ii) The Central Commission changed the rank of the candidates n the existing panel before uploading the entire panel in the website of the Central Commission on June 20, 2019 in spite of direction of the High Court at Calcutta to upload the entire existing panel already published on November 6, 2017.

(iii) The constitution of the Committee approved by the Hon'ble MIC of School Education Department (Dr. Partha Chatterjee) notified in the form of an Order of the Joint Secretary to the Government of West Bengal on November 1, 2019 to supervise, monitor and guide the Central Commission in connection with pending recruitment process cannot be construed as direction of the State Government in terms of Section 19 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and as such the said Order cannot have any validity in the eye of law.

(iv) Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Chairperson of Southern Regional Commission, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, Former Chairperson of the Eastern Regional Commission Dr. Chaitali Bhattacharya, Former chairperson of South-Eastern Regional commission, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhyay, Former Chairman of hte South-Eastern Regional Commission and Dr. Sk. Sirajuddin, Chairman of Northern Regional Commission and Chairman of Western Regional Commission abdicated their duties and responsibilities in counselling of the candidates and recommending the names of the candidates of Group 'C' posts in terms of the provisions of

amended Section 7 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 during the period of validity of the panel.

(v) Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, Former Chairman of the Central Commission during the first half of the year 2018 and Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Former Chairperson of the Central Commission During the second part of the year 2018 usurped the power and authority of the Regional Commissions by counselling the candidates and making recommendation of names of the candidates for Group 'C' posts by manipulating infrastructure and evolving illegal procedure in violation of the provisions of amended Section 7 of the School Service Commission Act, 1997 and Rule 16(v) and 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009.

(vi) Dr. Subires Bhattacharya, Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Dr. Mahuya Biswas, Dr. Chaitali Bhattacharya, Mr. Subhajit Chattopadhay and Dr. Sk. Sirajuddin are prima facie liable for disciplinary action on the charge of gross dereliction of duty for violating the provisions of amended Section 7 of the School Service Commission Act, 19997 and the provisions of Rule 16(v) and Rule 18(1) and (2) of the School Service Commission Rules, 2009 and as such disciplinary action can be taken against them by the Disciplinary Authority or the Pension Sanctioning Authority in case of retirement of any of the above officials in terms of the provisions of the Rules by which they are governed.

(vii) Mr. Samarjit Acharya, Programme Officer of the Central Commission and Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, Former Advisor or the Central Commission are prima facie liable for committing offences punishable under Section 465/417/468/34 of Indian Penal Code.

(viii) Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Former chairman of the Central Commission, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, Former Assistant Secretary, Former Secretary and Former Chairman of the Central Commission, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, Former Advisor of the Central Commission and Dr. Kalyanmoy ganguly, President of the

Board are, prima facie, liable for committing offences punishable under Section 120B of Indian Penal Code.

(ix) FIR can be registered against Samarjit Acharya and Dr. Santi Prasad sinha on the allegation of committing offences punishable under Section 465/417/468/34 of India Penal Code and against Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, Mr. Ashok Kumar Saha, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha and Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly on the allegation of committing offences punishable under Section 120B of India Penal Code."

13) In the backdrop of the above facts which, to the mind of this Court, are without doubt *prima facie* overwhelming in pointing out to the illegalities committed in the process of appointments to the three categories, it would be now relevant to this discussion to take note of the submissions made on behalf of the appellants in this bunch of appeals.

14) The submissions primarily flag the following issues. First, the principles of *Natural Justice* have been a casualty before the Hon'ble Single Bench. Second, the Hon'ble Single Bench has disclosed a pre-disposition against the appellants almost bordering on a real likelihood of bias. Third, the principle of Comity of Courts appears to have been disregarded by the Hon'ble Single Bench. Fourth, the individuals connected to the process of appointments and particularly the members of the so-called *Super Committee* appointed by the School Education Department on the 1st of November 2019 have been condemned unheard and without pleadings in the writ petitions. As a result of the CBI investigation promptly ordered against them, such members have been stigmatised and lost their reputation in society. Fifth, the private respondents in the writ petitions as well as others whose names have featured before the Hon'ble Single Bench

as the beneficiaries of the appointments recommended by SSC, cannot be now terminated and there cannot be any direction stopping their salaries and/or refund of their salaries for the period during which they have already discharged their services in the Schools where they were appointed.

15) Addressing the point of *Natural Justice* first along with the fourth issue raised, that of the appellants being condemned unheard and, on the basis of materials which were not part of pleadings, this Court is called upon to first notice the law relevant to such argument.

16) Law is settled on the point that *Natural Justice* is not a straightjacket formula. Consequently, the procedure required to the followed for being *Natural Justice* compliant would depend on the particular circumstances of a case. While assessing the same it would be important to ask the fundamental question as to whether fairness-in-action demands that an opportunity to be heard should be given to the person affected. *In Mohinder Singh Gill Vs. Chief Election Commissioner (1978 1 SCC 405) at page 446,* the Hon'ble Justice Krishna Iyer observed as follows:-

> *"74. Our constitutional order pays more than lip-service to the rule of reasonable administrative process. Our people are not yet conscious of their right; our administrative apparatus has a hard-of-hearing heritage. Therefore a creative play of fairplay, irksome to some but good in the long run, must be accepted as part of our administrative law. Lord Hailsham, L.C. in Pearlberg presaged:*
> *The doctrine of natural justice has come in for increasing consideration in recent years, and the Courts generally, (and the House of Lords in particular), have .... advanced its frontiers considerably. But at the same time they have taken an increasingly sophisticate view of what is required in individual case.*

And in India this case is neither the inaugural nor the valedictory of natural justice."

17) However, the principles of *Natural Justice* are irretrievably tied to the salutary rule that *Natural Justice* exists not only to secure justice but to also prevent miscarriage of justice. In other words, *Natural Justice* should not be defined in a doctrinaire manner or method and compliance with its principles shall depend on the facts and circumstances of each case. Observations in *Swadeshi Cotton Mills Vs. Union of India, 1981) 1 SCC 664 by Chinappa Reddi J.* in his dissenting judgement are of relevance to the discussion and stand quoted below:-

"106. The principles of natural justice have taken deep root in the judicial conscience of our people, nurtured by Dr. Bina Pani, Kraipak, Mohinder Singh Gill, Maneka Gandhi. They are now considered so fundamental as to be 'implicit in the concept of ordered liberty' and, therefore, implicit in every decision-making function, call it judicial, quasi-judicial or administrative. Where authority functions under a statute and the stature provides for the observance of the principles of natural justice in a particular manner, natural justice will have to be observed in that manner, natural justice will have to be observed in that manner and in no other. No wider right than that provided by statute can be claimed nor can the right be narrowed. Where the statute is silent about the observance of the principles of natural justice, such statutory silence is taken imply compliance with the principles of natural justice. The implication natural justice being presumptive it may be excluded by express words of statute ort by necessary intendment. Where the conflict is between the public interest and the private interest, the presumption must necessarily be weak and may, therefore, be readily displaced. The presumption is also weak where what are involved are mere property rights. In cases of urgency, particularly where the public interest is involved, pre-emptive action may be a strategic necessity. There may then be

no question of observing natural justice. Even in cases of pre-emptive action, if the statute so provides or if the courts so deem fit in appropriate cases, a postponed hearing may be substituted for natural justice. Where natural justice is implied, the extent of the implication and the natural of the hearing must vary with the statute, the subject and the situation. Seeming judicial ambivalence on the question of the applicability of the principles of natural justice is generally traceable to the readiness of judges to apply the principles of natural justice where no question of the public interest is involved, particularly where rights and interests other than property rights and vested interests are involved and the reluctance of judges to apply the principles of natural justice where there is suspicion of public mischief and only property rights and vested interests are involved.

111. The likelihood of production being jeopardized or the stoppage of production in a key industrial undertaking is matter of grave concern affecting the public interest. Parliament has taken so serious a view of the matter that it has authorised the Central Government to take over the management of the industrial undertaking if immediate action may prevent jeopardy to production or restore production where it has already stopped. The necessity for immediate action by the Central Government, contemplated by Parliament, is definitely indicative of the exclusion of natural justice. It is not as if the owner of the industrial undertaking is left with no remedy. He may move the Central Government under Section 18-F to cancel the order made under Section 18-AA. True some mischief affecting the management and top executives may have already been done. On the other hand, greater mischief affecting the public economy and the lives of many a thousand worker may have been averted. While on the one hand mere property rights are involved, on the other vital public interest is affected. This again, in the light of the need for immediate action contemplated by Parliament, is a clear pointer to the exclusion of natural justice. It was submitted by the learned counsel that Section 18-F did not provide any remedy but merely provided for cancellation of an

order of takeover on the fulfilment of the purpose of the order of take-over or for any other reason which rendered further continuance in force of the order unnecessary because of the happening of subsequent events. According to the learned counsel the basic assumption of Section 18-F was the validity of the order under Section 18-A or Section 18-AA. All that Section 18-F did was to prescribe conditions for the exercise of the general power which every authority had under Section 21 of the General Clauses Act to cancel its own earlier order. It was said that if Section 18-F could be said to impliedly exclude natural justice there is then no reason not to hold that Section 21 of the General Clauses Act similarly excluded natural justice in every case. I am unable to agree with these submissions of the learned counsel. Neither Section 18-F of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act nor Section 21 of the General Clauses Act, by itself, excludes natural justice. The exclusion of natural justice, where such exclusion is not express, has to be implied by reference to the subject, the statute and the statutory situation. Where an express provision in the statute itself provides for a post-decisional hearing the other provisions of the statute will have to be read in the light of such provision and the provision for post-decisional hearing may then clinch the issue where pre-decisional natural justice appears to be excluded on the other terms of the statute. That a post-decisional hearing may also be had by the terms of Section 21 of the General Clauses Act may not necessarily help in the interpretation of the provisions of the statute concerned. On the other hand even the general provision contained in Section 21 of the General Clauses Act may be sufficient to so interpret the terms of a given statute as to exclude natural justice. As I said it depends on the subject, statute and the statutory situation."

Also of relevance are the observations in *S.L. Kapoor Vs. Jagmohan, 1980) 4 SCC 379 at page 395* to the following effect:-

24. The matter has also been treated as an application of the general principle that justice should not only be done but should be seen to be done. Jackson's Natural Justice (1980 Edn.) contains a very interesting discussion of the subject. He says:

The distinction between justice being done and being seen to be done has been emphasised in many cases .....

The requirement that justice should be seen to be done may be regarded as a general principle which in some cases can be satisfied only by the observance of the rules of natural justice or as itself forming one of t6hose rules. Both explanations of the significance of the maxim are found in Lord Widgery C. J.'s judgment in R.v. Home Secretary, ex. P. Hosenball, where after saying that "the principles of natural justice are those fundamental rules, the breach of which will prevent justice from being seen to be done" he went on to describe the maxim as "one of the rules generally accepted in the bundle of the rules making up natural justice".

It is the recognition of the importance of the requirement that justice is seen to be done that justifies the giving of a remedy to a litigant even when it may be claimed that a decision alleged to be vitiated by a breach of natural justice would still have been reached had a fair hearing been given by an impartial tribunal. The maxim is applicable precisely when the court is concerned not with a case of actual injustice but with the appearance of injustice or possible injustice. In Altco Ltd. v. Sutherland Donaldson, J., said that the court in deciding whether to interfere where an arbitrator had not given a party a full hearing was not concerned with whether a further hearing would produce a different or the same result. It was important that the parties should not only be given justice, but, as reasonable men, know that they had had justice or "to use the time hallowed phrase" that justice should not only be done but be seen to be done. In R. v. Thames Magistrates' Court, ex. P. Polemis, the applicant obtained an order of certiorari to quash his conviction by a stipendiary magistrate on the ground that he had not had sufficient time to prepare his defence. The Divisional Court

rejected the argument that, in its discretion, it ought to refuse relief because the applicant had no defence to the charge.

It is again absolutely basic to our system that justice must not only be done but must manifestly be seen to be done. If justice was so clearly not seen to be done, as on the afternoon in question here, it seems to me that it is no answer to the applicant to say: 'Well, even if the case had been properly conducted, the result would have been the same'. That is mixing up doing justice with seeing that justice is done (per Lord Widgery C.J. at page 1375).

In our view the principles of natural justice know of no exclusionary rule dependent on whether it would have made any difference if natural justice had been observed. The non-observance of natural justice is itself prejudice to any man and proof of prejudice independently of proof of denial of natural justice is unnecessary. It ill comes from a person who has denied justice that the person who has been denied justice is not prejudiced. As we said earlier where on the admitted or indisputable facts only one conclusion is possible and under the law only one penalty is permissible, the court may not issue its writ to compel the observance of natural justice, not because it is not necessary to observe natural justice but because courts do not issue futile writs. We do not agree with the contrary view taken by the Delhi High Court in the judgment under appeal."

18) This Court is therefore of the considered opinion that the arguments on irredeemable violation of *Natural Justice* cannot come to the aid of the appellants at this stage having regard to the present state of facts and circumstances. The argument that the appellants have to be heard now before referring the matter to the CBI for investigation is not an inflexible requirement. The Hon'ble Single Bench is in the process of gathering further facts connected to the charge of illegal

appointments granted on a mass scale which has prompted the entire exercise to be described as a public scam.

19) In investigating the scam, no single person can absolutely claim to have a prior opportunity to be heard. As opined in *Nagendra Nath Bora Vs. Commissioner of Hills Division (AIR 1958 SC 398), Natural justice* is an adaptable principle which can be adjusted and harmonized and tailored according to the exigency of the situation. To the mind of this Court, the exigency of the present situation correctly necessitate that the Hon'ble Singe Bench should be in possession of the full facts and, based on the flow of facts, appropriate windows of hearing can be opened by the Hon'ble Single Bench to any of the parties genuinely prejudiced.

20) In *Karnataka Public Service Commission and Ors. Vs. B.M. Vijaya Sankara and Ors. With Another, 1992 (2) SCC 206,* at *Paragraphs 3, 4 and 5* it was held as follows:-

> *"3. Such instructions are issued to ensure fairness in the examination. In the fast deteriorating standards of honesty and morality in the society the insistence by the Commission that no attempt should be made of identification of the candidate by writing his roll number anywhere is in the larger public interest. It is well known that the first page of the answer book on which roll number is written is removed and a fictitious code number is provided to rule out any effort of any approach to the examiner. Not that a candidate who has written his roll number would have approached the examiner. He may have committed a bonafide mistake. But that is not material. What was attempted to be achieved by the instruction was to minimise any possibility or chance of any abuse. Larger public interest demands of observance of instruction rather than its breach.*
>
> *4. Was natural justice violated ? Natural justice is a concept which has succeeded in keeping the arbitrary action within limits and preserving the rule of law. But with all the religious rigidity with which it should be observed, since it is ultimately weighed in balance of fairness, the courts have been circumspect in extending it to situations where it would cause more injustice than justice. Even though the procedure of affording hearing is as important as decision on merits yet urgency of the matter, or*

public interest at times require flexibility in application of the rule as the circumstances of the case and the nature of the matter required to be dealt may serve interest of justice better by denying opportunity of hearing and permitting the person concerned to challenge the order itself on merits not for lack of hearing to establish bonafide or innocence but for being otherwise arbitrary or against rules. Present is a case which, in our opinion, can safely be placed in a category where natural justice before taking any action stood excluded as it did not involve any misconduct or punishment.

5. Competitive examinations are required to be conducted by the Commission for public service in strict secrecy to get the best brain. Public interest requires no compromise on it. Any violation of it should be visited strictly. Absence of any expectation of hearing in matters which do not affect any interest and call for immediate action, such as the present one, where it would have delayed declaration of list of other candidates which would have been more unfair and unjust are rare but well recognised exceptions to the rule of natural justice. It cannot be equated with where a student is found copying in the examination or an inference arises against him for copying due to similarity in answers of number of other candidates or he is charged with misconduct or misbehavior. Direction not to write roll number was clear and explicit. It was printed on the first page of every answer book. Once it was violated the issue of bonafide and honest mistake did not arise. Its consequences, even, if not provided did not make any difference in law. The action could not be characterised as arbitrary. It was not denial of equal opportunity. The reverse may be true. The tribunal appears to have been swayed by principles applied by this Court where an examinee is found copying or using unfair means in the examination. But in doing so the tribunal ignored a vital distinction that there may be cases where the right of hearing may be excluded by the very nature of the power or absence of any expectation that the hearing shall be afforded. Rule of hearing has been construed strictly in academic disciplines. It should be construed more strictly in such cases where an examinee is competing for Civil Service post. The very nature of the competition requires that it should be fair, above board and must infuse confidence. If this is ignored then, as stated earlier, it is not only against public interest but it also erodes the social sense of equality. The tribunal in issuing directions approached the matter technically and has attempted to make out much where it would have been better part of discretion to refuse to interfere. The tribunal completely misdirected itself in this regard. In our opinion its order cannot be maintained."

21) In *Kumaon Mandan Vikas Nigam Ltd. Vs. Girja Shankar Pant and Ors., 2001 (1) SCC 182,* the Hon'ble Apex Court held that Natural Justice is not a straightjacket formula in the following words:-

> *"Although over the years there has been a steady refinement as regards the doctrine of natural justice but no attempt has been made and, in fact, cannot be made, to define the doctrine in a specific manner or method. Strait-jacket formula cannot be made applicable but compliance with the doctrine is solely dependent upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The totality of the situation ought to be taken note of and if on examination of such totality, it comes to light that the executive action suffers from the vice of non-compliance with the doctrine, the law courts ought to set right the wrong inflicted upon the person concerned and to do so would be a plain exercise of judicial power. As a matter of fact the doctrine is now termed as a synonym of fairness in the concept of justice and stands as the most-accepted methodology of a governmental action."*

22) It is *prima facie* apparent from the facts on record that a public scam in recruiting Assistant Teachers for Classes IX and X, Group – C and Group – D Posts has taken place under the aegis of SSC. The persons involved in the public scam are high ranking officials in the SSC and the School Education Department. The so called *Super Committee* set-up by the then Minister-in-Charge of the School Education Department and headed by its Advisor played a key role in the public scam. Such has appeared in detail from the materials available to the Hon'ble Single Bench during the course of hearing of several writ petitions as well as the detailed findings of the Bag Committee appointed by the Hon'ble Division Bench in respect of Group – C and Group – D posts.

23) Although it has been strenuously argued by the appellants that they have not been heard by the Hon'ble Single Bench as earlier discussed, the right of hearing claimed is not absolute. The right of hearing

claimed by the appellants cannot override the interests of investigating the public scam. In the view of this Court the Hon'ble Single Bench is well within the defined limits of its powers by first embarking on an exercise intended to collect all facts and locate individual responsibilities against such facts. Such exercise can neither be described as a pre-disposition of the Hon'ble Court nor a rupture of the principle of Comity of Courts.

24) The opinion of *Lord Reid in Wiseman Vs. Borneman, 1969 (3) ALL ER 275* makes for instructive reading and therefore is quoted below:-

> *"LORD REID: My Lords, I agree with your Lordships that this appeal should be dismissed and I shall only add a few observations. Natural justice requires that the procedure before any tribunal which is acting judicially shall be fair in all the circumstances, and I would be sorry to see this fundamental general principle degenerate into a series of hard and fast rules. For a long time the courts have, without objection from Parliament, supplemented procedure laid down in legislation where they have found that to be necessary for this purpose. But before this unusual kind of power is exercised it must be clear that the statutory procedure is insufficient to achieve justice and that to require additional steps would not frustrate the apparent purpose of the legislation.*
>
> *In the great majority of cases which come before this tribunal all the relevant facts are known to the taxpayer and he has a full opportunity to set out in his statutory declaration all the facts which he thinks are relevant and also all arguments on which he relies. The only advantage to him having a right to see and reply to the counter-statement of the commissioners would then be that he could reply to their arguments. If the tribunal were entitled to pronounce a final judgment against the taxpayer justice would certainly require that he should have a right to see and reply to this statement, but all the tribunal can do is to find that there is a prima facie case against him.*
>
> *It is, I think, not entirely irrelevant to have in mind that it is very unusual for there to be a judicial determination of the question whether there is a prima facie case. Every public officer who has to decide whether to prosecute or raise proceedings ought first to decide whether there is a prima facie case but no one supposes that justice requires that he should first seek the comments of the accused or the defendant on the material before him. So there is nothing inherently unjust in reaching such a decision in the absence of the other party.*

Even where the decision is to be reached by a body acting judicially there must be a balance between the need for expedition and the need to give full opportunity to the defendant to see the material against him. I do not think that a case has been made out that it is unfair to proceed as the statute directs. But I do not read the statute as preventing the tribunal from seeking further comment from the taxpayer if in any unusual case they think that they could carry out their task more effectively in that way. If they do that then they must allow the commissioners to reply if so advised because any decision against the commissioners is a final decision."

25) This Court finds from the orders of both the Hon'ble Single Bench and the Hon'ble Division Benches as quoted above that the facts connecting to large scale appointments on the basis of the recommendations made by the so-called *Super Committee* could not be disputed by any of the appellants. The factum of such illegal appointments to Group–C and Group-D posts have been also called out by the Bag Committee. In fact, the Committee has made recommendations carrying both civil and criminal punitive consequences upon members of the SSC, the Board as well as the members of the so-called *Super Committee*, which are now required to be placed before the Hon'ble Single Bench.

26) The idea that when a Court of law is confronted with a situation involving malpractice on a mass scale is under no obligation to grant opportunity of considering individual representations stood discussed in *Bihar School Examination Board Vs. Subhash Chandra Sinha and Others, 1970) 1 SCC 648 at page 652* in the following words:-

"13. This is not a case of any particular individual who is being charged with adoption of unfair means but of the conduct of all the examinees or at least a vast majority of them at a particular centre. If it is not a question of charging any one individually with unfair means but to condemn the examination as ineffective for the purpose it was held. Must the Board give an opportunity to all the candidates to represent their cases? We think not. It was not

necessary for the Board to give an opportunity to the candidates if the examinations as a whole were being cancelled. The Board had not charged any one with unfair means so that he could claim to defend himself. The examination was vitiated by adoption of unfair means on a mass scale. In these circumstances it would be wring to insist that the Board must hold a detailed inquiry into the matter and examine each individual case to satisfy itself which of the candidates had not adopted unfair means. The examination as a whole had to go.

15. We are satisfied that no principle of natural justice was violated in this case. The Board through its Chairman and later itself reached the right conclusion that the examination at this Centre had been vitiated by practising unfair means on a mass scale and the Board had every right to cancel the examination and order that a fresh examination be held. There was no need to give the examinees an opportunity of contesting this conclusion because the evidence in the case was perfectly plain and transparent. We therefore set aside the order of the High Court and ordered dismissal of the writ petition but made no order as to costs."

27) *In Harinath Vs. Rajendra Medical College (1973 1) SCC 805),* the Hon'ble Apex Court, *inter alia*, held that once the Report of the Enquiry Committee which had examined the evidence of a complaint of a nude march in the girls hostel against the petitioners was made available and the integrity of the Committee could not be impeached, nothing more could be done by way of affording the petitioners' *Natural Justice* having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case.

28) Accordingly, this Court is of the view that the Hon'ble Single Bench is well within its defined limits of exercise of jurisdiction to choose and/or exercise its discretion in extending the right of hearing to the appellants at the appropriate stage and time. The appellants shall

then be permitted to agitate their individual standpoints before the Hon'ble Single Bench. The appellants have no vested right to demand that they should be heard now. On the basis of the facts so far available before the Hon'ble Courts it is *prima facie* evident that the appellants are individually and collectively culpable for their role in the public scam. In such view of the matter, the Hon'ble Single Bench has the right of choice to extend the right of hearing without compromising on the public good requiring prompt investigation.

29) Closely tied to the principles of *Natural Justice* alleged by the appellants to have been violated, is the question of prejudice. As discussed hereinabove, the Orders of the Hon'ble Single Bench cannot be said to have prejudicially affected the appellants at the present stage when the Bench is in the process of collecting hard evidence. In the considered view of this Court, merely on the ground of prejudice being caused because a Hon'ble Single Bench is on a focussed fact finding exercise, the rigours associated with such exercise must be allowed to prevail at the present stage over individual inconvenience for the ends of justice. In this connection it would be useful to reiterate three out of the nine situations when *Natural Justice* must yield as listed by *Prof. De Smith* in his book on *Judicial Review of Administrative Action (5th Edition)* which read as follows:-

> *"1. .....*
>
> *2. .....*
>
> *3. .....*
>
> *4. Where an obligation to give notice and opportunity to be heard would obstruct the taking of prompt action.*
>
> *5. Where for other reasons it is impracticable to give prior notice or opportunity to be heard.*

6. .....

7. .....

8. Where the defect of Natural Justice has made no difference to the result; where to require fairness or Natural Justice would be futile; where no prejudice has been caused to the applicant.

9. ....."

This Court therefore finds no infirmity in the action of the Hon'ble Single Bench, which is in conformity with the above referred three situations. Furthermore, having regard to the fifth issue at Paragraph 14 (*supra*) connected to the grievance of appellant appointees who are the beneficiaries of the alleged illegal appointment process and claim to have been unfairly treated by the direction of the Hon'ble Single Bench to stop their salaries, on a parity of circumstances this Court finds the observations in *State of Madhya Pradesh Vs. Mahesh Kumar 1997) 6 SCC 95* to be illuminating in as much as candidates who were the beneficiaries of grace marks have no vested right to be heard when such grace marks are withdrawn by the authority.

In *Union of India Vs. W.N. Chaddha 1993 (Supplementary) Vol. 4 SCC 260* at *Paragraph 77* it was held that the rule of *audi alteram partem* or, in other words, an opportunity of hearing cannot be insisted upon in situations such as hearing an accused before issuing Letters Rogatory.

In a situation where clerks were appointed under the Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan on the basis of invalid orders issued by an Assistant Commissioner of KVs after the service of the said Assistant Commissioner was terminated, it was held *In Re: Kendriya Vidalaya*

Sangathan vs. Ajay Kr. Das, 2002) 4 SCC 503 at Paragraph 5 as follows:-

> *"5. The narration of the facts made above, make it clear that the respondents were appointed by the said Dr K.C. Rakesh, Assistant Commissioner, Guwahati when his services had been terminated and his continuation in service itself was under a cloud and in an inquiry serious lapses had been noticed in the matter of recruitment. It is clear that if after the termination of services of the said Dr K.C. Rakesh, the orders of appointment are issued, such orders are not valid. If such appointment orders are a nullity, the question of observance of principles of natural justice would not arise. Even though, the respondents may not have been a party to the proceedings before the High Court, it is clear that if the appointing authority itself did not have power to make appointment by reason of termination of his services, it is futile to contend that the respondents should have been served with notices in that regard. Dr K.C. Rakesh issued appointment orders on his services having been put an end to on 11-12-1997 by issuance of orders of appointment dated 13-12-1997/15/12/1997. On the pretext that the order of termination of his services had not been served upon him, it cannot be contended that the appointments of the respondents would be valid."*

30) This Court is therefore of the considered view at this stage that the prejudicial effect of the orders of the Hon'ble Single Bench *qua* the appellants requiring immediate application of the *audi alteram partem* principle stands disproved. The balance of convenience and the larger public good lies in allowing the Hon'ble Single Bench to complete the factual enquiry so far undertaken through Courts, CBI and the Bag Committee, all of which inexorably lead to a single possible and permissible conclusion. Applying the Useless Formality

Theory, this Court clarifies that extending the benefit of *audi alteram partem* to those charged with delinquent conduct even at a subsequent stage when the Hon'ble Single Bench is satisfied that it is now necessary to do so having regard to the factual evidence accumulated before it, would not defeat the principles of *Natural Justice.*

31) In *M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India, 1999) 6 SCC 237 at Paragraphs 22, 23 and 24* it is stated as follows:-

> *"22. Before we go into the final aspects of this contention, we would like to state that cases relating to breach of natural justice do also occur where all facts are not admitted or are not all beyond dispute. In the context of those cases, there is a considerable case law and literature as to whether relief can be refused even if the court thinks that the case of the applicant is not one of 'real substance' or that there is no substantial possibility of his success or that the result will not be different, even if natural justice is followed. See Malloch v. Aberdeen Corporation [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1578 (per Lord Reid and Lord Wilberforce), Glynn v. Keele University [1971] 1 W.L.R. 87, Cinnamond v. British Airport Authority [1980] 1 W.L.R. 582 and other cases where such a view has been held. The latest addition to this view is R v. Eating Magistrates' Court ex p Fannaran 1996 8 Admn LR 351 (358) (see DeSmith, Suppl., page 89) 1998 where Straughton, Lj, held that there must be 'demonstrable beyond doubt' that the result would have been different. Lord Woolf in Lloyd v. McMohan [1987] A.C. 625 (862) has also not disfavoured refusal of discretion in certain cases of breach of natural justice. The New Zealand court in McCarthy v. Grant 1959 NZLR 1014, however, goes half way when it says that (as in the case of bias), it is sufficient for the applicant to show that there is 'real likelihood - not certainty - of prejudice'. On the other hand, Garner's Administrative Law,*

8th Edition, 1996, pages 271-272, says that slight proof that the result would have been different is sufficient. On the other side of the argument, we have apart from Ridge v. Baldwin [1960] A.C. 40], Megarry, J., in John v. Rees [1970] Ch. 345, stating that there are always 'open and shut cases' and no absolute rule of proof of prejudice can be laid down. Merits are not for the court but for the authority to consider. Ackner, J., has said that the 'useless formality theory' is a dangerous one and, however' inconvenient, natural justice must be followed. His Lordship observed that convenience and justice are often not on speaking terms'. More recently, Lord Bingham has deprecated the 'useless formality' theory in R v. Chief Constable of the Thames Valley Police Force ex p Cotton 1990 IRLR 344, by giving six reasons. (See also his article 'Should Public Law Remedies be Discretionary ?' 1991 PL 64). A detailed and emphatic criticism of the 'useless formality theory' has been made much (of) earlier in 'Natural justice, Substance or Shadow' by Prof. D. H. Clark of Canada (see 1975 PL, pages 27-63) contending that Malloch [1971] 1 W.L.R. 1578] and Glynn [1971] 1 W.L.R. 87] were wrongly decided. Foulkes (Administrative Law, 8th Edition, 1996, pages 323), Craig Administrative Law, 3rd Edition, page 596, and others say that the court cannot prejudge what is to be decided by the decision making authority. De Smith, 8th Edition, 1994, paras 10.031 to 10.036, says courts have not yet committed themselves to any one view though discretion is always with the court. Wade Administrative Law, 5th Edition, 1994, pages 526-530, say that while futile writs may not be issued, a distinction has to be made according to the nature of the decision. Thus, in relation to cases other than those relating to admitted or indisputable facts, there is considerable divergence of opinion whether the applicant can be compelled to prove that the outcome will be in his favour or he has to prove a case of substance or if he can prove a 'real likelihood'

of success or if he is entitled to relief even if there is some remote chance of success. We may, however, point out that even in cases where the facts are not all admitted or beyond dispute, there is considerable unanimity that the courts can, in exercise of their 'discretion', refuse certiorari, prohibition, mandamus or injunction even though natural justice is not followed. We may also state that there is yet another line of cases as in State Bank of Patiala v. S. K. Sharma 1996 (3) SCC 364, Rajendra Singh v. State of M. P. 1996 (5) SCC 460, that even in relation to statutory provisions requiring notice, a distinction is to be made between cases where the provision is intended for individual benefit and where a provision is intended to protect public interest. In the former case, it can be waived while in the case of the latter, it cannot be waived.

23. We do not propose to express any opinion on the correctness or otherwise of the 'useless formality' theory and leave the matter for decision in an appropriate case, inasmuch as, in the case before us, 'admitted and indisputable' facts show that grant of a writ will be in vain as pointed out by Chinnappa Reddy, J.

24. In our view, on the admitted and indisputable facts set out above, namely, the recall of our earlier order of the court, it becomes mandatory for the court to restore the status quo ante prevailing on the date of its first order. Restitution is a must. Further, Bharat Petroleum having got back its plot at the Ridge, it cannot lay further claim to the one at San Martin Marg which was given to it only in lieu of the Ridge plot. Similarly, HPCL has to get back its plot in San Martin Marg [plot] inasmuch as, otherwise, it will have none and Bharat Petroleum will have two. Bharat Petroleum cannot retain the advantage which it got from an order of this court which has since been withdrawn. Thus, what is permissible and what is possible is a single view and the case on hand comes squarely within the

exception laid down by Chinnappa Reddy, J., in S. L. Kapoor v. Jagmohan."

In *Canara Bank Vs. Debashis Das, 2003) 4 SCC 557 at Paragraphs 22 and 23,* the view expressed *In Re: M.C. Mehta (supra)* was reiterated in the following words:-

"22. What is known as 'useless formality theory' has received consideration of this Court in M.C. Mehta v. Union of India. It was observed as under: (SCC pp. 245-47, paras 22-23)

"22. Before we go into the final aspect of this contention, we would like to state that case relating to breach of natural justice do also occur where all facts are not admitted or are not all beyond dispute. In the context of those cases there is a considerable case-law and literature as to whether relief can be refused even if the court thinks that the case of the applicant is not one of 'real substance' or that there is no substantial possibility of his success or that the result will not be different, even if natural justice is followed (See Malloch v. Aberdeen Corpn: (1971)2 All ER 1278, HL) (per Lord Reid and Lord Wilberforce), Glynn v. Keele University: (1971) 2 All ER 89; Cinnamond v. British Airports Authority: (1980) 2 All ER 368, CA) and other cases where such a view has been held. The latest addition to this view is R v. Ealing Magistrates' Court, ex p. Fannaran (1996 (8) Admn. LR 351, 358) (See de Smith, Suppl. P.89 (1998) where Straughton, L.J. held that there must be 'demonstrable beyond doubt' that the result would have been different. Lord Woolf in Lloyd v. McMohan (1987 (1) All ER 1118, CA) has also not disfavoured refusal of discretion in certain cases of breach of natural justice. The New Zealand Court in McCarthy v. Grant (1959 NZLR 1014) however goes halfway when it says that (as in the case of bias), it is sufficient for the applicant to show that there is 'real

likelihood-not certainty- of prejudice'. On the other hand, Garner Administrative Law (8th Edn. 1996. pp.271-72) says that slight proof that the result would have been different is sufficient. On the other side of the argument, we have apart from Ridge v. Baldwin (1964 AC 40: (1963) 2 All ER 66, HL), Megarry, J. in John v. Rees (1969 (2) All ER 274) stating that there are always 'open and shut cases' and no absolute rule of proof of prejudice can be laid down. Merits are not for the court but for the authority to consider. Ackner, J has said that the 'useless formality theory' is a dangerous one and, however inconvenient, natural justice must be followed. His Lordship observed that 'convenience and justice are often not on speaking terms'. More recently, Lord Bingham has deprecated the 'useless formality theory' in R. v. Chief Constable of the Thames Valley Police Forces, ex p. Cotton (1990 IRLR 344) by giving six reasons (see also his article 'Should Public Law Remedies be Discretionary?" 1991 PL. p.64). A detailed and emphatic criticism of the 'useless formality theory' has been made much earlier in 'Natural Justice, Substance or Shadow' by Prof. D.H. Clark of Canada (see 1975 PL.pp.27-63) contending that Malloch (supra) and Glynn (supra) were wrongly decided. Foulkes (Administrative Law, 8th Edn. 1996, p.323), Craig (Administrative Law, 3rd Edn. P.596) and others say that the court cannot prejudge what is to be decided by the decision-making authority. De Smith (5th Edn. 1994, paras 10.031 to 10.036) says courts have not yet committed themselves to any one view though discretion is always with the court. Wade (Administrative Law, 5th Edn. 1994, pp.526-530) says that while futile writs may not be issued, a distinction has to be made according to the nature of the decision. Thus, in relation to cases other than those relating to admitted or indisputable facts, there is a considerable divergence of opinion whether the applicant can be compelled to prove that the outcome will be in his favour or he has to

prove a case of substance or if he can prove a 'real likelihood' of success or if he is entitled to relief even if there is some remote chance of success. We may, however, point out that even in cases where the facts are not all admitted or beyond dispute, there is a considerable unanimity that the courts can, in exercise of their 'discretion', refuse certiorari, prohibition, mandamus or injunction even though natural justice is not followed. We may also state that there is yet another line of cases as in State Bank of Patiala v. S.K. Sharma, Rajendra Singh v. State of M.P. (1996 (5) SCC 460) that even in relation to statutory provisions requiring notice, a distinction is to be made between cases where the provision is intended for individual benefit and where a provision is intended to protect public interest. In the former case, it can be waived while in the case of the latter, it cannot be waived.

23. We do not propose to express any opinion on the correctness or otherwise of the 'useless formality theory' and leave the matter for decision in an appropriate case, inasmuch as the case before us, 'admitted and indisputable' facts show that grant of a writ will be in vain as pointed by Chinnappa Reddy, J."

23. As was observed by this Court we need not go into 'useless formality theory' in detail; in view of the fact that no prejudice has been shown. As is rightly pointed out by learned counsel for the appellants unless failure of justice is occasioned or that it would not be in public interest to dismiss a petition on the fact situation of a case, this Court may refuse to exercise said jurisdiction (see Gadde Venkateswara Rao v. Govt. of A.P. and Ors. (AIR 1966 SC 828). It is to be noted that legal formulations cannot be divorced from the fact situation of the case. Personal hearing was granted by the Appellate Authority, though not statutorily prescribed. In a given case post- decisional hearing

can obliterate the procedural deficiency of a pre-decisional hearing. (See Charan Lal Sahu v. Union of India)."

Marshall on *Natural Justice* illuminatingly writes as follows:-

"11. Waiver of these Rules

"It would be both unnatural and unjust to convert natural justice into a shackle which cannot be shaken off even by a party for whose benefit it is invoked. If such be the law, many persons will be subjected to great delay, expense and intolerable inconvenience if they must suffer willy-nilly, the inexorable processes of natural justice."

32) Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the SSC has submitted primarily on two points: a) Pre-disposition of the Hon'ble Single Bench to act in a particular way; and b) Such pre-disposition resulting in a real likelihood of bias.

Relying on *2011) 14 SCC 770, State of Punjab Vs. Davinder Pal Singh Bhuller and others at Paragraphs 24, 25, 26 and 75,* it is submitted that a Judge must move within the framework of relevant legal rules and the covenanted modes of thought. A Judge must be dispassionate and submerge private feeling on every aspect of a case. It is pointed out that the Judge must conduct the case in a manner so that he is not seen to be the arbiter of his own case. It is therefore submitted that the manner and pace at the which the Hon'ble Single Bench is proceeding against the appellants presuming their guilt at every step, has raised a reasonable apprehension of bias against the Hon'ble Single Bench.

It is submitted that without granting the affected parties a reasonable opportunity of being heard, an investigation by the CBI cannot be normally granted.

33) The predisposition alleged to be formed by the Hon'ble Single Bench which has been expanded by arguments of Learned Senior Counsel to include within its fold the prospect of the Hon'ble Single Bench recusing itself from the case, forms the basis of the next judgement relied upon by Mr. Dutta reported in *2016) 1 SCC 808 Supreme, Supreme Court Advocate on records Association and another Vs. Union of India (Recusal Matter).* It is submitted that what is of universal application is the simple question as to whether the adjudication by the Judge concerned would cause a reasonable doubt in the mind of a reasonably informed litigant and fair minded public on correct facts, as to the impartiality of the Judge or the likelihood of bias on the part of the Judge.

Learned Senior Counsel submits on the strength of the decision reported in *2002) 5 SCC 521, Secretary, Mining Irrigation and Rural Engineering Services, UP and others Vs. Sahngoo Ram Arya and another* that while it cannot be disputed that the High Court has power under Article 226 to direct an enquiry by the CBI, the said power can be exercised only in cases where there are sufficient materials to come to a *prima facie* conclusion that there is a reasonable need for such enquiry. The power to order a CBI enquiry cannot be considered as a matter of routine or merely on the basis of a party making some allegations and, for this proposition, Learned Senior Counsel relies on the authority of *2008) 2 SCC 409, Sakiri Vasu vs. State of UP and others.*

34) The answers to the arguments placed by Learned Senior Counsel for the SSC (*supra*) lie, to the mind of this Court, in the facts and circumstances emanating from the *prima facie* materials already noticed and recorded by the Hon'ble Single Bench, the Hon'ble Division Benches as well as the Bag Committee, which have uniformly pointed out to large scale malpractices. Therefore, to the further mind of this Court, the Hon'ble Single Bench stands justified in its endeavour to be in possession of all relevant facts and such exercise ought not to be interdicted or pre-empted on the specious ground that, in interdicting or pre-empting such exercise, miscarriage of justice will automatically follow. A robust and rapid collection of facts will aid the delivery of justice in the context of the present situation which is pointing towards a scam in public appointments. Individual claims to be heard as raised by the present appellants must yield to the larger public good to be granted at a stage deemed appopriate by the Court.

35) This Court must also hold that the perceived pre-disposition of the Hon'ble Single Bench argued by Learned Senior Counsel for the SSC raising the spectre of bias, is *akin* to a mirage. It is really not the pre-disposition of the Court but, a pre-disposition of facts. The *dice of fate* as it stands today is so overwhelmingly loaded against the apellants in the scam involving public jobs concerning the SSC, that *with facts, facts everywhere and not a drop* in favour of SSC, a mirage of misperception has resulted where the Court and not the Facts apear to be the Devil.

36) In other words, the Hon'ble Single Bench cannot be stopped from enquiring further for the reason that such enquiry and the facts

yielded by such enquiry lead to only one conclusion. To the above extent, the apprehension raised by SSC reveals an inadequacy to deal with the truth. It is no less surprising considering that the SSC is a statutory body intended to act for public good, it has allowed its powers to be supplanted and subverted by a so-called *Super Committee* for giving effect to large scale illegal appointments of Assistant Teachers in Classes IX and X, Group – C and Group - D Posts. No less surprising is the fact that the SSC has even gone to the extent of filing an appeal to defend the action taken by the appellants and has been joined by the State, more precisely represented by its School Education Department, in filing an analogous appeal.

37) Further to the discussion above, this Court must notice that in cases of crimes and/or malpractice involving the public at large, public authorities must recognise that certain powers are to be exercised in public interest by the holders of such powers. Accordingly, the Hon'ble Apex Court was pleased to direct monitoring of investigation by the CBI and other Government agencies by the Court itself underscoring the principle of a Continuing Mandamus. In this connection *Paragraphs 7, 8 and 9 of Vineet Narain and others Vs. Union of India and Ors, 1998 1) SCC 226,* read as follows:-

> *"7. We have taken the view that, given the political personalities of the propel to be investigated in the "Jain diaries" case and the time already lost in commencing the investigation it was advantageous not to hear the matter through and issue a writ of mandamus, leaving it to the authorities to comply with it, but to keep the matter pending while the investigations were being carried on, ensuring that this was done by monitoring them from time to time and*

issuing orders in this behalf. Our reasoned order are dated. 18.4.1995,16.1.1996 [1996 (2) Scale (SP) 42], 30.1.1996 [1996 (2) SCC 199], 22.2.1996 [1996 (2) Scale (SP) 84], 1.3.1996 [1997 (4) SCC 778], 13.3.1996 [1996 (4) Scale (SP) 3], 1.5.1996 [1996 (4) Scale (SP) 56], 26.7.1996 (6) Scale (SP) 24], 9.7.1997 [1997 (5) Scale 254]. Orders in similar matters, being the orders dated 12.2.1996 [1996 (3) Scale (SP) 35], 2.14.1996, 26.4.1996 [1996 (4) Scale (SP) 71], 26.7.1996 [1996 (6) Scale (SP) 23] and 7.10.1996 [1996 (6) SCC 354] in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 640 of 1995 - Anukul Chandra Pradhan vs. Union of India and Others- and orders dated 24.2.1997 and 18.3.1997 in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 38 of 1997 - Dr. Subramaniam Swamy vs. Director, CBI & Ors., are also relevant.

8. The sum and substance of these orders is that the CBI and other Governmental agencies had not carried out their public duty to investigate the offences disclosed; that none stands above the law so that an alleged offence by him is not required to be investigated; that we would monitor the investigations, in the sense that we would do what we permissibly could to see that the sense that we would do what we permissibly could to see that the investigations progressed while yet ensuring that we did not direct or channel those investigations or in any other manner prejudice the right of those who might be accused to a full and fair trial. We made it clear that the task of the monitoring court would and the moment a charge-sheet was filed in respect of a particular investigation and that the ordinary processes of the law would then take over. Having regard to the direction in which the investigations were leading, we found it necessary to direct the CBI not to report the progress of the investigations to the person occupying the highest office in the political executive this was done to eliminate any impression of bias or lack of fairness or objectivity and to maintain the credibility of the investigations.

In short, the procedure adopted was of "continuing mandamus".

9. Even after this matter was brought to the court complaining of the incrtia of CBI and the other agencies to investigate into the offices because of the alleged involvement of several persons holding high offices in the executive, for quite some time the disinclination of the agencies to precede with the investigation was apparent. The accusation, if true, reveled a nexus between high ranking politicians and bureaucrats who were alleged to have been funded by a source linked with the source funding the terrorists. In view of the funding also through foreign currency, some undesirable foreign elements appeared to be connected. This revealed a grave situation poising a serious threat even to the unity and integrity of the nation. The serious threat posed to the Indian polity could not be underscored. The obvious need for an expeditious and thorough probe which had already been delayed for several years could not but be countenanced. The continuing inertia of the agencies to even commence a proper investigation could not be tolerated any longer. In view of the persistence of that situation, it becomes necessary as the proceedings progressed to make some orders which would activate the CBI and the other agencies to at least commence a fruitful investigation. Merely issuance of a mandamus directing the agencies to perform their task would be futile and, therefore, it was decided to issue directions from time to time and keep the matter pending requiring the agencies to report the progress of investigation so that monitoring by the court could ensure continuance of the investigation. It was, therefore, decided to direct the CBI and other agencies to complete the investigation expeditiously, keeping the court informed from time to time of the progress of the investigation so that the court retained siesin of the matter till the investigation was completed and the

charge-sheets were filed in the competent court for being dealt with, thereafter, in accordance with law."

38) To the further mind of this Court upon such incriminating facts coming to its notice and copiously recording the same *vide* its orders dated 28.2.2022, 3.3.2022 and 7.3.2022 (*supra*) and also in other subsequent Orders, which stand reinforced by the findings of the Bag Committee, it is justified that the approach of the Hon'ble Single Bench be read *pari materia* with the law laid down *in Paragraphs 7,8 and 9* of *In Re: Vineet Narain (supra).*

39) Learned Advocate General appearing for the State of West Bengal reiterates the points taken by SSC that the Hon'ble Single Bench has assumed an *inquisitorial jurisdiction* in place and stead of an *adversial jurisdiction.* It is clarified that although the State is not adopting the arguments of either bias or pre-disposition on the part of the Hon'ble Single Bench, yet it is surprising that although in none of the writ petitions an enquiry by the CBI has been prayed for, yet a CBI enquiry has been granted.

40) Learned Advocate General submits that while dealing with the Orders of the Hon'ble Division Bench, the Hon'ble Single Bench has acted in contravention of the principle of Comity of Courts. Although the state of affairs as disclosed from the materials so far available before the Hon'ble Single Bench is described to be *unhappy* by the Learned Advocate General, it is submitted that the State is always willing to have the matter investigated through a Special Investigation Team (for short, SIT). The argument is repeated that the Hon'ble Single Bench

ought to have been satisfied that there are enough materials on record before setting the criminal law into motion.

41) In support of his submissions, Learned Advocate General relies on the authority of *Meerut Development authority vs. Association of Management Studies and Another, 2009 6) SCC 171 at Paragraphs 68 and 69.* It is submitted that the said decision is an authority calling out the danger arising out of Judges converting Judicial Review proceedings into an *inquisitorial investigation,* whereby it is not the decision maker but the Judge who conducts the proceedings.

The principles related to an enquiry directed to be conducted by the CBI noticed in *Minor Irrigation and in Davinder Pal Singh Bhullar (supra)* are reiterated.

42) Relying on *Paragraphs 16* and *17* of The *State of West Bengal and others vs. Sampat Lal 1985) 1 SCC 317,* it is submitted that the argument in favour of investigation by a Special Officer or CBI can be accepted only when the Hon'ble Court is satisfied that the regular statutory agency or the normal police set-up of the State is unable to deliver results. It is therefore submitted that the Hon'ble Single Bench ought to have first satisfied itself that the normal police set-up is in a position to carry out an investigation into the malpractice alleged or, in the alternative, the State should have been allowed to form a SIT.

For the proposition that the CBI should be directed to investigate only in exceptional circumstances, Learned Advocate General relies on the authorities of *Common Cause, A Registered Society Vs. Union of India, 1999)6 SCC 667 and State of West Bengal and others vs. Committee*

For Protection of Democratic Rights 2010) 10 SCC 571 at *Paragraphs 174, 175 and 176.*

43) *Apropo* the submissions of the Learned Advocate General, this Court finds that by the detailed order dated 3.3.2022 the Hon'ble Single Bench clearly provided reasons for appointing the CBI to enquire into the matter. The reason for such appointment was that since the malpractice alleged related to high officials within the State Government as well as public figures within the State political apparatus, notwithstanding the efficiency of the State police, it is desirable to have the issue investigated by an agency which is independent of State control. The Hon'ble Single Bench hence found that the CBI is such an independent agency which is capable of functioning beyond State control and also holding the requisite forensic expertise to carry out an investigation of this nature.

This Court finds the above stated stand of the Hon'ble Single Bench to be sufficiently nuanced and hence acceptable.

This Court also finds that the investigation being ultimately monitored by the Hon'ble Single Bench, including additional materials found by the Bag Committee which supplement such findings and hence add to the insights of the Hon'ble Single Bench at the stage of passing further directions.

44) This Court is also of the view that the steps taken so far by the Hon'ble Courts do not appear to have violated the principle of Comity of Courts. Although by referring to Orders of the Hon'ble Division Bench dated 29th March, 2022 and of the Hon'ble Single Bench on the 30th of March, 2022 in Mat 447 of 2022 with IA No. CAN 1 of 2022

and WPA 13701 of 2021 respectively, it has been argued that the two orders would show that the principle of Comity of Courts has been violated, on the contrary, to the mind of this Court, both the Hon'ble Benches have fundamentally focussed their attention on a single purpose, i.e. to facilitate the investigation into the public scam.

45) Evidence of the single purpose (*supra*) can be gathered from the fact that while the Hon'ble Division Bench appointed the Bag Committee to conduct a detailed enquiry, the Hon'ble Single Bench directed the CBI to carry out the investigation. It requires no repetition that the collection of facts both by the Bag Committee and the CBI supplement each other, to be ultimately placed for adjudication.

46) In the context of investigation it would be also relevant to point out that the facts showing appointment of unsuccessful candidates raises the shadow of a money trail in the public scam. The Hon'ble Single Bench would be well within its rights to direct investigation of such money trail by any independent agency of its choice.

47) This Court also does not find that the Hon'ble Single Bench erred in appointing the CBI to investigate the public scam inasmuch as no State agency could be appointed in a scenario involving high ranking Officials, including a senior State Minister.

48) Further to the above, this Court refers to the pronouncement of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Vineet Narain and ors. Vs. Union of India and another, 1998 (1) SCC 226 at paragraphs 50, 55 and 56* which read as follows:-

> *"50. There is another aspect of rule of law which is of equal significance. Unless a proper investigation is made and it is followed by an equally proper prosecution, the effort made would not bear fruition. The recent experience in the field of prosecution s also discouraging. To emphasise this point, some reference has*

to be made to a large number of prosecution launched as a result of monitoring by the court in this matter which have resulted in discharge of the accused at the threshold. It took several years for the CBI to commence investigation and that too as a result of the monitoring by this Court. It is not as if the CBI, on conclusion of the investigation, formed the opinion that no case was made out for prosecution so that the earlier inaction may have been justified. The CBI did file numerous charge-sheets which indicated that in its view a prima facie case for prosecution had been made out. This alone is sufficient to indicate that the earlier inaction was unjustified. However, discharge of the accused on filing of the charge-sheet indicates, irrespective of the ultimate outcome of the matters pending in the higher courts, that the trial court at least was not satisfied that a prima facie case was made out by the investigation. These facts are sufficient to indicate that either the investigation or the prosecution or both were lacking. A similar result of discharge of the accused in such a large number of cases where charge-sheets has been filed by the CBI is not consistent with any other inference. The need for a strong and competent prosecution machinery and not merely a fair and competent investigation by the CBI can hardly be over emphasised. This is the occasion for us to take the view that a suitable machinery for prosecution of the cases filed in court by the CBI is also essential to ensure discharge of its full responsibility by the CBI. Unless a competent prosecution follows a fair and competent investigation, the exercise in the ultimate analysis would be futile. Investigation without improving the prosecution machinery is of no practical significance. We would, therefore, consider the aspect of prosecution also in the formulation of the guidelines.

55. These principles of public life are of general application in every democracy and one is expected to bear them in mind while scrutinising the conduct of every holder of a public office. It is trite that the holders of public offices are entrusted with certain powers to be exercised in public interest alone and, therefore, the office is held by them in trust for the people. Any deviation from the path of rectitude by any of them amounts to a breach of trust and must be severely dealt with instead of being pushed under the carpet. If the conduct amounts to an offence, it must be promptly investigated and the offender against whom a prima facie case is made out should be prosecuted expeditiously so that the majesty of law is upheld and the rule of law vindicated. It is duty of the judiciary to enforce the rule of law and, therefore, to guard against erosion of the rule of law.

56. The adverse impact of lack of probity in public life leading to a high degree of corruption is manifold. It also has adverse effect on foreign investment and funding from the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank who have warned that future aid to under-developed countries may be subject to the requisite steps being taken to eradicate corruption, which prevents international aid from reaching those for whom it is meant. Increasing corruption has led to investigative journalism which is of value to

a free society. The need to highlight corruption in public life through the medium of public interest litigation invoking judicial review may be frequent in India but is not unknown in other countries: R v Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs."

49) Mr. Shaktinath Mukherjee, Learned Senior Counsel appearing for one of the members of the so-called *Super Committee* and Mr. Anindya Mitra, Learned Senior Counsel appearing for some other members also of the so-called *Super Committee,* have submitted that no role has been played by their clients in the process of appointments. It is submitted that there are no allegations against their clients and no pleadings in support of such allegations. It is submitted that they were not even made parties to the writ petitions.

Learned Senior Counsel submits that the direction upon the CBI to investigate their clients has resulted in a gross miscarriage of justice. The Hon'ble Single Bench has given a go-by to the principles of *Natural Justice* and, in this connection, Mr. Mukherjee relies upon the authority of *2003 8) SCC 40 at Paragraph 8.*

Relying on the authorities of *2011)6 SCC 570 at Paragraph 31* and *2016)1 SCC 743 at Paragraphs 17 to 21*, Mr. Mitra submits that it is trite that no order can be passed against a person, such as his client, who is not a party even to the writ petition. Such an Order would be a nullity or, in the alternate, the writ petition would be liable to fail on the ground of non-joinder of a necessary party.

50) *Apropo* the submissions placed by Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the members of the so-called *Super Committee,* this Court is of the view that the allegations of illegal appointments were against the activities of the so-called *Super Committee* as a whole. It is not

possible at this stage to separate the roles of individual members of the so-called *Super Committee*.

51) It would be premature at this stage to suggest or presume that the members of the so-called *Super Committee* were unaware of the reasons behind the constitution of the so-called *Super Committee* or were mere passive name leaders to its activities. It has not been suggested or, materials produced before this Court, to show that the so-called *Super Committee* ever ceased to function or stood dissolved. Neither any material has been shown to this Court to show that either Mr. Mukherjee or, Mr. Mitra' clients, had ceased to be members of the so-called *Super Committee*.

52) Ms. Koeli Bhattacharyya, Learned Counsel appearing for the Board, has submitted that the Board has simply acted like a post office, since the Board had to act on the basis of the recommendations of the SSC. It is submitted that the recommendations of the appointments which are presently under scrutiny by the Hon'ble Single Bench, have been made on a pendrive to the Board by the SSC.

53) The attention of this Court is also drawn to *Paragraphs 4 and 5* of the affidavit filed by one Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly, the President of the Ad-Hoc Committee of the Board. The said affidavit has been affirmed on 6th of April, 2022 and, at *Paragraphs 4 and 5* reads as follows:-

> "4. *I state the entry of the outsiders remains restricted and/or closed for outsiders from the month of December upto the month of March every year for receiving and sending confidential documents such relating to Madhyamik Examination. Outsiders and/or visitors are generally not allowed inside the office premises. The same restrictions were also followed for the Madhyamik Examination of 2020. From*

December, 2019 to March, 2020 outsiders and/or visitors were restricted and/or prevented from entering the office premises.

I further state that all the recommendations received from the School Service Commission after 04.5.2019 and the appointment letters generated by the Board on 17.3.2020, 19.3.2020 and 20.3.2020 on basis of such recommendations were handed over personally by myself to the Advisor of Central School Service Commission, Dr. Sandi Prasad Sinha who assured me that all appointment letters would be handed over to the candidates from the new building of Central School Service Commission situated beside Anandolok Hospital, Salt Lake, Kolkata.

5. *I state that as the whole office of the Board got involved and busy for the preparation of Madhyamik Examination particularly during the time period of January, 2020 upto March, 2020, it was not possible for the Board to arrange the process of distribution of appointment letters to the candidates. Moreover as the entry of the outsiders were restricted during those days in the premises of the Board's office the Advisor of Central School Service Commission, Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha took the responsibility of distributing the appointment letters to the candidates."*

54) However, to the mind of this Court, it would be relevant to read *Paragraphs 4* and *5* of the Affidavit of Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly (*supra*), in the light of the evidence collected by the Bag Committee and other independent agencies.

55) Arguing on behalf of the appointees following the recommendations of the so-called *Super Committee*, Mr. Partha Sarathi Bhattacharyya, Learned Senior Counsel, Mr. Chittopriyo Ghosh and Mr. Shubro Prakash Lahiri, Learned Counsel, submit that the order of refund of salaries as directed by the Hon'ble Single Bench is completely improper. It is submitted that the appointees are serving in several

schools after their names were recommended by the SSC. It is submitted that the panel of appointees is no longer alive having since expired, it is submitted that the writ petitions were filed before the Hon'ble Single Bench beyond the lifetime of the panel. It is submitted that the selection process having been concluded, no relief can be granted at this stage to the writ petitioners. Reliance is placed on the authorities reported in *1999) 2 SCC 7 at Paragraphs 4 to 9; 1996) 9 SCC 309 at Paragraphs 9, 10;* and *2010) 6 SCC 777 at Paragraphs 5 and 16.*

56) *Apropo* the submissions of the appointees as well as the submission of Learned Senior Counsel for the SSC that the writ petitions are delayed, the attention of this Court is drawn to order dated 03.03.2022 of the Hon'ble Single Bench. By the said Order the issue of delay was considered by the Hon'ble Single Bench and answered in the following terms:-

> *"03.03.2022*
>
> *WPA 13700 of 2021*
>
> *Setab Uddin & Ors.*
>
> *Vs.*
>
> *The State of West Bengal & Ors.*
>
> .....
>
> *5. Here I must record one objection of the learned advocate for the Commission yesterday, (his other objections will be recorded latter) as to the delay in preferring this application.*
>
> *6. I have found that the recommendation was done surreptitiously. Today it has come to light that no counselling was held before recommending Sk. Insan Ali in a school. If an authority acts surreptitiously in giving recommendation then the persons who are suffering has to act like a sleuth.*
>
> *7. We are fortunate, today in our country there is an Act, namely, Right to Information Act. The petitioners on 1st February, 2021*

filed one application under Right to Information Act to the school for knowing certain facts and the school gave its reply stating that the said Sk. Insan Ali joined the school on 1st December, 2020 under category OBC-A for classes IX and X.

8. So the petitioners for the first time came to learn specifically that the respondent no. 6, who was a belowranked candidate, was appointed in February, 2021. This writ application has been affirmed in August, 2021 and I cannot blame the petitioners for filing it after six months keeping it in mind that the unemployed youth are facing a mammoth authority namely West Bengal Central School Service Commission.

9. After considering that particular objection of the learned advocate for the Commission, Mr. Dutta, the court's observation is that there is no delay in filling the application in the facts and circumstances of the case."

Accordingly, this Court has no reason to take a view different from that of the Hon'ble Single Bench that upon disclosure of necessary information to the writ petitioners, the writ petitions came to be filed and hence cannot be said to be barred by delay.

57) Next, on the question of the direction passed by the Hon'ble Single Bench to stop the salaries of the present appointees on the ground that they were illegally appointed on the basis of recommendations by the so-called *Super Committee*, this Court reiterates the salutary legal principle that fraud vitiates all action. This Court finds that *prima facie* the facts indicate that fraud has been committed in the appointments. The State has also accepted such position, however choosing to describe the fraud as a *mistake*. The State has also undertaken to have the matter investigated by a SIT.

The Bag Committee has, *inter alia*, held in its Reports that the beneficiaries of the illegal appointments knew of the illegality since

they knew that they were either one or, a combination of the following, being respectively unsuccessful, not empanelled, not called for counselling or, plainly unmeritorious.

58) For each and all of the above reasons, this Court also finds that the appointees to public posts under the SSC or, in other words, the beneficiaries of the scam, could not have been appointed without participating in the scam with full knowledge thereof. In the language of Sherlock Holmes it would be *elementary* not to presume that the appointees secured appointments upon payment of illegal gratification. The appointments being fraudulently procured and such is *prima facie* evident from the two reports of the Bag Committee and also noted in detail by the Hon'ble Single Bench *vide* its Orders dated 28.02.2022, 03.03.2022, 07.03.2022, 31.03.2022 among others.

It is a matter of shame that such abundant facts have emerged in the records of the Hon'ble Court and from the Bag Committee, whose integrity has not been impeached, *prima facie* establishing the role of white collared individuals in charge of Education in letting down a generation of teachers and the taught.

59) Since it is trite in law that fraud undoes all action taken, the fraudulently appointed candidates to all three categories of posts are not entitled to continue in service, far less seeking any modification of the Order of the Hon'ble Single Bench stopping their salaries. Since such appointees were not regular appointees, their service having been obtained by practising fraud, the State is well within its rights to seek refund of salaries already paid subject to directions of the Hon'ble Single Bench. Furthermore, no substantive defence could be

presented by the appellants to rebut the invalidity of the Order dated 1st November, 2019 of the School Education Department appointing the so-called *Super Committee*. As a consequence thereof all appointments made on the basis of recommendations of the so-called *Super Committee* are deemed to be invalid *ab initio*.

60) For the above reasons this Court holds as follows:-

A. The Reports of the Bag Committee are *prima facie* accepted and be now placed before the Hon'ble Single Bench.

B. The orders impugned of the Hon'ble Single Bench require no intervention.

C. The Hon'ble Single Bench shall be entitled to direct investigation and collection of further facts, including any money trail, as considered necessary.

D. The Hon'ble Single Bench will extend the opportunity to be heard to the appellants at a stage, at a time and on factors considered appropriate.

This Court now accepts the resignation tendered by the Chairman of the Bag Committee, Justice R. K. Bag (Rtd.). Consequently the Committee stands dissolved and its members discharged of their responsibilities for the present.

Let the Reports of the Bag Committee produced in Court at the hearing be now handed over to the Learned Registrar General High Court at Calcutta, for placing the same before the Hon'ble Single Bench.

MAT 490 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022, CAN 2 OF 2022 WITH MAT 173 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT

181 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 189 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 192 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 199 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 200 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 241 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 251 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 272 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 295 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 300 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 308 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 317 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 349 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 439 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 474 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022, CAN 2 OF 2022, CAN 3 OF 2022 WITH MAT 481 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 491 OF 2022 WITH MAT 499 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 500 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 502 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 503 OF 2022 WITH MAT 505 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 507 OF 2022 WITH MAT 508 OF 2022 WITH MAT 509 OF 2022 WITH MAT 520 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 521 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 522 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 531 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 532 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022, CAN 2 OF 2022 WITH MAT 535 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 577 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 578 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 579 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022

WITH MAT 580 OF 2022 WITH CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 581 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 582 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 583 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 584 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 WITH MAT 585 OF 2022 WITH IA NO: CAN 1 OF 2022 stand accordingly **disposed of**.

Parties shall be entitled to act on the basis of a server copy of the Judgment and Order placed on the official website of the Court.

Urgent Xerox certified photocopies of this Judgment, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of the requisite formalities.

I agree.

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.) **(Subrata Talukdar, J.)**

Later:-

Stay of operation of the order is prayed for by Mr. Anirban Ray, Learned Government Pleader, appearing for the State/appellants.

Prayer for stay is considered and refused.

I agree.

(Ananda Kumar Mukherjee, J.) **(Subrata Talukdar, J.)**

ANNEXURE P-9

ITEM NO.35 + 61 + 62 COURT NO.13 SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 26016/2023

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-05-2022 in MAT No. 535/2022 passed by the High Court At Calcutta)

SANJU GHOSH & ORS. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAXMI TUNGA & ORS. Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.134892/2023-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No.134893/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.134891/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE PETITION (SLP/TP/WP/..))

with

Diary no. 26005 of 2023
(for c/delay in filing and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment)

Diary No. 26063 of 2023
(for c/delay in filing and exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment)

Date : 24-07-2023 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.K. MAHESHWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chittapriya Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Anshula Laroiyar, Adv.
Mr. Soumya Dutta, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Kunal Chatterjee, Adv.
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Kshitij Singh, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shreyas Awasthi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Permission to file Special Leave Petition in Diary No. 26016 of 2023 is granted.

Delay condoned.

Issue notice in all the Special Leave Petitions, returnable in six weeks.

Notice is accepted by Mr. Kunal Chatterjee, learned Advocate-on-Record for the respective respondents and Ms. Astha Sharma, learned Advocate-on-Record appearing for the State of West Bengal.

Counter affidavit be filed in the meantime.

Sh. Shyam Divan, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners, has fairly stated across the bar that from March, 2022, they have not been paid salaries, but considering the orders passed in SLP (C) D. No. 38262 of 2022 dated 25.11.2022, SLP (C) Nos. 1024-1025 of 2023 dated 24.03.2023, SLP (C) Nos. 4078-4079 of 2023 dated 03.03.2023, 20.03.2023 and 18.07.2023 and 20.03.2023, Civil Appeal Nos. 2419-2420 of 2023(& batch) dated 12.04.2023 and 26.04.2023, we direct that operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed until further orders.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(VIRENDER SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER

 ANNEXURE P- 10

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SLP (CIVIL) NO(S). 16325-16326 OF 2022

DR. MANIK BHATTACHARYA .....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

RAMESH MALIK AND OTHERS .....RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

SLP (CIVIL) NO(S).17649-17650/2022

SLP (CIVIL) NO.17412/2022

SLP (CIVIL) NO. 17137/2022

SLP (CIVIL) NO(S). 17044-17045/2022

SLP (CIVIL) NO.17208-17209/2022

SLP (CIVIL) NO.17756/2022

O R D E R

1. The present set of petitions except SLP(C)Nos.17649-17650/2022 arises out of a judgment of a Division Bench of the High Court at Calcutta delivered on 2nd September 2022,

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by NIRMALA NEGI
Date: 2022.10.18 18:35:33 IST
Reason:

sustaining, in substance a set of orders passed by a Single Judge directing investigation by the CBI into the allegations of irregularities in the recruitment process of Assistant Primary Teachers. Such recruitment took place through the Teachers Eligibility Test (TET) examination 2014 which was held in the year 2015. A slew of other directions has also been issued by the Single Judge and we shall refer to them to the extent necessary later in this order. Altogether 23 lakh candidates participated in the selection process for filling up approximately 43,000 vacancies and about 40,000 candidates were appointed. SLP(C)Nos.17649-17650/2022 has been filed by the petitioner in SLP(C)Nos.16325-16326 of 2022 directly assailing the order of the Single Judge passed on 27th September, 2022 (in WPA No.2005 of 2022 and WPA No.15010 of 2022) by which the Central Bureau of Investigation (CBI) was directed to register a case and take certain steps in relation to OMR sheets of the candidates who took part in the said examination.

2. The Division Bench considered in the impugned judgment/ orders passed in two writ petitions brought by unsuccessful candidates. In the first writ petition (registered

as WP No. 7907 of 2019) applicants were Ramesh Malik and eight other aspirants for the said posts and this writ petition was filed in the year 2019. The petitioners in this proceeding sought cancellation of appointment of the private respondent impleaded therein, inter-alia, on the ground that appointments were made through corrupt process and not on the basis of merit and performance of the successful candidates. In the other writ petition filed by one Soumen Nandy, registered as WPA No. 9979 of 2022, complaint was non-disclosure of certain informations with regard to the 68 candidates pertaining to their answer scripts. These were in OMR sheets. From the prayers of the petitioner in the said writ application, a copy of which has been annexed to SLP (C) No. 17137 of 2022, we do not find particulars of the information he has asked for. In both the writ petitions, however, Court monitored CBI investigation had been asked for.

3. There are also certain other writ petitions filed questioning legality of the recruitment process, but orders passed in these proceedings do not appear to have been appealed against before the Division Bench in the judgment

which has been assailed before us. In the judgment impugned in this batch of proceedings, the Division Bench dealt with a set of orders passed on 13th June, 15th June, 17th June, 20th June and 21st June, 2022. The next series of orders, as we find from the materials available before us, were passed on 19th July, 20th July, 22nd July, 25th July, 29th July and 1st September 2022. These orders were not under appeal before the Division Bench but they are linked with the first set of orders.

4. The orders and directions passed by the Single Judge in the month of June can be categorized under three heads:-

(i) Investigation by Central Bureau of Investigation

Direction has been issued by the Single Judge on CBI to start investigation by registering a case against the Board and start interrogating the President of the Board of primary education, Dr.Manik Bhattacharya as also the Secretary of the said Board. Said Manik Bhattacharya is the petitioner of SLP(C) Nos. 16325-16326 of 2022 and SLP(C)Nos.17649-17650 of 2022. A Special

Investigation Team (SIT) has also been directed to be constituted by the CBI for investigation. The SIT constitutes of senior officers from the CBI.

(ii) Invalidation of appointment of 269 candidates

The Single Judge has also, by the order passed on 13th June 2022, invalidated appointment given to 269 candidates (these candidates were not parties in either of the two writ petitions). 58 of these candidates have approached us with SLP (C) No. 17412 of 2022. The background in which this order was passed is that after publication of the regular panel of successful candidates, a second or additional panel was also published. In this panel, the 269 candidates were found to be successful. The stand of the Board on this count is that there was agitation and several representations by several unsuccessful candidates over the selection process. An expert committee was formed which found the model answer in respect of one question actually had two correct answers. Thus, that question had two

correct answers but the model answer had ignored the other one. One mark was recommended to be added to all the candidates who had opted for the other correct answer. The Single Judge found this exercise was done without any public announcement and there was arbitrary selection of candidates for undue favour.

(iii) Removal of the Board President

The President of the Board, Dr. Manik Bhattacharya was added as party respondent in WPA No.7907 of 2019. He, alongwith Dr. Ratna Chakraborty Bagchi (who was also added as a party respondent) was directed to cooperate with the CBI. The Single Judge in the order passed on 20th June 2022 opined that the President of the Board was responsible for misleading the Court and made dishonest and unscrupulous attempt in producing documents. In the same order, the Court removed Dr. Manik Bhattacharya from the post of President of the West Bengal Board of Primary Education forthwith and

directed the State Government to appoint any other fit person as President of the Board. We are apprised in course of hearing that the State Government has already removed Dr. Manik Bhattacharya from the post of President of the Board and engaged another person as President thereof. Dr. Manik Bhattacharya was also directed to file affidavits of assets of moveable and immovable assets of his own as also in respect of his wife, son and daughter in law by two weeks. It has transpired in course of hearing that such exercise has also been undertaken. In the judgment under appeal certain adverse comments were also made against Dr. Manik Bhattacharya.

5. The Division Bench in the operative part of the judgment held:-

> *"A. The forensic investigation directed to be handled by the CBI deserves no interference.*
>
> *B. The Hon'ble Single Bench shall be also entitled to monitor investigation into any money trail, as considered necessary.*
>
> *C. The entire investigation shall be Court Monitored and the Hon'ble Single Bench shall be entitled to call for periodic reports from the investigation agencies.*

D. The disparaging remarks reflected in the Orders impugned of Hon'ble Single Bench shall be treated to be Obiter at this stage. However, at the same time, this Court does not interfere with the Order of the Hon'ble Singe Bench removing MB from his official position, in view of the visible proximity of MB to the evidence so far before the Court and also directed to be marshalled in the forensic investigation.

E. The 269 terminated candidates cannot severally or jointly claim at this stage a prior right to be heard considering the prima facie materials which point to a fraudulent exercise connected to their appointments and, without also completely eliminating their several or joint complicit roles, if any, in abetting the fraud."

(quoted verbatim from the paperbook)

6. Special leave petitions have been filed by, apart from Dr. Manik Bhattacharya, the State of West Bengal SLP (C)Nos.17208-17209 of 2022, West Bengal Board of Primary Education SLP (C) No.17044-17045, SLP (C) No.17137 of 2022 and SLP (C) No.17756 of 2022. The Main case, so far as Dr. Manik Bhattacharya is concerned, is that his removal could not be directed by the Board as the statutory provisions under Section 9 of the Primary Education Act, 1973 vests such power with the State Government only. It has been submitted on his behalf that he was never given adequate opportunity of hearing and in the writ petitions also there was no specific allegation against him in any event. It is argued that he ought to have been given opportunity of filing

affidavit before such drastic action was ordered against him. It has also been asserted that drastic orders were being passed directing the course of investigation and the nature of the orders passed projected him as guilty before he had the opportunity to give his side of the case.

7. All the petitioners have questioned legality of the orders directing investigation to the CBI by the Single Judge. In this regard reliance has been placed on the case of **State of West Bengal and Others vs. Sampat Lal and Others** [(1985) 1 SCC 317]:-

> *"15. As already pointed out, power vests in the police authorities of the State Government for conducting investigation into allegations relating to an offence. However, the stand taken by the respondents was that the State Government and the police authorities had not acted properly and the investigation was not being conducted as required by law. As appears from the order of June 7, 1983, Borooah, J., directed notice to issue to the State of West Bengal as also to the other authorities concerned to show cause against the issue of a writ. No hearing was, however, afforded to the State Government or its officers when direction to appoint the Special Officer in whom power of inquiry was to be vested, was made. There could be no scope for appointing a Special Officer unless the statutory channel of investigation was found not to have functioned properly. There was no basis at that stage to assume that the contents of the letters as also the facts stated in the columns of the newspaper had not been contradicted. It was the State Government or its officers who alone could have authoritatively indicated the facts showing whether the allegations contained in the letters or the newspaper reports*

were true and if so, to what extent, or how the investigation was being carried on and what stage it had reached so as to enable the Court to come to a prima facie conclusion that the State Government and the police authorities were not discharging properly their statutory obligation to carry out an investigation. But when no notice was given to the State Government and no opportunity was offered to them, it is difficult to see how an ex parte order could be made on such an assumption. When we say this, we do not wish to be understood to say that in no case an ex parte order can be made by the Court. If the facts stated in the letter or the writ petition are credible and there is such urgency that the ends of justice might be defeated by not making an ex parte order or giving of notice without ex parte order might lead to aggravation of oppression or exploitation or removal or elimination of evidence, the Court would certainly be justified in making an ex parte order. But here there were no such circumstances at all and the Court could have very well issued notice to the respondents and tried to find out whether there was any necessity for directing the appointment of DIG, CBI to act as a Special Officer and requiring the police authorities of the State to extend all possible help as may be required by him. We are of the view that Borooah, J., should have issued notice to the State Government, afforded a reasonable opportunity to it and its officers who were already in seisin of the investigation to make a report in regard to the action taken by them and after making an overall judicial assessment of the situation, the need for appointing a Special Officer should have been considered.

16. *The appointment of a Special Officer with a direction to inquire into the commission of an offence can only be on the basis that there has not been a proper investigation. There is a well-defined hierarchical administrative set-up of the police in the State of West Bengal as in all other States and to have created a new channel of inquiry or investigation is likely to create an impression that everything is not well with the statutory agency and it is likely to cast a stigma on the regular police hierarchy. We are inclined to agree with Mr Chatterjee for the appellant that in the facts and circumstances of the case and keeping the nature of*

the order made in view, the direction to appoint a Special Officer with powers to inquire should not have been made until the appellants had been given a hearing and the Court had the papers of investigation laid before it for being prima facie satisfied that the investigation had either not been proper or adequate."

On the same point the cases of **State of West Bengal and Others vs. Committee for Protection of Democratic Rights, West Bengal and Others** [(2010) 3 SCC 571], **Sakiri Vasu vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others** [(2008) 2 SCC 409] and **Kunga Nima Lepcha and Others vs. State of Sikkim and Others** [(2010) 4 SCC 513] were also referred to by the learned counsel for the parties.

8. As regards the 269 candidates, whose appointments were directed to be dismissed by the Single Judge, the reasoning of the Division Bench can be found in sub-paragraph E of the operative part of the order which we have quoted above. It has been pointed out by the learned senior counsel representing the petitioners that they were serving for a period beyond four years and that they had acquired permanent status in the said posts. In such circumstances, it is their submission that in a case they were not even

impleaded as parties,. their abrupt termination from service would be unsustainable in law.

9. Petitioners have asked us to set aside investigation by the CBI and also revoke all termination orders as according to them, these orders were passed without adhering to the basic requirement of procedural fairness.

10. Mr. S. V. Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General has appeared on behalf of the CBI and his submission is that his clients are in the process of uncovering a recruitment scam of extraordinary dimension and the investigation at this stage prima-facie reveals exchange of monetary considerations for giving appointments. He has argued that investigation ought to be allowed to continue under these circumstances. The Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the writ petitioners/respondents in effect echoes the submission of the CBI to sustain their main plea that the investigation ought to go on. Further argument of the respondents/writ petitioners is that having regard to the nature of the allegations and the materials which have surfaced during hearing of the case investigation ought not to

be scuttled at this stage. It has been emphasised that the writ petitioners represent all the genuine candidates and all they want is recruitment in a fair and transparent manner.

11. In our opinion, under normal circumstances, it would not be appropriate to straightaway direct CBI investigation in a recruitment related controversy unless, of course the allegations are so outrageous and the perpetrators of the alleged offences are so powerful that investigation by the State police would be ineffectual. The reasons given by the learned Single Judge in directing investigation by the CBI at such an early stage of the proceeding may fall short of the standards laid down in the case of **Sampat Lal** (supra). But considering the submission of learned counsel for the CBI and the fact that investigation by the said agency has substantially progressed, we do not want to stall such investigation at this stage and wait to see if the State Police can carry on the same investigation impartially. We accordingly decline the plea of the petitioners to stay that part of the order impugned, by which continuance of the investigation by the CBI has been directed. Before we issue

further order in this matter, we direct the CBI to file a comprehensive report as regards the scope and nature of illegalities they have found in the subject-recruitment process.

12. Now, we shall turn to that part of the order by which cancellation of appointment of 269 candidates have been effected. Such cancellation has not been interfered with by the Division Bench. The Division Bench has referred to prima-facie materials which point to a fraudulent exercise connected with their appointments. On behalf of the respondents, however, no material has been shown to us through which these candidates' direct complicity in the process of appointment has been shown. What weighed with the learned Single Judge in directing their termination in a case where they were not even the parties appears to be materials that was revealed in response to orders of the Court. Such orders reflect some kind of investigative role that was being undertaken by the Court itself in obtaining documents from the recruiting bodies. It was also not a case the respective appointments were of very recent origin.

13. The duty of the judiciary to follow the principles of natural justice has been highlighted in the case of **Divine Retreat Centre vs. State of Kerala and Others** [(2008) 3 SCC 542]. In this case it has been held:

> *"**51.** The order directing the investigation on the basis of such vague and indefinite allegations undoubtedly is in the teeth of principles of natural justice. It was, however, submitted that the accused gets a right of hearing only after submission of the charge-sheet, before a charge is framed or the accused is discharged vide Sections 227 and 228 and 239 and 240 CrPC. The appellant is not an accused and, therefore, it was not entitled for any notice from the High Court before passing of the impugned order. We are concerned with the question as to whether the High Court could have passed a judicial order directing investigation against the appellant and its activities without providing an opportunity of being heard to it. The case on hand is a case where the criminal law is directed to be set in motion on the basis of the allegations made in anonymous petition filed in the High Court. **No judicial order can ever be passed by any court without providing a reasonable opportunity of being heard to the person likely to be affected by such order and particularly when such order results in drastic consequences of affecting one's own reputation.** In our view, the impugned order of the High Court directing enquiry and investigation into allegations in respect of which not even any complaint/information has been lodged with the police is violative of principles of natural justice.*
>
> ***52.** It is unnecessary to go into the question as to whether the Divine Retreat Centre is not a "person" contemplated by Article 21 of the Constitution and express any opinion as to whether any right guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution has been infringed. Suffice it to note that, the Director of the*

appellant institution has been impleaded as a party respondent in the criminal petition and the whole of the allegations in the anonymous petition are levelled against the appellant and in such a situation it was imperative for the High Court to put the appellant on notice before passing the impugned order."

(emphasis supplied by us)

14. We are of the opinion that the part of the order of the Single Judge by which appointment of 269 candidates was terminated ought to be stayed and they also should be impleaded as party respondents in WPA No.7907 of 2019. Upon giving them opportunity of filing affidavits and hearing them, the Single Judge shall take appropriate decision, depending on the defence that may be taken by those candidates in the writ petition. In the event the Single Judge wants the investigation as regards their appointment to be conducted through the SIT already formed, he may direct so.

15. As regards the case of President of the Board, our view is that the order of his removal by the High Court was flawed, not fulfilling the requirement of procedural fairness that is necessary to direct removal of a person from a public post. We are not observing here that the High Court cannot at all direct removal of any person from a public post. But

ordinarily, such a course shall be taken in a *quo warranto* proceeding. Even otherwise, if the Court finds that an incumbent has appropriated a public post through deceit, the Court may hold him to be unfit for the post. But in this case the Court found him to be responsible for misinformation and for relying on questionable documents before the Court. In a case like this he should have been given appropriate opportunity to defend his position. At best, the Court could have directed him, pending his explanation, not to discharge his duties in the said post. The order of the Single Judge directing his removal accordingly shall stand stayed alongwith the order of the Division Bench which has confirmed the removal order. But at this stage, we are not directing his reinstatement in the same post. We have been apprised that the State Government itself has already engaged someone as the President of the Board. We accordingly hold that the present incumbent to the post of President, West Bengal Board of Primary Education shall continue to remain in the said post till final outcome of the writ petition before the Single Judge in which the directions of the said petitioner's removal was passed. Dr. Manik

Bhattacharya shall be entitled to file affidavits to the writ petitions as also any additional affidavit taken out in connection with the said writ petitions which may contain allegations against him. The Single Judge shall take decision on this aspect as also on other points which may be urged in the pending writ petitions. Till then, the present incumbent to the post of President, West Bengal Board of Primary Education shall continue to discharge his duties in the said post and Dr. Manik Bhattacharya's position shall be dependent upon the outcome of the pending writ petition.

16. We accordingly direct:-

(a). The CBI under the SIT shall continue their investigation as directed by the Single Judge and file a comprehensive report before this Court within a period of four weeks as regards progress of the investigation.

(b) (i). The order passed directing cancellation of 269 candidates by the Single Judge on 13th June 2022 and the part of the order of the Division Bench confirming that order shall stand stayed and remain in abeyance.

(ii). Each of these 269 individuals are directed to be added as a party respondent in WPA No.7907 of 2019 and they shall be entitled to file affidavits to defend their appointment to the said posts, if so advised. The appointing authority will proceed in accordance with law and take appropriate decision after the writ court adjudicates on legality of their appointments. This direction would be subject to any order that may be passed by this Court at a subsequent stage of this proceeding.

(c) (i). The order removing Dr. Manik Bhattacharya passed by the Single Judge and confirmed by the Division Bench shall remain stayed until further order of this Court. We, however, are not directing his reinstatement for the reason already disclosed in earlier part of this order. Dr. Manik Bhattacharya shall be entitled to defend his position in the writ petition by filing affidavits in respect of allegations made against him.

(ii). We have protected Dr. Manik Bhattacharya from any coercive steps that may have been taken by the CBI in course of the investigation. There was no allegation from the CBI in course of hearing of these matters that he was not cooperating with the investigation. It was, however, mentioned before us on 12th October 2022 that he has been arrested by the Enforcement Directorate. So far as CBI is concerned, let the order protecting him from coercive steps continue until further order.

17. Notice be issued in SLP(C)No.17756 of 2022.

Let counter-affidavits be filed within two weeks. Rejoinder thereto within one week thereafter. List the matters after four weeks.

..... **J.**
(ANIRUDDHA BOSE)

..... **J.**
(VIKRAM NATH)

NEW DELHI;
18th October 2022

ANNEXURE-P11

Court No. 17

23.11.2022
(AD 1)

(S. Banerjee)

CAN 6 of 2022

WPA 12266 of 2021

Sandeep Prasad & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arka Nandi
Ms. Dipa Acharya
Mr. Sutirtha Nayek
Ms. Shalini Ghosh

... for petitioners

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey

... for WBCSSC

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharya

... for WBBSE

Mr. Billwadal Bhattacharya, DSGI
Mr. Arijit Majumdar

... for CBI

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Joydip Banerjee

... for the State

Re: CAN 6 of 2022

1. Dr. Patra, learned advocate appearing for the West Bengal Central School Service Commission, who has filed this application, has submitted on the basis of written instruction given to him by his client, that his client the West Bengal Central School Service Commission wants to withdraw this

application and does not want to press this application.

In reply to a question whether there was any instruction given to him to file such an application Dr. Patra has submitted that he has to check it whether it is there and in his Whatsapp or in his email.

Therefore, he is not ready now to give answer to this question whether any such instruction was given to him by his client to file this application.

2. This application is one very ingenious effort to come before this court on the part of the applicant/Commission to protect the service of the persons who were appointed illegally. Otherwise the submissions made in paragraph 6 would not have been made. It has been stated inter alia in paragraph 6 that supernumerary posts be also created to accommodate those whose appointments are subsequently found to be invalid.

3. Question is whose appointments were subsequently (meaning thereby subsequent to their appointment) found to be invalid. It is none but the persons who were appointed illegally. Therefore, it has been submitted

before this court by the applicant that the persons who have been given illegal appointments be accommodated by creating supernumerary posts. I do not know whose brainchild is this? Who is trying to protect the illegally appointed persons? What is their interest in protecting the illegal appointments? Under which provision of law the illegal appointees can be given appointment by creating supernumerary posts?

4. Mr. Bhattacharya, learned advocate for the petitioners, has submitted that the illegal appointees are to be adjusted against the supernumerary posts in this way which is wholly illegal.

5. I prima facie find this is a ***Benami*** application putting the Commission in front of this court while some other players are pulling the strings. I will not stop myself from taking judicial note of some statements made by some important persons in the same line that the proposal made in paragraph 6 of this application will result in no loss of jobs and enable such appointees to have means of livelihood. This is a shameless coinage of words to say in such type of application the

"means of livelihood" of illegally appointed persons.

6. I wanted to know from Dr. Patra whether he drafted this application or not. He has submitted that he has the right not to reply to such questions as a counsel of his client and he has not given any such reply. I presume that he had no such instruction to draft and file such an application. I am not going to the privilege communication between a client and his learned advocate but now this is not a privilege communication because an application has already been filed.

This is a very important question. I have to go to the root of the matter in view of such shameless attempt to protect the service of the illegal appointees.

7. The Commission has not started counseling as directed by this court on 21st September, 2022. My question is at whose instance it was not done? Dr. Patra on behalf of the Commission has submitted that because of the entry of CBI into the scene and as the CBI has stated that even some waitlisted candidates' OMR sheets have been manipulated, the Commission did not take any risk to start the counseling.

8. I do not find that this is a proper and acceptable submission. The CBI is making its investigation and some persons connected to the Commission (three officers) at the material point of time are already in custody and facing questions from the investigating agency.

This matter cannot be allowed to be withdrawn at this stage unless this court finds out the persons who are pulling the strings from behind such application.

I clearly disbelieve that the Commission has on its own filed such an application. I also have reason to believe that the Commission has only been used to file such an application and to know this I direct the Secretary of the Commission to come up with the files which were initiated for filing of such an application (CAN 6 of 2022 in WPA 12266 of 2021). Such files are to be honestly produced before this court at 3 p.m. today; meaning thereby creating no subsequent document or making interpolation which, I believe that the Chairman of the Commission whose image is bright in this court, will not allow to do so. The files will be perused by this court.

Place this matter at 3 p.m. today again when I want to see the files.

9. Dr. Patra is directed to commentate the gist of the order immediately by whatever means possible to the Chairman of the Commission so that the files can be produced by the Secretary of the Commission. Though I am not requesting the Chairman to come before this court, if he wishes he can be personally present before the Court to see the proceeding which will start at 3 p.m. today.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

ANNEXURE-P 12

Court No. 17

23.11.2022
(AD 1)
(at 3 p.m.)
(S. Banerjee)

CAN 6 of 2022

WPA 12266 of 2021

Sandeep Prasad & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arka Nandi
Ms. Dipa Acharya
Mr. Sutirtha Nayek
Ms. Shalini Ghosh

... for petitioners

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey

... for WBCSSC

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharya

... for WBBSE

Mr. Billwadal Bhattacharya, DSGI
Mr. Arijit Majumdar

... for CBI

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Sirsanya Bandyopadhyay
Mr. Joydip Banerjee

... for the State

Re: CAN 6 of 2022

I directed the West Bengal Central School Service Commission in the morning to produce the files containing instructions to their learned advocates to file an application which has taken the form of CAN 6 of 2022.

The files have been produced before me. I have seen all the files and I do not find any such instruction was given to the learned advocates of the Commission to file such an application where in paragraph 6 it is clear, some submissions have been made before this court of justice and a Constitutional Court to pass some order whereby the illegally appointed persons' services would be retained by creating supernumerary posts and the genuine persons' appointments will also not be disturbed. Paragraph 6 of the said application is required to be quoted here:

> "It is thus submitted that if any other illegality concerning the appointment of Group-D staff is identified, it is humbly proposed that apart from giving recommendations and appointment to genuine candidates as observed by this Hon'ble Court by its order dated 21 September 2022, supernumerary posts be also created to accommodate those whose appointments are subsequently found to be invalid. Such proposal will result in no loss of jobs and enable such appointees to have means of livelihood. However, in the event of such proposal being accepted, appropriate directions be given that such persons do not have seniority over those eligible for appointment."

The usual practice of any statutory authority or any Governmental authority is to take a decision to file any application or appeal in a court of law and then to

communicate it to the learned advocate of its choice. From the files of the Commission I do not find any such instruction. Therefore, I hold that it was not an application which has been made at the instance of the Commission.

Here Mr. Bhattacharya, learned senior counsel for the petitioners, has submitted that there is no structured instruction in the files that the Commission would file such an application and it is required to be known at whose instruction or at whose direction or at whose beckoning such an application was filed.

In my order passed before recess I made it clear that though I am not requesting the Chairman to appear personally but he may appear before this court to participate in the proceeding and he has come. The Chairman has submitted that when such an application has been made on behalf of the Commission, the responsibility is upon him and he wants to take responsibility of such an application. But as I have already held that this is a **Benami** application putting the Commission in front of the court some other persons are pulling the strings, I hold that the Chairman's responsible statement of taking responsibility of this application is not acceptable to the court. We have to find it out who took the decision at all.

Mr. Vaisya and Mr. Bandyopadhyay, learned advocates representing the State have submitted that paragraph 6 of this application is contrary to the decision of the Government for creating supernumerary posts which is in its order dated 19th May, 2022. I am not adjudicating upon that order today. If such order comes to me, then I will have an occasion to take a decision thereon. Both of them have also prayed for some time to take instruction from their client, i.e., the Government of West Bengal. They have also shown a letter to the effect that paragraph 6 is contrary to the order passed by the Government dated 19th May, 2022. However, I direct Mr. Manish Jain, the Principal Secretary to the Government of West Bengal to appear personally tomorrow at 10:30 a.m. before this court to give reply to some questions to be put by this court as to the filing of such an application which is clearly not the brainchild of the Commission.

As before this court of justice and before this Constitutional Court an endeavor has been made by one **Benami** application to save the services of the illegally persons by creating supernumerary posts, while fighting the corruption this court will naturally want to know who is the brain behind it. At whose instance such an application for perpetuating the illegal appointments has been made.

For this purpose I direct the CBI to start investigation in connection with the cases already registered in this matter (being WPA 12266 of 2021) forthwith and they should find it out from where the application has originated. The CBI is to file a report as to the origin of the instruction or the decision for filing of such an application within a period of seven days before this court. I further direct CBI to take immediate steps from today evening itself to start the investigation to know whose brainchild is this, i.e., saving the illegally appointed persons. We must know it to burst out the racket of corruption. It is an organised crime which has been committed in respect of the school employees appointment where a large number of genuine candidates have not got job and they are roaming around the street and some persons got the job though it has been declared by this court in respect of some of the cases that those appointments are invalid and of no effect.

I direct the Commission to start the counseling for the posts in respect of which this court has declared the appointments are invalid and are of no effect in terms of the West Bengal Central School Service Rules by 15th December, 2022.

I also direct the Commission to publish the panel with breakup of marks, CD whereof has already been

given to the learned advocates for the petitioners to publish in their official website.

The files shown to me by the learned advocate for the Commission, Dr. Patra, are returned and handed over to him in the court room today.

List this matter tomorrow at 10:30 a.m. as a 'Specially fixed matter'.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

ANNEXURE P-13

ITEM NO.801 COURT NO.1 SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s).38262/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-11-2022 in MAT No. 1853/2022 passed by the High Court at Calcutta)

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANDEEP PRASAD & ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 25-11-2022 This petition was mentioned today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI

For Petitioner(s) **Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.(mentioned by)**
Mr. Amit Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. Udayaditya Banerjee, AOR

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1 Upon being mentioned, taken on board.

2 Dr Abhishek Manu Singhvi, senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, has adverted to Annexure P-4 to the Special Leave Petition which is an application filed by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission[1] before the High Court at Calcutta in CAN No 6 of 2022 in WPA No 12266 of 2021. Paragraphs 5 to 8 of the application are extracted below:

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by Sanjay Kumar
Date: 2022.11.25 13:49:18 IST
Reason:

> "5. The candidates who have been appointed, have been employed for the last 2 to 4 years and no complaints have been made against any of them in the performance of their duties.

1"Commission"

> 6. It is thus submitted that if any other illegality concerning the appointment of Group-D staff is identified, it is humbly proposed that apart from giving recommendations and appointment to genuine candidates as observed by this Hon'ble Court by its order dated 21 September 2022, supernumerary posts be also created to accommodate those whose appointments are subsequently found to be invalid. Such proposal will result in no loss of jobs and enable such appointees to have means of livelihood. However, in the event of such proposal being accepted, appropriate directions be given that such persons do not have seniority over those eligible for appointment.
>
> 7. However, it is humbly submitted that in the event the above proposal is not accepted, this Hon'ble Court may cancel such appointments as indicated by this Hon'ble Court earlier.
>
> 8. In the above facts, it is submitted that appropriate directions may be passed by this Hon'ble Court such that necessary steps are taken by the respondents, to enable the respondents to give effect to the appointment to such genuine/eligible candidates."

3 The reliefs which were sought in the application before the High Court were as follows:

> "a. Appropriate directions be given as stated in paragraph 6 above, on such terms and conditions as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper.
>
> b. In the alternative directions may be given as prayed for in paragraph 7 and 8 above."

4 On 23 November 2022, the Single Judge of the High Court held, *prima facie*, that the application filed by the Commission is a "benami application". The Single Judge proceeded to enquire of the counsel as to whether or not he has drafted the application. Though a request appears to have been made before the High Court to withdraw the application, the High Court declined to allow the withdrawal on the ground that it would enquire into "who are pulling the strings from behind such application". The proceedings were listed thereafter at 3 pm on the same day. At 3 pm on the same day, the files were produced before the

High Court in pursuance of its earlier directions at which point of time the Single Judge directed the CBI to commence an enquiry in regard to the source from which the application originated. The proceedings were thereafter listed on 24 November 2022 at 10.30 am on which date the Principal Secretary to the Government of West Bengal was directed to appear personally to answer some of the questions which the Single Judge intended to address since "the filing of such an application is clearly not the brain child of the Commission".

5 The orders of the Single Judge were called into question before the Division Bench in MAT 1852 of 2022 and MAT 1853 of 2022. The Division Bench dismissed the appeals.

6 This Court is apprised that the Single Judge is continuing to hear the proceedings.

7 Issue notice, returnable in three weeks.

8 Dasti, in addition, is permitted.

9 Pending further orders, the orders of the Single Judge dated 23 November 2022 (Annexures P-6 and P-7) and the order of the Division Bench dated 24 November 2022 shall remain stayed. No further consequential steps shall be taken in pursuance of the impugned orders of the Single Judge which have been affirmed by the Division Bench. The direction to the CBI to investigate at whose behest the interim application was filed before the Single Judge shall accordingly remain stayed.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ANNEXURE P-14

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 4078-4079/2023

ACHINTA KUMAR MONDAL & ORS. ETC. PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

LAXMI TUNGA & ORS. ETC. RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 2422/2023, SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 4637-4638/2023, 5811/2023, 7014/2023, CIVIL APPEAL NO(S).2419-2420/2023, 2421/2023, 2482/2023 SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 8262/2023, 7172/2023, DIARY NO(S). 18494/2023, DIARY NO(S). 19822/2023, SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S).12995/2023, 16111/2023, 16112/2023, 17765/2023, 18742/2023, 21416/2023, 23618/2023, 23708/2023 AND 20363-20364/2023.

O R D E R

1. The present set of proceedings arises out of controversy in selection/appointment of three categories of employees in different State funded schools in the State of West Bengal. These are (i) non-teaching staffs belonging to Groups 'C' and 'D', (ii) Assistant Teachers and Teachers of classes 9 and 10 and (iii) Assistant Teachers of classes 11 and 12. Recommendations were made by the West Bengal Central School Service Commission ("the Commission") in the years 2017-2018 for appointment to these posts. The recruitment

process for these posts was initiated in the year 2016. Several unsuccessful/wait-listed candidates who had participated in the said recruitment process had approached the High Court at Calcutta questioning sanctity of the selection process for these posts. These writ petitions were instituted in the years 2021-22 and the unsuccessful candidates questioned the recruitments on the ground of several irregularities.

2. Orders have been passed by the High Court from time to time cancelling the appointments of several such candidates on the ground that their appointments were made illegally by manipulating the evaluation process. The subject of the writ petitions before the High Court giving rise to the present proceedings before us falls broadly in two categories. Termination of services of some candidates were directed by the Court itself whereas in some cases, it was by the Commission invoking their power under Rule 17 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary School) Rules, 2016 and the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 and Rule 18 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for Appointment to the Post of Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009. The Commission had directd withdrawal of recommendation of some candidates subsequent to certain orders were passed by the High Court. Before us, however, Commission is taking a stand that they had taken the decision to invoke the "Withdrawal Rule" at the instance of the learned Single Judge of the High Court only. We

find it rather unusual that a statutory body is taking such a stand. In any event, invoking such "withdrawal of recommendation" power impacts the services of the appointed candidates and they have the right to question the power of the concerned authority to pass orders under the aforesaid provision.

3. The High Court had directed the Central Bureau of Investigation ("the CBI") to conduct investigation into the recruitment process and today before us, Mr. Raju, learned Additional Solicitor General has submitted that altogether four reports, which were all interim in nature, have been submitted. The investigation is yet to be concluded.

4. This Court has passed orders staying the termination of individual candidates, which were directed by the Court or in respect of whom recommendations were withdrawn. It has come to the notice of this Court that orders are being passed from time to time terminating the services of candidates who have already been appointed, resulting in generation of a large body of litigations. Before us today, altogether 21 petitions/appeals have been listed, out of which in two matters the petitioners/appellants allege contempt of orders of this Court. We shall deal with the contempt actions independently.

5. The main argument, so far as this batch of proceedings are concerned, has revolved around the admissibility of certain scanned copies of OMR Sheets. The High Court found substantial difference between the evaluation records lying with the authorities and the performance of the candidates reflected in these scanned OMR sheets. CBI claims to have recovered the pen-drive from an ex-

employee of the agency which was entrusted with the task of evaluation of the OMR Sheets. The employees, whose services are sought to be terminated question the authenticity of these scanned images and it is also their case that these materials are inadmissible in evidence, citing the provisions of Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. Another point urged before us is on the power of the educational authority to invoke the aforesaid Rules to withdraw their recommendations. These recommendations were made in the year 2017 onwards. Allegations of breach of the principles of natural justice has been made as the said Rules appear to have had been invoked without giving opportunity of hearing to the candidates whose appointments stood invaldiated in that process. Mr. Bhattacharya, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Rahim, learned counsel has emphasized that appointment of those, whose services were terminated, was by practicing fraud and in such a situation, no opportunity of hearing was necessary. It has also been argued before us that for withdrawing recommendations under the aforesaid Rules, prior opportunity of hearing to the candidates, who would be subjected to such withdrawal action, is not necessary. These arguments are contested by Mr. Rohtagi, Mr. Patwalia representing the appointed candidates. In some of the writ petitions, the vires of aforesaid Rules are also under challenge.

6. Civil Appeal Nos.2482/2023 and SLP(C)No.8262/2023 relate to controversy over termination of 842 Group "C" employees, mainly based on mismatch of data comparing the mirror images of OMR sheets which the CBI claims to have recovered. Authenticity of these electronically stored materials, however, is yet to be established.

SLP(C)Nos.7014, 4078-4079, 4637-4638 and 5811 of 2023 involve termination of services of 1911 Group "D" employees, again on the basis of data mismatch revealed from the content of the said images. SLP(C)No.12995/2023 arises out of proceeding initiated by certain serving assistant teachers in Class 9 and 10 level mainly to preclude these OMR sheets from being considered in these proceedings. Similar points are involved in SLP(C)No.12995/2023 and Civil Appeal No.2421/2023. A learned Single Judge of the High Court had directed posting of the OMR sheets of all 5500 candidates on website and certain ancillary directions were issued by an order passed on 07.07.2023 in WPA No.5406 of 2022, and legality of this order has been challenged in SLP(C)Nos.16111, 17765, 16112, 21416, 18742 and 20363-20364 of 2023. In SLP(C)Nos.7172/2023 and 23618/2023, the power of the Commission to invoke Rule 17 and the extent of exercise of such power have been questioned by the petitioners/appellants.

7. We have heard the submissions made by the learned senior counsel and learned additional solicitor general, appearing for the respective parties and are of the view that substantive hearing of all the proceedings which have generated this set of appeals/petitions before this Court ought to be conducted by the High Court only. We are apprised that all the writ petitions from which these proceedings arise, except WP No.2967/2023 are still pending before the High Court. We have briefly described the nature of orders being passed by the High Court at the interim stage earlier in this order.

8. In our opinion, piece-meal proceedings are not warranted in

relation to the disputes of this dimension and termination of service of candidates at the interim stage before final disposal of the writ petitions also ought to have been avoided having regard to the nature of the controversy involved in these proceedings. It was necessary for the High Court to examine admissibility of the OMR sheet images recovered by the CBI before placing full scale reliance on them in directing termination of services of the concerned employees. We are not suggesting that in cases of gross irregularities detected in appointment of certain individuals, termination at the interim stage is altogether impermissible. If some grave error in the appointment process can be demonstrated before the Court at the interim stage, services of such illegally appointed persons can be terminated at the interlocutory stage only. But in the present set of proceedings, at this stage the unsuccessful candidates have not been able to make out such outstanding case at the interim stage. Investigation by the CBI is yet to be completed. The argument of corrupting the original OMR sheets is yet to be supported by cogent evidence. In none of the orders impugned before us, these is no clear cut finding that there was distortion in OMR sheet evaluation.

9. The question of exercise of power under the aforesaid Rules also arise out of discovery of electronically stored OMR sheets. Serious allegations have been made of manipulation of records in exchange of money, but these allegations would have to be established through evidence. It is also our opinion, considering the importance of the points of law involved in these cases, the number of persons who are likely to be affected by the outcome of

these proceedings and also having regard to the fact that majority of the writ petitions giving rise to these proceedings have strong attributes of Public Interest Litigations (PIL), this Court would consider it preferable to have these proceedings heard by a Division Bench of the High Court.

10. In course of hearing before us, Mr. Patwalia has raised a point that the Division Bench had come to a finding that there was no necessity of hearing while applying power under Rule 17 of the aforesaid Rules. Having regard to the quality of evidence that was there before the Division Bench while taking that view, we are of opinion that this question also ought to be examined afresh. We are not accepting such a finding of the Division Bench of the High Court. Let this question also be addressed by the Division Bench of the High Court afresh, ignoring its earlier finding on this issue.

11. We, accordingly, request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of the High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench and all the writ petitions and appeals from which this set of proceedings arise be assigned to the Bench to be constituted in the light of this order for early adjudication. So far as 19 petitions/appeals pending before us are concerned, we dispose of the same with an observation that in the cases where termination orders or withdrawal of recommendations have been directed involving the appointees described in the first paragraph of this order, such terminations or withdrawal orders shall not be given effect to until the Division Bench of the High Court to be constituted in pursuance of this order adjudicates the matters on merit The direction of the Single Judge to upload the CBI recovered OMR sheets shall stand

invalidated, and the Division Bench may consider issuing a similar order only after the authenticity of these images are established before it by applying the prevailing Rules of evidence. We have consciously avoided in this order giving any finding on merits of the individual cases, lest such observation or findings influence the Bench to be constituted for hearing the subject-controversy.

12. We are, however, passing the order protecting the employment of those already in service as we are of the view that some element of status quo should be maintained for a limited period to enable the Division Bench of the High Court to address the issues concerning the legitimacy of their recruitment process and adjudicate upon them.

(i) We accordingly direct the CBI to complete the investigation within two months and submit its report before the High Court. The CBI shall also be at liberty to take such steps as may be lawful in pursuance of their investigation.

(ii) We also direct that protection to the appointments which is being accorded today in this order shall continue for a period of six months to enable the Division Bench to finally adjudicate on the subject-disputes. The Division Bench shall examine all the points that may be raised before it including the question of maintainability of the proceedings.

(iii) The proceedings in which petitioners/appellants have

approached this Court directly without being impleaded as parties to the proceedings before the High Court shall also have right of audience before the High Court but for that purpose, appropriate application(s) shall have to be filed before the High Court.

(iv) As we foresee the possibility of a large number of litigants approaching the Division Bench of the High Court, we leave it to the Division Bench to formulate its own procedure for regulating the manner in which hearing shall be conducted by it. The Division Bench may explore the possibility of hearing the parties in representative capacity, so that there is no multiple hearing on the same point of law or fact.

13. We expect the learned Advocates for the parties to assist the High Court so that hearing of these matters is not protracted in any way and concluded within the timeframe suggested above. The writ petitions, if any, which have been disposed of by the High Court in relation to the specific issues covered by this order, shall revive. The orders impugned in these proceedings shall stand modified in the above terms.

14. With these observations, the present set of petitions/appeals are disposed of and all the matters are remitted to the High Court, except the two petitions registered as Diary Nos.18494 and 19822 of 2023. These are contempt petitions and shall be placed before us for disposal after four weeks.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[ANIRUDDHA BOSE]

.....J.
[BELA M. TRIVEDI]

New Delhi;
November 09, 2023.

ITEM NO.101+20 COURT NO.6 SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 4078-4079/2023
(Arising out of impugned final/interim judgment and orders dated 16-02-2023 in MAT No. 274/2023 & MAT No. 259/2023 passed by the High Court At Calcutta)

ACHINTA KUMAR MONDAL & ORS. ETC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LAXMI TUNGA & ORS. ETC. Respondent(s)

(IA No. 41528/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 192730/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 88443/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 56231/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT & IA No. 46649/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

C.A. No. 2422/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 60188/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT & IA No. 60633/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No. 4637-4638/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 92159/2023 - DISCHARGE OF ADVOCATE ON RECORD, IA No. 47274/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 213284/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 80554/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT & IA No. 47275/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES)

SLP(C) No. 5811/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 46400/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT & IA No. 47288/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No. 7014/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 64921/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT & IA No. 64917/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

C.A. No. 2419-2420/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 59526/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 184025/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 169624/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 142497/2023 – INTERVENTION/ IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 120152/2023 – INTERVENTION/ IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 84470/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 84464/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 193762/2023 –

INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 193381/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 60649/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES & IA No. 64697/2023 - STAY APPLICATION)

C.A. No. 2421/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 59498/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 60605/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES & IA No. 67097/2023 - STAY APPLICATION)

C.A. No. 2482/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 60440/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 62560/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES & IA No. 61485/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP(C) No. 8262/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 71592/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 200540/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT 7 IA No. 88020/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

SLP(C) No. 7172/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 84610/2023 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING THE SPARE COPIES & IA No. 71628/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Diary No(s). 18494/2023 (XVI)

Diary No(s). 19822/2023 (XVI)

SLP(C) No. 12995/2023 (XVI)

SLP(C) No. 16111/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 135892/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT & IA No. 157165/2023 – INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

SLP(C) No. 16112/2023 (XVI)
(IA No. 137562/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF I/JUDGMENT)

SLP(C) No. 17765/2023 (XVI)

SLP(C) No. 18742/2023 (XVI)

SLP(C) No. 21416/2023 (XVI)

SLP(C) No. 23618/2023 (XVI)
(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.216203/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

SLP(C) No. 23708/2023 (XVI)
(FOR ADMISSION, I.R., IA No.217391/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF I/JUDGMENT & IA No.218086/2023-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL.DOCS./FACTS/ANNEXURES)

SLP (C) No(s). 20363-20364/2023 (ITEM NO.20)
(IA No.180411/2023-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 09-11-2023 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIRUDDHA BOSE
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE BELA M. TRIVEDI

For Parties **Mrs. Devyani Ashra, Adv.**
Mr. Utkarsh Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.
Mrs. Aarti Pal, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Partha S. Deb Burman, Adv.
Mr. Anindo Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Abhratosh Majumdar, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Mr. Rajib Mullick, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Bora Das, Adv.
Ayantika Saha, Adv.
Sudipta Nayan Ghosh, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Partha S. Deb Barman, Adv.
Mr. Partha S Deb Barman, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Ms. Misha Rohatgi, AOR
Mr. Nakul Mohta, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Khurana, Adv.
Mr. Muthu Thangathurai, Adv.
Ms. Alina Merin Mathew, Adv.
Mr. Aviral Kumar Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Aditya Soni, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

Ms. Jyotika Kalra, AOR
Mr. Joydeep Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Shakti, Adv.

Mr. P S Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. S Guru Krishnakumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anindya Lahiri, Adv.
Mr. Partha Sil, AOR
Mr. Tavish Bhushan Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Sayani Bhattacharya, Adv.
Ms. Pranati Das, Adv.
Mr. Abhiraj Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Samrat De Paul, Adv.
Mr. Pushpal Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Puspal Chakraborty, Adv.
Mr. Mainak Ganguly, Adv.
Mr. Argha Chowdhury, Adv.
Mr. Samrat De Pal, Adv.
Mr. Anirban Sen, Adv.

Mr. Kiran Kumar Patra, AOR
Mr. Chandrashekhar Padhi, Adv.
Mr. Chandan Maity, Adv.
Mr. Sanjiv Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Surya Kanta Parhi, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ram Kishan Rao, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Rohatgi, Adv.
Ms. Ranjeeta Rohatgi, AOR
Mr. Shashank Khurana, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Banshal, Adv.
Ms. Shrika Gautam, Adv.

Mr. Partha S Deb Barman, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Raja Adhikari, Adv.
Mr. Parminder Singh Bhullar, AOR

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Rauf Rahim, AOR
Firdous Samim, Adv.
Ali Asghar Rahim, Adv.
Gopa Biswas, Adv.
Mousumi Hazra, Adv.
Payel S., Adv.
Sampriti Saha, Adv.
Arpita Bhattacharya, Adv.
Purba Mukherjee, Adv.
Sudipto Dasgupta, Adv.
Bikram Banerjee, Adv.
Arka Nandy, Adv.
Arkadeb Biswas, Adv.

Ms. Bansuri Swaraj, Adv.
Mr. Siddhesh Shirish Kotwal, AOR
Mr. Bikram Banerjee, Adv.
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv.
Mr. Tejasvi Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Pawan Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. T. Illayarasu, Adv.

Mr. Sukesh Ghosh, Adv.
Mr. Ashish Kumar Chaudhary, Adv.
Mr. P.C.Das, Adv.
Mr. Sushanta Datta, Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Md.Sadath Hussain, Adv.
Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Srisatya Mohanty, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Priyansha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shreyas Awasthi, Adv.
Ms. Diksha Dadu, Adv.
Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Kshitij Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sohhom Sau, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Partha S. Deb Burman, Adv.
Mr. Anindo Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR
Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, AOR

Mr. S.V. Raju, A.S.G.
Mrs. Sairica Raju, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR

Mr. Sohhom Sau, Adv.
Mr. Partha S.Dev Barman, Adv.
Mr. Raja Adhikary, Adv.
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Gupta, Adv.
Mrs. Prerna Dhall, Adv.

Mr. Piyush Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Singh, AOR

Mr. Avnish Pandey, AOR

Mr. Pavan Duggal, Adv.
Mr. Saakshar Duggal, Adv.
Mr. Lalit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sunklan Porwal, Adv.
Ms. Preeti Singh, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Kshitij Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sohhom Sau, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

SLP(C)NOS. 4078-4079/2023, C.A.NO(S).2422/2023, SLP(C)NOS.4637-4638/2023, 5811/2023, 7014/2023, C.A.NOS.2419-2420/2023, 2421/2023, 2482/2023, SLP(C)NOS.8262/2023, 7172/2023, 12995/2023, 16111/2023, 16112/2023, 17765/2023, 18742/2023, 21416/2023, 23618/2023, 23708/2023 AND 20363-20364/2023.

The present set of petitions/appeals are disposed of in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

DIARY NO(S). 18494/2023, DIARY NO(S). 19822/2023

These petitions shall be placed before us for disposal after four weeks.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(VIDYA NEGI)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ANNEXURE P-15

DL-1 wt2 wt3
wt-4, 5 wt6, wt7
wt8 wt9, 10,11,
12

05.12.2023
Court No.5
(AD)

MAT 85 of 2023

Gopinath Bhanja & Ors.
Vs.
Setab Uddin & Ors
With
.
MAT 124 of 2023

Hafizur Rahaman & Ors.
Vs.
Setab Uddin

With
MAT 244 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023

Soumen Kumar Das & Ors.
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

With
MAT 245 of 2023

Arup Sarkar & Ors.
Vs.
Subhamay Bhunia & Ors.

With
MAT 250 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023
CAN 2 of 2023
CAN 3 of 2023
CAN 4 of 2023

Achinta Kr Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.
With
MAT 259 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023
CAN 2 of 2023

Samiran Maity & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.
With
MAT 274 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023

Achinta Kr Mondal & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With
MAT 276 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023
CAN 2 of 2023

Susovan Satpathi & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With
MAT 284 of 2023
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2023
CAN 2 of 2023

Arnab Paul Chowdhury & Ors.
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With
MAT 275 of 2023

Aritra Saha
Vs.
Laxmi Tunga & Ors.

With
MAT 1302 of 2023

Tanmoy Sinha & Ors.
Vs.
Babita Sarkar & Ors.

With
MAT 1304 of 2023

Supratim Manna & Ors.
Vs.
Babita Sarkar & Ors.

Mr. Kalyan Bandhopadhyay, Ld. Sr. Advocate
(Through Video Conference)
Mr. Anindya Lahiri
Ms. Puspal Chakraborty
Mr. Samrat Dey Paul
Ms. Pranati Das
Mr. Prisanka Ganguly
Mr. Anish Chakraborty
For the appellants in MAT 85 of 2023

Mr. Anindya Lahiri
Ms. Puspal Chakraborty
Mr. Samrat Dey Paul
Ms. Pranati Das
Mr. Prisanka Ganguly

Mr. Anish Chakraborty
…For the appellants in MAT 124 of 2023, MAT 244 of 2023, MAT 245 of 2023 and MAT 1302 of 2023.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Ld. Sr. Advocate
(Through Video Conference)
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arka Nandi
Ms. Dipa Acharya
Mr. Suthirtha Nayak
Ms. Shalini Ghosh
Mr. Sagar Dey
… for the writ petitioners in MAT 245 of 2023.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharyya, Ld. Sr. Advocate
(Through Video Conference)
Mr. Firdous Samim
Mr. Gopa Biswas
Ms. Payel Shome
Ms. Sampriti Saha
… for the respondent/writ petitioners in MAT 85 of 2023, MAT 124 of 2023, MAT 250 of 2023, MAT 259 of 2023, MAT 274 of 2023, MAT 276 of 2023, MAT 284 of 2023, MAT 275 of 2023, MAT 1302 of 2023 and MAT 1304 of 2023.

Mr. Shamim-ul Bari
… for the State-respondent in MAT 259 of 2023.

Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay
Ms. Lipika Chatterjee
… for the State in MAT 1302 of 2023.

Mr. Siddhartha Banerjee
Mr. Rajib Mullick
Ms. Ayantika Saha
… for the petitioner in WPA 7953 of 2023.

Mr. Ashis Kumar Chowdhury
Mr. Rajib Ghosh
Mr. Babhru Bahan Bera
… for the appellants in MAT 284 of 2023.

Mr. Utkarsh Kaushik
Mrs. Devyani Ashra
… for the appellants in MAT 276 of 2023.

Mr. Anindya Bose
Mr. Soumya Sankar Chini
… for the appellants in MAT 259 of 2023

Mr. P.S. Deb Barman, Ld. Advocate
Mr. M. N. Chowdhury
Mr. S. Alam
… for the appellants in MAT 250 of 2023 and MAT 274 of 2023

Mr. Rajnil Mukherjee
Mr. Mastafizur Rahaman
Ms. Debolina Sarkar
Ms. Satabdi Dey
… for the proposed applicant in CAN 4 of 2023 in MAT 250 of 2023.

Mr. A. Datta
Mr. Santanu Talukar
… for I/A in SLP

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
… for the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey
Ms. Debolina Chakraborty
… for the WBCSSC

Mr. Billwadal Bhattacharyya, Dy. S.G.I.
… for the CBI

These matters were assigned to this Bench by the Hon'ble the Chief Justice by an order dated November 16, 2023.

The assignment was made in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No(S). 4078-4079/2023 (Achinta Kumar Mondal & Ors. Etc. vs. Laxmi Tunga & Ors. Etc.) with other Civil Appeals and SLP (Civil) relating to controversy in selection/appointment of three categories of employees in different State funded schools in the State of West Bengal.

This Bench was not available till December 4, 2023 in view of the fact that this Bench was in the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri.

On December 4, 2023, learned Advocate mentioned the order of assignment of Hon'ble the Chief Justice and on such mentioning we directed that the matters be placed in the day's list under the heading "To Be Mentioned".

We heard respective Advocates appearing for the respective parties in the writ petitions as well as the appeals.

We invited learned Advocates appearing in Court to put in the writ petition numbers which are required to be heard by this Bench in terms of the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Learned Advocates made over particulars of large number of matters to the Officer of the Court. They may be listed tomorrow.

We find from the order dated November 9, 2023 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court that the following observation was made about the School Service Commission.

"2. ..... Termination of services of some candidates were directed by the Court itself whereas in some cases, it was by the Commission invoking their power under Rule 17 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the posts of

Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary School) Rules, 2016 and the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 and Rule 18 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for Appointment to the Post of Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009. The Commission had directed withdrawal of recommendation of some candidates subsequent to certain orders were passed by the High Court. Before us, however, Commission is taking a stand that they had taken the decision to invoke the "Withdrawal Rule" at the instance of the learned Single Judge of the High Court only. We find it rather unusual that a statutory body is taking such a stand. In any event, invoking such "withdrawal of recommendation" power impacts the services of the appointed candidates and they have the right to question the power of the concerned authority to pass orders under the aforesaid provision."

We noted that, the Hon'ble Supreme Court recorded that, the School Service Commission took a stand before Their Lordships that they took the decision to invoke the "Withdrawal Rule" at the instance of the learned Single Judge of the High Court only.

In such circumstances, we direct that every member of the Board of School Service Commission meets in order to apprise the Court as to:

(i) Whether “Withdrawal Rule” was invoked by the Commission independent of the order of the High Court or not;

(ii) Whether every member of the Board was consulted before invocation of the “Withdrawal Rule” or not;

(iii) Stand of the respective members of the Board with regard to the “Withdrawal Rule” already invoked by the Board and as to whether such individual member stands with the invocation of such “Withdrawal Rule” in respect of the candidates concerned against whom they were invoked, or not.”

The Commission will take final decision with regard to the invocation of the “Withdrawal Rule” in respect of the individual candidates.

Let such meeting be held within seven days from date.

Report of such meeting in the form of affidavit affirmed by the Chairman of the School Service Commission be filed within seven days from date.

Learned Deputy Solicitor General submits that, Central Bureau of Investigation will be submitting the report within the time-period fixed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

Parties who were granted liberty to apply by the

Supreme Court in the order dated November 9, 2023 may do so and the learned Advocates of such applicants may make over the particulars of such application to the Court Officer for listing.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)

SSC - AP


545

I - 12.12.23

Reply to Ct's query

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

SSC



IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

ANNEXURE P-16

MAT 85 of 2023
Arising out of
WPA 13700 of 2023
In the matter of:
An application for stay
AND
In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors

.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS
Setab Uddin & Ors.

.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 05.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

I, Siddhartha Majumdar, Son of Sukhamoy Majumdar, aged about-62 years, by faith-Hindu, working as Chairman at the West Bengal Central School Service Commission, having its office at Acharya Sadan, 11 & 11/1, Block -EE, Salt Lake, Sector II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:

1. That I am the Chairman of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to in short as the "Commission"). I am duly authorized and competent to affirm this affidavit.

2. That on 09.11.2023 the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench where all the writ petitions and appeals from which the set of proceedings arose may be assigned.

3. In paragraph 2 of the said order the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been pleased to observe the following :

> " Termination of services of some candidates were directed by the Court itself whereas in some cases, it was by the Commission invoking their power under Rule 17 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the posts of Teachers for Classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary School) Rules, 2016 and the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for Classes XI and XII in Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 and Rule 18 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection of Persons for Appointment to the Post of Non-Teaching Staff) Rules, 2009. The Commission had directed withdrawal of recommendation of some candidates subsequent to certain orders were passed by the High Court. Before us, however, Commission is taking a stand that they had taken the decision to invoke the "Withdrawal Rule" at the instance of the learned Single Judge of the High Court only. We find it rather unusual that a statutory body is taking such a stand. In any event, invoking such "withdrawal of

recommendation" power impacts the services of the appointed candidates and they have the right to question the power of the concerned authority to pass orders under the aforesaid provision."

4. That on 5.12.2023 when the matters came up before the Hon'ble Division Bench Specially assigned to hear the matters, Their Lordships were pleased to direct the Commission to file a report in the form of an affidavit affirmed by the Chairman within 7 days from date wherein every member of Board of the School Service Commission are to meet in order to apprise the Court with regard to the following:

(i) Whether "Withdrawal Rule" was invoked by the Commission independent of the order of the High Court or not;

(ii) Whether every member of the Board was consulted before invocation of the "Withdrawal Rule" or not;

(iii) Stand of the respective members of the Board with regard to the "Withdrawal Rule" already invoked by the Board and as to whether such individual member stands with the invocation of such "Withdrawal Rule" in respect of the candidates concerned against whom they were invoked, or not."

The Hon'ble Division Bench was also pleased to direct the Commission to take the final decision with regard to the invocation of the "Withdrawal Rule" in respect of the individual candidates.

5. In compliance with the said solemn direction dated 05.12.23 the members of the Commission on 8.12.2023 held a meeting to discuss the issues raised by the Hon'ble Court. The said members again met on 11.12.2023 to finalize the draft minutes which they approved unanimously. The minutes of the proceedings held on 8.12.2023 and 11.12.2023 are being filed in Court by way of the instant affidavit.

Copies of the minutes of the proceedings held on 8.12.2023 and 11.12.2023 are annexed herewith and marked with the letter R-1 (collectively).

6. That the statements made in paragraph 1 is rue to my knowledge and those made in paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 5 are information derive from the records which I verily believe to be true and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared in my office

Supriya Dubey
Advocate
WB/2005/2009

Solemnly affirmed before me on
this 12th day of December, 2023

The deponent is known to me

Clerk to : Mrs. S. Nag (N-2)
Advocate

12.12.23.

COMMISSIONER

Commissioner of Affidavit
High Court, Appellate Side
Calcutta

5 Annexure-R-1

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN:
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake; Kolkata-700091

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 527th MEETING OF THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION HELD ON 08.12.2023

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Siddhartha Majumdar, Chairman
2. Dr. Dipak Kumar Kar, member,WBCSSC
3. Dr. Asutosh Ghosh, member, WBCSSC
4. Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Regional Chairman, member (online)
5. Sri Koushik Roy, Regional Chairman, invited member (online)

The Chairman welcomed all members present in the meeting. He informed the members that the meeting has been convened in compliance with the solemn order of the Hon'ble Division Bench dated 5th December, 2023, a copy of which was provided to each member. In the said order, the Hon'ble Division Bench has directed:

... In such circumstances, we direct that every member of the Board of School Service Commission meets in order to apprise the Court as to:

(i) Whether "Withdrawal Rule" was invoked by the Commission independent of the order of the High Court or not;

(ii) Whether every member of the Board was consulted before invocation of the "Withdrawal Rule" or not;

(iii) Stand of the respective members of the Board with regard to the "Withdrawal Rule" already invoked by the Board and as to whether such individual member stands with the invocation of such "Withdrawal Rule" in respect of the candidates concerned against whom they were invoked, or not."

The Commission will take final decision with regard to the invocation of the "Withdrawal Rule" in respect of the individual candidates.

With regard to query no (i), the solemn order dated 2nd February, 2023 in WPA 25380 of 2022 was placed before the Board. The relevant portion of the said solemn order was considered which states as follows:

"The Commission, when is of the opinion that the recommendations were made mistakenly or erroneously, it is obliged to exercise its power under Rule 17 of the West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for 3 Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for classes IX and X in Secondary

and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016 to cancel and/or withdraw such recommendations. Dr. Patra shall take instruction from his client as to the modality to be adopted by the Commission to exercise such power in respect of the candidates so mistakenly recommended and the time necessary to complete such exercise. Dr. Patra on the adjourned date shall apprise this Court in this regard."

Different orders of a Co-ordinate Bench were also placed to show that the issue of mismatch of OMR marks has been under Court's scrutiny for quite some time and the Commission has complied with various orders of uploading of such OMRs, the names of such candidates (along with their fathers' names, subjects and schools etc). The Chairman stated that in his discussion with the Ld. Advocate of the Commission, it transpired that the issue of 952 candidates with some mismatch in OMR marks fell from some observations of the Hon'ble Court. An affidavit was affirmed with respect to such 952 candidates with details of the *modalities to be followed* regarding invoking of rule 17 (in respect of 805 of such candidates) pursuant to the said order. The affidavit was considered by the Hon'ble Court on 8th February, 2023 which recorded

"...The Commission in its affidavit since has given an undertaking to initiate the process of exercising its power under Rule 17 of the said Rules of 2016 within seven days, it is expected that it would be able to report a positive outcome of such drill on the adjourned date."

It was noted that the Commission uploaded a notice dated 10.02.23 expressing its intent to initiate withdrawal of recommendation but did not do so as appeals (MAT 85 and others of 2023) preferred by some of the affected persons were pending before the Hon'ble Division Bench. The cancellation orders were issued after the appeals were dismissed on 1st March, 2023. Thus, the invocation decision was not taken independent of an order of the Hon'ble Court.

The members unanimously concurred with the stand that the affidavit was affirmed (and Rule 17 subsequently invoked) not independent of a Court order, and the decision was taken by the Chairman after discussion with the Ld. Advocate of the Commission about the obligation of the Commission for compliance with the solemn order dated 2nd February, 2023.

With regards to query no (ii), it is an admitted fact that no meeting of the Commission was called and members were not consulted before affirming the affidavit and subsequently invoking the withdrawal rule.

On being asked, the Chairman explained that as the decision was taken pursuant to the order dated 2nd February, 2023, he did not consider it necessary to consult the Board members. Instead, the decision was taken after discussion with the Ld. Advocate of the Commission before affirming the affidavit.

With regard to query no (iii), the members noted that while Rule 17 was a part of the *West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for classes*

IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016, which empowers the Commission to withdraw recommendations, and as the Hon'ble Court had observed that "*The Commission, when is of the opinion that the recommendations were made mistakenly or erroneously, it is obliged to exercise its power under Rule 17*", the Commission had to invoke the said Rule if errors were detected. However, the members wanted to be apprised of the background of the action.

The Chairman informed the members that the Commission received hard disks (from CBI) containing images of OMR sheets related to 1st SLST, 2016 and 3rd RLST, 2016, pursuant to an order of the Hon'ble Justice Abhijit Gangopadhyay. It was directed to evaluate the said images and compare the results with its server marks. Mismatches, if any, between the two sets of marks were also reported to the Hon'ble Court from time to time in different matters. However, even if such mismatches were such that it put a particular candidate out of the zone of consideration, the Commission did not cancel the recommendation of any candidate on its own and waited for an order of the Hon'ble Court, as the entire process was sub-judice and also under investigation. In the instant case, the order dated 2nd February, 2023 was considered as a direction to invoke rule 17 in respect of 952 candidates and hence, prepared its affidavit accordingly.

In this respect, the Chairman informed the House that no recommendation had so far been withdrawn in respect of classes XI-XII though mismatches were detected in that sector as well. This was because there was no Court order regarding the same. The members supported the approach taken because such issues are being scrutinized at the judicial level for more than a year now and the matters are under CBI investigation also. Members were also apprised that in respect of Group C and Group D recruitments, the Hon'ble Court directly ordered cancellation of the recommendations of candidates with the aforesaid mismatch, by orders dated 10.03.23 and 10.02.23 respectively and no withdrawal rule was invoked. As of now, all withdrawals of recommendations due to OMR issues have been stayed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

In view of the above, and after going through available records and relevant Court orders, the members unanimously approved the earlier stand taken by the Chairman.

As regards the final stand taken by the Commission, all members, including the Chairman unanimously opined that since the selection process of 2016 was being looked into by a special Division Bench constituted in compliance with a direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Commission should wait for the outcome of the ongoing cases and subsequent Court orders. No cancellation of recommendation shall now be initiated by Commission, unless directed by the Hon'ble Court.

Chairman
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN:
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake; Kolkata-700091

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 527th MEETING OF THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION HELD ON 11.12.2023

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Siddhartha Majumdar, Chairman
2. Dr. Dipak Kumar Kar, member,WBCSSC (Online)
3. Dr. Asutosh Ghosh, member, WBCSSC
4. Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Regional Chairman, member
5. Sri Sridhar Pramanik, Regional Chairman, invited member (Online)
6. Sri Koushik Roy, Regional Chairman, invited member

At the onset of the meeting, Chairman welcomed all the members.

He stated that a concluding meeting of the 527th meeting of the Commission is being held today to finalize and approve the resolution of the meeting held on 08.12.2023.

A draft copy of resolution was already sent to the members for their perusal and suggestions.

The members physically present and those online suggested a few minor changes of the draft. The final minutes were prepared on the basis of such suggestions and approved unanimously.

Since there were no other agenda to discuss in this meeting, the meeting concluded with thanks to and from the Chair.

11/12/23

11/12/23

11/12/23 (Online)

11/12/23

Chairman
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

MAT 85 of 2023

Arising out of

WPA 13700 of 2023

In the matter of:

An application for stay

AND

In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors

.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS
Setab Uddin & Ors.

.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 05.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

Supriya Dubey
Advocate,
C/. Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Advocate
9, Old Post Office Street,
1st Floor, Kolkata -700 001.

554

Final Stand regarding IX-X

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

ANNEXURE P-17

Copy handed over on 18.12.23
1. Sudipta Dasgupta
2. Rahul
3. Mr. Deb Barman
4. Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
5. Bhaskar da - (State)
6. CBI
7. Devyani Ashis Bhowal
8. Swadhinjo Ghosh for (S Sanyal)(Adv)
9. Rabi'a Khatoon Adv. C/o - Ali Ahsan Alamgir 20.12.23

Received Sabyasachi Mozak Clerk
& to Gopa Biswas 20/12/23

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

Enclosed by CAN 13/14/23 Abul Mullick CAN-14/15/23

MAT 85 of 2023
Arising out of
WPA 13700 of 2023
In the matter of:
An application for stay
AND
In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors
.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS
Setab Uddin & Ors.
.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 13.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

I, Siddhartha Majumdar, Son of Sukhamoy Majumdar, aged about-62 years, by faith-Hindu, working as Chairman at the West Bengal Central School Service Commission, having its office at Acharya Sadan, 11 & 11/1, Block -EE, Salt Lake, Sector II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata- 700091, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:

Received Chandan Maity (Adv) 20/12/23

1. That I am the Chairman of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to in short as the "Commission"). I am duly authorized and competent to affirm this affidavit.

2. That on 09.11.2023 the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench where all the writ petitions and appeals from which the set of proceedings arose may be assigned.

3. That on 05.12.2023 when the matters came up before the Hon'ble Division Bench Specially assigned to hear the matters, Their Lordships were pleased to direct the Commission to file a report in the form of an affidavit affirmed by the Chairman within 7 days from date wherein every member of Board of the School Service Commission are to meet in order to apprise the Court with regard to the points mentioned in the said solemn order dated 5.12.2023. In compliance with the said order dated 5.12.23 a report in the form of affidavit was affirmed and filed in Court on 13.12.2023.

4. On 13.12.2023 after perusing the report of the Commission, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to observe that the Commission is yet to take a final decision with regard to the invocation of the Withdrawal Rule in respect of the candidates and directed the Commission to file an affidavit stating its stand.

5. In compliance with the said solemn direction dated 13.12.23 the members of the Commission on 15.12.2023 held a meeting to discuss the issues raised by the Hon'ble Court. The minutes of the proceeding held on 15.12.2023 is being filed in Court by way of the instant affidavit.

Copy of the minutes of the proceedings held on 15.12.2023 is annexed herewith and marked with the letter R-1.

6. That the statements made in paragraph 1 is rue to my knowledge and those made in paragraphs 2, 3, 4 and 5 are information derive from the records which I verily believe to be true and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared in my office

Advocate

The deponent is known to me

Clerk to : Mr.

Advocate

Solemnly affirmed before me on
this day of December, 2023

COMMISSIONER

(4)

K-1

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN:
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake; Kolkata-700091

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 528th MEETINGOF THE WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION HELD ON 15.12.2023

MEMBERS PRESENT:

1. Sri Siddhartha Majumdar, Chairman 15/12/23
2. Dr. Dipak Kumar Kar, member,WBCSSC 15/12/2023
3. Dr. Ashutosh Ghosh, member, WBCSSC 15/12/2023
4. Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Regional Chairman, member 15/12/23

The Chairman welcomed all members present in the meeting.

He informed the members that this meeting has been convened in compliance with the solemn order of the Hon'ble Division Bench dated 13th December, 2023, a copy of which was already provided to each member.

He also stated that the 527th meeting of the Commission, held on 08.12.2023 (and the continuation meeting dated 11.12.23) was also convened in compliance to the order of the Hon'ble Division bench dated 05.12.2023, to take decision on specific queries as ordered by the Hon'ble Court in its order dated 05.12.2023. The decision of the Board members in this regard, in the form of proceeding of the 527th Board meeting of the Commission has already been submitted before the Hon'ble Court on 13.12.2023. After going through the report, Hon'ble Court has been pleased to issue certain further directions to the Commission, as can be seen in its order dated 13.12.2023.

In the said order, the Hon'ble Division Bench has commented:

We find from the report that, the Commission is yet to take a final decision with regard to the invocation of the Withdrawal Rule in respect of the candidates.
In such circumstances, time to comply with the order dated December 5, 2023 is extended.
Taking into account the fact that, there is a time line prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court for disposal of the proceeding, and considering the fact that we allowed parties to file affidavits by the order dated December 6, 2023, the School Service Commission will hold the meeting of all the Members, physically, on December 15, 2023 and file an affidavit to that effect, stating its stand.

(5)

The matter is due on 18.12.2023 in the next hearing of the Hon'ble court.

In this regard, the communication as received from the Ld.Advocate of the Commission, as received,was also communicated to the members.

First, the matter relating to the alleged mismatch of the OMRs marks when compared with the SSC server marks for 952 candidates of class IX-X (out of which withdrawal rule was invoked in case of 775 candidates) was taken up.

The members noted that the matter first came to light on 28th September, 2022 when Central Bureau of Investigation, the agency investigating the matter in compliance to the order of Hon'ble Court disclosed certain documents before the Hon'ble Single Judge.It later shared with the Commission, in compliance with the direction of the Hon'ble Court, two hard discs containing scanned copies of the OMR sheets of the candidates of class IX-X and XI-XII (as well as for non-teaching staffs) of the selection process of 2016. Thereafter, in compliance with an order of the Hon'ble Court, CBI provided the details of candidates in respect of whom they have found mismatches between OMR marks and SSC server marks. Commission assessed these scanned copies with reference to the respective model answers and reported the mismatch or otherwise before the Hon'ble Court from time to time.

Over the issue of mismatch of the OMR marks with the server marks, the decision to invoke rule 17 of the concerned rule was taken in view of the fact that the Commission had no reason to doubt the data provided by the CBI pursuant to an order of the Hon'ble Single Judge, especially when it appeared that the Hon'ble Single Judge as well as the Hon'ble Division Bench had accepted the said data. Some candidates even resigned from their posts as was suggested by the Hon'ble Single Judge in his solemn order dated 28.09.22.

The scanned images of 952 OMRs for classes IX-X(in respect of which Rule 17 was later invoked for 775 candidates on 1st and 3rd March, 2023) were uploaded on 22.12.22 in compliance with an order of the Hon'ble Court. The list of 775 candidates with their relevant details including the mismatch data was produced before the members for their perusal (copy enclosed).

Commission does not have the physical copies of the OMRs which were assessed for publishing the results, as they were destroyed earlier through certain agencies, hired by the Commission. Thus, there was no scope to compare the scanned copies as submitted by the investigating agency with the original ones. The Commission had no reason to doubt the authenticity of the documents supplied by the Central

Bureau of Commission, supplied to the Commission pursuant to an order of the Hon'ble Court.

Second, the matter of recommendation through rank-jumping in certain case of candidates in 1st SLST(2016) for classes IX-X and XI-XII were taken up for discussion.

It is clear from the records of the Central Commission that in cases of 183 candidates for class IX-X, the issuance of recommendation from the Central Commission was detected and the matter was disclosed before the Hon'ble Court with full particulars of the candidates. Recommendations have already been withdrawn for about 122 candidates (out of 183 candidates) u/s 17 of West Bengal School Service Commission (Selection for Appointment to the Posts of Teachers for classes IX and X in Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools) Rules, 2016, in compliance with various orders of the Hon'ble Single Judge.

Final stand on the invocation of the withdrawal rule:

The Commission relied on the scanned copies received by it from the CBI in compliance with the solemn order of the Hon'ble Court and marks uploaded in its own server, and after evaluation of the scanned copies provided by CBI compared the marks with the server data. These are the documents or records relied upon.

As the commission did not have reason to doubt the admissibility or authenticity of scanned data received from the CBI pursuant to an order of the Hon'ble High Court, Commission considers that its earlier decision to invoke rule 17 was based on reasonable ground.

However, the Hon'ble Supreme Court having held by its order dated 9.11.2023, that the admissibility of the digital data relied upon requires to be examined afresh, the final stand of the Commission is that its decision to invoke Rule 17 can only be taken after the authenticity of scanned OMR images supplied by the CBI is proved before the Hon'ble Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court.

As regards the invocation of the withdrawal rule in respect of 122 candidates (out of 183 candidates) who 'jumped ranks', the Commission stands by the invocation as the records relied on are Commission's own data.

However, after having carefully perused the aforesaid solemn order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 9th November, 2023, members noted that that the Hon'ble Supreme

(7)

Court has also directed that certain issues relating to Rule 17 needed to be examined afresh. Therefore, the members unanimously resolved that in view of such order, the Commission cannot initiate any invocation of Rule 17 at present, even if such irregularity, supported by its own record, is detected, until the matter is settled finally by the Hon'ble Division Bench.

Chairman
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

Memo No.1206 (4) / 1418(XII)/CSSC/ESTT/2023 Date: 15.12.2023

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. Shri Siddhartha Majumdar, Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission
2. Dr. Ashutosh Ghosh, Member, CG-151, Sector-II, Salt Lake City, Kolkata-700091.
3. Dr. Dipak Kumar Kar, Member, Chairman, West Bengal College Service Commission, Purta Bhavan DF-Block, 3rd Floor, Salt Lake City, Kol-91.
4. Dr. Sharmila Mitra, Chairperson, WBRSSC(SR)

Secretary
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

Memo No.1206 (4)/1(4) / 1418(XII)/CSSC/ESTT/2023 Date: 15.12.2023

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. Secretary, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.
2. Advisor, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.
3. Law officer, West Bengal Central School Service Commission.
4. Assistant Secretary, West Bengal Central School Service Commission

Secretary
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

DETAILS OF 775 CANDIDATES UNDER 1ST SLST, 2016 (CLASS IX-X), AGAINST WHOM RULE 17 APPLIED BY WBCSSC:

Sl	APPLICANT NAME	ROLL NUMBER	SUBJECT	PUBLISHED OMR MARKS	OMR MARKS ON CBI SUPPLIED SCAN	PANEL/WAITLIST RANK	STATUS
1	ABDUL GAFFAR SK	22211684009241	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	20	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
2	ABDUL KAIYUM BALAJI	22211686003249	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
3	ABDULLA MOLLA	32211684000119	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	16	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 70, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
4	ABDUR RAHAMAN SHAIKH	52211675000960	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 221, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
5	ABDUS SAMIM AKTAR	22211675003474	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
6	ABHIJIT BHUNIA	32211685003212	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 153, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
7	ABHIJIT DEBNATH	52211685000237	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
8	ABHIJIT GHOSH	22211675008653	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 158, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
9	ABHIJIT SARKAR	22211685001877	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 163, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
10	ABU ABDULLAH MASUDI	22211686001256	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 63, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
11	ADITI BHATTACHARYA	42211675006965	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 319, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
12	AHMED JULFIKAR	22211685004236	GEOGRARHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 143, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
13	AINDRILA BANERJEE	32211676000238	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 87, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
14	AJAY BARMAN	22211676002228	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 317, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
15	AJAY KUMAR SINGH	22211685001579	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
16	AJOY MAJI	42211675007567	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 172, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

17	ALI HASAN FARUK	22211675001343	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
18	ALTALIM HOQUE	22211675006815	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
19	AMAL KUMAR DAS	22211685002493	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
20	AMAL KUMAR SHYAMAL	32211684003351	HISTORY(GRAD)	54	30	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
21	AMAL SARKAR	22211675003315	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	32	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 78, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
22	AMIT JANA	42211687002633	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
23	AMIT KUMAR DAS	42211676004516	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 83, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
24	AMIT KUMAR GHOSH	22211685002477	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
25	AMIT NAYAK	42211687002190	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	11	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
26	AMIT PRADHAN	42211686002292	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
27	AMIT SARKAR	22211676003142	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	25	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 213, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
28	AMITA BHATTA GHORAI	42211675003197	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 41, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
29	ANANDA SINGHA	22211688000651	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
30	ANANTA MANDAL	42211686001087	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
31	ANANYA DAS	32211687001240	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
32	ANIMA DAS	32211676001191	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
33	ANIQUL ISLAM	22211685004107	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 192, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
34	ANIRBAN CHATTOPADHYAY	52211675004747	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 139, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
35	ANITA KUMARI	22211677000179	HINDI(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

36	ANJAN GHOSH	42211686000933	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
37	ANOWAR HOSSAIN	52211685000300	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
38	ANOWAR MANDAL	22211684008100	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
39	ANUFA KHATUN	52211675000667	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
40	ANUP KUMAR MRIDHA	22211685001790	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 157, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
41	ANUP MISHRA	42211686002787	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
42	ANUP SARKAR	22211686000932	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
43	ANUSRI MAITI	42211676004828	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 162, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
44	ANWESHA BANERJEE	42211684001805	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 162, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
45	APURBA KUMAR MANDAL	52211688000776	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 98, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
46	ARABINDA MONDAL	12211688000289	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
47	ARABINDA ROY	22211675003567	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 91, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
48	ARCHANA ADHIKARI	42211685003209	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 70, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
49	ARCHANA MISHRA	42211685004610	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
50	ARDHENDU SARKAR	22211688001318	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	11	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
51	ARGHYA DAS	12211688000144	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
52	ARIJIT BAITALIK	32211685000427	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 76, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
53	ARIJITA MAITI	42211686001952	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
54	ARINDAM GHORAI	42211676004331	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 377, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

55	ARINDAM MONDAL	12211675001194	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 387, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
56	ARINDAM ROUL	42211675007044	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 343, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
57	ARINDAM TARAFDAR	52211685001222	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
58	ARPAN SAHOO	42211685002638	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 152, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
59	ARUN KUMAR PRADHAN	42211675007231	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 350, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
60	ARUP MONDAL	12211675000970	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 342, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
61	ARUP SARKAR	22211686001006	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
62	ARUP SARKAR	12211686001230	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
63	ASHIM KUMAR MONDAL	22211685001796	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
64	ASHIN BARMAN	22211676002221	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	25	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 53, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
65	ASHIS KUMAR MANNA	42211685003932	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
66	ASHOK KUMAR MONDAL	32211675002889	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	9	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 218, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
67	ASIM BASAK	12211684003213	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	18	{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
68	ASITABHA SANTRA	42211685005007	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	45	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 135, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
69	ATANU MONDAL	32211675002159	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 205, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
70	ATANU PATRA	42211685002879	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 125, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
71	AVIJIT ROY	12211687001391	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
72	AVIJIT SINHA ROY	52211688001529	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 81, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
73	BABAN MONDAL	52211675001237	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 202, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
74	BAHNISHIKHA BAITALIK	32211675000064	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 354, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

75	BANAFUL ROUL	42211675006748	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 376, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
76	BANANI SAMANTA	42211686002824	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
77	BANASREE MONDAL	12211675000979	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 63, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
78	BANYA SETH	22211684005868	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 107, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
79	BAPI PRAMANIK	42211685004752	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
80	BAPI SARKAR	22211676002213	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	27	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
81	BAPPA PRAMANIK	32211676000354	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 306, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
82	BARUN KUMAR DAS	22211687000589	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
83	BASUDEV MONDAL	22211675008597	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 242, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
84	BASUDEV SANTRA	42211675006314	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 184, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
85	BEAUTI KHATUA	32211684001214	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 90, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
86	BHAGABATI PRADHAN	32211687000009	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 197, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
87	BHAGYASREE BERA	12211675004894	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
88	BHANUMOTI BAROUI	22211676002083	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{SC, FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 94, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
89	BHOLANATH JANA	42211685003569	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 108, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
90	BIBEKANANDA PRADHAN	42211675006934	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 359, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
91	BIBHA ROY	22211685001987	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
92	BIBHAS SUNDAR DAS	22211685005216	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 154, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

93	BIDITYA KUMAR MANDAL	22211685004116	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 165, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
94	BIDYUT KUMAR MANDAL	22211675006090	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	10	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 138, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
95	BIJALI JANA	42211676003892	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 116, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
96	BIJOY KRISHNA DAS ADHIKARI	42211675005022	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
97	BIKASH CH KHANRA	42211676004540	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
98	BIKASH PATRA	42211685005095	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
99	BIMAL KUMAR BERA	42211685004933	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 86, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
100	BIMAN MOHANTA	22211688000109	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
101	BIPLAB GHOSH	22211685004602	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 209, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
102	BIPLAB GHOSH	12211688000382	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
103	BIPLAB PAL	52211685000732	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 117, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
104	BIPLABANANDA SARKAR	22211687000585	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 240, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
105	BIPUL CHAKRABORTY	22211675003535	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 173, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
106	BIREN SAMANTA	42211675005790	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 193, EMPANELLED},{PH-ANY, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{PH-OH, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
107	BISHNU PADA MONDAL	22211684004402	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 88, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
108	BISHNUPADA KOTAL	42211675007024	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 339, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
109	BISWADIP SINGHA	22211685003373	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
110	BISWAJIT DAS	32211675003691	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	21	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
111	BISWAJIT KAR	42211676003822	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

112	BISWAJIT MAITY	42211685005335	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 84, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
113	BISWANATH CHAKRABORTY	52211685001024	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
114	BISWESWAR MANDAL	42211675002304	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 53, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
115	BITHI NAYEK	42211688001244	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
116	BIVAS MANDAL	32211688001576	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
117	BULTI KHATUN	22211675007121	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 199, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
118	BULTI MANNA SANTRA	42211686001324	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
119	CHAITALI CHATTERJEE	52211688000634	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
120	CHANDAN DAS	42211675005640	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 294, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
121	CHANDAN KUMAR DEY	42211686001229	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
122	CHANDAN RAY SARKAR	22211675001424	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W172, WAITLISTED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W37, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
123	CHANDRA SARKAR	22211688002036	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 100, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
124	CHANDRIMA HATI	42211688000330	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
125	CHANDRIMA MAJI	42211685001215	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 62, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
126	CHAYAN DAS	42211675004165	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 332, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
127	CHAYAN JAR	32211686000248	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 41, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

128	CHAYNA SAHA	12211675003895	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 42, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
129	CHHAMIDAR RAHAMAN	22211685002675	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
130	CHHOTON BARMAN	22211685002118	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
131	CHIRANJIT MONDAL	12211685000696	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 183, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
132	CHIRANJIT PAUL	22211685000917	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
133	DALIA GUPTA	22211684000029	HISTORY(GRAD)	54	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 86, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
134	DALIA PARVIN	42211688002295	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
135	DANMANI BARMAN	22211684003637	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	11	{SC, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
136	DAYAL CHANDRA MURA	42211685003248	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 41, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
137	DEBABRATA GARAI	42211685001726	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 94, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
138	DEBABRATA KUILA	32211687000008	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
139	DEBABRATA SARKAR	22211684003487	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	2	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
140	DEBAJYOTI MAITY	42211685002992	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 83, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
141	DEBAJYOTI MAITY	32211675000567	BENGALI(GRAD)	52	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W173, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
142	DEBASHISH BARMAN	22211685000045	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 50, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
143	DEBASHREE BAG	42211688002221	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
144	DEBASHREE BERA	42211684004104	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
145	DEBASHREE JANA	42211676004610	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

146	DEBASIS BERA	42211685003523	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 105, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
147	DEBASISH MAHATO	42211685000290	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 88, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
148	DEBASISH MONDAL	12211675003777	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W169, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
149	DEBDATTA DAS	42211688001821	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 88, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
150	DEBDULAL PATTANAYAK	42211686002061	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
151	DEBOBRATA MONDAL	12211685000493	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
152	DEBOLINA DE	12211675005132	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 240, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
153	DEBOLINA DEB	22211686001333	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
154	DHANYADHAR BARMAN	22211685000086	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 140, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
155	DIBAKAR DATTA	22211686000078	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
156	DIBYENDU SAMANTA	42211684004154	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 120, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
157	DINABANDHU ROY	42211677000104	HINDI(GRAD)	53	21	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
158	DIPANJAN PATRA	42211685005129	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
159	DIPANKAR BARAI	42211685005319	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
160	DIPANKAR DAS	42211685004583	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
161	DIPANKAR MAITY	42211685003037	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 78, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
162	DIPANKAR MANNA	42211687001833	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
163	DIPANKAR SINGHA	22211685002165	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
164	DIPANNITA DEBSINGHA	22211688000857	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
165	DOLAN DAS	42211675003233	BENGALI(GRAD)	54	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 198, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

166	DOLON KUNDU	22211675008527	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, FEMALE, W20, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W13, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
167	DULALI MANDAL	42211688002386	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
168	FARUK ABDULLA SARKAR	22211675004543	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 95, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
169	FARUK HOSSEN	22211685002447	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 126, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
170	FORIDUL ISLAM	22211685002575	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 111, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
171	GEETASREE ROY SINGHA	22211675000129	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	16	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 173, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
172	GITA GHOSH MANTRI	42211684004242	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, W106, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W130, WAITLISTED},{SC, FEMALE, W3, WAITLISTED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W1, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
173	GITA SARKAR	22211675006737	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, FEMALE, 66, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 134, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
174	GOLAM KABIR SARKAR	22211675004193	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 104, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
175	GOLAM MORTUJA	22211676002397	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	29	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 148, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
176	GOPAL SAHA	22211676002470	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 153, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
177	GOPAL SAHOO	42211685005030	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 174, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
178	GOPINATH BHANJA	42211685004420	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 217, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
179	GOURANGA BERA	42211687001310	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 272, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
180	GOUTAM KUMAR DAS	42211687002229	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
181	GOUTAM RAY SARKAR	22211676000908	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	23	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
182	GURUPRASAD MAITY	42211675004199	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 181, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

183	HAFIZUR RAHAMAN	52211675005399	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	21	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 73, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
184	HARISH CHANDRA BARMAN	22211685000175	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 177, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
185	HASANUJJAMAN SK	52211685000286	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
186	HASANUR JAMAN	22211686001317	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
187	HASIM ABDUL HALIM	22211684003389	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 103, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
188	HIREN ROY	22211675006678	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 112, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
189	HIRU SEIKH	22211685005736	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 112, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
190	IBRAHIM ALI	22211684003591	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	10	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
191	ILIAS SHAH	22211684003482	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	1	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
192	INDIRA BARMAN	42211685005253	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
193	INDIRA TRIPATHI	42211675006158	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 64, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 131, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
194	ISHANI MONDAL	32211676002133	ENGLISH(GRAD)	50	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 419, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
195	JAGANNATH BARMAN	42211675007190	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	1	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
196	JAGANNATH BARMAN	22211684003046	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	23	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 112, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
197	JAYANTA BARAI	22211685004890	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
198	JAYANTA RAY PRAMANIK	22211675001480	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 198, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
199	JAYANTA SARKAR	22211684006721	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	4	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
200	JAYASHREE MONDAL	32211685003240	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 109, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

201	JAYASREE SAHA	12211684000202	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	17	{SC, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
202	JHANTU SK	52211676000583	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 225, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
203	JHULAN KUNDU	42211675002449	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 364, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
204	JOLY KHATUA	42211688002190	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
205	JOYDEV DAS	42211688000885	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
206	JOYNAL ABEDIN	22211686001308	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
207	JUI BANERJEE	12211675001359	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 177, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
208	JUI BARMAN	22211686001023	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
209	KABERI MONDAL	52211675005234	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	15	{SC, FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 71, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
210	KABITA SARKAR	22211675003258	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 90, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
211	KAJAL MONDAL	22211684007524	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 176, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
212	KALYAN KUMAR BARMAN	42211685004515	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 107, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
213	KALYAN KUMAR GIRI	42211686002320	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
214	KALYAN KUMAR SARKAR	22211675004365	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 226, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
215	KALYAN SINGHA	22211675003394	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
216	KALYANI JANA	32211685001645	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
217	KALYANI ROY	22211675003585	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	15	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 216, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
218	KALYANJYOTI CHANDA	42211684002358	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	7	{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
219	KAMRUN JESMINA	52211688001126	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 96, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

220	KANIKA CHOUDHURY	22211675003568	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 270, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
221	KANINIKA SAMANTA	42211688001782	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
222	KARABI ROY	22211675003310	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	9	{SC, FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
223	KASHMIRA KHATUN	52211676001556	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 371, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
224	KAWSAR ALI	22211685002766	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 66, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
225	KAZI ABDUL HASIM	42211686001081	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
226	KHADIJA KHATUN	22211675000028	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
227	KHAGESWAR BARMAN	22211684003511	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	3	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 90, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
228	KISALOY MANNA	42211688002457	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
229	KOUSIK SAMANTA	42211687000831	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
230	KRISHANA CHANDRA DAS	22211676004555	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W76, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
231	KRISHNA BARMAN	22211675004294	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 383, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
232	KRISHNA GOPAL PATRA	42211685001846	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
233	KRISHNENDU MANA	42211685003427	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
234	KUMKUM GHOSH	22211684008347	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 84, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
235	LITAN BARMAN	22211685000178	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 182, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
236	LOTIFA KHATUN	22211685002049	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
237	MADHU SUDAN DAS	42211686001276	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

238	MADHUMITA CHAKRABORTTY	32211676000095	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 327, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
239	MADHUSUDAN MAITI	42211684004209	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 148, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
240	MAHABUR ISLAM	22211675003607	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 182, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
241	MAHAFUZUR RAHAMAN	22211675000240	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 82, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
242	MAHASIN REJA	22211675003242	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	29	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W39, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
243	MAHMUDA MANIKA	22211676005402	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
244	MAIDUL HOQUE	22211685005758	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 102, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
245	MAKSURA YASMIN	32211685002977	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 122, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
246	MALLIKA ROY	22211675001661	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 168, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
247	MAMATA RANI BHAKTA	42211676003764	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
248	MAMONI MONDAL	42211688001824	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
249	MAMPI BANERJEE	12211675000016	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, FEMALE, W27, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W21, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
250	MAMUN MONDAL	22211675004532	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
251	MANA GHOSH	12211675004393	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 265, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
252	MANAS GHOSH	42211675005362	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 108, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
253	MANATOSH KUMAR SARKAR	22211685001851	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 173, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
254	MANIK CHANDRA BARMAN	22211676000836	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	27	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 109, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
255	MANIK CHANDRA BARMAN	22211676002284	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	27	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 239, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

256	MANIK SAHOO	42211675006548	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 83, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
257	MANOJ DHAR	42211684002585	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
258	MANOJ KUMAR DAS	42211687002026	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 343, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
259	MANOJ KUMAR MAHATO	22211685001643	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
260	MANOJ KUMAR ROY	22211676001023	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 369, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
261	MANOJABA DASH	42211675006332	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	11	{GEN, FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 90, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
262	MASUDA PIR	52211676000170	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 336, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
263	MAZRUL MIAH	22211676000826	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	32	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 123, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
264	MD ABDULLAHA	22211685003031	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	17	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
265	MD ABDUR RAHAMAN	22211676003183	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	26	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 121, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
266	MD AKTAR	22211676005844	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
267	MD ALAUDDIN MIA	22211675005875	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 345, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
268	MD ANWAR SK	22211685003794	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 227, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
269	MD AZIZUR RAHAMAN	22211684005926	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 87, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
270	MD GAZIR RAHAMAN SEIKH	22211685006258	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 133, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
271	MD GHULAM HASMI	22211685001839	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 96, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
272	MD HABIBULLAH	52211685002184	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 204, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
273	MD JAINUL HOQUE	22211675003329	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	1	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
274	MD MAHABUB ALAM	22211685003849	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 101, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

275	MD MAMUN RASID	22211687000817	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
276	MD MIJANUL HAQUE	22211684007954	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	15	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 56, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
277	MD MUJIBUL ISLAM GAZI	32211685002319	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 167, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
278	MD NAZIBULLAH	52211684002853	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W136, WAITLISTED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W161, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
279	MD NUR ALAM	22211685002088	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 156, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
280	MD RAFIQUE ALAM	22211688000597	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
281	MD RAFIULLAH	52211676001385	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 75, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
282	MD RAKIBUL HASAN	22211685006755	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 219, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
283	MD RUHUL AMIN	22211688000936	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
284	MD RUHUL AMIN SK	22211676007108	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 450, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
285	MD SALAHUDDIN SHAIKH	22211675007440	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	14	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 75, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
286	MD SAMIULLA MONDAL	52211675000409	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	19	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
287	MEGHALA MAITY	42211675007362	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 246, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
288	MIJANUR SARKAR	22211675003774	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 56, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
289	MINARUL HOQUE	22211675003095	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 318, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
290	MIR BODIOZZAMAN	22211687002186	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 208, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
291	MIR HOSEN ALI	32211675004033	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	18	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 79, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
292	MIRA MAITY	42211688001765	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
293	MITALI CHATTERJEE	12211676002036	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

294	MITHU DAS	22211684003535	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	28	{SC, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
295	MITHUN CHANDRA MANDAL	22211676003576	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	5	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W9, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
296	MITHUN MONDOL	22211676001923	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	6	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 126, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
297	MOBARAK HOSSAIN	22211685002095	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 95, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
298	MOBAROCK MALLICK	12211686001335	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
299	MOHAMMAD HOSSAIN	22211684007940	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 100, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
300	MOHIUL ISLAM MONDAL	32211675002830	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 187, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
301	MOKADDESH ALI MOLLA	32211675002775	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 183, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
302	MOMINUL HOSSAIN	22211685003391	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 41, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
303	MONALISA ROY	52211676001223	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
304	MONIRUL ISLAM	22211687001831	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 180, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
305	MOUMITA GHOSH	12211684003151	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 188, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
306	MOUMITA MAJEE	32211685000267	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
307	MOUMITA MONDAL	42211688002703	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
308	MOUMITA PANDA	42211676003641	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 204, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
309	MOUMITA PARIA	32211684003143	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 169, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
310	MOUMITA SINGHA	42211684003065	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	14	{ST, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{ST, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

311	MOUSUMI MUKHERJEE	42211685003551	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
312	MOUSUMI BARMAN	22211684000331	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	21	{SC, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
313	MOUSUMI ROY	42211686002801	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
314	MRINAL KANTI BHUNIA	42211685002700	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
315	MRITUNJOY SAHA	12211675000240	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	29	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
316	MUKUNDA SARKAR	22211684004248	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	32	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
317	MUNIYA MONDAL	12211675002153	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, FEMALE, W29, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W23, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
318	MUSTAFIJUR RAHAMAN	22211686000916	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
319	NAMITA ADAK	12211686001467	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 50, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
320	NAMITA RANI JANA	42211685002710	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 160, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
321	NAMITA SARKAR	22211675003477	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 141, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
322	NANDA KUMAR MANDAL	42211688001503	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
323	NANDAGOPAL BARMAN	22211684003554	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	3	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
324	NANDAN MANDAL	32211675002664	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
325	NARAYAN CHANDRA JHA	22211686000870	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
326	NASFUN NEHAR	22211675003587	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	1	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
327	NASIMA KHATUN	52211685000228	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 139, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
328	NASIR ALI CHOWDHURY	42211685003145	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 97, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

329	NASRIN JAHAN KHATUN	32211675003074	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 256, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
330	NAWAB MIRZA HAYAT	22211684002833	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
331	NIBEDITA DEY	42211685001459	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
332	NILAY GHOSH	22211685002235	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
333	NILUFAR YEASMIN	22211675003118	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 301, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
334	NIMAI SAMANTA	42211685002543	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
335	NIRMAL ROY	12211675004575	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 362, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
336	NIRMALENDU DEVNATH	42211685004192	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 69, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
337	NIRUPAM DAS	42211685004552	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 164, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
338	NITYA NANDA PAN	42211685003549	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	48	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W58, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
339	NIVA KHATUN	22211685006635	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
340	NOUSIN AKTAR	22211685006638	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
341	NUR HOSSAIN ALI	22211685000675	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 129, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
342	NURUL ISLAM	22211685002573	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 179, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
343	PABITRA SHIT	42211676003984	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	24	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

344	PALASH BHUNYA	42211675007157	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
345	PAMPA BHUNIA	32211675004347	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 233, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
346	PAPIYA PATRA	32211675000732	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
347	PARAMITA PRAMANIK	42211685004709	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 93, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
348	PARIMAL MONDAL	52211675005235	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
349	PARSURAM SINGHA	22211675002768	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
350	PARTHA BARAI	42211684004216	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	2	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 107, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
351	PARTHA SARATHI MAITY	42211685004121	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
352	PARTHA SARATHI MONDAL	12211676000461	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 241, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
353	PARVINA MANDAL	52211675006394	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
354	PAYEL SAMANTA	42211676004616	ENGLISH(GRAD)	48	5	{GEN, FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 134, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
355	PIJUSH KANTI BARMAN	22211685000891	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 82, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
356	PIJUSH KANTI SANTRA	42211685004221	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 142, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
357	PIJUSH MONDAL	22211684008352	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 110, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
358	PINAKI GHANTA	42211687001484	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
359	PINTU KUMAR JUI	42211686002694	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
360	PINTU KUMAR KHATUA	42211676003939	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 472, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
361	PIYALI BALA	52211675004731	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{SC, FEMALE, W30, WAITLISTED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W64, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
362	PRABIR SARKAR	22211675005367	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 384, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

363	PRAKASH MAHATO	22211685001968	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 106, EMPANELLED},{ST, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
364	PRANAB KUMAR HALDER	32211685003122	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 104, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
365	PRANABANANDA SINGHA	22211686001304	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 72, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
366	PRAPTI CHOWDHURY	22211675003414	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, FEMALE, W30, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W24, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
367	PRASANJIT PAUL	22211684003192	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 102, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
368	PRASANTA ROY	22211684003422	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
369	PRASENJIT ROY	22211675003431	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 386, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
370	PRASUN DAS	42211685004013	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
371	PRATIK MAJUMDER	52211688001269	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 79, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
372	PRATIMA MAITI	42211686002311	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
373	PRATIMA MANDAL	32211685002963	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 74, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
374	PRITAM SINGH ARIYA	22211675003147	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
375	PRITHWIRAJ MANDAL	42211676004681	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 499, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
376	PRITIKANA BERA	42211685005140	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 87, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
377	PRITISHA DAS	42211675004432	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 169, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
378	PRIYANKA DAS	22211676000347	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 126, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

379	PRIYANKA MONDAL	32211675003577	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 119, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
380	PROLOY LEKRI	42211688001256	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 77, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
381	PROSENJIT MONDAL	22211675008534	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 164, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
382	PROSENJIT ROY	22211684004569	HISTORY(GRAD)	54	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 93, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
383	PROTAP PAUL	22211687001310	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
384	PROVAT BISWAS	52211675006675	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 344, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
385	PUJA DUTTA	42211675005475	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
386	PUJA GUPTA	22211675003218	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
387	PULOK SARKAR	22211686001020	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
388	PUPU HAZRA	42211675006112	BENGALI(GRAD)	54	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 175, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
389	PURBASA JANA	42211675006939	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
390	PURBASHA SAHA	22211675008713	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 273, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
391	PURNENDU MONDAL	22211675008492	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 201, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
392	PURNIMA CHOWDHURY	22211675010786	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 236, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
393	RABI KARAN	42211688001846	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
394	RABI SAHOO	32211688001683	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
395	RABINDRA NATH MANI	42211675007068	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 103, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
396	RAFIUDDIN AKHAND	32211688001461	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

397	RAGHU NATH MANDAL	22211676003530	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
398	RAJ KUMAR SHEE	42211686002134	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
399	RAJASHREE JANA SANTRA	42211686002588	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
400	RAJESH BANERJEE	32211688000716	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 66, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
401	RAJESH GHOSH	52211685003752	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 92, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
402	RAJIB MONDAL	22211675007114	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 68, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
403	RAJSEKHAR DAS	32211675004042	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 271, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
404	RAKSHI KARJEE	22211685000132	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
405	RAMA MONDAL	52211688001590	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
406	RANA BARMAN	22211676002681	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
407	RANAJIT ROY	42211688002462	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
408	RANJAN BAR	42211686001919	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
409	RASHIDUL HOSSAIN	22211685000092	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
410	RATAN RAY PODDAR	22211675001314	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W168, WAITLISTED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W18, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
411	RATHIN KUMAR SAHA	12211675001245	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 143, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
412	RINKI RANI JANA	42211675007446	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, FEMALE, 68, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 142, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
413	RINKU DEBNATH	12211684003138	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 97, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
414	RITA SANTRA	12211675006033	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 178, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
415	RITU RAJ	52211684003838	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

416	RITUPARNA MITRA	32211675003042	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, FEMALE, W170, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W153, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
417	RIYAJODDIN ISLAM	22211684003272	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	4	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 68, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
418	ROJINA KHATUN	22211676002487	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	6	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 161, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
419	RUBINA BANU	22211675004028	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 84, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
420	RUBINOOR YASMIN	22211685002106	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 89, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
421	RUHUL AMIN MOLLA	32211684000088	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
422	RUKSANA KHATUN	22211675002684	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 194, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
423	RUMKI MAL	42211676004175	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 215, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
424	RUSTAM ALI	22211675003490	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
425	SABEKUN NAHAR	22211684006640	HISTORY(GRAD)	52	16	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
426	SABYASACHI MUKHERJEE	22211686001512	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
427	SADDAM HOSSAIN	22211686002649	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
428	SADDAM MONDAL	52211687000247	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 179, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
429	SAHANAJ PARVIN	22211685002004	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 36, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 149, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
430	SAHEB DAS BOXI	42211685005101	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 75, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
431	SAHEB JANA	42211676004518	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
432	SAHID ALAM	22211676002002	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	28	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 112, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
433	SAHIN ALAM	22211676003155	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 476, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

434	SAIFUDDIN MONDAL	52211687000348	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 139, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
435	SAIKAT PALAI	42211685002139	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 67, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
436	SAIKAT ROY	32211687000291	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	11	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 75, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
437	SAIYAB ALI	22211675003230	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
438	SAJAL KUMAR MAHATO	42211685000195	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 176, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
439	SAMBHU NATH MANDAL	22211685001551	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 64, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
440	SAMEYA BEGUM	12211675006213	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 237, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
441	SAMIM KARIM	22211685002459	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
442	SAMIM RAHAMAN	12211685002260	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 100, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
443	SAMIR KUMAR JANA	42211687002596	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
444	SAMIR MAL	42211675007095	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 166, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
445	SAMPA CHATTERJEE	52211688001322	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
446	SAMPA DAS	32211675004305	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 86, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
447	SAMPA PRADHAN	42211675005900	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
448	SAMRAT GHOSH	12211686000065	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 64, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
449	SAMRAT GHOSH	22211688001812	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 75, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
450	SANATAN BAG	42211686001395	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
451	SANATAN MONDAL	12211675000990	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W146, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
452	SANATAN PORIA	42211675007310	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 211, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
453	SANCHITA DAS	12211675005734	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 189, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

454	SANDIP MONDAL	42211676004692	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W18, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
455	SANGEETA HATI	12211675003724	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
456	SANGITA DEYASHI	32211675000676	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 196, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
457	SANGITA MAITY	42211675006607	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, FEMALE, W141, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W123, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
458	SANJIB KUMAR DEY	52211686000206	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
459	SANJIB MAITY	42211686002197	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
460	SANJIT MANDAL	32211685003178	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 110, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
461	SANJIT SEN	22211685004596	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 50, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
462	SANJOY DEBSARMA	22211675003255	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 212, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
463	SANJOY MAL	42211675004828	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 110, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
464	SANJOY MONDAL	22211675004446	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 103, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
465	SANTOSHI MALIK	12211675004523	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 237, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
466	SANTU DAS	42211676003697	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 66, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
467	SANTU HAZRA	42211676004549	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 248, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
468	SARAMA SAMAI	42211675007717	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 99, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
469	SARAT JANA	32211685002761	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
470	SARBARI SARKAR	22211676003199	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 147, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
471	SARFARAJ HOSSAIN	22211675004556	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 94, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
472	SARFARAJ NAWAJ ALI	22211688001991	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

473	SARFARAJ NIAJ	22211675004541	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 102, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
474	SASANKA MANNA	42211686002672	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
475	SASWATA BIKASH MAITY	42211676004183	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 121, EMPANELLED},{PH-ANY, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{PH-OH, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
476	SATABDI MANNA GIRI	42211675006176	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, FEMALE, W21, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W15, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
477	SATADAL JANA	42211686002943	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
478	SATADAL SINHA	42211685005356	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	14	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
479	SATYAJIT MAITY	42211688002206	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
480	SAUMITRA MANNA	42211687002764	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 261, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 41, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
481	SAVANA KHATUN	42211684003279	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, FEMALE, W107, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W131, WAITLISTED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, W36, WAITLISTED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W110, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
482	SAYANI MITRA	32211675000247	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 374, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
483	SHAMPA BATABYAL	12211675003662	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 304, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
484	SHANTANU BISWAS	52211687001307	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 311, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
485	SHARMISTHA BARURI	52211685003678	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 71, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
486	SHARMISTHA GHOSH	52211675004382	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, FEMALE, W167, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W151, WAITLISTED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, W77, WAITLISTED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, W127, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
487	SHIB SANKAR DAS	32211684001175	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 99, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
488	SHIBA MALAKAR	22211686000886	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

489	SHIBABRATA THAKUR	12211675004397	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 180, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
490	SHILA RANI MANTRI	42211685005010	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 56, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
491	SHRABANTI BANERJEE	42211684004195	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 213, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
492	SHREYASI JHA	22211684003602	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
493	SHUBHABRATA PRAMANICK	22211685005835	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 118, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
494	SHUVANKAR DUTTA	42211685004450	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 178, EMPANELLED},{PH-ANY, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{PH-HI, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
495	SHYAMALI RAY	22211684000090	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	20	{SC, FEMALE, W64, WAITLISTED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W134, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
496	SIKHA MAITY	42211685002527	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
497	SINDHU REKHA DAS	42211684004124	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	5	{SC, FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 130, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
498	SIRAJUL ISLAM	22211684007592	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
499	SK ISMAIL ALI	42211685005529	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
500	SK JABED KADERI	42211684003640	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
501	SK SAMIDUL HAQUE	32211688000323	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
502	SOHEL RANA KHAN	22211686001040	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
503	SOLEMAN ALI	22211675002928	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	19	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
504	SOMA PRAMANIK	42211675006374	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 152, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

505	SOMDATTA MISHRA	42211688002434	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
506	SOMENATH GHOSH	12211675004201	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 50, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
507	SOMNATH BERA	42211686002239	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
508	SOMNATH DAS	42211685005094	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	5	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 82, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
509	SOMNATH GHOSH	12211686000021	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
510	SOMNATH MUKHERJEE	22211688001871	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 64, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
511	SONALI DAS	42211684004332	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
512	SONALI JANA	42211675007182	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 333, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
513	SONIA JANA	42211688002716	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
514	SOUMEN KAR	42211686002615	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
515	SOUMEN KUMAR BERA	42211676005032	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 63, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
516	SOUMEN KUMAR DAS	42211685004726	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 115, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
517	SOUMEN MANI	42211685005243	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 148, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
518	SOUMEN MONDAL	42211686002597	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	10	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
519	SOUMEN MONDAL	42211685003741	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 161, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
520	SOUMI MONDAL	42211685004252	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
521	SOUMITRA MAL	42211675007004	BENGALI(GRAD)	51	4	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 196, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
522	SOUMYA MAHAPATRA	42211688001098	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
523	SOURIN SHIT	42211688002131	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

524	SOUVEEK CHANDRA DAS	52211685002440	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 77, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
525	SOVAN DOGRA	42211687002978	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
526	SOVANA BERA	42211676003738	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
527	SRABANI SAHA	12211684000907	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	29	{SC, FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 87, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
528	SRABANTI HAZRA	42211675004298	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 170, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
529	SREYASI SINGHA	52211675003264	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
530	SRIBASH MONDAL	22211685001779	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 42, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
531	SRIDHAR MONDAL	42211686001279	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 40, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
532	SUBAL SARKAR	22211675004586	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 385, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
533	SUBARNA HALDER	12211676001610	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 194, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
534	SUBHA ADHIKARY	32211687000292	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 161, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
535	SUBHABRATA CHATTERJEE	12211688001451	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
536	SUBHADIPTA GHOSH	22211685002759	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 114, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
537	SUBHANKAR MANDAL	22211685005847	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 91, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
538	SUBHASHIS ROY	32211685002213	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
539	SUBHASISH KHANDA	42211688000791	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	22	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
540	SUBHRANSU HAZRA	42211676004475	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 408, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
541	SUBIR KUMAR MONDAL	22211685005856	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	12	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 123, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
542	SUBRATA GHOSH	22211675003473	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 174, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

543	SUBRATA GHOSH	12211684003373	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 189, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
544	SUBRATA KUMAR SENAPATI	42211688002547	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
545	SUDARSHAN MISHRA	42211688002704	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
546	SUDIP MONDAL	22211675008516	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
547	SUDIP SARKAR	22211675003193	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
548	SUDIPTA DAS	22211675003392	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
549	SUGYAN BARMAN	22211675003014	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	12	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
550	SUJATA DEY SARKAR	22211687000672	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	16	{GEN, FEMALE, 87, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 538, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
551	SUJIT RAKSHIT	52211675003596	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 190, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
552	SUJOY DEBNATH	52211685003654	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 103, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
553	SUKANTA DUTTA	42211685001111	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
554	SUKANTA MANNA	32211687000294	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
555	SUKDEV BAL	42211686002623	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	1	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 56, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
556	SUKESH MAITY	42211685004928	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 63, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
557	SUMAN KALYAN SAHOO	42211676003647	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	30	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
558	SUMAN KUMAR DHAR	22211685005868	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 175, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
559	SUMAN KUMAR MAITY	42211687002843	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
560	SUMAN KUMAR PRADHAN	42211685004005	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 81, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
561	SUMAN MAITI	32211676001775	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W69, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
562	SUMANTA SARATHI KAR	42211675001606	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 73, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
563	SUMI GUPTA	22211688000918	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	19	{GEN, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

564	SUMIT MAITY	42211688001634	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
565	SUMITA RANI MAITY	42211688001973	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	11	{GEN, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
566	SUNIL KUMAR ROY	22211675003544	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 228, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
567	SUNIT SAHA	22211685005881	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	29	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 128, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
568	SUPARNA DAS	42211675004648	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{GEN, FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
569	SUPARNA SHIT	42211685004061	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	2	{GEN, FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 68, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
570	SUPRITI PARIA	32211675001685	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, FEMALE, 70, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 144, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
571	SUPRIYA PARUA	32211687000949	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	5	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 100, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
572	SUPRIYA RANI GUCHHAIT	42211676002407	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, FEMALE, 60, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 109, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
573	SURAJIT MANDAL	12211675001301	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W158, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
574	SURANJAN BANIK	12211675003973	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	27	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 65, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
575	SURYA KANTA PRAMANIK	42211684004092	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	8	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 148, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
576	SUSAMA DAS	32211684003119	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 95, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
577	SUSHABHAN SINGHA	22211685003047	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
578	SUSMITA BALIDA MANDAL	42211675007001	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	3	{SC, FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
579	SUSMITA DAS	52211688001618	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 42, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
580	SUSMITA GHOSH	22211686002655	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	21	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
581	SUSMITA SAHA	12211675001402	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{SC, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

582	SUTAPA GHORAI	42211684001819	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, FEMALE, W105, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W129, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
583	SUVENDU KARAN	42211687002028	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
584	SWADESH MAITY	42211675005260	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 140, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
585	SWAPAN BERA	42211688001783	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 37, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
586	SWAPAN SASMAL	42211676004531	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 297, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
587	SWARNAV GANTAIT	42211685005270	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
588	SYED MAINUDDIN ISLAM	12211688000151	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	26	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 22, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
589	SYED MUSTAFA HAMID	12211685002308	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	31	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 120, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
590	SYED NAYEB ALI	12211675003715	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
591	SYED SAKIL AHMED	22211675008741	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	17	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 42, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
592	TAHAMINA KHATUN	52211684003888	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	32	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 96, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
593	TANIA BEGAM	22211684004536	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 91, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
594	TANMAY MISHRA	42211675003943	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 340, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
595	TANMOY CHAKRABORTY	52211675006670	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	23	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 215, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
596	TANUKA DAS	42211675003180	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, FEMALE, W159, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W143, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
597	TANWEE DAS	32211675003841	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	6	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 186, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
598	TANYEER SABIBA BOKSH	22211688000716	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	20	{GEN, FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
599	TAPAS KUMAR GHOSH	12211688000233	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 83, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
600	TAPAS KUMAR PRADHAN	32211675004163	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	4	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 179, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

601	TAPATI PATRA	42211685001369	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 113, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
602	TARIQUE ANWER	22211685001801	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 98, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
603	TARUN KUMAR MAJI	42211675007636	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	7	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 55, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
604	TOFIQUL ISLAM	22211675005602	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 203, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
605	TONMOY CHAKRABORTY	12211675003679	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	8	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
606	TOUFIKUL ALAM	52211675002777	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	26	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
607	TULIKA BHOWMICK	42211685005267	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	25	{GEN, FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
608	TUMPA RANI MONDAL	42211675007046	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	13	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
609	URMEE GHOSH	22211685005788	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	15	{GEN, FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 119, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
610	UTPAL KR SARKAR	22211685004660	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	9	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
611	UTPAL MANDAL	22211685004219	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	18	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 99, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
612	UTSA ROY	32211687000505	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	13	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
613	UTTAM BALA	42211685005423	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	24	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 79, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
614	UTTAM BARMAN	22211675003228	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	10	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 219, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
615	UTTAM DEBSARMA	22211676002460	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	7	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 84, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
616	VEENA KUMARI	22211684003596	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	28	{GEN, FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
617	WASHIFUR RAHMAN	22211688001841	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	3	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
618	YAQUB ALI	22211688001015	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	0	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 53, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

619	SUMANTA GHOSH	12211685002405	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	33	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 73, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
620	MD MASIDUR RAHAMAN	22211675005299	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	33	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 115, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
621	SUBRATA BARMAN	22211684001572	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	33	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
622	SUBRATA MONDAL	32211675003801	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	33	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 139, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
623	PINTU KUMAR KAR	42211676002567	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	33	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 318, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
624	ANKITA BHATTACHARYA	42211676004683	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	33	{GEN, FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
625	BHOLANATH SHIT	42211684003681	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	33	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 150, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
626	SATYABRATA PRAMANIK	12211675001131	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
627	DIPIKA BISWAS	22211675003010	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 116, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
628	TANUSHRE DAS	22211675003127	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 298, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
629	INDRAJIT GHOSH	22211675004290	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 197, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
630	SHYAMALIMA SARKAR	22211676000629	ENGLISH(GRAD)	48	29	{GEN, FEMALE, 62, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 112, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
631	SADEK ALAM	22211676002367	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	34	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 77, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
632	GAURANGA MONDAL	22211684002990	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 92, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
633	SONIA SARKAR	22211684003195	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 175, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 12, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
634	SUPARNA SINGHA	32211685000923	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	45	26	{GEN, FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 137, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
635	TAPAN SAMANTA	42211676001825	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 125, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
636	KAMAL PATRA	42211685004216	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 155, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

637	DEBALINA PRADHAN	42211685004355	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
638	SAIKAT KUMAR BERA	42211686002399	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
639	AMBIKA TRIPATHY	42211686002751	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	41	22	{GEN, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 150, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
640	CHANDAN KHANRA	42211688001927	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 84, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
641	KAJAL BISWAS	52211685003585	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 26, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
642	TRISA MONDAL	12211675001204	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, FEMALE, W73, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W65, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
643	ABHISHEK CHATTERJEE	12211676002746	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
644	ANIRBAN MAITY	12211685002492	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
645	ANARUL MIA	22211675001430	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W167, WAITLISTED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W97, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
646	MANASI BARMAN	22211675003060	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 191, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
647	PINKY PARVIN	22211675005614	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 39, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
648	SHRIKANTA SINGHA	22211676002562	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	35	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
649	PRASENJIT BARMAN	22211684000146	HISTORY(GRAD)	54	36	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
650	MD WAHED REZA	22211684008498	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 48, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
651	RAJKIRAN SHAIKH	22211685005575	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 38, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
652	PRAKASH GHOSH	22211687001585	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 58, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
653	SUMAN PAL	22211687001870	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
654	AKAS DAS	42211675004469	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 64, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
655	BISWAJIT DAS	42211675005990	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	35	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 159, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

656	SWAPAN DUARI	42211676003863	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
657	TIMIR BARAN JANA	42211676004417	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 249, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
658	BISWAJIT DAS	42211684003470	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	35	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 141, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
659	BRAJADULAL GIRI	42211685004575	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 28, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
660	SANDIP KUMAR MANDAL	42211687002828	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
661	UJJWAL KUMAR ROY	42211688001835	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
662	MIHIR SARKAR	52211685002489	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 242, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
663	RUMA BHATTACHARYA	12211676000632	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 440, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
664	BALARAM SEN	12211685002263	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
665	RUHUL AMIN	22211675005034	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	36	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 107, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
666	CHIRANJIT KR MONDAL	22211675008449	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 200, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
667	SOMNATH ACHARYYA	22211676005666	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
668	JAHIRUDDIN SARKAR	22211684002996	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 143, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 21, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
669	RAJINA KHATUN	22211685001892	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
670	PARTHA SARATHI GHOSH	22211685005885	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
671	BINOY KUMAR BAG	42211675002240	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 29, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
672	MALLIKA BHATTACHARYA	42211675004710	BENGALI(GRAD)	50	33	{GEN, FEMALE, W97, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
673	SARMISTHA MAITI	42211675006634	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, FEMALE, 61, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 125, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
674	BANASHREE GHORAI	42211675007404	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

675	RITA MAJI	42211684001675	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
676	SUSMITA BHATTA	42211684002257	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, FEMALE, W14, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W3, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
677	UTTAM OJHA	42211688002593	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
678	ARUN KUMAR BOSE	52211684003075	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	36	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
679	SHYAMAL MUHURI	52211685003862	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 136, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
680	SANGITA RUDRA	12211675000179	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 341, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
681	SHYAMAL MAITY	12211675004798	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 123, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
682	SUBHENDU KARMAKAR	12211685002391	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
683	IMRAN HOSSAIN MULLICK	12211685002651	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
684	JANUDA KHATUN	22211675002941	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 338, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
685	KRISHNA ROY	22211675003459	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{SC, FEMALE, 27, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 56, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
686	PALASH MONDAL	22211675007355	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 380, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 51, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
687	NIRMAL KUMAR MONDAL	22211675008658	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 70, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
688	REZAKUL ISLAM	22211676000069	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	37	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 114, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
689	BIDHAN BARMAN	22211676000159	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 288, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
690	EKRAMUL HOQUE	22211676005663	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 243, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
691	TARANA YESMIN	22211684009238	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	37	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 45, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
692	KUHELI GHOSH	32211684001433	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	37	{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
693	DEBASIS DAS	32211685000683	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 4, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

694	PRANATI MAHAPATRA	42211675006387	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, FEMALE, W58, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W46, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
695	SHAMBHU BARMAN	42211675005796	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 80, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
696	SUJAY MAITY	42211675007361	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 52, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
697	RAJIB KUMAR MANNA	42211675007464	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W9, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
698	DEBGOPAL DINDA	42211676003524	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 301, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
699	ARINDAM ACHARJYA	42211676004628	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 132, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
700	SUBHADEEP TRIPATHY	42211685005260	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
701	MD ASHIK MONDAL	52211684004883	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	37	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
702	KHAIRUL ANUM	12211675003389	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 185, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
703	SWAPNA BASAK	12211684003011	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	38	{OBC-B, FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
704	HASANUJJAMAN	22211675002924	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 82, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
705	RABINDRA NATH BARMAN	22211676002373	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 433, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
706	ANANNYA KAMAL	22211676004847	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 2, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
707	SANCHITA MANDAL	22211676005691	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
708	UMASANKAR MANDAL	32211676001523	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	38	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
709	SK MEHDI HASAN	32211684000324	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	38	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
710	IRA GHOSH	32211684001132	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, FEMALE, W89, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W117, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
711	NITAI SHIT	32211684002842	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 85, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

712	NAKUL CHANDRA BARMAN	42211675005519	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 226, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
713	SAHEB MAITY	42211675006985	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 66, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
714	RAMCHANDRA KAR	42211687002293	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	53	38	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 96, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
715	SAFARUDDIN MONDAL	52211675006461	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	38	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 35, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
716	SOUMITRA DEBNATH	12211675003907	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W157, WAITLISTED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, W142, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
717	MANOJ SHIL	12211675003935	BENGALI(GRAD)	50	36	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 106, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
718	RAJANYA CHANDA	22211675002002	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
719	ASAD ALI	22211684003634	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 101, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
720	MD HAMIMULLA	22211684008260	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	39	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W124, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
721	SK IMRANUR RAHAMAN	32211675001082	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 34, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 5, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
722	MD MOFARJEL MOLLA	32211675001216	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 107, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
723	SARMISTHA ADAK	32211684000245	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, FEMALE, W43, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W38, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
724	GOUR CHANDRA PATRA	42211675003543	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 274, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
725	ARCHANA SAU	42211676003243	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 86, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 9, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 11, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
726	ANIRUDDHA BHUNIA	42211686002083	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	26	12	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, W143, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
727	IRA BISWAS	52211676000214	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 358, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 24, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

728	ARPITA SARKAR	52211685003757	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	53	39	{GEN, FEMALE, 6, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 18, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 1, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 3, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
729	APARNA ROY	22211675004202	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 317, EMPANELLED},{SC, FEMALE, 16, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 32, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
730	PRAGATI SAHA	22211676001930	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 417, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
731	SANTOSH DEBSARMA	22211684003222	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 19, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
732	SABANA YEASMIN	22211684005139	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 44, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
733	NISITH KUMAR MANDAL	22211684008307	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 105, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 15, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
734	MOUSUMI KAR	32211684000111	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, FEMALE, 14, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 43, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
735	SOURAV PAL	32211684001560	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W122, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
736	AMRITARANI GIRI	32211684002997	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 94, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
737	SOMA MAITY	42211675004095	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 302, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, FEMALE, 25, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 54, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
738	DEBASIS PRADHAN	42211675006034	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 352, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
739	NISHI KANTA GAYEN	42211676004038	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 145, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
740	KRISHNENDU BISWAS	52211675002831	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	40	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 59, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
741	RAMKRISHNA CHOWDHURY	12211675001138	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 252, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 46, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
742	TUHIN CHANDRA MONDAL	12211676001073	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 62, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
743	SUMAN KUMAR DEY	12211685001772	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	47	35	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 90, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
744	PRABIR BARMAN	22211684001229	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	41	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 17, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
745	SARVANU BOSE	32211685001130	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	46	34	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 171, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
746	SOUMITA MONDAL	42211675001729	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 160, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

747	SUDIPA MONDAL	42211675001730	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, FEMALE, 7, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 10, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
748	ADARSHA MANDAL	42211675002493	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 62, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
749	SAMARESH PRAMANIK	42211675003963	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 98, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
750	AMIT MAITY	42211675007370	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	41	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 68, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
751	MASUDA KHATUN	22211675004428	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	42	{OBC-A, FEMALE, 23, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 57, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
752	ARINDAM DUTTA	22211684008361	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	42	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 89, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
753	DEBASISH GHORAI	42211684004416	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	42	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 212, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
754	ELIUS SIGAR ULLYA	22211675001310	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 102, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 13, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
755	SANGITA SINGH	22211676002454	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 201, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
756	AFJAL HOSSAIN	22211676005484	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 152, EMPANELLED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 8, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
757	SHILA RANI JANA	32211675003797	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 312, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
758	APARNA DEY	42211675005238	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 208, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
759	SUSANTA MAITY	42211675007075	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 334, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
760	SUSANTA MAITY	42211676001708	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 176, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
761	PALASH GIRI	42211676004036	ENGLISH(GRAD)	53	43	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 184, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
762	SOURAV SAM	12211675001919	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	44	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W128, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
763	SYED SAIFUR RAHAMAN	22211675008740	BENGALI(GRAD)	51	42	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 31, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
764	AJANTA PANJA	32211684003000	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	44	{GEN, FEMALE, W16, WAITLISTED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W7, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
765	ANIMESH DHAOA	42211684002636	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	44	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 42, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
766	LIPIKA BERA	42211685002525	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	38	29	{SC, FEMALE, 20, EMPANELLED},{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 78, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
767	ARIF MAHAMMAD SK	52211684001044	HISTORY(GRAD)	52	43	{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

768	IMRAN HABIB MONDAL	52211684004784	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	44	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, W105, WAITLISTED},{OBC-A, MALE/FEMALE, W46, WAITLISTED}	RECOMMENDED
769	MADHUMITA KOLE	42211675002682	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	45	{GEN, FEMALE, 47, EMPANELLED},{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 95, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
770	RABINDRA NATH SAMANTA	42211675007126	BENGALI(GRAD)	53	45	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 109, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
771	DILIP KUMAR MANNA	42211684002771	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	45	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 124, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
772	MD ZAHANGIR KHAN	12211684000403	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	46	{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 30, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
773	SANJIT KUMAR BARMAN	22211684001498	HISTORY(GRAD)	53	46	{SC, MALE/FEMALE, 49, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
774	NEELABRATA CHOUDHURY	12211687000138	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	39	33	{GEN, MALE/FEMALE, 996, EMPANELLED},{OBC-B, MALE/FEMALE, 158, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED
775	JHUMA MALAKAR	22211686000144	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	30	24	{OBC-B, FEMALE, 33, EMPANELLED}	RECOMMENDED

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

MAT 85 of 2023
Arising out of
WPA 13700 of 2023
In the matter of:
An application for stay
AND
In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors
.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS
Setab Uddin & Ors.
.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 13.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

Supriya Dubey
Advocate,
C/. Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Advocate
9, Old Post Office Street,
1st Floor, Kolkata -700 001.

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

ANNEXURE P-18

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

MAT 85 of 2023
Arising out of
WPA 13700 of 2023
In the matter of:
An application for stay
AND
In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja & Ors
.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS
Setab Uddin & Ors.
.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 18.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

I, Siddhartha Majumdar, Son of Sukhamoy Majumdar, aged about-62 years, by faith-Hindu,working as Chairman at the West Bengal Central School Service Commission, having its office at Acharya Sadan, 11 & 11/1, Block -EE, Salt Lake, Sector II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows:

1. That I am the Chairman of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to in short as the "Commission"). I am duly authorized and competent to affirm this affidavit.

2. That on 09.11.2023 the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench where all the writ petitions and appeals from which the set of proceedings arose may be assigned.

3. That on 05.12.2023 when the matters came up before the Hon'ble Division Bench Specially assigned to hear the matters, Their Lordships were pleased to direct the Commission to file a report in the form of an affidavit affirmed by the Chairman within 7 days from date wherein every member of Board of the School Service Commission are to meet in order to apprise the Court with regard to the points mentioned in the said solemn order dated 5.12.2023. In compliance with the said order dated 5.12.23 a report in the form of affidavit was affirmed and filed in Court on 13.12.2023.

4. On 13.12.2023 after perusing the report of the Commission, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to observe that the Commission is yet to take a final decision with regard to the invocation of the Withdrawal Rule in respect of the candidates and directed the Commission to file an affidavit stating its stand. In compliance with the said order dated 13.12.23 a report in the form of affidavit was affirmed and filed in Court on 18.12.2023.

5. On 18.12.2023 after perusing the report of the Commission affirmed on 18.12.2023, the Hon'ble Court has been pleased to direct the Commission to file a further report in the form of an affidavit on 20.12.2023.

6. In compliance with the said solemn direction dated 18.12.23, a further report prepared by the Chairman of the Commission is being filed with the instant affidavit.

   Copy of the said report prepared by the Chairman is annexed herewith and marked with the letter R-1.

7. That the statements made in paragraph 1 is true to my knowledge and those made in paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are information derive from the records which I verily believe to be true and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared in my office

Supriya Dubey
Advocate

The deponent is known to me

Clerk to : Mr.

Advocate

Solemnly affirmed before me on
this day of December, 2023

COMMISSIONER

608

4

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

Report in connection with 1st SLST, 2016 (IX-X & XI-XII) & 3rd RLST (NT), 2016 prepared in compliance with order dated 18.12.2023.

RANK JUMPING ISSUE:

Class IX-X:			
Number identified so far	Action taken	Remaining	Remarks
183 +2 new cases	Pg 6, 122 removed	Pg 9, 61 Out of 61, ~~Since~~ No PT 40	All identified rank jumping cases are post-expiry of the Panel.

Class XI-XII:			
Number identified so far	Action taken	Remaining	Remarks
39	-----	Pg 11, 39 Out of 39 - No PT 16	All identified rank jumping cases are post-expiry of the Panel.

* In Gr-C & Gr-D cases, no rank jumping issue has so far been identified in the official record of Regional Commissions. as denied, no reasons beyond expiry *

OMR ISSUE:

Class IX-X:

Candidates identified by CBI in OMR issue	952 - Uploaded
Recommended out of 952 cases	823
Number increased by 01 mark in different court cases	15 * genuine
Actual number of Mismatch cases in marks based on CBI data	823 - 15 = 808
Rule 17 applied	775 - Already explained in 2nd Affidavit
Remaining	Pg 12 — 33 808

5

Class XI-XII:

Candidates identified by CBI in OMR issue	907 - uploaded SC order
Recommended out of 907 cases	Pg 13 — 771
Action taken so far	-------

Gr-C:

Candidates identified by CBI in OMR issue	3480	
Unique candidates in 3480 cases	3478 — 2 name recorded twice	
Recommended out of 3478 cases	785 - Uploaded. 2 withdrawn also uploaded	
Actual number of Mismatch cases in OMR marks based on CBI data out of 785 cases	Pg 31 — 783 - Uploaded	783+57 = 840 Removed in compliance to the orders of the Hon'ble Court
Cases not recommended by Commission but appointment issued	Pg 51 — 57 - Uploaded	

Gr-D:

Candidates identified by CBI in OMR issue	2823	
Recommended out of 2823 cases	1741	
Actual number of Mismatch cases in OMR marks based on CBI data out of 1741 cases	Pg 53 — 1741 - Uploaded	174/0 + 1770 = 1941 Removed in compliance to the order of the Hon'ble Court
Cases not recommended by Commission but appointment issued	Pg 88 — 170 - Uploaded	

Pg 92 NB: List of candidates called for 8th Phase Counseling on 3.08.2020 (Classes IX-X): All candidates were called strictly on the basis of merit but after expiry of panel. 184 called 127 present

Gr-C - 381 } less 57 = 324 Post Expiry / not recommended
Gr-D - 609 } as per official record } RC
less - 170 = 439

324 + 439 = cannot cancel as not in record
57 + 170 = removed as per CBI order

Chairman, WBCSSC

6

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 122 IX-X CANDIDATES OF 1ST SLST, 2016 OUT OF 183 CANDIDATES UNDER RANK JUMPING ISSUE ALREADY REMOVED:

SL	NAME	ROLL	SUBJECT
1	ABDUS SATTER MANDAL	22211675003120	BENGALI
2	ABHISHEK SANTRA	42211676003137	ENGLISH
3	AISUR ALI KHAN	42211688000471	LIFE SCIENCE
4	AKSHOY KUMAR DAS	42211676002945	ENGLISH
5	ALAUDDIN SHAIKH	22211676005716	ENGLISH
6	ANANYA MAHAPATRA	42211688002157	LIFE SCIENCE
7	ARUN KUMAR SINHA	22211687000533	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
8	ASIM KUMAR JANA	42211676004415	ENGLISH
9	ASIT KUMAR SAHA	12211676000141	ENGLISH
10	AVIJIT ROY	22211687001871	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
11	BAKUL MOMIN	22211676005790	ENGLISH
12	BANIBRATA GHORAI	42211676004574	ENGLISH
13	BANKIM BARMAN	22211675001246	BENGALI
14	BENJIR PARVIN	52211688000186	LIFE SCIENCE
15	BIPLAB BISWAS	52211676001238	ENGLISH
16	BIPUL CHANDRA BARMAN	22211676002273	ENGLISH
17	BISWAJIT ROY	22211675008649	BENGALI
18	BITHIKA SARKAR	22211676000855	ENGLISH
19	CHHAYA MONDAL	22211688001835	LIFE SCIENCE
20	DEBASHRI PAL	12211676002826	ENGLISH
21	DIBYENDU SAMAJDAR	22211687000745	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
22	DIPA PAL	22211675004270	BENGALI
23	DIPAK CHAKRABORTY	12211676000032	ENGLISH
24	DIPAK DAS	12211687000313	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
25	DOLON MONDAL	12211685000613	GEOGRAPHY
26	EHIA SEIKH	22211676005792	ENGLISH
27	ELORA HOQUE	22211675004431	BENGALI
28	EYASIN MONDAL	52211688001563	LIFE SCIENCE
29	FIROJ AHAMED	22211684002947	HISTORY
30	GOPAL BAG	42211688002096	LIFE SCIENCE
31	GORA CHAND DAS	12211676000573	ENGLISH
32	GURUPADA GHORAI	42211688001325	LIFE SCIENCE
33	IMAM MOMIN	22211676005770	ENGLISH
34	JAHEEDA KHATUN	22211675006364	BENGALI
35	JARINA BEGAM	22211685005871	GEOGRAPHY
36	JARJIS HOSSAIN	22211687001861	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
37	JASMINE NAHAR BEGUM	12211688000104	LIFE SCIENCE

38	JAYASREE SARKAR	22211676004254	ENGLISH
39	JHANTU HALDER	12211686000867	MATHEMATICS
40	JNUI DAS	42211675005963	BENGALI
41	JOYITA SINGHA	22211676005760	ENGLISH
42	JULFIKAR	22211687000718	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
43	JYOTI PRAKASH GHOSH	12211676002375	ENGLISH
44	JYOTI SARKAR	22211675004124	BENGALI
45	KARTIK SARKAR	22211676004390	ENGLISH
46	KHADEMUL ISLAM	32211686000351	MATHEMATICS
47	KIRANMOY PANDIT	42211676003513	ENGLISH
48	KRISHNA PANJA	42211685004911	GEOGRAPHY
49	KUNAL MITRA	52211687000482	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
50	LAXMI TARAFDAR	22211684004283	HISTORY
51	MADHUMITA CHOWDHURY	22211688001917	LIFE SCIENCE
52	MADHUSUDAN MANDAL	32211676000662	ENGLISH
53	MAHADEB BARMAN	22211675001387	BENGALI
54	MAHASIN KAMAL	12211687000331	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
55	MAHFUJUR RAHAMAN MANDAL	52211676002469	ENGLISH
56	MALLIKA KHATUN	22211684007242	HISTORY
57	MANAS KUMAR GURIA	42211676003611	ENGLISH
58	MANJURUL SARKAR	22211686001112	MATHEMATICS
59	MD AKRAM HOSSAIN	12211686000360	MATHEMATICS
60	MD AMIR HOSSAIN	22211686001825	MATHEMATICS
61	MD ARIFUDDIN	22211688001849	LIFE SCIENCE
62	MD AZAD ALI MIRZA	22211675005773	BENGALI
63	MD GOLAM RABBANI	22211676003308	ENGLISH
64	MD HASANUZZAMAN	22211676003912	ENGLISH
65	MD JASIMUDDIN SK	22211686002350	MATHEMATICS
66	MD KISMOTULLAH	22211676006136	ENGLISH
67	MD SADIKUL HASAN	22211685003739	GEOGRAPHY
68	MD SHARIYATULLAH	22211686002694	MATHEMATICS
69	MD TAZUDDIN AHAMED SHAFIL IMAM	12211687001348	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
70	MEHERUL HAQUE	12211686000237	MATHEMATICS
71	MEHERUN KHATUN	22211684008391	HISTORY
72	MINA PARVIN	22211684004206	HISTORY
73	MIR RUMANUL HAQUE	22211688001856	LIFE SCIENCE
74	MIRAJUL SEIKH	12211684000273	HISTORY
75	MONMOHAN ROY	22211675001796	BENGALI
76	MOSTAFA AL MAMUD	22211684001480	HISTORY
77	MOU KARMAKAR	12211676002444	ENGLISH
78	MOUMITA KUNDU	52211675005062	BENGALI
79	MUNNI MONDAL	22211676005704	ENGLISH
80	NACHIKETA GUCHHAIT	32211687001146	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
81	NANDITA BARMAN	22211676002251	ENGLISH

82	NAZERA KHATUN	22211685001904	GEOGRAPHY
83	NILMANI BARMAN	22211686001024	MATHEMATICS
84	NILOTPAL BERA	42211676004644	ENGLISH
85	PARTHA SARATHI NANDI	42211676004951	ENGLISH
86	PAYEL MONDAL	22211676005368	ENGLISH
87	PRASANTA MAITI	42211676004435	ENGLISH
88	PRITOM SAHA	22211676005803	ENGLISH
89	PRIYANKA DAN	22211685001508	GEOGRAPHY
90	PUSPA RANI GHOSH	42211685005126	GEOGRAPHY
91	RAJAT DUTTA	12211676000661	ENGLISH
92	RAJESH BANERJEE	22211688001881	LIFE SCIENCE
93	RAMJAN ALI	22211686001058	MATHEMATICS
94	RATAN LET	12211684000595	HISTORY
95	RATISH ROY	22211675002940	BENGALI
96	RESMA KHATUN	22211675005913	BENGALI
97	RINI SAHA	42211676002206	ENGLISH
98	ROSHNI SABNAM	52211687001158	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
99	RUNA LAILA	22211676004799	ENGLISH
100	SABIHA BILKIS	22211685005708	GEOGRAPHY
101	SALIMA KHATUN	22211685003774	GEOGRAPHY
102	SANDIP KONAR	12211676002128	ENGLISH
103	SANDIP MONDAL	22211676005786	ENGLISH
104	SHAMPA MANDAL	42211676004816	ENGLISH
105	SHARMILA MAHAPATRA	42211685002673	GEOGRAPHY
106	SIDDIK GAZI	52211686001543	MATHEMATICS
107	SK INSAN ALI	12211684002063	HISTORY
108	SMRITY RANI SINGHA	22211675003294	BENGALI
109	SOURAV KUMAR DAS ADHIKARI	42211676004454	ENGLISH
110	SUBHENDU HALDAR	22211676005738	ENGLISH
111	SUCHISMITA BERA	42211684004334	HISTORY
112	SUDESHNA LAHA	22211688001846	LIFE SCIENCE
113	SUJIT KUMAR PAL	22211687001894	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
114	SUKLA MITRA	12211685002418	GEOGRAPHY
115	SULTANA SARDAR	32211687001088	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
116	SUMON CHATTERJEE	22211688001810	LIFE SCIENCE
117	SUNITA MANDAL	22211687001709	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
118	SUSMITA BHUNIA BARMAN	42211685004225	GEOGRAPHY
119	TAPASI RAY	22211676000885	ENGLISH
120	TARUN KUMAR BERA	42211676004790	ENGLISH
121	UTTAM KUMAR GHOSH	12211687000299	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
122	WAHEEDA NASRIN	22211676005675	ENGLISH

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 61 IX-X CANDIDATES OF 1ST SLST, 2016 OUT OF 183 CANDIDATES UNDER RANK JUMPING ISSUE NOT YET REMOVED:

SL	NAME	ROLL	SUBJECT
1	ABANINDRA NATH MANDAL	22211685003268	GEOGRAPHY
2	ABHIJIT BAG	42211676004689	ENGLISH
3	AMIYATOSH SADHUKHAN	32211686001119	MATHEMATICS
4	ASHOK BARMAN	22211676002847	ENGLISH
5	DEBARATI MANDAL	42211685003166	GEOGRAPHY
6	DEBLINA MONDAL	42211688000754	LIFE SCIENCE
7	GOUTAM MITRA	52211688001620	LIFE SCIENCE
8	JOYDIP SARKHEL	12211687000312	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
9	KABITA GIRI	42211685004393	GEOGRAPHY
10	KALYAN KR MANDAL	32211686001310	MATHEMATICS
11	KAZI FARIDA PARVIN	22211685005488	GEOGRAPHY
12	KH SAFIKUL HAQUE	12211684002724	HISTORY
13	KOUSHIK ROY	22211676002566	ENGLISH
14	MADHUSHRI ADAK	42211688002555	LIFE SCIENCE
15	MAITRI SAHA	22211685003646	GEOGRAPHY
16	MANISHA PANJA	32211685000543	GEOGRAPHY
17	MANJUSHREE MANDAL	12211685000703	GEOGRAPHY
18	MD AHAMAD ALI	22211686001775	MATHEMATICS
19	MD ARIF HOSSAIN	22211675005542	BENGALI
20	MD ASLAM HOQUE	22211676003928	ENGLISH
21	MD HAMIMULLA	22211684008260	HISTORY
22	MD SELIM AKTAR PARVEZ	22211686001451	MATHEMATICS
23	MD SETABUDDIN MIA	22211675005759	BENGALI
24	MONALISA BHOUMIK	22211685001017	GEOGRAPHY
25	MOUMITA PANJA	42211685005584	GEOGRAPHY
26	MRINAL KANTI CHAKRABORTY	22211676005740	ENGLISH
27	NAJESH AKTAR	22211684006363	HISTORY
28	NAZNIN SULTANA	12211686000359	MATHEMATICS
29	PABITRA MANDAL	22211675005201	BENGALI
30	PANKAJ BISWAS	22211676000739	ENGLISH
31	PARAMITA TRIPATHY	42211688001797	LIFE SCIENCE
32	PIU MAJUMDAR	32211685001803	GEOGRAPHY
33	PURBITA RAY	52211688000872	LIFE SCIENCE
34	RAHUL KUMAR PAL	12211686000058	MATHEMATICS
35	RAJU PARAI	42211688002487	LIFE SCIENCE
36	RIYA MONDAL	22211676004261	ENGLISH
37	ROMIZ AZAD	22211676005661	ENGLISH

38	RUNA LAILA	22211688000786	LIFE SCIENCE
39	SAMIRAN BERA	42211687002144	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
40	SANJAY SARKAR	22211676002965	ENGLISH
41	SANJOY KUMAR BERA	42211685004608	GEOGRAPHY
42	SARAMA GHOSH	52211685001118	GEOGRAPHY
43	SARIDA KHATUN	22211676003458	ENGLISH
44	SARIFA KHATUN	22211684001404	HISTORY
45	SEKENDAR MONDAL	22211684003893	HISTORY
46	SHASWATI MAITY	42211688002694	LIFE SCIENCE
47	SHIMUL KUMAR BISWAS	52211685000913	GEOGRAPHY
48	SHYAM RABIDAS	22211675005351	BENGALI
49	SHYAMALI RAY	22211684000090	HISTORY
50	SILPA CHATTOPADHYAY	42211676005028	ENGLISH
51	SK ANISUR RAHAMAN	22211675005541	BENGALI
52	SK RAKIUL ISLAM	22211684006249	HISTORY
53	SNEHASISH KARJEE	22211676000595	ENGLISH
54	SOMASHRI BERA	42211687001246	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
55	SUBHASHREE MISHRA	22211675006222	BENGALI
56	SUBHASIS BHAKAT	12211686001338	MATHEMATICS
57	SUMITA DAS	22211685002731	GEOGRAPHY
58	SUPRAKASH GHOSH	22211685004356	GEOGRAPHY
59	TAPAS KUMAR TANTI	32211685002082	GEOGRAPHY
60	TUSHAR MONDAL	52211685003616	GEOGRAPHY
61	UMA SAHOO	42211685005273	GEOGRAPHY

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 39 CANDIDATES OF CLASS XI-XII IN RANK JUMPING ISSUE OF 1ST SLST, 2016

SI	ROLL	CANDIDATE	SUBJECT
1	12221609000257	TINA RAY	ECONOMICS
2	12221616001471	RAKESH PRAMANIK	HISTORY
3	12221625000130	KHUSHI SAHA	PHILOSOPHY
4	12221627000441	SAHANARA KHATUN	POLITICAL SCIENCE
5	12221629002251	KAPIL KUMAR MISTRY	SANSKRIT
6	22221604002718	SABIRUL ISLAM	BENGALI
7	22221606000569	BISHNU PADA CHATTERJEE	CHEMISTRY
8	22221610000560	MAHBOOB ALAM	EDUCATION
9	22221611002079	KHADIMUL HOQUE	ENGLISH
10	22221611002362	ARPITA DAS	ENGLISH
11	22221611002561	MITHUN BASAK	ENGLISH
12	22221611003009	MD NASIM AHMED JOBED	ENGLISH
13	22221611003073	MD EMRUL HASAN	ENGLISH
14	22221616003572	DEBANJAN JHA	HISTORY
15	22221619002048	PRABIR GHOSH	MATHEMATICS
16	22221627000899	SONALI GHOSH	POLITICAL SCIENCE
17	22221627000968	RAJESA KHATUN	POLITICAL SCIENCE
18	22221627001086	DEBABRATA PANDEY	POLITICAL SCIENCE
19	22221629000715	RESHMI PAUL	SANSKRIT
20	32221606000013	SHUBENDHU DAS	CHEMISTRY
21	32221610000620	MALAY MONDAL	EDUCATION
22	32221627000556	ANOWAR HOSSEN SHAIKH	POLITICAL SCIENCE
23	42221605001748	RINA BARAI BHANJA	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
24	42221605001874	PAPIYA GHORAI	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
25	42221606001197	SUVENDU MALAKAR	CHEMISTRY
26	42221610000943	BIMAN SAHOO	EDUCATION
27	42221611004406	MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY	ENGLISH
28	42221619001795	PIJUS KANTI BERA	MATHEMATICS
29	42221625000364	SUBHADIP MAHATA	PHILOSOPHY
30	42221625000642	RUMARANI SINGHA	PHILOSOPHY
31	42221625000693	PAYEL DOLAI	PHILOSOPHY
32	42221627000007	MADHABI GORAIN	POLITICAL SCIENCE
33	52221604003329	MD RUHUL AMIN	BENGALI
34	52221608000075	KUNTAL KUNDU	COMPUTER SCIENCE
35	52221616002139	NARGIS PERVIN	HISTORY
36	52221627000200	SWAGATA GHOSH	POLITICAL SCIENCE
37	52221627000264	MONI DAS	POLITICAL SCIENCE
38	52221627000587	SOMA GHOSH	POLITICAL SCIENCE
39	52221629000683	TANIYA SETH	SANSKRIT

12

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 33 IX-X CANDIDATES OF 1ST SLST, 2016 OUT OF 808 RECOMMENDED CANDIDATES AGAINST WHOM NO ACTION TAKEN YET:

SL	NAME	ROLL NUMBER	SUBJECT
1	ASIMA NAYAK	42211687002154	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
2	BABY BISWAS	22211676002189	ENGLISH
3	BILKISNARA KHATUN	22211684008662	HISTORY
4	BOBY PAUL	22211676002637	ENGLISH
5	GURUPADA GIRI	42211686002109	MATHEMATICS
6	JHUMA SAHA	22211676000403	ENGLISH
7	KANIKA BARMAN	22211676001452	ENGLISH
8	KARABI MAHATA	42211675004502	BENGALI
9	MAHADEB PANJA	42211688001410	LIFE SCIENCE
10	MANABENDRA BHAUMIK	22211688000285	LIFE SCIENCE
11	MD ALAUDDIN	12211688000247	LIFE SCIENCE
12	MD NAWAZ SHARIF	22211685001818	GEOGRAPHY
13	MOUSUMI KHATUN	42211688002337	LIFE SCIENCE
14	RAMA BARMAN	22211684000578	HISTORY
15	RIYA PAL	32211688000623	LIFE SCIENCE
16	SAHADEB SARKAR	22211688000512	LIFE SCIENCE
17	SAIYED ALAM	22211686001939	MATHEMATICS
18	SAMARESH BARMAN	22211688002128	LIFE SCIENCE
19	SAMPA GHOSH	52211688001280	LIFE SCIENCE
20	SANJAY GIRI	42211684004231	HISTORY
21	SANJIB BARMA	22211688000036	LIFE SCIENCE
22	SANJUKTA SASMAL	42211688002024	LIFE SCIENCE
23	SHAOLI MUKHERJEE	52211688001101	LIFE SCIENCE
24	SK SAJHIN ISLAM	42211684004323	HISTORY
25	SONALI DAS	42211685004714	GEOGRAPHY
26	SOUGATA ADHIKARY	22211688001814	LIFE SCIENCE
27	SUBRATA KUMAR SAHOO	42211687002298	PHYSICAL SCIENCE
28	SUDIN MANDAL	12211686000153	MATHEMATICS
29	SUDIP PAL	12211684004406	HISTORY
30	SUSMITA ROY	22211676000225	ENGLISH
31	SUTAPA PATRA	42211676003632	ENGLISH
32	TAPAN BARMAN	22211684001522	HISTORY
33	TARAK DUTTA	12211687000152	PHYSICAL SCIENCE

13

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 771 RECOMMENDED CANDIDATES OF CLASS XI-XII IN OMR MISMATCH ISSUE OF 1ST SLST, 2016

SL	APPLICANT NAME	ROLL NO	SUBJECT
1	ABDUL HAKIM	22221619000929	MATHEMATICS
2	ABDUL MATIN	22221613002106	GEOGRAPHY
3	ABDUL WAHID LASKER	32221613002796	GEOGRAPHY
4	ABDULLA AS SABBIR	22221625000423	PHILOSOPHY
5	ABDUR RAJJAK	22221627000586	POLITICAL SCIENCE
6	ABHISEK DUTTA	12221616000324	HISTORY
7	ABHISHEK SINHA	22221605000519	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
8	ABUL FAZAL HASAN	22221613002577	GEOGRAPHY
9	ACHINTYA ROY	22221613000684	GEOGRAPHY
10	ADITI DAS	42221613004073	GEOGRAPHY
11	ADWAITA DANDAPAT	42221619002516	MATHEMATICS
12	AJAY PAKHIRA	42221605001941	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
13	AJIMUDDIN SARKAR	22221627000655	POLITICAL SCIENCE
14	AKASHDEEP GIRI	42221611003632	ENGLISH
15	ALAKESH BARMAN	42221616003254	HISTORY
16	ALAKESH PATRA	42221619001110	MATHEMATICS
17	ALOKE MAITI	42221672000583	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
18	AMAR HEMRAM	22221605000648	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
19	AMARESH DEY	12221619001283	MATHEMATICS
20	AMINA BEGUM	52221611002217	ENGLISH
21	AMINUL HOSSAIN	22221611003610	ENGLISH
22	AMIT BARMAN	22221610000398	EDUCATION
23	AMIT DEY	42221605001627	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
24	AMIT KUMAR DEY	42221613004290	GEOGRAPHY
25	AMITAVA DAS	42221622000335	NUTRITION
26	AMIYA KUMAR JANA	42221611003627	ENGLISH
27	AMRITA DEY	22221604002275	BENGALI
28	ANAMIKA DEY	22221604002545	BENGALI
29	ANANDA BISWAS	22221613002671	GEOGRAPHY
30	ANANDA JANA	32221613001739	GEOGRAPHY
31	ANANDA MAJI	42221611004737	ENGLISH
32	ANANYA HAIT	42221619002150	MATHEMATICS
33	ANANYA MAITY	32221604000407	BENGALI
34	ANANYA MONDAL	32221605000232	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
35	ANANYA PAUL	42221604005302	BENGALI
36	ANIMA RANI GIRI	42221619002501	MATHEMATICS
37	ANIMESH BARMAN	22221625000424	PHILOSOPHY

38	ANINDITA BERA	32221611001428	ENGLISH
39	ANINDITA GHOSH	22221613004013	GEOGRAPHY
40	ANINDITA SARKAR	22221604002874	BENGALI
41	ANIRBAN JANA	42221625000547	PHILOSOPHY
42	ANIRBAN MALLIK	42221605001332	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
43	ANJAN ADHIKARY	42221611002676	ENGLISH
44	ANKITA ADHIKARY	22221627000220	POLITICAL SCIENCE
45	ANKITA GIRI	42221608000169	COMPUTER SCIENCE
46	ANSHUMAN ROY	12221636000062	COMPUTER APPLICATION
47	ANUPAM MAITY	42221605000922	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
48	ANUPAMA MAJI	42221613004158	GEOGRAPHY
49	ANUPAMA MISHRA	42221613003516	GEOGRAPHY
50	ANUPAMA PRAMANIK	42221613004343	GEOGRAPHY
51	ANUSHRI DAS	42221611003397	ENGLISH
52	ANWAR FIROJ AKHTAR	22221606000216	CHEMISTRY
53	ANWESA BHATTACHARJEE	42221604005334	BENGALI
54	ANWESHA SARKAR	22221616004942	HISTORY
55	APARESH PAL	12221606000537	CHEMISTRY
56	APARNA MAHES	42221622000232	NUTRITION
57	APARNA ROY	22221604002812	BENGALI
58	APURBA BERA	42221609000106	ECONOMICS
59	ARABINDA MUKHERJEE	42221613000474	GEOGRAPHY
60	ARADHANA SAHA BASAK	22221604003003	BENGALI
61	ARDHENDU BAYEN	42221605001773	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
62	ARIJIT DAS	22221613001737	GEOGRAPHY
63	ARIJIT DEY	52221613000221	GEOGRAPHY
64	ARINDAM CHOWDHURY	42221604003376	BENGALI
65	ARINDAM MAITY	32221613001636	GEOGRAPHY
66	ARINDAM SANTRA	42221619002445	MATHEMATICS
67	ARINDOM GHOSH	22221613003368	GEOGRAPHY
68	ARJUN BARMAN	42221629002701	SANSKRIT
69	ARNAB CHAKRABORTY	42221611001855	ENGLISH
70	ARPAN BHATTACHARYA	42221672000292	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
71	ARPAN JANA	42221613001992	GEOGRAPHY
72	ARPAN KUMAR MUNIAN	42221613003526	GEOGRAPHY
73	ARPITA BHOWMICK	42221613004003	GEOGRAPHY
74	ARPITA DAS	42221610000733	EDUCATION
75	ARPITA GHOSH	32221616001458	HISTORY
76	ARPITA MANDAL	22221625000850	PHILOSOPHY
77	ARSAD ALI	22221627000524	POLITICAL SCIENCE
78	ARUN KUMAR BARI	42221610000746	EDUCATION
79	ARUN MAITY	42221610000930	EDUCATION
80	ARUN SING	42221611002584	ENGLISH
81	ASADUL SK	22221619001763	MATHEMATICS

82	ASHIM BISWAS	22221610001512	EDUCATION
83	ASHIM DAS	42221619001949	MATHEMATICS
84	ASHIQUE E RAHMAN	32221611001337	ENGLISH
85	ASHIS KUMAR SINGHA	22221627000539	POLITICAL SCIENCE
86	ASIF IQBAL	22221604003429	BENGALI
87	ASIT MANDAL	22221625000774	PHILOSOPHY
88	ASOKE MALIK	42221611002682	ENGLISH
89	ATANU BHATTACHARYA	42221611004875	ENGLISH
90	ATANU DAS	42221627000588	POLITICAL SCIENCE
91	ATANU PAL	42221608000123	COMPUTER SCIENCE
92	ATANU SHEE	32221605000009	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
93	AVIJIT BISWAS	52221605001198	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
94	AVIJIT DAS	42221616003315	HISTORY
95	AVIJIT MONDAL	42221604005354	BENGALI
96	AVIJIT PATRA	42221619002252	MATHEMATICS
97	AZAD ALI	22221604002287	BENGALI
98	AZIZUL HAQUE	52221613000990	GEOGRAPHY
99	BABITA MAJI	42221604005492	BENGALI
100	BABLI DAS	12221604004484	BENGALI
101	BABLU MANDAL	52221613003023	GEOGRAPHY
102	BABLU MONDAL	52221611000147	ENGLISH
103	BABY SINGH	12221627001065	POLITICAL SCIENCE
104	BADSHA ALAMGIR	22221627000557	POLITICAL SCIENCE
105	BAPPA PRAMANIK	22221613003583	GEOGRAPHY
106	BAPPADITYA GHOSH	52221636000031	COMPUTER APPLICATION
107	BARSHA DAS	42221613002644	GEOGRAPHY
108	BARUN GAYEN	42221627000496	POLITICAL SCIENCE
109	BARUN KUMAR MANDAL	42221625000207	PHILOSOPHY
110	BASUDEB NASKAR	32221629001044	SANSKRIT
111	BASUDEV BERA	42221636000229	COMPUTER APPLICATION
112	BAZLUR RAHAMAN	22221625000459	PHILOSOPHY
113	BEAUTY BARMAN	22221613001656	GEOGRAPHY
114	BHAGIRATH BARMAN	22221629000088	SANSKRIT
115	BHAJAN CH DAS	22221616000203	HISTORY
116	BHOLANATH MANDAL	42221625000548	PHILOSOPHY
117	BIBHUTI SARKAR	22221611003495	ENGLISH
118	BIDESH DEY	12221605000260	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
119	BIDHAN BISWAS	52221604005150	BENGALI
120	BIJAN SARKAR	52221613000035	GEOGRAPHY
121	BIJESH MONDAL	52221610000766	EDUCATION
122	BIKASH ADHIKARY	42221611004627	ENGLISH
123	BINI KUITI	42221613004236	GEOGRAPHY
124	BIPLAB BIBAR	42221613004052	GEOGRAPHY
125	BIPLAB BISUI	42221604003879	BENGALI

126	BIPLAB KUMAR DAS	42221611003826	ENGLISH
127	BIPLAB RAHA	22221616002108	HISTORY
128	BIPLAB RAJAK	22221613003419	GEOGRAPHY
129	BIPLAB ROY	22221604002372	BENGALI
130	BIPLAB SARKAR	22221610000490	EDUCATION
131	BISHWAJIT BAR	42221605001365	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
132	BISHWAJIT PATRA	42221636000093	COMPUTER APPLICATION
133	BISTU BARMAN	22221625000419	PHILOSOPHY
134	BISWAJIT MAJUMDAR	22221611004053	ENGLISH
135	BISWAJIT SANKAR BHATTACHARYYA	22221629000321	SANSKRIT
136	BISWANATH JANA	32221613002309	GEOGRAPHY
137	BISWARANJAN DEBNATH	22221611006158	ENGLISH
138	BISWARUP PRAMANICK	12221611002198	ENGLISH
139	BIVAS MALICK	12221604003648	BENGALI
140	BRATATI SAHOO	42221613003256	GEOGRAPHY
141	CHAITALI MISHRA	42221611003047	ENGLISH
142	CHAMPA NAG	22221604002563	BENGALI
143	CHANDAN DAS	22221604002709	BENGALI
144	CHANDAN DEY	22221625001107	PHILOSOPHY
145	CHANDAN JANA	42221611004337	ENGLISH
146	CHANDAN KUMAR DAS	42221613003090	GEOGRAPHY
147	CHANDAN KUMAR ROY	22221613004855	GEOGRAPHY
148	CHANDAN SAHA	12221611000697	ENGLISH
149	CHANDRA SEKHAR BHAUMIK	42221611004368	ENGLISH
150	CHANDRIMA DAS	42221606001183	CHEMISTRY
151	CHATURBHUJ MISHRI	42221629002725	SANSKRIT
152	CHAYANIKA DAS	32221610000379	EDUCATION
153	CHHABI PAL	42221625000393	PHILOSOPHY
154	CHHANAULLA SARKAR	22221625000637	PHILOSOPHY
155	CHHANDASRI MANNA	32221604003118	BENGALI
156	CHINMOY DE	42221625000378	PHILOSOPHY
157	CHINMOY METIYA	32221607000034	COMMERCE
158	CHIRANJIT HATI	42221613002939	GEOGRAPHY
159	CHITRITA MAITY	42221605001990	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
160	CHITTARANJAN MAITI	42221613003591	GEOGRAPHY
161	DALIA BERA GHOSH	42221604004018	BENGALI
162	DEBABRATA DAS	42221605001375	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
163	DEBABRATA MAITI	42221622000348	NUTRITION
164	DEBAMITA PAHARI	32221613001025	GEOGRAPHY
165	DEBARATI ROY	42221604004807	BENGALI
166	DEBASHIS MANNA	42221605001791	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
167	DEBASHIS SARKAR	22221611004185	ENGLISH
168	DEBASHIS SARKAR	22221611002207	ENGLISH
169	DEBASHRI BERA	32221629000181	SANSKRIT

17

170	DEBASIS KARAN	42221627000627	POLITICAL SCIENCE
171	DEBASIS MAITI	42221611004462	ENGLISH
172	DEBASISH BARIK	42221636000298	COMPUTER APPLICATION
173	DEBASISH GHOSH	22221610000380	EDUCATION
174	DEBASISH SEN	42221611004340	ENGLISH
175	DEBASMITA MANDAL	42221611003483	ENGLISH
176	DEBAYAN SASMAL	42221611004621	ENGLISH
177	DEBDULAL DEBSARMA	22221627000534	POLITICAL SCIENCE
178	DEBJANI MAJI	42221620000028	MUSIC
179	DEBNATH JANA	32221611000359	ENGLISH
180	DEBRAJ PAL	12221619000098	MATHEMATICS
181	DHANANJOY BARMAN	22221613000121	GEOGRAPHY
182	DHARMA DAS	42221626001107	PHYSICS
183	DIBYENDU JANA	42221629001709	SANSKRIT
184	DIBYENDU MONDAL	42221606001392	CHEMISTRY
185	DILIP KUMAR DAS	42221627000440	POLITICAL SCIENCE
186	DILWAR HASSAIN	22221619000628	MATHEMATICS
187	DINADAYAL SINGHA	42221613000251	GEOGRAPHY
188	DIPA PANIGRAHI	42221619001120	MATHEMATICS
189	DIPAK DAS	22221627000053	POLITICAL SCIENCE
190	DIPAK DAS	42221636000187	COMPUTER APPLICATION
191	DIPAK KUMAR DUTTA	42221611002896	ENGLISH
192	DIPAK ROY	22221625000418	PHILOSOPHY
193	DIPAL SARKAR	22221625000723	PHILOSOPHY
194	DIPANJANA BISWAS	32221627000392	POLITICAL SCIENCE
195	DIPANKAR DE	42221607000146	COMMERCE
196	DIPANKAR DE	42221619000826	MATHEMATICS
197	DIPANWITA DAS	12221619000037	MATHEMATICS
198	DIPTI DOLAI	42221613004336	GEOGRAPHY
199	DIPYENDU MAITY	42221613001930	GEOGRAPHY
200	DOLI ROY	22221625000425	PHILOSOPHY
201	DULAL CH ROY	22221613004693	GEOGRAPHY
202	ENAFUL SHAIKH	22221616004821	HISTORY
203	ESHITA BARAI	32221605000933	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
204	ESHITA PAYRA	42221611003455	ENGLISH
205	FALGUNI GUMTA	42221622000108	NUTRITION
206	FIROZ WAHID	22221627000788	POLITICAL SCIENCE
207	GANES CHANDRA GIRI	42221604004286	BENGALI
208	GIRIDHARI ROOJ	22221606000565	CHEMISTRY
209	GOLAM MASUM REJA	22221611004926	ENGLISH
210	GOLAM MORTOZA	22221625000455	PHILOSOPHY
211	GOPAL BARMAN	42221611004381	ENGLISH
212	GOPAL BASAK	12221619001094	MATHEMATICS
213	GOPAL BEJ	42221613003170	GEOGRAPHY

18

214	GOPALI SAMANTA	42221611003284	ENGLISH
215	GOURANGA PRAMANIK	42221611003646	ENGLISH
216	GOURGOPAL DAS	42221611002185	ENGLISH
217	GOUTAM PARIA	32221604003559	BENGALI
218	GURUDAS CH MAHATO	22221604002236	BENGALI
219	GURUSANKAR GIRI	42221629002377	SANSKRIT
220	HABIBUR RAHAMAN	22221605001433	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
221	HABU MANDAL	42221613002128	GEOGRAPHY
222	HAJRAT UMAR FARUK	22221627000575	POLITICAL SCIENCE
223	HARISH CHANDRA BARMAN	22221611002205	ENGLISH
224	HIRAK KUMAR PAUL	52221605000862	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
225	HOMAGNI SHIT	42221611003517	ENGLISH
226	HUSANUJJAMAN	22221613001699	GEOGRAPHY
227	IMRAN MONDAL	52221613002807	GEOGRAPHY
228	IMRAN RAHAMAN	52221611000181	ENGLISH
229	IMRUL KAYES	22221604002296	BENGALI
230	INDIRA SINGH	12221627000541	POLITICAL SCIENCE
231	INDRAJIT PAL	42221636000103	COMPUTER APPLICATION
232	INDRAJIT SINGHA	42221610000822	EDUCATION
233	INDRANIL KHASKEL	42221613003074	GEOGRAPHY
234	INDU MADHAB PAL	42221611001441	ENGLISH
235	IQBAL HOSSAIN	42221611004504	ENGLISH
236	IREEN PARVEEN	22221605000475	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
237	JABA MANNA	42221613003229	GEOGRAPHY
238	JAHIRUDDIN AHAMED	22221604003301	BENGALI
239	JAKIR HOSSAIN SAFIUL	22221611002058	ENGLISH
240	JANNATUN KHATUN	52221613000272	GEOGRAPHY
241	JANNATUN SARKAR	22221625000377	PHILOSOPHY
242	JASIMUDDIN MALLICK	12221613000509	GEOGRAPHY
243	JAWAHARLAL BHUNIA	42221613003387	GEOGRAPHY
244	JAWHARLAL MONDAL	32221604003391	BENGALI
245	JAYANTA BARMAN	22221604000921	BENGALI
246	JAYANTA MONDAL	32221606000203	CHEMISTRY
247	JAYANTA ROY	22221611002180	ENGLISH
248	JAYASHRI BARMAN	42221611002654	ENGLISH
249	JAYEETA SARKAR	12221625000326	PHILOSOPHY
250	JAYITA GAYEN	42221605001505	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
251	JHARNA SAMANTA	42221605001726	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
252	JHUMA MONDAL	42221604005594	BENGALI
253	JIBAN KRISHNA ROY	22221616001920	HISTORY
254	JOY PRAKASH MAITY	32221613000336	GEOGRAPHY
255	JOYDEV BHOWMIK	42221611003178	ENGLISH
256	JOYDEV MAL	42221627000595	POLITICAL SCIENCE
257	JYOTI PROKASH ROY	22221616000860	HISTORY

19

258	KABIR KHAN	32221613000831	GEOGRAPHY
259	KABIRUL HOQUE	12221613000461	GEOGRAPHY
260	KABITA BARMAN	22221604002524	BENGALI
261	KABITA KUNDU	12221604000660	BENGALI
262	KALLOL KHATUA	42221613002875	GEOGRAPHY
263	KALYANASIS JANA	42221611003794	ENGLISH
264	KAMALESH DAS	22221619000664	MATHEMATICS
265	KAMALESH KAPAT	32221613000174	GEOGRAPHY
266	KAMALIKA DEY	32221605000718	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
267	KAPIL MONDAL	12221613000452	GEOGRAPHY
268	KEYA MAITI GHOSH	42221622000204	NUTRITION
269	KHADIMUL HOQUE	22221611002079	ENGLISH
270	KHUKUMANI	32221606000533	CHEMISTRY
271	KINSUK SARKAR	22221619001662	MATHEMATICS
272	KOUSHIKI MITRA	32221625000206	PHILOSOPHY
273	KRISHNA JHULKI	42221610000974	EDUCATION
274	KRISHNA KUMAR PANDA	42221626000913	PHYSICS
275	LABANYA MAITY	32221604000408	BENGALI
276	LAKSHMI BARMAN	42221604005616	BENGALI
277	LAKSHMI BISWAS	12221627000115	POLITICAL SCIENCE
278	LALMOHAN DIASI	42221604005647	BENGALI
279	LEENA HALDER	52221604001016	BENGALI
280	LINA ROY	22221625000400	PHILOSOPHY
281	LOKESH KUMAR JHA	32221629000300	SANSKRIT
282	MADHUCHHANDA DAS	42221605001614	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
283	MADHUMANTI MONDAL	42221611004083	ENGLISH
284	MADHUSRI BAG	42221613004017	GEOGRAPHY
285	MADHUSUDAN DAS	42221627000541	POLITICAL SCIENCE
286	MAHABUBAR RAHAMAN	22221605000731	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
287	MAHABUL RAHAMAN	12221604000330	BENGALI
288	MAHUA BARDHAN	42221604005510	BENGALI
289	MAINAK DEB BARMAN	22221611006054	ENGLISH
290	MAINUR ALI	22221604006332	BENGALI
291	MAITHILI DEB BARMAN	22221613004746	GEOGRAPHY
292	MAITRAYEE DAS	42221622000283	NUTRITION
293	MALATI MAL	42221625000627	PHILOSOPHY
294	MALAY KANTI PATRA	42221622000277	NUTRITION
295	MALAY MAHATO	42221619000034	MATHEMATICS
296	MALINA BARDHAN	52221619001102	MATHEMATICS
297	MAMATA HAZRA	32221616002435	HISTORY
298	MAMATAJ BEGAM	42221622000316	NUTRITION
299	MAMLIKA MONDAL	22221610000275	EDUCATION
300	MAMUD HOSSAIN	22221611002795	ENGLISH
301	MANABENDRA DAS	42221627000438	POLITICAL SCIENCE

20

302	MANAS DAS	42221606000685	CHEMISTRY
303	MANAS KUMAR JANA	42221619002135	MATHEMATICS
304	MANAS MONDAL	12221619000290	MATHEMATICS
305	MANAS RANJAN PAKHIRA	42221611001845	ENGLISH
306	MANASH KUMAR BHOWMICK	22221613001634	GEOGRAPHY
307	MANASH KUMAR SINHA	42221613004041	GEOGRAPHY
308	MANASI BHUNIA	42221604003671	BENGALI
309	MANASI GURIA	42221616003334	HISTORY
310	MANASI SARKAR	32221625000237	PHILOSOPHY
311	MANISHA BHUNIA	42221611004063	ENGLISH
312	MANTAJ ALI	22221613001450	GEOGRAPHY
313	MARJINA BEGAM	22221604007905	BENGALI
314	MASUD SARKAR	22221613001916	GEOGRAPHY
315	MASUDA KHATUN	52221605000947	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
316	MAYURAKSHEE GANGOPADHYAY	32221617000035	HOME MANAGEMENT & HOME NURSING
317	MD ABU SUFIAN	22221603000432	ARABIC
318	MD ALIUL ISLAM	22221627001367	POLITICAL SCIENCE
319	MD BAKUL BISWAS	32221616000823	HISTORY
320	MD DILWAR HOSSAIN	22221613003166	GEOGRAPHY
321	MD FORMAN ALI KHAN	22221603000351	ARABIC
322	MD HABIBUR RAHAMAN	22221625000621	PHILOSOPHY
323	MD HAFIJUR RAHAMAN	22221603000186	ARABIC
324	MD ISA HAQUE	12221613000493	GEOGRAPHY
325	MD JIAUL ANSARI	22221613002275	GEOGRAPHY
326	MD MAHASIN	22221613004050	GEOGRAPHY
327	MD MANIRUL ISLAM	22221613004626	GEOGRAPHY
328	MD NAUSAD ALI	22221603000200	ARABIC
329	MD ROUSAN ALAM SK	22221604005579	BENGALI
330	MD TARIK ANWAR	22221627000595	POLITICAL SCIENCE
331	MERINA PARVIN	12221629001714	SANSKRIT
332	MIARAJ SARKAR	22221606000287	CHEMISTRY
333	MILAN BHUNIA	42221613003886	GEOGRAPHY
334	MINAKSHI GHOSH	12221611001076	ENGLISH
335	MITA MANDAL	22221625001033	PHILOSOPHY
336	MITALI MAJI	42221619001860	MATHEMATICS
337	MITHUN BARMAN	22221604000959	BENGALI
338	MITHUN BERA	42221610000640	EDUCATION
339	MITHUN DUTTA	42221604004832	BENGALI
340	MITHUN KUMAR BAG	42221611003435	ENGLISH
341	MOHIDUR RAHAMAN	22221625000466	PHILOSOPHY
342	MONALISA KUMAR	22221627000854	POLITICAL SCIENCE
343	MONALISA MONDAL	22221611001974	ENGLISH
344	MONALISHA MANNA	42221629002740	SANSKRIT
345	MONISHA MONDAL	32221604000578	BENGALI

21

346	MORTUJ ALAM	22221613002163	GEOGRAPHY
347	MOUMITA BASU	42221605001198	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
348	MOUMITA GHORAI	32221611000855	ENGLISH
349	MOUMITA MAITRA	22221610000272	EDUCATION
350	MOUMITA MANNA	42221631000080	SOCIOLOGY
351	MOUSUMI CHAKRABORTY	32221613001333	GEOGRAPHY
352	MOUSUMI DAS	42221627000537	POLITICAL SCIENCE
353	MOUSUMI DAS	42221625000540	PHILOSOPHY
354	MOUSUMI JANA	42221605001854	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
355	MOUSUMI MONDAL	52221613003159	GEOGRAPHY
356	MOUSUMI MONDAL	32221611001086	ENGLISH
357	MOUSUMI SARKAR	32221625000229	PHILOSOPHY
358	MRIGANKA GAYEN	42221613003306	GEOGRAPHY
359	MRINAL KANTI BARMAN	22221616000085	HISTORY
360	MRINAL KANTI MAHAPATRA	42221606001048	CHEMISTRY
361	MRINMAY GHOSH	22221606000665	CHEMISTRY
362	MRINMOYDAS	22221619000752	MATHEMATICS
363	MRITYUNJOY BHUNIA	42221613003914	GEOGRAPHY
364	MUFTI MOHAMMAD SAIYED	22221627000591	POLITICAL SCIENCE
365	MUKTAR ALI	22221613001787	GEOGRAPHY
366	MUKTAR ALI	22221604002638	BENGALI
367	MUKTAR ALI MONDAL	52221613003123	GEOGRAPHY
368	MUKTO SARKAR	22221604003050	BENGALI
369	MUKUL ROY	22221616001887	HISTORY
370	MUNMUN ADHIKARY	32221610000426	EDUCATION
371	MUNMUN GHORAI	42221610000523	EDUCATION
372	MUNMUN JANA DAS	42221625000574	PHILOSOPHY
373	MURSED ALI AMIN	22221610000535	EDUCATION
374	MUSARAF SARKAR	22221611002693	ENGLISH
375	NABANITA MANNA	42221610000960	EDUCATION
376	NABIN BERA	12221626000804	PHYSICS
377	NABYENDU MONDAL	12221622000064	NUTRITION
378	NAJMA KHATUN	22221627000597	POLITICAL SCIENCE
379	NAJMUL HOQUE	22221616004868	HISTORY
380	NAJRIN PERVEJ	22221604005588	BENGALI
381	NAJRUL ISLAM	22221627000937	POLITICAL SCIENCE
382	NARAYAN CHANDRA PAUL	22221625000899	PHILOSOPHY
383	NARUGOPAL MAITI	42221604005511	BENGALI
384	NAZIRUDDIN SK	22221604005574	BENGALI
385	NAZMOON SULTANA	42221622000350	NUTRITION
386	NIGAR SULTANA	12221604004803	BENGALI
387	NILANJANA MAITY	42221605001647	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
388	NILMONI SAHA ROY	12221604002987	BENGALI
389	NILU MOHAN GHOSH	42221613001507	GEOGRAPHY

22

390	NIPENDRA NATH ROY SARKAR	12221613000393	GEOGRAPHY
391	NIRAPADA SIKDAR	22221627001068	POLITICAL SCIENCE
392	NIRMAL KUMAR JANA	42221625000634	PHILOSOPHY
393	NIRMALYA MONDAL	42221611002833	ENGLISH
394	NISITH SINGHA	22221610000982	EDUCATION
395	NITISH RANJAN BARMAN	22221610000348	EDUCATION
396	NITUPARNA BERA	42221608000154	COMPUTER SCIENCE
397	NIVEDITA JANA	42221606001317	CHEMISTRY
398	NOORJAHAN BEGAM	22221611002157	ENGLISH
399	NUR NIHAR KAZI	32221605000417	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
400	OMAR FARUK ABDULLAH	12221611000631	ENGLISH
401	PABAN NANDAN MANDAL	22221613002698	GEOGRAPHY
402	PABITRA BARMAN	42221619002651	MATHEMATICS
403	PABITRA DOLAI	42221610000841	EDUCATION
404	PALASH KUMAR BARMAN	42221616003394	HISTORY
405	PAMPA GHORAI	42221605001833	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
406	PARAMESWAR ROY	22221611000561	ENGLISH
407	PARAMITA BASU	32221627000336	POLITICAL SCIENCE
408	PARIMAL BARMAN	22221625000415	PHILOSOPHY
409	PARNA HORE	12221627000779	POLITICAL SCIENCE
410	PARTHA SARATHI MANNA	42221611002538	ENGLISH
411	PARTHAPRATIM PRADHAN	42221613003473	GEOGRAPHY
412	PAUSALI BHATTACHARYA	32221611001612	ENGLISH
413	PAYEL SAMANTA	42221611004524	ENGLISH
414	PINAKI SUBHRA SAMANTA	12221611002579	ENGLISH
415	PINKY SINGHA	22221604002139	BENGALI
416	PIYALI BARMAN	22221611000047	ENGLISH
417	PIYALI PAIN	32221610000229	EDUCATION
418	PLABON DAS	52221604000209	BENGALI
419	POPI BARMAN	22221625000386	PHILOSOPHY
420	POPY SARKAR	22221610000386	EDUCATION
421	POULAMI MAHAPATRA	42221616002937	HISTORY
422	POULAMI SAMANTA	42221604002420	BENGALI
423	PRABIR DEY	42221613003374	GEOGRAPHY
424	PRABIR KUMAR SARDAR	32221616001978	HISTORY
425	PRADIP BAKULI	32221613000541	GEOGRAPHY
426	PRADIP HALDER	22221604002697	BENGALI
427	PRADIP JANA	42221604005641	BENGALI
428	PRADIP KUMAR MAHATO	42221613000112	GEOGRAPHY
429	PRADIP KUMAR MAJI	42221625000696	PHILOSOPHY
430	PRADIP SARKAR	22221629000792	SANSKRIT
431	PRADIPTA KANTI BARMAN	42221613004070	GEOGRAPHY
432	PRALAY PATRA	42221605001999	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
433	PRALOY GOSWAMI	32221604003321	BENGALI

23

434	PRAMAD KUMAR MANDAL	22221611003530	ENGLISH
435	PRASANTA BERA	42221605002014	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
436	PRASENJIT BHUNIA	42221613003279	GEOGRAPHY
437	PRASENJIT PAUL	42221611004440	ENGLISH
438	PRASENJIT PRADHAN	42221606001255	CHEMISTRY
439	PRASHANT KUMAR SINGH	42221616000389	HISTORY
440	PRATYUSH GHOSH	52221604005447	BENGALI
441	PRITAM DAS ADHIKARY	32221608000131	COMPUTER SCIENCE
442	PRIYANKA PAHARI	42221605001521	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
443	PRIYANKA PANJA	42221610000211	EDUCATION
444	PRIYANKU SEKHAR DAS	42221611003330	ENGLISH
445	PROBIN KUMAR MANDAL	22221616004785	HISTORY
446	PROKASH BAGDI	12221613000055	GEOGRAPHY
447	PRONAB KUMAR DAS	22221610000208	EDUCATION
448	PRONAB KUMAR MONDAL	52221613000966	GEOGRAPHY
449	PROSANTA GHOSH	52221613003058	GEOGRAPHY
450	PROSUN BISWAS	52221672000552	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
451	PROSUN KUMAR BAIDYA	32221613002544	GEOGRAPHY
452	PROVAT RANJAN DAS	52221610001112	EDUCATION
453	PUJA MAHAPATRA	42221611003192	ENGLISH
454	PUNIT LAL PRASAD	22221627000617	POLITICAL SCIENCE
455	PURABI SAU	42221604004531	BENGALI
456	PURANJIT BERA	42221605000935	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
457	PURBA DAS	42221622000238	NUTRITION
458	PURNIMA MANDAL	42221622000219	NUTRITION
459	PUSPENDU MANNA	42221611003617	ENGLISH
460	PUTUL RANI JANA	42221611004446	ENGLISH
461	RABEYA KHATUN	22221611002129	ENGLISH
462	RABINDRA NATH PAHARI	42221610000934	EDUCATION
463	RADHAKANTA HAZRA	42221619002234	MATHEMATICS
464	RAFIUDDIN SHAIKH	12221611000255	ENGLISH
465	RAHUL MITRA	22221619000537	MATHEMATICS
466	RAJ KUMAR DAS	22221610000335	EDUCATION
467	RAJA MAITY	42221605001453	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
468	RAJASHREE CHAKRABARTY	32221619000601	MATHEMATICS
469	RAJESH ROY	42221611004461	ENGLISH
470	RAJESH SINGHA	22221616001544	HISTORY
471	RAJIB ALI SARKAR	22221619000700	MATHEMATICS
472	RAJIB KUMAR OJHA	42221613000052	GEOGRAPHY
473	RAJIB RAY	22221604000972	BENGALI
474	RAJNARAYAN PATTANAYAK	42221611003689	ENGLISH
475	RAJU GHOSH	12221605000141	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
476	RAJU MANDAL	42221613001233	GEOGRAPHY
477	RAKESH TARAFDER	22221619001076	MATHEMATICS

11

478	RAKHI KIRTANIA	52221604005379	BENGALI
479	RAMCHANDRA BHATTACHARYA	42221611004455	ENGLISH
480	RAMESH CHANDRA BARMAN	22221604000098	BENGALI
481	RAMJAN ALI MONDAL	52221604000356	BENGALI
482	RAMKRISHNA PUSTI	42221605001512	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
483	RANJAN BISWAS	22221604005780	BENGALI
484	RANJAN KUMAR GUCHHAIT	42221604003197	BENGALI
485	RANJAN SAU	42221616002199	HISTORY
486	RATAN BARMAN	22221613002021	GEOGRAPHY
487	RATAN MRIDHA	52221613002674	GEOGRAPHY
488	RATAN ROY	22221616003718	HISTORY
489	REHENA SULTANA	32221627000532	POLITICAL SCIENCE
490	REJAUL ISLAM	22221631000135	SOCIOLOGY
491	REJAUL SARKAR	22221611002586	ENGLISH
492	RHIDDHI MUKHERJEE	12221616003251	HISTORY
493	RIAJUL MOLLICK	42221627000384	POLITICAL SCIENCE
494	RIKTA BARMAN	22221613000973	GEOGRAPHY
495	RINKU DEY	52221626000012	PHYSICS
496	RITESH GHOSH	52221604005365	BENGALI
497	RIYA ADAK	42221611001875	ENGLISH
498	RIZWAN MUBASSHIR	22221611001809	ENGLISH
499	ROZINA KHATUN	22221610000759	EDUCATION
500	RUBAIDA SABERIN	22221627000517	POLITICAL SCIENCE
501	RUBI BHOWMIK	42221604005545	BENGALI
502	RUKANUDDIN AHMED	22221613002880	GEOGRAPHY
503	RUMA CHATTERJEE	42221611003667	ENGLISH
504	RUMA MAITY	42221605000475	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
505	RUPAK CHANDA	42221613002886	GEOGRAPHY
506	RUPSANA KHATUN	22221627000640	POLITICAL SCIENCE
507	SABANA KHATUN	42221627000558	POLITICAL SCIENCE
508	SABIRUL ISLAM	22221604002718	BENGALI
509	SABYASACHI ACHARYA	42221613003362	GEOGRAPHY
510	SABYASACHI MUKHOPADHYAY	12221605000054	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
511	SACHINANDAN PATI	42221619000520	MATHEMATICS
512	SAGNIK JANA	42221611003468	ENGLISH
513	SAHAJAHAN ALI	22221619000612	MATHEMATICS
514	SAHELI SARKAR	22221610000534	EDUCATION
515	SAHINA SULTANA	12221604003771	BENGALI
516	SAIFUDDIN BISWAS	52221613003034	GEOGRAPHY
517	SAIKH SADIMOLLAH	22221611003116	ENGLISH
518	SAKTI PADA MANNA	42221613003588	GEOGRAPHY
519	SAMADUL RAHAMAN	22221625000878	PHILOSOPHY
520	SAMAPTI TALUKDAR	22221625000709	PHILOSOPHY
521	SAMIM AKHTAR	22221611001987	ENGLISH

25

522	SAMIM MEHEDI HASAN ALI	22221613004282	GEOGRAPHY
523	SAMINA SULTANA	32221613000197	GEOGRAPHY
524	SAMSAD PARVIN	22221619000768	MATHEMATICS
525	SAMSUDDIN AHMED	22221611003375	ENGLISH
526	SANATAN NAYAK	42221616002102	HISTORY
527	SANCHITA MONDAL	52221611002651	ENGLISH
528	SANDEEP KUMAR CHAUHAN	42221627000024	POLITICAL SCIENCE
529	SANDIP JANA	42221611004052	ENGLISH
530	SANDIP KUMAR GHOSH	22221604006509	BENGALI
531	SANDIP NAYAK	42221629002770	SANSKRIT
532	SANDIPAN GHOSH HAZRA	22221619002091	MATHEMATICS
533	SANDIPTA MANDAL	22221604006523	BENGALI
534	SANGEETA SAHOO	32221611001554	ENGLISH
535	SANGHAMITRA DINDA	42221613002231	GEOGRAPHY
536	SANGITA HAZRA	12221616001396	HISTORY
537	SANGITA MAITY	32221613000503	GEOGRAPHY
538	SANGITA SEN	22221604002793	BENGALI
539	SANJAY SARKAR	22221625000635	PHILOSOPHY
540	SANJIB MANNA	42221611003641	ENGLISH
541	SANJIB ROY	42221613003183	GEOGRAPHY
542	SANJIT JANA	42221626001139	PHYSICS
543	SANJOY MAHATO	42221613000269	GEOGRAPHY
544	SANJOY PAHARI	42221619001114	MATHEMATICS
545	SANJUKTA MONDAL	42221611004612	ENGLISH
546	SANKAR BHUNIA	32221619001228	MATHEMATICS
547	SANKAR BOSE	12221619001570	MATHEMATICS
548	SANTANU BARMAN	42221605001174	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
549	SANTANU DEBNATH	12221613001692	GEOGRAPHY
550	SANTANU JANA	42221605001843	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
551	SANTANU MAITI	42221613004049	GEOGRAPHY
552	SANTANU SARKAR	22221605000898	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
553	SANTANUBRATA SAHOO	42221611003807	ENGLISH
554	SANTOSH JANA	12221627000864	POLITICAL SCIENCE
555	SANTU KUILA	42221604005322	BENGALI
556	SANTU KUMAR GIRI	42221627000586	POLITICAL SCIENCE
557	SANTU KUMAR PATRA	42221611003542	ENGLISH
558	SARAFAT HOSSAIN	22221604002342	BENGALI
559	SARASWATI BARMAN	22221611002283	ENGLISH
560	SARBESWAR DEY	12221627000134	POLITICAL SCIENCE
561	SARIFUL ISLAM	22221604003756	BENGALI
562	SARMISTHA SAMANTA	42221619002654	MATHEMATICS
563	SASHIBHUSAN NAYAK	42221625000583	PHILOSOPHY
564	SASWATI CHATTERJEE	12221627000689	POLITICAL SCIENCE
565	SASWATI MONDAL	42221629002680	SANSKRIT

566	SATABDI BISWAS	52221613001399	GEOGRAPHY
567	SATYANARAYAN SAMANTA	42221619000905	MATHEMATICS
568	SAYAK SARKAR	42221616003258	HISTORY
569	SAYER ALI SEIKH	12221613000410	GEOGRAPHY
570	SEBIKA BASAK	52221627000262	POLITICAL SCIENCE
571	SEFALI BERA	42221629002832	SANSKRIT
572	SENJUTI BHATTACHARYA	32221605000717	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
573	SENTU MANDAL	22221613002330	GEOGRAPHY
574	SERINA KHATUN	12221631000081	SOCIOLOGY
575	SHAHANOYAZ RAHAMATULLA	12221604003776	BENGALI
576	SHALBONI HALDER	32221616002616	HISTORY
577	SHALINI CHATTERJEE	52221611001590	ENGLISH
578	SHAMPA DAS	42221616002407	HISTORY
579	SHAONI BERA	42221605001492	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
580	SHARMISTHA DUTTA	12221610000379	EDUCATION
581	SHASWATI PRAMANIK	42221622000162	NUTRITION
582	SHATABDI SARKAR	12221604000894	BENGALI
583	SHIBABRATA BHATTACHARYA	42221613002880	GEOGRAPHY
584	SHIKTA SARKAR	22221613001713	GEOGRAPHY
585	SHILPA CHAKI	32221619000792	MATHEMATICS
586	SHILPA KUNDU	52221626000429	PHYSICS
587	SHILPI TEWARY	42221613000311	GEOGRAPHY
588	SHOUBHIK SAHA	22221611000061	ENGLISH
589	SHREEPARNA DINDA	32221613000762	GEOGRAPHY
590	SHRIDEBI SARKAR	22221629001030	SANSKRIT
591	SHUBHAJIT DAS	22221636000057	COMPUTER APPLICATION
592	SHWETA SINGH	22221615000057	HINDI
593	SHYAMAL KUMAR BERA	42221636000257	COMPUTER APPLICATION
594	SHYAMSUNDAR MAITI	42221613003584	GEOGRAPHY
595	SIDDHARTHA SANKAR JANA	42221611004046	ENGLISH
596	SIDDHESWAR MANDAL	42221613001276	GEOGRAPHY
597	SINTU DAS	42221613001984	GEOGRAPHY
598	SIPRA PRADHAN	42221613004018	GEOGRAPHY
599	SIRIN QURASHI	32221604000439	BENGALI
600	SITARAM SHEE	42221629001238	SANSKRIT
601	SK HAFIJUR RAHAMAN	12221603000052	ARABIC
602	SK IMRAN AHMED	42221604005451	BENGALI
603	SK MASIUR RAHAMAN	42221626001226	PHYSICS
604	SK MD HAFIJUL ISLAM	42221611004683	ENGLISH
605	SK MOHIDUL ALI	42221606001169	CHEMISTRY
606	SK NOOR SALAM	12221605001313	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
607	SK NUR MOHAMMAD	42221611004245	ENGLISH
608	SOHARAP ALI	22221611002308	ENGLISH
609	SOMA DATTA	52221613003163	GEOGRAPHY

27

610	SOMA SAHOO	32221604001855	BENGALI
611	SOMASREE ROY	42221611002489	ENGLISH
612	SOMNATH DAS	42221604005265	BENGALI
613	SOMNATH MONDAL	42221613002374	GEOGRAPHY
614	SOMNATH ROY	32221610000239	EDUCATION
615	SOMNATH SAMANTA	42221625000688	PHILOSOPHY
616	SONALI DAS	32221605000352	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
617	SOUKATARA BEGUM	22221613003857	GEOGRAPHY
618	SOUMEN GHOSH	12221611001136	ENGLISH
619	SOUMEN MAITY	32221610000813	EDUCATION
620	SOUMEN MAJI	42221626000876	PHYSICS
621	SOUMEN NAYAK	32221613002556	GEOGRAPHY
622	SOUMEN PAUL	42221626001220	PHYSICS
623	SOUMEN SAMANTA	42221613004000	GEOGRAPHY
624	SOUMEN SARKAR	52221604005162	BENGALI
625	SOUMITA SHAU	32221605000066	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
626	SOUMITRA BERA	42221605001768	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
627	SOUMITRA DALAPATI	52221606000010	CHEMISTRY
628	SOUMITRA JANA	32221613000207	GEOGRAPHY
629	SOUMITRA PRADHAN	42221611004852	ENGLISH
630	SOUNAV KANTI PRAMANIK	42221613002206	GEOGRAPHY
631	SOURAV GOSWAMI	42221611003309	ENGLISH
632	SOURAV GUCHHAIT	42221626001209	PHYSICS
633	SOURAV ROUL	42221608000046	COMPUTER SCIENCE
634	SOUVIK MONDAL	42221611004416	ENGLISH
635	SOVAN MONDAL	32221613000951	GEOGRAPHY
636	SREEMA PAL	12221616000990	HISTORY
637	SRIKANTA DEY	12221605001325	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
638	SRIKANTA HAIT	42221616003462	HISTORY
639	SRIKRISHNA BARMAN	22221616001996	HISTORY
640	SUBESH CHANDRA MANDAL	32221613002835	GEOGRAPHY
641	SUBHABRATA BAKSHI	12221636000059	COMPUTER APPLICATION
642	SUBHADIP CHAKROBORTY	52221610000426	EDUCATION
643	SUBHADIP MAITY	42221606000899	CHEMISTRY
644	SUBHAJIT DAS	22221613001733	GEOGRAPHY
645	SUBHAJIT HALDER	32221613002580	GEOGRAPHY
646	SUBHAMAY MAITY	42221605001442	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
647	SUBHASH CHANDRA MAITY	42221619002304	MATHEMATICS
648	SUBHASHISH DAS	22221611002814	ENGLISH
649	SUBHASIS DEY	42221619001680	MATHEMATICS
650	SUBHASIS KUNDU	42221604001955	BENGALI
651	SUBHENDU KUMAR PAL	12221672000203	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
652	SUBHRA KANTI BARMAN	42221616003512	HISTORY
653	SUBIR KUMAR DAS	22221627000532	POLITICAL SCIENCE

28

654	SUBRATA BANDOPADHYAY	42221629001768	SANSKRIT
655	SUBRATA BERA	42221611004397	ENGLISH
656	SUBRATA GHOSH	22221613003462	GEOGRAPHY
657	SUBRATA KUMAR MAITY	42221605001078	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
658	SUBRATA NANDI	42221613002020	GEOGRAPHY
659	SUCHARITA BARMAN	42221622000364	NUTRITION
660	SUCHETA MAITI	42221622000198	NUTRITION
661	SUDESHNA GHOSH	22221604006476	BENGALI
662	SUDIP ADHIKARY	42221613004155	GEOGRAPHY
663	SUDIP DWARI	42221613003087	GEOGRAPHY
664	SUDIPTA SAHOO	42221622000368	NUTRITION
665	SUJAM ALI	22221627000641	POLITICAL SCIENCE
666	SUJIT KUMAR GHANTA	42221613003624	GEOGRAPHY
667	SUJOY KUMAR SARKAR	22221604003427	BENGALI
668	SUKANTA ROY	12221604002892	BENGALI
669	SUKANTA SASMAL	42221619002697	MATHEMATICS
670	SUKHENDU MONDAL	42221610000440	EDUCATION
671	SUKUMAR ADHIKARY	22221613000047	GEOGRAPHY
672	SUKUMAR BHUNIA	42221613001881	GEOGRAPHY
673	SULEKHA ROY MUKHERJEE	32221627000282	POLITICAL SCIENCE
674	SUMAN BARMAN	42221611004578	ENGLISH
675	SUMAN KANTI JANA	42221611003839	ENGLISH
676	SUMAN KARMAHAPATRA	42221611003850	ENGLISH
677	SUMAN KARMAHAPATRA	42221622000270	NUTRITION
678	SUMANA DAS	12221604000081	BENGALI
679	SUMANA KANDAR	42221619002441	MATHEMATICS
680	SUMANA KARAN	42221609000155	ECONOMICS
681	SUMANA MALI	42221611004754	ENGLISH
682	SUMANA MALLICK	32221610000805	EDUCATION
683	SUMIT KUMAR JHA	32221619000226	MATHEMATICS
684	SUMON BHOWMIK	22221608000053	COMPUTER SCIENCE
685	SUMON MONDAL	52221606000245	CHEMISTRY
686	SUNANDA HALDAR	12221627000578	POLITICAL SCIENCE
687	SUPARNA BOYAL	12221605001696	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
688	SUPARNA GIRI	42221604005030	BENGALI
689	SUPARNA SINGHA	32221613000895	GEOGRAPHY
690	SUPRAKASH DAS	22221616001708	HISTORY
691	SUPRATIM MANNA	32221626000217	PHYSICS
692	SUPRAVA PAL	42221629000843	SANSKRIT
693	SUPRAVAT ROY	42221611001414	ENGLISH
694	SUPRITI KAR	42221625000670	PHILOSOPHY
695	SUPRIYA MITRA	12221626000333	PHYSICS
696	SUPTI MUKHERJEE	22221611005247	ENGLISH
697	SURAF ALI MONDAL	52221610000274	EDUCATION

698	SURAJ SAMANTA	42221611002907	ENGLISH
699	SURAJIT BERA	32221613000059	GEOGRAPHY
700	SURANJANA MAITI	42221611004171	ENGLISH
701	SUSHANTA DAS	52221611002555	ENGLISH
702	SUSHANTA KUMAR DAS	22221613000809	GEOGRAPHY
703	SUSHANTA MONDAL	22221627000599	POLITICAL SCIENCE
704	SUSHANTA SARKAR	22221610001399	EDUCATION
705	SUSHIL ADHIKARY	22221627000218	POLITICAL SCIENCE
706	SUSMITA DAS PARIA	42221610000870	EDUCATION
707	SUSMITA GHORAI	42221611004094	ENGLISH
708	SUTANUKA KUILY	42221604005570	BENGALI
709	SUTAPA DE	12221611000021	ENGLISH
710	SUTAPA HAZRA	42221625000662	PHILOSOPHY
711	SUVANKAR DAS	32221604003829	BENGALI
712	SUVASIS SAMANTA	42221611002563	ENGLISH
713	SUVENDU CHOWDHURY	42221610000984	EDUCATION
714	SUVRA MAITI	42221626001096	PHYSICS
715	SWAPAN KUMAR JANA	42221613004295	GEOGRAPHY
716	SWAPAN PRAMANIK	42221613003641	GEOGRAPHY
717	SWARAJ KUNDU	42221606000391	CHEMISTRY
718	SWARNAKAMAL MANDAL	42221606001412	CHEMISTRY
719	SWAROOP KUMAR MAITRA	22221626000832	PHYSICS
720	SWARUP DUTTA	42221611002935	ENGLISH
721	SWARUP KUMAR MAITY	42221608000116	COMPUTER SCIENCE
722	SWARUP MAITY	32221605000216	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
723	SWOUPARNA PAL	12221610000383	EDUCATION
724	SYED JAHANGIR ALAM	42221611001903	ENGLISH
725	TAMAL DAS	22221609000128	ECONOMICS
726	TANDRA KAYAL BHUNIA	32221610000478	EDUCATION
727	TANIMA MUKHOPADHYAY	42221611004759	ENGLISH
728	TANMOY GHOSH	12221613002076	GEOGRAPHY
729	TANMOY GHOSH	12221613001867	GEOGRAPHY
730	TANMOY HAZRA	42221672000070	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES
731	TANMOY SINHA	42221613002692	GEOGRAPHY
732	TANUJA KHATUN	52221604003403	BENGALI
733	TANUSHA JANA	32221605001273	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
734	TANUSHREE MONDAL MAITI	42221604003133	BENGALI
735	TANUSHRI GHORAI	42221613004145	GEOGRAPHY
736	TANUSREE PANIGRAHI	32221604003414	BENGALI
737	TANUSRI BARMAN	42221604005633	BENGALI
738	TAPAS JANA	42221613003633	GEOGRAPHY
739	TAPAS KUMAR MAITI	42221636000184	COMPUTER APPLICATION
740	TAPAS SAHOO	42221619001233	MATHEMATICS
741	TAPASI KAR	32221619000277	MATHEMATICS

742	TARAKESWAR BARUI	42221622000220	NUTRITION
743	TARAKNATH PAUL	12221611000662	ENGLISH
744	TARIQUL ISLAM	22221604002295	BENGALI
745	TARUN DAS	42221613002272	GEOGRAPHY
746	TARUN KUMAR SINGH	42221610000970	EDUCATION
747	TARUN MANNA	42221611004676	ENGLISH
748	TARUN MONDAL	52221611001489	ENGLISH
749	TASLIM ARIF	22221610000536	EDUCATION
750	TINA DAS MAHAPATRA	32221613001688	GEOGRAPHY
751	TRIGUNA RANJAN BARIK	42221605001840	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE
752	TUHIN MAITI	42221610000993	EDUCATION
753	TULSI ROY	22221625000443	PHILOSOPHY
754	TULSI DEY	42221604005857	BENGALI
755	TUNTUNI MANNA	42221613002680	GEOGRAPHY
756	TUTUN PAUL	12221611002601	ENGLISH
757	UDAY SASMAL	42221629001172	SANSKRIT
758	UJJAL KUMAR BARMAN	22221625000362	PHILOSOPHY
759	UJJALI SARKAR	52221610000930	EDUCATION
760	UMESH CHANDRA MAHATO	42221613000407	GEOGRAPHY
761	UNMUKTA CHANDRA SINGHA	22221604002491	BENGALI
762	UTPLABA SENGUPTA	22221627000171	POLITICAL SCIENCE
763	UTSAB BISWAS	52221604001468	BENGALI
764	UTSAV ROY	32221625000356	PHILOSOPHY
765	UTTAM CHAKRABARTY	32221619000295	MATHEMATICS
766	UTTAM KUMAR MAITY	42221613003566	GEOGRAPHY
767	UTTAM MAHATA	42221613000667	GEOGRAPHY
768	VAIRAB KUMAR DEY	42221604002623	BENGALI
769	VIVEKANANDA DAS	22221610000394	EDUCATION
770	YOUSUF ALI	22221619000976	MATHEMATICS
771	ZUBARIA JAMAL	32221626000161	PHYSICS

31

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 783 GR-C CANDIDATES REMOVED BY THE COMMISSION BASED ON DATA SUPPLIED BY CBI & IN COMPLIANCE TO THE ORDER OF HON'BLE COURT

SL	APPLICANT NAME	ROLL NO.
1	ABANTI ROY	46081616066907
2	ABDUL GAFFAR MIA	26081620000238
3	ABDUL HAI	26081620024540
4	ABDUL ODUT MONDAL	56081619007167
5	ABDUL ZABBAR	26081609017273
6	ABDUR RAJJAK MIAH	26081608000328
7	ABHIJIT BANERJEE	16081602043232
8	ABHIJIT DE	46081615037982
9	ABHIJIT KUMAR BAGDI	16081601039527
10	ABIR HAZRA	46081617059182
11	ABU BAKKAR SIDDIK	26081608023590
12	ABU NASAR AHMAD KHAN	16081603025512
13	ADHIR CHANDRA BARMAN	26081604000989
14	ADITYA POREL	16081603040367
15	AHSANUL ISLAM	26081608021628
16	AINUL SK	16081601000847
17	ALPANA BARMAN	26081607016340
18	AMAL KUMAR KAYAL	46081617047730
19	AMAN ALI MOLLA	36081613004544
20	AMANUR HOSSAIN	56081619033011
21	AMARENDU MAITY	46081616081402
22	AMBARA KHATUN	26081609004918
23	AMIR SOYEL SK	56081618037691
24	AMIT DAS	36081613003982
25	AMIT DAS	46081617005145
26	AMIT DAS	36081613027253
27	AMIT KUMAR GHOSH	56081619015416
28	AMIT KUMAR NANDI	16081603004524
29	AMIT KUMAR RUDRA	46081615036426
30	AMIT KUMAR SAHA	26081610010636
31	AMIT KUMAR SAU	36081611035488
32	AMIT MAITI	16081602084166

32

33	AMIT MANDAL	46081615028939
34	AMIT MONDAL	16081602011395
35	AMIT PAL	46081616077102
36	AMIT SAHA	56081618016750
37	AMIT SAHA	36081613075602
38	AMITAVA PRAMANIK	36081611034332
39	AMIYA DEBSHARMA	26081607021699
40	AMJAD KHAN	36081611033852
41	ANAND TAMANG	26081606000450
42	ANANDA DAS	46081615038560
43	ANIKET PATRA	36081611036304
44	ANIMESH BARMAN	26081604000367
45	ANIMESH BASKEY	16081603059478
46	ANIRBAN PRADHAN	46081616075407
47	ANIT KUMAR KUNDU	46081615024749
48	ANJAN JANA	46081616105744
49	ANKHI SENGUPTA	16081602054989
50	ANKUR KUMAR JAIN	46081617055128
51	ANUKUL BARMAN	26081604001222
52	ANUP KUMAR GHORAI	46081617013893
53	ANUP KUMAR ROY	26081620003548
54	ANUP SASMAL	46081617049291
55	ANUPAM BHANJA	46081617056807
56	ANUPAM MONDAL	46081617065035
57	ANUTOSH BARMAN	26081604020700
58	ANUVA PRAMANIK	26081604018117
59	ANWARUL ISLAM	16081603015476
60	APARNA PAUL BARIK	56081619038700
61	APURBA KHAN	16081601045058
62	ARDHENDU MAJI	36081611032251
63	ARGHYA DAS	16081601003521
64	ARIJIT JHA	26081609012373
65	ARINDAM DALAL	46081615049369
66	ARINDAM PAHARI	46081617010048
67	ARINDAM PAUL	36081613020306
68	ARINDAM SARKAR	26081605014167
69	ARKO SARKAR	36081613012277
70	ARNAB CHATTERJEE	36081611028626
71	ARNAB MUKHERJEE	16081602089999
72	ARNABJYOTI DAS	36081611038511

33

73	ARPAN KUMAR RAJ	36081613012693
74	ARPITA MAITI	46081617039198
75	ARPITA ROY	16081601054184
76	ARUN BOSE	26081606001279
77	ARUN JANA	46081617033026
78	ARUP KUMAR SAHA	16081601039853
79	ARUP RATAN SHOW	46081616073266
80	ARUP ROY	36081611048891
81	ASHIF IKBAL	26081609037840
82	ASHIM CH SARKAR	26081608022862
83	ASHIS GHANTA	46081616111874
84	ASHIS MALIK	46081617063471
85	ASIM GHOSH	16081602109079
86	ASIM MAITI	46081617052497
87	ASIM SARDAR	36081612000258
88	ASIMA BARMAN	46081617055826
89	ASOKE MISRA	16081603034324
90	ATAHARUL MONDAL	16081601006395
91	ATANU CHAKRABORTY	46081617075901
92	ATANU MAITI	46081616110658
93	ATANU MONDAL	56081619065955
94	ATANU SAMANTA	36081613014789
95	AVHINANDAN SAHA	56081619036746
96	AVIJIT MAITY	16081603030374
97	AVIJIT MONDAL	46081617064529
98	AVIJIT PAL	46081616111463
99	AVIJIT PATTANAYAK	46081617049356
100	AVIJIT ROY	16081603007819
101	AVIJIT SANI	36081613095444
102	AVISHEK BARMAN	26081607015541
103	AYAN BANERJEE	16081602054229
104	AYAN CHATTERJEE	56081619125142
105	AYANTAN MAITI	46081617057206
106	AYANTIKA RUDRA	56081619033633
107	AZHARUDDIN MOLLA	56081619096051
108	BABITA KHATUN	26081608016881
109	BABLU MONDAL	46081616110250
110	BABUSONA MAJI	16081602014635
111	BACHAN BARMAN	26081607016631
112	BADI SARKAR	26081608022274

113	BAISHAKHI BAR	56081619095155
114	BANANI MAJI	46081617061475
115	BANASRI SINHA	46081615016286
116	BANDANA VERMA	16081602006675
117	BANI BISWAS	56081619024112
118	BAPAN ROY	26081608012760
119	BAPI BOURI	46081615024001
120	BAPI DULEY	46081616085146
121	BAPPADITYA DAS	46081617027498
122	BARNALI BHUNIA	46081617036339
123	BARNALI MAJI	46081617071977
124	BARUN MANDAL	26081608008797
125	BASTAB BHAUMIK	46081617068790
126	BASUDEB BARMAN	26081607007696
127	BHARAT MONDAL	46081617047824
128	BHASKAR DAS	26081608013466
129	BHISHMANATH GIRI	46081617035362
130	BHUPEN KUMAR BARMAN	46081617068767
131	BIBHA GHOSH	16081601000558
132	BIJAY SINGHA	46081617030639
133	BIJOY DAS	16081603045107
134	BIKASH BUDUK	46081616004520
135	BIKASH CHANDRA DAS	46081616038709
136	BILAS BISWAS	56081619021260
137	BIMAL PAL	46081617035562
138	BIMALENDU BIKASH MANNA	46081617032981
139	BIMAN SAMANTA	46081616061091
140	BINOD KUMAR PANDEY	36081611000657
141	BINOTA MONDAL	56081619127000
142	BIPLAB DAS	26081609002860
143	BIPLAB HALDER	26081609010481
144	BIPLAB MANDAL	26081609026892
145	BIPLAB SAMANTA	46081617062052
146	BIPLAB SARKAR	26081604005476
147	BISHNU BHOWMICK	56081619001289
148	BISWADIP BAG	46081617053658
149	BISWAJIT BARMAN	46081616089554
150	BISWAJIT DAS	46081616108247
151	BISWAJIT DUTTA	16081603063302
152	BISWAJIT MAITY	16081603068581

3/5

153	BISWAJIT MUKHERJEE	36081613024599
154	BISWAJIT SEN	16081602062066
155	BRISTY MUKHERJEE	16081601032464
156	BUDDHADEV MAITY	46081615057354
157	BUDDHADEV MAJI	46081617061211
158	CHAITALI DAS	36081612006260
159	CHANCHAL SAMANTA	46081615016157
160	CHANDAN BAR	46081617017887
161	CHANDAN KUMAR ACHARYYA	16081602040753
162	CHANDAN KUMAR PRADHAN	46081617038010
163	CHANDAN MAITI	46081616111382
164	CHANDAN SARKAR	16081602081380
165	CHANDANA RANI GIRI	16081603036409
166	CHANDRA PROKASH BHORE	16081601000251
167	CHANDRA SEKHAR MANNA	46081617074669
168	CHANDRANI NASKAR	36081613034543
169	CHANDRIMA CHATTOPADHYAY	36081613013985
170	CHAYAN BARMAN	46081617074690
171	CHINMAY KUMAR JANA	46081617001744
172	CHINMOY BANERJEE	46081615045820
173	CHINMOY KUJUR	26081608015599
174	CHINMOY KUNDU	16081602037276
175	CHIRANJIT BARMAN	26081608016301
176	CHIRANJIT BARMAN	46081617060534
177	CHIRANJIT SAHA	36081612001883
178	CHITRALEKHA GUIN	46081615038777
179	CHITTA BARMAN	26081604018759
180	DALIA GHOSH MONDAL	16081601015751
181	DEBABRATA KAR	46081615058328
182	DEBABRATA MONDAL	46081616112030
183	DEBABRATA SARKAR	26081620008031
184	DEBABRATA SHIT	46081617002711
185	DEBANJAN DAS	16081603060564
186	DEBANJAN MONDAL	46081617027370
187	DEBAPRASAD DAS	46081616111095
188	DEBASHIS PRAMANIK	36081613075861
189	DEBASHRI CHAND	46081615038487
190	DEBASIS ROY	46081615042258
191	DEBASIS ROY	46081615041086

36

192	DEBAYAN CHAKRABORTY	56081619047074
193	DEBDULAL PAKHIRA	46081617060658
194	DEBIKA DAS	36081612005081
195	DEBNATH MONDAL	46081617064002
196	DHANIRAM HANSDA	26081607020871
197	DHURBA PRAKASH BARIK	16081603029750
198	DIBAKAR CHOWDHURY	26081609012591
199	DIBYENDU MAITI	46081616085365
200	DIBYENDU SAHA	16081602081021
201	DILIP ROY	26081604015208
202	DIPAK HAZRA	46081617050947
203	DIPAK KUMAR BAR	46081617027347
204	DIPAK PRADHAN	46081617010253
205	DIPAK PRAMANIK	36081611032562
206	DIPALI GHORAI	46081616040911
207	DIPAN BANERJEE	16081602051853
208	DIPAN DEBNATH	56081618008510
209	DIPANKAR GHOSH	26081609026668
210	DIPANKAR KARMAKAR	56081619020880
211	DIPANKAR MONDAL	36081611047104
212	DIPIKA MONDAL	16081602000730
213	DIPJOYEETA RAUL	46081617063656
214	DIPTAJYOTI DAS	46081617003385
215	DIPTI DASH	46081617004999
216	DIPTI DEBSARMA	26081609015169
217	DIPU RANJAN RAY	26081610000016
218	DOLON BISWAS	56081619025292
219	DURJOY MAJUMDER	36081613078136
220	DWIPJIT KHANRA	16081603071578
221	FALGUNI PANDA	46081617034880
222	FARUK UJ JAMAN	26081608023688
223	FIROJ ANSARI	16081601006433
224	FIROJ SEKH	46081616076731
225	GANESH DEY	46081616069248
226	GANESH JANA	46081617047790
227	GITASREE JANA	46081617059944
228	GOKUL CHANDRA MIRDHA	46081616068396
229	GOPA DUTTA BURMAN	56081619111759
230	GOPAL CHANDRA JANA	36081613021845

37

231	GOPAL MANNA	46081616109693
232	GOPAL MONDAL	36081613000150
233	GOUR SUNDAR PANDA	46081617001690
234	GOURAB DAS	26081605014704
235	GOURAV CHAKRABORTY	46081615050502
236	GOUTAM BHOUMIK	16081603003362
237	GOUTAM GHOSH	56081619062607
238	GOUTAM PATRA	36081613035407
239	GOUTAM SADHUKHAN	56081619082107
240	GOUTAM SARKAR	26081609000364
241	HARAGOPAL SARKAR	26081608022776
242	HAYMONTI NASKAR	36081613076209
243	HEMANTA RAJBANSHI	26081610010076
244	HIMANGSU GARAI	46081615054914
245	HIMON KUNDU	16081603084242
246	HOSNETARA KHATUN	56081618032232
247	HUMAYUN KABIR	26081608016804
248	IKBAL HOSSAIN CHOUDHURY	26081609026447
249	ISRAFIL MONDAL	56081619107384
250	ISRAFIL SK	16081601009970
251	JABED ANSARI	16081601012546
252	JADAV RAUT	46081617055380
253	JAGADISH BARMAN	26081620020284
254	JAGANNATH DEB BARMAN	26081607016774
255	JAMUNA MAITI	46081617001327
256	JANMEJOY MONDAL	16081601033529
257	JARJIS HOSSAIN	26081609030530
258	JAY NARAYAN SAHA	26081609002845
259	JAYANTA BARMAN	46081616085985
260	JAYANTA DAM	26081605023912
261	JAYANTA DAS	26081605012523
262	JAYATI SAMANTA	36081611043626
263	JAYATRI DEY	36081613011029
264	JNUI KUNDU	46081615048937
265	JOY BANERJEE	46081615039653
266	JOYDEB DUTTA	46081615056135
267	JUTHIKA ROY	26081604000076
268	KABITA RUIDAS	16081602091310
269	KAJAL GOSWAMI	46081615043871
270	KAJAL MONDAL	16081602041832

38

271	KAJI ENAMUL HOQUE	16081601005198
272	KAKALI DHALI	56081619007869
273	KALIKINKAR BHOWMIK	46081616113749
274	KALLOL KUNDU	16081602054406
275	KALYAN BANERJEE	16081601005615
276	KALYAN KUMAR DAS	46081615009155
277	KANCHAN GARAI	46081616029457
278	KARTICK KUNDU	46081615051762
279	KAUSIK BERA	46081616112009
280	KAUSIK CHOWDHURY	16081602112493
281	KESHMOTULLA GOLDER	36081613087484
282	KHAIRUJ JAMAN	26081620024578
283	KHOKAN MAHATA	46081616066084
284	KHUKUMONI DAS	36081612000327
285	KIRON KARMAKAR	16081601035189
286	KOUSHIK CHANDRA PAL	16081602089570
287	KOUSHIK GHOSH	16081602058952
288	KOUSHIK PATRA	46081615024704
289	KOUSHIK ROY	26081620021395
290	KOUSIK MONDAL	36081611011311
291	KOUSIK MUKHERJEE	16081602042310
292	KOUSIK PATRA	46081616066573
293	KOUSTAV CHATTERJEE	16081602124966
294	KOYEL DAS	46081615050592
295	KRIPA BARMAN	26081604004996
296	KRISHNA GOPAL PATRA	46081615057263
297	KRISHNA KAMAL DAS	46081617030281
298	KRISHNA MONDAL	56081619066241
299	KRISHNAPADA MANDAL	46081617041953
300	KRISHNENDU BHUNIYA	36081611043426
301	KRISHNENDU GAYEN	56081619075545
302	KRISHNENDU MONDAL	16081601041518
303	KUNTAL PATRA	46081615054466
304	LAKSHMI RAJAK	16081602127920
305	LALTU NANDI	46081616039322
306	LAXMI KANTA BISWAS	56081619105930
307	LITON DAS	56081618001545
308	LOKNATH BARMAN	46081617007333
309	LOVELY KHATUN	36081613080112
310	LOVELY RAY	26081620024106

311	MADAN BARMAN	26081604001066
312	MADHAB DAS	16081601043319
313	MADHAB SANGIRI	46081615057083
314	MADHUMITA DAS	36081613094854
315	MADHUMITA SARDAR	36081613078757
316	MADHUSUDHAN MAHATA	46081616095859
317	MAFIJUL MIA	26081604002136
318	MAHMUDUL HASAN	16081601007731
319	MALA CHAKRABARTI	56081619116003
320	MALABIKA BAG	36081611053362
321	MALATI BERA PAKHIRA	36081613078936
322	MALAY MANDAL	46081617058809
323	MALAY MANDAL	36081613081142
324	MALYABITA CHATTOPADHYAY	56081619112196
325	MAMPI DAS	26081609003613
326	MANASH MONDAL	36081613003378
327	MANASI MANDAL	26081609016796
328	MANIK HALDER	26081609013438
329	MANIK ROY	26081604010665
330	MANIMALA PATRA	36081613093514
331	MANIRA KHATUN	56081619123121
332	MANJUSHREE MONDAL	36081611020988
333	MANOJ DAS	26081607013905
334	MANOJ KUMAR MANDAL	26081609039844
335	MANOJ MAL	46081616111461
336	MANOJ SAHOO	36081613087014
337	MANOJIT GHORAI	46081616111756
338	MANORANJAN MONDAL	36081613069771
339	MANOTOSH SHAW	56081619040249
340	MANSA SANTRA	16081603009580
341	MANTU KUMAR MONDAL	46081616111610
342	MASUDUL ISLAM	16081601000165
343	MD ABDUL BARI NURULLAH	36081613071066
344	MD ABDULLA HASIM ATAULLAH MOLLA	36081613074220
345	MD AJIMUDDIN SK	36081613033873
346	MD ANUYAR HOSSAIN	26081608023950
347	MD BELAL NABI MOSTAFA	26081607022991
348	MD RAFSAN JANI	16081601012868
349	MD RAJIB ALI KHAN	36081613086804
350	MD SADDAM HOSSAIN	16081601047784

40

351	MD SOFI UDDIN MOLLA	36081613072288
352	MEHABUB SK	56081618027368
353	MINATI MANDI	46081617035567
354	MIRZA IMRAN ALI	16081603014561
355	MISHTI GHOSH	56081619016862
356	MITA DAS	36081613028087
357	MITA DATTA	26081605001865
358	MITA GHOSH	16081601039149
359	MITA GHOSH	36081613006356
360	MITA MANNA	46081616012991
361	MITALI GARAI	46081615057174
362	MITHU ARI	36081611055210
363	MITHUN BARMAN	46081617062810
364	MITHUN MANDAL	26081609018353
365	MITHUN MURA	46081617060487
366	MOHESH SARKAR	26081608021761
367	MOHIBUL MOLLA	56081618039858
368	MOJAHAR ALI	16081601029838
369	MOJIBOR RAHAMAN	26081620023962
370	MOKSUDUL ALI KHAN	26081604010915
371	MONIRUDDIN SK	16081602114185
372	MONOJ MALLICK	56081619092598
373	MONOJIT DAS	46081616046163
374	MOON DUTTA	26081605015201
375	MOTAHAR HOSSAIN CHOWDHURY	16081602040202
376	MOUMITA BERA	36081613091515
377	MOUMITA JANA	36081613087090
378	MOUMITA PATRA DAS	46081617046281
379	MOUMITA SANNIGRAHI	46081615035562
380	MOUSUMI BAG (DUTTA)	16081603063888
381	MOUSUMI BHADURI CHAKRABORTY	26081605024145
382	MOUSUMI CHAULYA	46081616054640
383	MOUSUMI DOLAI	46081617068121
384	MOUSUMI JHA	26081609012312
385	MOUSUMI KAR	46081616092639
386	MOUSUMI ROY BIJLI	46081617055270
387	MRINAL KANTI BARAI	46081616046301
388	MRINAL KANTI BISWAS	56081619122000
389	MRINAL KANTI GHOSH	16081603035819
390	MRINMOY BARMAN	46081617060203

41

391	MRINMOY MUKHERJEE	16081602088702
392	MRINMOY ROY	46081616089999
393	MRITYUNJOY PATHAK	16081601012753
394	MST MAHBUBA KHATUN	36081613072728
395	MST TUHINA BILKIS	56081619010492
396	MUKTARA BEGOM	26081608008582
397	MUNMUN SARKAR	36081613005906
398	MUSTAK HOSSAIN HALDER	36081613080774
399	NABAKUMAR PAYRA	46081617027196
400	NABANITA DAS	16081603013866
401	NAHIDA ANJUM	26081609022953
402	NANDALAL SARKAR	26081607021048
403	NANDITA DAS	46081617033408
404	NANIGOPAL SARKAR	26081604005920
405	NANTU PANDIT	46081617060196
406	NARU GOPAL GHORAI	46081617006511
407	NAYEM SARKAR	26081605001046
408	NAZNEEN AZMI	26081609000877
409	NIBEDITA GHOSH GANGULY	56081619105578
410	NILADRI SAHOO	46081617015521
411	NILANJANA CHOUDHURY	46081615062203
412	NILIMA PRAMANIK	46081616044489
413	NILUTPAL HIRA	16081602127664
414	NISHITH RANJAN KAR	46081617054078
415	NITYANANDA PRAMANIK	46081617041245
416	NIZAMUDDIN AHMED	26081605023383
417	NUPUR CHATTERJEE	16081602090737
418	PALASH HARABAB	36081611034588
419	PALASH SAMANTA	36081613024655
420	PALLAB KR NAYAK	46081617006733
421	PAMPA KOLEY DEY	46081616074417
422	PAMPA KURI	56081618000632
423	PAMPA MANNA	16081601050705
424	PAMPA SAHA	56081618000740
425	PANKAJ JANA	46081616074277
426	PANKAJ MANDAL	26081609025950
427	PAPIYA NASKAR	56081619126587
428	PAPRI RAY	16081602087359
429	PARBATI BARMAN	46081616111619
430	PARBATI BARMAN	46081617015804

42

431	PARESH PAL	16081601003839
432	PARITOSH BARMAN	16081603068951
433	PARTHA BISWAS	16081603061795
434	PARTHA PRATIM CHALL	16081603029420
435	PARTHA SARATHI KARAK	46081616067664
436	PARTHO SARATHI BARMAN	26081604005798
437	PAYEL MANNA	36081611049479
438	PIJUSH DEY	46081616089833
439	PINAKI RANJAN BARMAN	26081604003789
440	PINKI GHOSH	56081618028381
441	PINKY GHOSH BHADRA	16081603025157
442	PIYALI CHANDA	46081616056284
443	POLASH CHANDRA SARKAR	26081608022047
444	POULOMI SAHA	16081603021261
445	PRABHAS CHANDRA GURIA	36081613089317
446	PRABIR GUCHHAIT	46081617060501
447	PRABIR NASKAR	56081619121827
448	PRALAY BHOWMIK	46081616111117
449	PRALOY SAMANTA	46081615049059
450	PRANAB ROY	26081605022948
451	PRASANNA CHAKRABORTY	36081611033458
452	PRASANNA MAJUMDAR	26081609002279
453	PRASENJIT DAS	26081604021134
454	PRASHANTA KUMAR SAHA	56081618005723
455	PRATAP KR SINGHA	26081607026916
456	PRATAP MONDAL	36081613061930
457	PRATYASHA BHUNIA	36081613025822
458	PRAVAT BARMAN	46081617060332
459	PRAVATI SINGHA	46081616093011
460	PRITAM MISTRY	36081612005770
461	PRITIKANA DAS	36081613089074
462	PRITISH KUMAR KANJI	16081603006607
463	PRIYABRATA CHAKRABORTY	16081603037091
464	PRIYANKA BERA PRAMANIK	46081616111734
465	PRIYANKA DEY	26081605008883
466	PRIYANKA DEY	56081619112420
467	PRIYANKA JANA	36081613090782
468	PRIYANKA PRADHAN	46081617042112
469	PRIYOTOSH MONDAL	16081601017660
470	PROBIR KUMAR CHAKRABORTY	16081602036236

43

471	PROLAY PAIKAR	46081617061030
472	PROSENJIT JANA	36081611042091
473	PUJA NANDI	16081603016635
474	PULAK MANDAL	26081608011932
475	PULIN BARMAN	26081604018083
476	PURNENDU BHANDARY	36081613017146
477	PURNENDU PRADHAN	46081617007803
478	PURNIMA GARAI	46081615061026
479	PUSHPENDU BAG	36081611050546
480	PUSPENDU DEY	16081603008084
481	PUTUL DEBSARMA	26081608021822
482	RABINDRA NATH BARMAN	26081604000057
483	RABINDRANATH ADHIKARI	26081604010467
484	RABIUL KASSEM	16081602115595
485	RAFIKUL ISLAM	26081605003635
486	RAGHUNATH SARKAR	56081619053157
487	RAHUL AMIN	26081605013585
488	RAHUL BISWAS	56081619100209
489	RAHUL DEBSINGHA	26081607022644
490	RAJ KUMAR HALDER	56081619082583
491	RAJAT CHAKRABORTY	46081615011276
492	RAJDEEP DAS	56081619124344
493	RAJESH BHAKAT	26081610000140
494	RAJESH DUTTA	46081616107463
495	RAJESH HOQUE	26081620024814
496	RAJIB CHAKRABORTY	16081602075450
497	RAJIB CHAUDHURY	56081619115460
498	RAJKAMAL HAZRA	46081617059655
499	RAJKUMAR DAS	46081617059177
500	RAJKUMAR MALLIK	16081602094937
501	RAJKUMAR PALIT	36081613032195
502	RAJU DAS	36081613091032
503	RAKESH DEWASI	46081614015753
504	RAMA ROY	26081609027766
505	RAMKRISHNA DAS	26081620019159
506	RANA PRATAP BARMAN	26081604002045
507	RANAJIT MANDAL	46081617051128
508	RANAJIT MANTRI	46081617055545
509	RANITA BARIK	16081603047897
510	RANJAN BARMAN	26081604026538

94

511	RANJAN DEBNATH	26081620004723
512	RANJAN PATRA	46081616073382
513	RANJIT KUMAR PRADHAN	46081617001799
514	RANJIT KUMAR SHAW	36081611015678
515	RATAN BARMAN	26081607015126
516	RATHINDRA NARAYAN PRAMANIK	46081616110622
517	RAVI KUMAR DAS	16081602004629
518	REHENA KHATUN	36081613051833
519	REKHA RANI BERA MAJI	46081616062297
520	RINKI KHATUA KUNDU	46081617007663
521	RITA MANDAL	46081616021161
522	RITAM BHATTACHARYA	16081603076715
523	RITUPARNA BERA	46081617055256
524	RIYA DEY	56081619109141
525	RIYANKA SARKAR	36081613025330
526	RUBY KHATUN	36081613056651
527	RUBY RAHA	26081605001140
528	RUMA ROY SARKAR	26081604018108
529	RUNAJAMILA KHATUN	36081611049114
530	RUPALI BARMAN	26081604003678
531	SABYASACHI GHOSH	56081619018613
532	SADDAM HUSSAIN	26081609030856
533	SADHANA PANIGRAHI	56081618021922
534	SAFENUR ALI	26081620021658
535	SAGARIKA RAM	16081603060788
536	SAHADEO PRADHAN	26081606000392
537	SAHANA YASMIN	16081601006375
538	SAILEN PRADHAN	46081617018825
539	SAJAL MANDAL	46081615059395
540	SALAUDDIN AHMED	56081619121868
541	SAMARESH HALDER	46081617042570
542	SAMARESH SARKAR	26081608022696
543	SAMIR DAS	26081605001937
544	SAMIR PATRA	46081616070431
545	SAMIRAN SAHA	16081601051829
546	SAMPA BERA DE	46081617073204
547	SAMPA DAS	26081609004657
548	SAMPAD PANDIT	46081617032000
549	SAMRAT ACHARYA	56081619016650
550	SAMSUR RAHAMAN	26081607021400

45

551	SANATAN BAG	46081616001847
552	SANATAN BARMAN	46081617065611
553	SANCHITA SARKAR	36081612006607
554	SANDEEP SAHU	46081617004982
555	SANDHYA MAHATO	46081614003498
556	SANDIP CHATTERJEE	46081617069702
557	SANDIP MONDAL	46081615029414
558	SANGITA BISWAS	16081603058556
559	SANGITA MANNA	46081617017999
560	SANGITA PATRA	36081613010641
561	SANGITA RANI BARMAN	46081616056546
562	SANGITA SAHA	16081602051620
563	SANJAY BARMAN	26081604025163
564	SANJIB BARMAN	46081617055701
565	SANJIB JANA	46081617074569
566	SANJIB KOTAL	46081616004818
567	SANJIB SADHU	56081619024152
568	SANJIB SUKUL	46081617005744
569	SANJIT BARMAN	26081607016795
570	SANJOY BARMAN	26081607014947
571	SANJOY GHOSH	16081603006653
572	SANJOY KUMAR DAS	46081616035594
573	SANJOY KUMAR MONDAL	46081617049042
574	SANKAR DAS	46081616038439
575	SANKAR KUMAR BIZLI	36081611033162
576	SANTANU BANERJEE	16081601040419
577	SANTANU DEY	56081619040811
578	SANTANU MAITI	46081617001708
579	SANTOSH BARMAN	26081604026659
580	SANTOSH KUMAR GHOSH	16081601043478
581	SANTU DAS	56081619092804
582	SANTU DEY	16081603011151
583	SANTU MAITI	46081617057399
584	SANTWANA HALDAR	36081613077872
585	SAPTARSHI KAR	56081619072558
586	SASTIPADA MONDAL	46081615026535
587	SASWATI KARAN	36081613096719
588	SATYA NARAYAN SHIL	46081616083340
589	SATYENDRA NATH BARMAN	26081604000235
590	SAWAN KUMAR PASWAN	16081602016706

46

591	SAYAK KHANRA	16081603033249
592	SAYAN BINDU	36081613073921
593	SAYAN GHOSH	16081603057322
594	SAYAN GHOSH	36081613010851
595	SAYANTA BERA	46081617019490
596	SAYANTANI DAS GUPTA	36081613015002
597	SAYED OSMAN GONI	16081601006109
598	SAYED RAFSAN JANI	36081613045962
599	SEBABRATA JANA	46081617014311
600	SELINA YASMIN	26081608015073
601	SHAIBAL BANERJEE	16081602061342
602	SHAMBHU NATH SAHA	16081602094722
603	SHAMPA JASU	36081611036259
604	SHARMILA SARADAR	36081613005609
605	SHARMISTHA PAUL	56081619104181
606	SHASHWATI PATRA	36081613000194
607	SHIRSENDU SENGUPTA	56081619027212
608	SHITAL GHOSH	16081601020428
609	SHRESTHA CHATTERJEE	36081613027060
610	SHUVANKAR MONDAL	16081603083902
611	SHUVODIP GHOSH	56081619099204
612	SHYAM CHAND DUTTA	56081618048719
613	SHYAMAL KUMAR DANDI	46081617050531
614	SHYAMSUNDAR GUNRI	46081617058314
615	SIDDHESWAR DAS	16081601001206
616	SIMA PODDER	46081617070660
617	SIRAJUN MUNIRA	26081608022460
618	SK ABDUL ROHIM	16081603015860
619	SK ALKAS ALI	46081617034626
620	SK JULFIKAR ALI	46081617034053
621	SK MANIRUL ISLAM	16081603078124
622	SK MASUM	16081603083330
623	SK MOMINUR RAHAMAN	36081613017206
624	SK NIJAMUDDIN	36081611036642
625	SK SADDAM HOSSAIN	36081611041156
626	SK SANIULLA	36081611011981
627	SNEHASIS MAITI	46081617057398
628	SNEHASIS MANNA	46081616055940
629	SNEHASISH MAITI	46081616080363
630	SOHINI CHAKRABORTY	56081619044040

47

631	SOMA KUNDU	46081615045946
632	SOMNATH BHUNIYA	46081617055396
633	SOMNATH JANA	36081613086509
634	SOMNATH MALO	56081618048792
635	SOMNATH SHIT	46081617067852
636	SOMNATH SINGHA	46081616111123
637	SONALI GHORAI SANTRA	46081617070657
638	SONALI SAHA	16081602119715
639	SOUMEN PANJA	36081611049760
640	SOUMENDU PATHAK	46081617067249
641	SOUMITA DAS	46081617014020
642	SOUMITRA JANA	46081617067996
643	SOUMITRA PATRA	46081617040007
644	SOUMYA BRATA DAS	46081616110513
645	SOUMYABRATA SARKAR	16081602086994
646	SOUMYADEEP DAS	46081617060951
647	SOUMYADIP MONDAL	46081616112019
648	SOURAV CHANDRA	16081601039730
649	SOURAV DAS	16081601034917
650	SOURAV MAHAPATRA	46081617005973
651	SOURAV MONDAL	46081615052071
652	SOURYA MIDDYA	36081611051248
653	SOUVIK BISWAS	56081619128207
654	SOUVIK DAS	46081617012016
655	SOUVIK MONDAL	46081615052219
656	SOVAN NANDI	46081615056193
657	SRABANI MAITI	46081617055932
658	SRIMANTA GHOSH	56081618031562
659	SUBHADEEP DEY	46081616085587
660	SUBHADIP PAIK	46081617075773
661	SUBHAJIT CHATTERJEE	36081613010860
662	SUBHAJIT DAS	16081602086990
663	SUBHAJIT KUNDU	56081619107772
664	SUBHAJIT PAUL	16081602056498
665	SUBHANKAR BISWAS	26081609031682
666	SUBHANKAR NASKAR	36081613016543
667	SUBHANKAR SARKAR	16081602064477
668	SUBHANKAR SARKAR	46081617011820
669	SUBHAS MANNA	46081615036749
670	SUBHASH CH BARMAN	26081607023424

48

671	SUBHASH NASKAR	36081613041143
672	SUBHASISH SINHA ROY	26081607022957
673	SUBHODEEP ROY CHOWDHURY	36081611058338
674	SUBHODIP SAHA	26081605027367
675	SUBHOJIT DEY	36081613014259
676	SUBRATA BARMAN	46081617056921
677	SUBRATA HALDER	36081613008280
678	SUBRATA MONDAL	36081613094743
679	SUCHANDRA PAUL	56081619025077
680	SUCHETA BASU	46081615044938
681	SUCHISMITA NAYEK	46081617067561
682	SUDIP GHOSH	16081601040193
683	SUDIP HALDER	36081613080768
684	SUDIP MANDAL	46081615049487
685	SUDIPA DAS DATTA	16081603064468
686	SUDIPTA HALDER	36081613088676
687	SUDIPTA MONDAL	36081613013083
688	SUDIPTA SAMANTA	46081617056131
689	SUJATA BARMAN	46081616099078
690	SUJATA BISWAS	16081601029243
691	SUJATA MONDAL	46081617042235
692	SUJATA PAHAR	56081619061485
693	SUJIT BARMAN	26081604001100
694	SUJIT BERA	56081619039956
695	SUJIT KR SAHA	26081609002738
696	SUJOY KUMAR ROY	16081603002699
697	SUKANNA SENGUPTA	36081613012418
698	SUMAN DUTTA	46081615034005
699	SUMAN GIRI	56081619010696
700	SUMAN KALYAN JANA	46081617063391
701	SUMAN KR MONDAL	16081603027459
702	SUMAN KUMAR MAITI	46081617052762
703	SUMAN KUNDU	16081602044886
704	SUMAN MANDAL	46081616001557
705	SUMAN MANDAL	16081601019521
706	SUMAN MONDAL	56081619021348
707	SUMAN NAG	16081602012897
708	SUMAN NANDI	46081615017798
709	SUMANA HALDAR	16081602058525
710	SUMANTA GUPTA	16081602125971

49

711	SUMANTA SARATHI MANDAL	46081615055546
712	SUMIT CHAUDHURI	46081615039255
713	SUMIT DAS	46081617022925
714	SUMITA MAITY	46081617049656
715	SUNIL BARMAN	26081620022483
716	SUNINDITA KUNDU	16081603042799
717	SUNITI KR DAS	46081617008929
718	SUPRITAM SAHA	36081611022024
719	SURAJ KUNTI	36081613084556
720	SURAJIT KUMAR SINGHA	26081609002690
721	SURAJIT MALLICK	46081615057415
722	SURYA SARATHI MANDAL	36081611048979
723	SUSANTA BARMAN	46081617064877
724	SUSMITA BAG	36081613000590
725	SUSMITA BHADRA	56081619019930
726	SUSMITA CHAKRABORTY	46081615046552
727	SUSOVAN BAG	46081617005042
728	SUVANKAR PATRA	36081611038882
729	SUVANKAR SAHA	16081602057906
730	SUVENDU BIKASH PAL	46081617055923
731	SWARNALI MONDAL	56081619077397
732	SWATI BERA CHANDA	46081616021613
733	SWATI MANDAL	36081613086378
734	TAMAL GHOSH	16081603087546
735	TAMAL SINGHA MAHA PATRA	46081616082695
736	TANMAY BANDYOPADHYAY	16081603036249
737	TANMAY GHOSH	46081616008325
738	TANMAY SHILL	26081607013888
739	TANMOY DEBNATH	16081602104020
740	TANMOY KHANRAH	36081611051275
741	TANMOY MANDAL	46081616077358
742	TANMOY MONDAL	46081616047132
743	TANURABI MANDAL	46081617066909
744	TANUSHRI MONDAL	46081617071180
745	TANUSREE JANA	36081611041337
746	TANUSRI DHUL	36081613072263
747	TANUYEZ PARVEEN	26081609003459
748	TAPAI MANDAL	46081616090068
749	TAPAN JANA	46081617001691
750	TAPAS BISWAS	56081619088576

50

751	TAPAS KHATUA	46081616009042
752	TAPAS KUMAR ROY	16081603042134
753	TAPAS MANDAL	46081615058965
754	TAPASH CHAKRABORTY	26081605028028
755	TAPASH MAHATO	16081601007563
756	TAPENDU PARIA	36081613088039
757	TARASANKAR JAULIA	36081613086542
758	TARUN KUMAR SOREN	16081601017637
759	TIASH GHOSH	26081608000367
760	TINKU PODDAR	56081619092006
761	TIRTHANKAR SAHA	26081609022761
762	TITHI MARJIT	16081602005954
763	TITI CHAKRABORTY	36081613069956
764	TIYA DAS	46081617059401
765	TOTAN CHATTERJEE	16081603008531
766	TOUSIF AKRAM SHAIKH	56081618043608
767	TRIBANI MANDAL	26081609018769
768	TRISHA GHOSH	46081615045024
769	TRISHITA BARUI	46081617031171
770	TUHIN KUMAR GHOSH	16081602112364
771	TUMPA BAKULI	16081603071892
772	TUSI DUTTA	16081602090496
773	UJJAL BARMAN	26081607008797
774	USHA PASWON	16081603044722
775	UTPAL BARMAN	46081617058067
776	UTPAL HAZRA	46081617007792
777	UTTAM JANA	46081616109995
778	UTTAM KUMAR BARMAN	46081616063137
779	UTTAM KUMAR GIRI	46081617025594
780	UTTAM KUMAR SAHOO	36081613068744
781	ZEENATH SULTANA	36081611048809
782	ZINAT IRIN SABNAM	56081619105828
783	ZULFIKAR ALI MONDAL	36081611031995

ST

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 57 GR-C CANDIDATES NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMISSION BUT APPOINTMENT ISSUED:

SL	APPLICANT NAME	ROLL NO.
1	AKTAR HOSSAIN	26081609012251
2	ALAMIN MOLLA	36081613036638
3	ALOK KUMAR ROY	26081607020927
4	ANANDA TARAFDAR	26081608011231
5	ANIRBAN MONDAL	46081617063904
6	ARIF ISLAM	26081608023043
7	ARITRA KAR	36081611054125
8	ARUP PORIA	36081611043028
9	ASHRAFUL SAJJAD SIDDIK	26081608022484
10	BANKIM SAMANTA	36081611034294
11	BIKASH MURMU	26081607025444
12	DEBASISH SARKAR	26081607020863
13	DILIP GHOSH	26081608011266
14	FARUK HOSSAIN	36081613029260
15	GOLAM KABIR NAWAZ CHOUDHURY	26081607017945
16	HIMADRI MAITY	36081611035671
17	ISRAT FATHEMA	36081613046759
18	KAUSIK GURI	46081617062421
19	KHOKA PAL	36081611050634
20	MALAY CHANDRA KAYAL	36081611032390
21	MANAS MOHAN JANA	46081616036437
22	MANAS ROY	26081607016800
23	MANIK SARKAR	26081607021576
24	MASUDUR RAHAMAN NASKAR	36081613035050
25	MD FARUKUDDIN MOLLA	36081613037100
26	MD HABIB	26081607007898
27	MD RAJA	26081607012818
28	MD SAMIM KHAN	36081613095918
29	MD ZIAUR RAHMAN	26081607025993
30	MILAN MAJI	36081611045449
31	MOHAMMAD FIROJ	26081607017406
32	MOMENUL HOQUE CHOWDHURY	36081613022133
33	MOUMITA MAJI	46081616111928

52

34	NARAYAN SARKAR	26081609029708
35	NIBEDITA BRAHMA	46081616099451
36	NILANJANA MAITY	46081617021026
37	NUR ISLAM	46081616055497
38	POOJA NAHA	36081613025785
39	PRADIP KANTHAL	46081616044518
40	PRALAY PRADHAN	36081611034275
41	PRANABESH MAITY	36081611044684
42	PULAK PANDIT	36081611042200
43	RAHUL MAITY	36081611040408
44	RAJA MURAD	26081609014393
45	REJAUL KARIM	36081613039245
46	RINKU SAMANTA	36081611053404
47	SABANA PARVIN	36081613080176
48	SAHAJAN ZAMADAR	36081613060964
49	SIBAPRASAD MANNA	36081611032474
50	SOURAV BHOWMIK	36081613078952
51	SOURAV SASMAL	46081617030742
52	SOUVIK GIRI	36081613091349
53	SUBEDAR RAHAMAN	26081608015366
54	SUPARNA MANNA	46081617030472
55	SUVANKAR DAS	46081616056804
56	SWAPNA DEY	36081613034530
57	TUHINA KHATUN	36081611043240

S3

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 1741 GR-D CANDIDATES REMOVED BY THE COMMISSION BASED ON DATA SUPPLIED BY CBI & IN COMPLIANCE TO THE ORDER OF HON'BLE COURT

SL	NAME	ROLL_NO
1	ABDUL AJIJ KHAN	16101603001365
2	ABDUL ODUD	26091608026901
3	ABDUR RAHAMAN	26091610019349
4	ABDUS SALAM	26101607002707
5	ABHIJIT BARMAN	26091604028752
6	ABHIJIT CHAKRABORTTY	46101614000175
7	ABHIJIT DINDA	46101617010590
8	ABHIJIT HALDER	36101613004807
9	ABHIJIT HEMBROM	26101610000064
10	ABHIJIT MALLICK	46091615045141
11	ABHIJIT MAPA	46091617049070
12	ABHIJIT MONDAL	16091601013794
13	ABHIJIT PATRA	46091617045893
14	ABHIJIT SEAL	16091603072903
15	ABHRADEEP SAHA	26091605009157
16	ABIEL TUDU	26091608026041
17	ABINASH BARLA	46091616070115
18	ABIR ROY	16091602039308
19	ABU HOSSAIN MIA	26091604000826
20	ABU HOSSAIN MOLLA	36091613040937
21	ABUKALAM AZAD	46091617005342
22	ACHINTA KR MONDAL	36091613003882
23	ADESH KUMAR CHATTERJEE	46091615003644
24	ADITYA MAHATO	46101614001565
25	AGAMANI MANDAL	46091615092189
26	AJAY KAR	36101613015154
27	AJIT CHOWDHURY	46091616083042
28	AJIT SARKAR	26091604071294
29	AJOY KUMAR MAITY	46091616005892
30	AJOY MAHANTY	46111616003996
31	AKASH BAG	36101611010657
32	AKASH BARMAN	26091604056265
33	AKMAM HOSSAIN	46091617036145
34	AKTARA BANU	26121607000506
35	ALAK DAS	46091617010556
36	ALOK KUMAR JANA	46091616131261
37	ALOK PRAMANICK	16091601001192
38	ALOKE CHOUDHURY	26091607023351
39	ALOKE KAYAL	16091603037939
40	AMAL BARMAN	26091604055165
41	AMAL GUPTA	26101608000936

57

42	AMAL KUMAR HAZRA	46091616056519
43	AMAL KUMAR KHANRA	46091617050962
44	AMAL MANDAL	26091605041301
45	AMAL TUDU	26091607014041
46	AMARENDRA NATH JANA	46091617025302
47	AMARESH BAR	46091617009488
48	AMINUR RAHMAN	26091610014243
49	AMIR ALI	26091609058315
50	AMIRUZZAMAN GAZI	56091619062503
51	AMIT BARMAN	46091617058109
52	AMIT DAS	56091619015660
53	AMIT DAS	46091617051182
54	AMIT KARMAKAR	26091609023969
55	AMIT KR BHATTACHARJEE	16091602045075
56	AMIT KR SHOW	46091616007240
57	AMIT KUMAR BISWAS	56091618069067
58	AMIT KUMAR DEB	26091604028085
59	AMIT KUMAR JANA	46091617014102
60	AMIT KUMAR MISRA	36091613065284
61	AMIT MAHADANI	46091614019755
62	AMIT MAITY	46091617048944
63	AMIT MANDAL	46091615093047
64	AMIT MANNA	46091616135953
65	AMIT RANJAN SHIT	46091617004623
66	AMITAVA SINHARAY	56101618001077
67	AMIYA KHAN	46111615002290
68	AMIYA KUMAR NAYAK	46091617024151
69	AMJED MALLICK	16091603048556
70	AMLAN ROY	56091619027702
71	AMRIT MANDAL	46091615097532
72	AMRITA SARKAR	26091610000808
73	AMZAD ALI	26091610029502
74	ANAMIKA BERA	36101611009605
75	ANANDA BISWAS	56091618013937
76	ANANDA DAS	26091604014476
77	ANANDA GHOSH	16091603015160
78	ANANDA GHOSH	56101618001115
79	ANANDA GOPAL PAL	16091602115255
80	ANANDA MOHAN KAYAL	46091617004203
81	ANANDA PAUL	26091609043306
82	ANANDA PRAMANIK	26091609045706
83	ANANDITA PATRA	36101613013537
84	ANARUL ALI KHAN	46091616019517
85	ANCHAR SEKH	56091618065272
86	ANIMESH ADAK	46091617001638
87	ANIMESH BANERJEE	46091615071083
88	ANIMESH DAS	26091604018938
89	ANIMESH HARI	56091619088321
90	ANIMESH KUMAR AICH	46091614062497
91	ANIMESH PAN	46091616074345
92	ANIMESH ROY	46091617052963

55

93	ANINDITA BARMAN	46101617012494
94	ANIRBAN BAG	36091611011357
95	ANIRBAN BISWAS	46091617053024
96	ANIRBAN MONDAL	56091619018415
97	ANIRBAN ROY	16091602077305
98	ANIRUDDHA JANA	46091617071314
99	ANIRUDDHA MAITI	46091616134171
100	ANIRUDDHA PATRA	46091617027670
101	ANIRUDDHA PAUL	26091610055739
102	ANISUR RAHAMAN	56091619097742
103	ANJAN BHATTACHARYYA	56101619007508
104	ANJAN KUMAR DAS	46101617008080
105	ANSAR ALI	26091608014655
106	ANSHUMAN PATRA	36091611000654
107	ANUP CHANDA	46091616065655
108	ANUP DAS	46091616060082
109	ANUP DAS	46091617012991
110	ANUP DUTTA	56091618017688
111	ANUP KAYAL	36091613065108
112	ANUP KUMAR BARMAN	46101617002402
113	ANUP KUMAR DAS	46091616130388
114	ANUP KUMAR MANDAL	46091615097525
115	ANUP KUMAR MONDAL	46091617048714
116	ANUP KUMAR PRADHAN	46091616048529
117	ANUP KUMAR SAHU	46091616047564
118	ANUP KUMAR SANTRA	46091617047411
119	ANUP PRADHAN	36101613014606
120	ANUP SAHA	26091609017584
121	ANUPAM JANA	36101613015259
122	ANUPAM JANA	46101617002498
123	ANUPAM MONDAL	46091617009269
124	ANUPAM MONDAL	36091613018677
125	ANUPAM PAL	16091602039918
126	ANUSHREE BARMAN	26091607017836
127	ANUVA BAG	46091616122152
128	APARMITA SANI	46091616131865
129	APARNA MAINAN	36101611006189
130	APARNA MONDAL PATRA	46091617006355
131	APARNA MUDI	16091603060683
132	APU MONDAL	56091619097663
133	APU RAY	26091604052659
134	APURBA DAS	46091616120540
135	APURBA KONAR	16091602044492
136	ARABINDA DAS	36091611015375
137	ARAJIT ROY	26091609037020
138	ARATI RAY	26091604029439
139	ARBIN ROY	26091606000817
140	ARCHANA BARMAN	26091604009425
141	ARCHANA BHOWMIK	46091617049031
142	ARDHENDU SHEKHAR AGUAN	46091617074071
143	ARGHA MONDAL	36101613002230

56

144	ARGHYA BANIK	56091619090702
145	ARGHYA SEN	36101613001939
146	ARGHYAKAMAL DAS	16091602070103
147	ARIF BILLAHA MONDAL	56091619045158
148	ARINDAM DE	46091617069306
149	ARINDAM NASKAR	56091619098143
150	ARITRA SAHA	26091606002448
151	ARJUN BHOWMIK	26091604051653
152	ARJUN GHOSH	16091601040086
153	ARJUN MAITY	46091617027299
154	ARNAB PAUL CHOWDHURY	16091602070635
155	ARNAB SAHA	16091602051079
156	ARPAN JANA	46091617071254
157	ARPITA DE DANDAPAT	46101616011673
158	ARPITA DEY	26091604031640
159	ARPITA MANDAL	46121616006793
160	ARSAD PRAMANIK	26091604053136
161	ARUN KUMAR DAS	46091616131447
162	ARUN SAMANTA	46101616021340
163	ARUNDHATI DAS	36091611035050
164	ARUP JANA	46091617023984
165	ARUP KUMAR BHATTACHARYYA	46091615054929
166	ARUP KUMAR KOYAL	36091611016222
167	ASHADUL HAQUE	56091618010320
168	ASHES KUMAR DAS	46091617044066
169	ASHIM BISWAS	56091619076652
170	ASHIM GAYEN	36091612002571
171	ASHIM KAR	56091619089055
172	ASHIS SAMANTA	46101617000166
173	ASHOK DEBSARMA	26091607027256
174	ASHOK GURIA	46091617003381
175	ASHOK KUMAR MANDAL	46091617031873
176	ASHOK PAUL	46091617016070
177	ASHOK ROY	26091604001109
178	ASHOKE GHORAI	46101616022880
179	ASHOKE KUMAR DEY	16091602078731
180	ASHOKE MONDAL	46101616023002
181	ASIM KUMAR MANDAL	26091609009713
182	ASIM KUMAR MANDAL	16091603003755
183	ASIM NASKAR	36091613005067
184	ASIS PARIARY	46101616013690
185	ASIT DAS	36091613054693
186	ASPITA DAS	26091620003775
187	ATANU DAS	46091616129395
188	ATANU NANDI	16091602069978
189	ATANU NAYEK	46091616055621
190	ATANU PAL	16091602042014
191	ATIM KUNDU	16091602102264
192	AVIJIT BAR	46091617016361
193	AVIJIT BARMAN	46091617015204
194	AVIJIT DHIBAR	16091602087813

57

195	AVIJIT KARAN	46091616086577
196	AVIJIT MIDYA	46091617017138
197	AVIJIT MISHRA	46091616127638
198	AVIJIT SASMAL	36091611002089
199	AVIK RANA	46091616059957
200	AVISHEK BISWAS	56091618021935
201	AYAN KOLEY	16101602010466
202	AYAN ROY	46101617001724
203	AZARUL HAQUE MOLLICK	26091610029909
204	BABITA BARMAN	26091604000738
205	BABLU BARMAN	46091617063939
206	BABLU BAURI	16091602026903
207	BABLU KUMAR BARIK	46101616015810
208	BABLU PAUL	56091619021869
209	BABLU SARDAR	36091613012122
210	BABUL ISLAM	26091610007816
211	BABULAL MANDAL	46091617050177
212	BADAL ROY	26091620016924
213	BAIDYA NATH SAMANTA	46101616001060
214	BAISAKHI DAS KAIBARTA	16091602048523
215	BAISAKHI JANA	46101617000381
216	BAKUL RAJAK	46091615025990
217	BALARAM PARAMANIK	46091614011023
218	BANAMALI BAURI	46091614066816
219	BANASREE PRAMANIK NEOGI	16091602060523
220	BANDANA SAMANTA	46091617069386
221	BANSHI BADAN MANDAL	46091617019554
222	BAPAN DAS	46091617002132
223	BAPAN GHOSH	46091615078919
224	BAPAN GHOSH	16101603005185
225	BAPI BAGDI	16091602079592
226	BAPI BARMAN	26091607016315
227	BAPI BISWAS	26091604066347
228	BAPI BOSE	56091618000429
229	BAPI DEBSARMA PRAMANIK	26091607028321
230	BAPI MONDAL	56101619018601
231	BAPI TUDU	46101616009250
232	BAPPA DAS	26091610062508
233	BAPPA PANJA	36091611016766
234	BAPPADITYA PAL	46091615059063
235	BAPPAI DULEY	46091616089995
236	BAREN JANA	46091616136125
237	BARNALI DAS	16091602052521
238	BARNALI SAHA DAS	26091609020055
239	BARNALI SAMANTA	46101616000976
240	BARUN BAG	46091617006544
241	BARUN KHAN	36091611027012
242	BARUN KUMAR SHOW	16091602079857
243	BASANTA PARAMANICK	36101613002216
244	BASANTI PAL	46091617020294
245	BHABESH BIR	56091619042533

58

246	BHABOTOSH ROY SAFIA	36091613008639
247	BHAGABATI DAS	26091604078446
248	BHANUDEV ROY	26091604002514
249	BHARAT CHANDRA MANDAL	46091615059881
250	BHASKAR MONDAL	46091617067154
251	BHIM DEBSARMA	26091607027231
252	BHIMCHARAN MANNA	46091616131863
253	BHRIGURAM DAS	46091617069228
254	BIBHAS BARMAN	26091608003121
255	BIJAY CH SINGHA	26091609056453
256	BIJAY GAINE	56101619011501
257	BIJAY KUMAR JANA	46091617049837
258	BIJOY JANA	46101616008584
259	BIJOY KUMAR GHARAI	46091617024474
260	BIKASH KUJUR	26091607037847
261	BIKASH BEPARI	26091604019942
262	BIKASH CHAKRABORTY	36091613015040
263	BIKASH CHANDRA RAY	26091604050867
264	BIKASH MOHANTA	46091615021061
265	BIKASH MONDAL	36091613012765
266	BIKASH ROY	26091605031940
267	BIKASH ROY	26091608014115
268	BIKASH SAMANTA	36101611005993
269	BIMAL CH ROY	26091607000780
270	BIMAN CH MANDAL	46091616049479
271	BINA MANI	36101611006142
272	BINOY MURMU	46091617000578
273	BIPAD TARAN DHIBAR	16091602081638
274	BIPHAL SAHA	16091603034178
275	BIPIN SONKAR	36091612002965
276	BIPLAB BARMAN	26091604055479
277	BIPLAB BISWAS	56091619078489
278	BIPLAB CHAND	46091615008244
279	BIPLAB DUBEY	46091614008575
280	BIPLAB HAIT	46091617067667
281	BIPLAB MAHATA	46101616003713
282	BIPLAB ROY	26091604071582
283	BIPLAB ROY PAKHADHARA	26091604065963
284	BIPLAB SARKAR	26091608002017
285	BIPUL GHOSH	26091608002224
286	BIRESWAR MAHATO	46101614000697
287	BISHAL SINGHA ROY	16091602097943
288	BISHNU BAGDI	26091610058227
289	BISHNU MURMU	26091607011777
290	BISHNU PARAMANIK	46101614000643
291	BISHU SAREN	26091607023769
292	BISWAJIT BARMAN	46091617068032
293	BISWAJIT BARMAN	26091604060274
294	BISWAJIT CHAKRABORTY	46101617010324
295	BISWAJIT DEY	36091612004324
296	BISWAJIT GHORAI	46091616110492

297	BISWAJIT GUCHHAIT	46101616011305
298	BISWAJIT HAIT	46091616128127
299	BISWAJIT KANDAR	46091617012078
300	BISWAJIT KAR	46091616061500
301	BISWAJIT MAHATA	46091615095061
302	BISWAJIT MAITI	46091617027732
303	BISWAJIT MAITY	46091617005020
304	BISWAJIT MAJUMDER	26091604065360
305	BISWAJIT MANDAL	46091615028719
306	BISWAJIT MANDAL	56091619096887
307	BISWAJIT MONDAL	56091619065453
308	BISWAJIT NASKAR	36091612007073
309	BISWAJIT PAUL	26101605000617
310	BISWAJIT PRADHAN	26101607000276
311	BISWAJIT PRAMANIK	46091617050816
312	BISWAJIT ROY	36091613001472
313	BISWAJIT ROY	26091606000207
314	BISWAJIT ROY	56091618000149
315	BISWAJIT SAMANTA	36091611016530
316	BISWAJIT SARDAR	46091615086327
317	BISWAJIT SARKAR	56091619050961
318	BISWALAXMI SAREN	46091615094168
319	BISWANATH BAG	46101617013747
320	BISWANATH BAGDI	46091616000785
321	BISWANATH BARMAN	26091604023362
322	BISWANATH BISWAS	56091618000526
323	BISWANATH MAHATA	[illegible]
324	BISWANATH ROY	16091601042017
325	BODHAN MONDAL	46091617067801
326	BRINDABAN PACHHARI	46101617010797
327	BUBAI MONDAL	26091609029565
328	BUDDHADEB BERA	46091616107531
329	BUDDHADEB MAJI	46091615014502
330	BUDDHADEB PAL	46091615032755
331	BUDDHADEV BHUNIA	46091617054203
332	BUDDHADEV DAS BAIRAGYA	16091602055506
333	BUDDHADEV PATRA	46091616091642
334	BUDDHADEV SAMANTA	46091616132895
335	BULBUL SARKAR	26091604071240
336	BULTI MALIK	46091615066818
337	BULTU BARMAN	46091617059323
338	CHAINA SAHA	26091610021726
339	CHAITALI HAZRA	46101616004230
340	CHAITALI SAHA	16091603062154
341	CHAKRADHAR BARMAN	46091616132836
342	CHAMPA DEY	26091610045586
343	CHAMPA GHORUI	36101611006250
344	CHANDAN BAURI	16091602063565
345	CHANDAN DAN	46091615024383
346	CHANDAN DE	16091602051447
347	CHANDAN GIRI	46091617004137

60

348	CHANDAN KUMAR BARMAN	46091617025879
349	CHANDAN KUMAR DEY	46091617002379
350	CHANDAN KUMAR DHARA	46091616083117
351	CHANDAN KUMAR JANA	46091617049604
352	CHANDAN KUMAR MIDYA	46091617048341
353	CHANDAN KUMAR MISHRA	46091616050054
354	CHANDAN KUMAR SAHOO	46091614078810
355	CHANDAN MAJI	46091617058435
356	CHANDAN MALLICK	16091602034880
357	CHANDANA MANDAL	16091602096546
358	CHANDANA ROY	46091616026390
359	CHANDI CHARAN MONDAL	46091615025460
360	CHANDI DAS MAHATO	46101614000842
361	CHANDRA SEKHAR HALDAR	46091617017431
362	CHANDRAKANTA DAS	36091611020702
363	CHANDU SARDAR	36091613048111
364	CHAYAN DAS	46101617001550
365	CHESMIN BEGAM	16101602007267
366	CHHABI RANI SASMAL MAITI	46121616003280
367	CHHABI SAHA	26091607011465
368	CHHOTAN ROY	46091617023946
369	CHINMOY MITRA	16091602045507
370	CHINMOY MONDAL	46091617013429
371	CHINU DAS	26121604000641
372	CHIRANJIT DAS	26091604018290
373	CHIRANJIT MAJI	16091602010731
374	CHITTARANJAN MAITY	46091617007830
375	CHITTARANJAN MONDAL	56091619096521
376	DAMODAR SANTRA	46091617003299
377	DASHARATH NEBU	36091613009608
378	DEB SANKAR CHINI	46091617001594
379	DEBABRATA GHOSH	26091610049190
380	DEBABRATA GIRI	46091617047847
381	DEBABRATA JANA	46091616132310
382	DEBABRATA LOHAR	46091615065487
383	DEBABRATA MONDAL	36091613069975
384	DEBABRATA PAL	56091619005721
385	DEBABRATA SASMAL	46091616064307
386	DEBAJIT KARMAKAR	26091604016332
387	DEBANSHU MAITI	46091617001302
388	DEBASHIS SARKAR	26091604020946
389	DEBASIS DAS	36091613048737
390	DEBASIS GHORAI	46091617004557
391	DEBASIS JANA	46091617024051
392	DEBASISH JANA	36091613067762
393	DEBASISH JANA	46091617024740
394	DEBASISH KHANRA	46091617051577
395	DEBASISH PATRA	46091616101314
396	DEBASREE DAS	46091615058499
397	DEBDAS BHUNYA	46091616132108
398	DEBDULAL KARAN	46091617008703

61

399	DEBDULAL PANDA	46091617023902
400	DEBEN SARKAR	16091602046830
401	DEBKUMAR CHAKRABORTY	46091616085157
402	DEBNATH HALDER	36091613050417
403	DEBRAJ BHOWMIK	46091617052157
404	DEBSANKAR PANDA	46101617000590
405	DEVKUMAR DAS	36091613064996
406	DHANANJOY DOLUI	36111612000207
407	DHANANJOY GHARA	46091616048571
408	DHANANJOY MONDAL	16091603031950
409	DHANIRAM BARMAN	26091620015318
410	DHARANI BARMAN	26091604053754
411	DHRITI MOHAN MANDAL	46091617010048
412	DHRITIMAN KHATUA	46091617002182
413	DHRUBAJYOTI BARMAN	46091617067391
414	DIBAKAR CHANDRA ROY	26091609014837
415	DIBAKAR GAYEN	36091613043739
416	DIBAS MONDAL	56091619098609
417	DIBYENDU MONDAL	16101602005359
418	DILIP BAKRA	46091617015147
419	DILIP BISWAS	26091604065254
420	DILIP DAS	46091617050419
421	DILIP KUMAR BARMAN	26091604000887
422	DILIP KUMAR BERA	46101617010581
423	DILIP KUMAR MAITI	46091617051344
424	DILIP KUMAR MAJUMDAR	26091607032717
425	DILIP KUMAR SAHOO	46091616131557
426	DILIP SARKAR	26091604015660
427	DINABANDHU MAHALI	46091614007304
428	DINABANDHU MANDAL	46091615081371
429	DINESH HALDAR	26091608015671
430	DIPA BHOWMICK	46091616014218
431	DIPAK BARMAN	26091604001117
432	DIPAK BAURI	46091614062934
433	DIPAK BHANJA	46091617061619
434	DIPAK BHUNIA	46091617017726
435	DIPALI PANJA	46091616004526
436	DIPANKAR BARMAN	46101617012999
437	DIPANKAR CHAUDHURI	56091618070173
438	DIPANKAR DAS	36091613050625
439	DIPANKAR HEMRAM	46091616106890
440	DIPANKAR PRADHAN	46101617011474
441	DIPANKAR PRAMANIK	26101607000511
442	DIPEN CHANDRA BERA	46101617005509
443	DIPTENDU SEKHAR BERA	46091617069447
444	DIPTI DOLAI GIRI	46101617007586
445	DIPTI SANTRA BHOWMIK	46121617003998
446	DIPU BISWAS	26091609061800
447	DIPU SARKAR	26091606004841
448	DIVENDU CHAKI	26091606002648
449	DULAL CH GUNRI	46091617048989

62

450	DULAL TUSERUDDIN	16091601027800
451	DURGAPADA DAS	46091616069173
452	DWIJENDRA BARMAN	46091616132831
453	EKRAMUL SHAIKH	56091618028819
454	EKTA DAITUL HAQUE	26101609001333
455	FARESH SOREN	26091607032878
456	FARIDA KHATUN	26091609033130
457	FARIDA KHATUN	26091610020401
458	FARIDA YEASMIN	16091602027690
459	FIROJ ALAM	26101609001412
460	GAGAN MONDAL	36091613015209
461	GANESH JANA	46091616081899
462	GANESH MAITY	46091616133950
463	GAUTAM GANTAIT	46091616082158
464	GAUTAM RABIDAS	26091608002038
465	GIRENDRA JHA	16091602028544
466	GITA DUTTA	26091607009921
467	GIYASUDDIN MIDDYA	16091601011983
468	GOBARDHAN MANDAL	26091609047427
469	GOBINDA BARMAN	46091616107450
470	GOBINDA BISWAS	56091619074778
471	GOBINDA PRASAD MONDAL	46091617010955
472	GOBINDA SANTRA	36091611016729
473	GOLAM MARTUJA	26091609045203
474	GOLAM MORTUJAR RAHAMAN	26091608026768
475	GOPAL BANERJEE	16091601042194
476	GOPAL BAR	46091616124783
477	GOPAL CH SARDAR	46091617047652
478	GOPAL MIDYA	46091617013540
479	GOPAL PAUL	26091608004480
480	GOPAL PRADHAN	46091617027144
481	GOPIJIBAN AGASTHI	46091615008223
482	GOUR GOPE	26091604007462
483	GOURAB SEN MODAK	46091615043018
484	GOURANGA BERA	46091617024932
485	GOURANGA JANA	46091617051779
486	GOURANGA JANA	46101617003342
487	GOURANGA NAYAK	46091617002974
488	GOUTAM BAL	46101617000586
489	GOUTAM KUMAR BARMAN	46091616080374
490	GOUTAM KUMAR MANDAL	46091617024567
491	GOUTAM KUMAR MISHRA	46091617048384
492	GOUTAM MAITY	46091616079087
493	GOUTAM MAITY	36101613014577
494	GOUTAM MANDAL	16091601019642
495	GOUTAM MONDAL	36101612004183
496	GULJAR ALI	26091608014846
497	GUNJAN DALAL	16091602079259
498	HABIBUR RAHAMAN	26091607010125
499	HALIM GAZI	46091616067484
500	HANIF SHAIKH	26101610002606

63

501	HARAN CHANDRA MONDAL	56091619040806
502	HARIMOHAN GHOSH	56101619014779
503	HARIPADA MANDAL	26091609039794
504	HASIBUR MALLICK	26091610027805
505	HASSEN ALI	26091604028794
506	HEMANTA BANERJEE	46091614034817
507	HEMANTA ULAL	16091603014148
508	HIJBUL SK	56091618027093
509	HIMADRY BOSE	56091618010037
510	HIMANGSHU SEKHAR DAS	46091616073222
511	HIRALAL DINDA	46091616090888
512	HIRANMOY SAHA	16091601001452
513	HRIDAY CHAKRABORTY	16091603021682
514	HRISHIKESH DINDA	46091616067943
515	HRISHIKESH RAY PRAMANIK	26091604063226
516	IBADUL SHAIKH	56091618031881
517	IMTIAZ ALI MIDDYA	16091602055128
518	INDRA KUMAR DEY	16091602049399
519	INDRAJIT BISWAS	26091607000644
520	INDRANATH BARMAN	46091617050196
521	INDRANI MALLIK	16091602035718
522	INDRANI SENGUPTA	36101611007473
523	INDRANIL BHATTACHARYA	46091616098329
524	INDROJIT GANGULY	16091601000476
525	INJAMAMUL SK	56091618032726
526	INSARUL RAHAMAN	26091604057892
527	ISAN GHOSH	46091616053986
528	JABA SING SARDAR	46091616025152
529	JADABENDRA NARAYAN SINGHA	26091604031452
530	JAFAR MOLLAH	56101618002507
531	JAGANNATH NAG	16091603060585
532	JAGANNATH PATTANAYAK	46101617012182
533	JAHANARA KHATUN	36101611007105
534	JAHANGIR ALAM	26091608000131
535	JAHANGIR MOLLA	16091602054765
536	JAMSHED SEKH	56091618025019
537	JANMENJOY KHAN	36091611025287
538	JASIFUDDIN SEKH	56091618020753
539	JATIN GHORAI	46091617016625
540	JAYANTA BHUNIYA	36091611018802
541	JAYANTA GHOSH	26091607026868
542	JAYANTA KUILA	46091617006120
543	JAYANTA KUMAR MANDAL	46091615081070
544	JAYANTA KUMAR SINGHA	46091617005604
545	JAYANTA MANDAL	46091615007221
546	JAYANTA SHIT	46091616080018
547	JAYANTI BASU ROY	16091603059682
548	JAYANTI MANDAL	26091609048150
549	JAYASRI ACHARYA	46091616023073
550	JAYEDUL DAFADAR	26091610084209
551	JHANTU JANA	46091616125938

64

552	JHUMA MURMU	46091615022375
553	JHUMUR GARAI	46091615089788
554	JOY DHAR	26091604017059
555	JOY DHARA	46101617010608
556	JOYANTA KUMAR GHORAI	46091617007869
557	JOYDEB BARMAN	36101611009687
558	JOYDEB BHATTACHARYA	46091616083423
559	JOYDEB MANDAL	26091609020627
560	JOYDEEP SAHU	46101617000634
561	JOYDEV GAYEN	36091612005624
562	JOYDEV GUCHHAIT	46091616115874
563	JOYDEV MAHATA	46091616064329
564	JOYDIP CHOWDHURY	56091619007166
565	JOYDIP MONDAL	36091613017861
566	JOYJIT SOREN	26091610026306
567	JUBARAJ TRIBEDI	26091609049790
568	JUEL SARKAR	26091609024885
569	JULIUS SIZAR	26091604036719
570	JYOTI CHATTOPADHYAY	16091603014405
571	JYOTSNA BERA	46101617004924
572	JYOTSNA MONDAL	16091601022756
573	KABITA DAS	36091613066209
574	KABITA RANI DAS GAYEN	46091617001978
575	KAILASH PATI GHOSH	26091610053616
576	KAJAL BARMAN	46091616046895
577	KAJAL DUTTA	26091608002809
578	KAJAL MAJEE	46091614060771
579	KAKALI GUHA DEY	56101618001031
580	KALIPADA GHANTA	46091617053355
581	KALLOL KUMAR NAYAK	46091617004705
582	KALLYAN BERA	46091617048418
583	KALPANA DAS	46101617000977
584	KALPANA MANDAL	26121609000132
585	KALYAN BHAUMIK	46091616133572
586	KALYAN DAS GUPTA	46101617010562
587	KALYAN GOSWAMI	16091602040655
588	KALYAN ROY	26091604059212
589	KALYANI JANA	46091616066173
590	KAMAL HEMBRAM	46091617073073
591	KAMAL KRISHNA BARMAN	36091611005900
592	KAMAL KUMAR CHAKRABARTY	46091617001278
593	KAMAL PAUL	36101611006754
594	KAMAL ROY	26091604034335
595	KAMALENDU NAYAK	46091617048167
596	KANAILAL DHIBAR	46091616075891
597	KANCHAN BANERJEE	46091615008596
598	KANCHAN KUMAR ROY	26091604065704
599	KANCHAN PAL	46091615062921
600	KANIKA ROY BARMAN	26091604050694
601	KANTA MARDI	26091607012735
602	KANTHU CHANDRA NATH	36101612001810

65

603	KANU MONDAL	16091602055363
604	KARTICK CHANDRA KHASKEL	46091616063167
605	KARTICK DAS	36101611006628
606	KARTICK LAHA	46091615058390
607	KARTICK SHAW	16091602060510
608	KARTIK BARMAN	26091604001849
609	KARTIK CHANDRA JANA	46091616054259
610	KARTIK MAJI	46091616133174
611	KARUN KETAN GHOSH	16101602004785
612	KASHINATH DAS	46091616117943
613	KASHINATH GHOSH	16091603051933
614	KAUSHIK KUMAR MAITI	46091617002614
615	KAYES SARWAR	36091611019158
616	KAZAL MOLLA	16091602042060
617	KAZI MONJUR AHAMED	56091619004539
618	KESHAB BHOWMIK	46091616049893
619	KESHAB CH BARMAN	26091607026833
620	KEYA DEY	46091615070204
621	KHOKAN PAL	26091610050930
622	KHUKUMANI BERA PATRA	46091617049101
623	KHUKUMANI SHEET	46091617005098
624	KINGSHUK SINGHA	26091609031248
625	KINKAR PAL	46091616078009
626	KOLI GHOSH GUPTA	26091609032482
627	KOUSHIK KUMAR NAYAK	46091616126888
628	KOUSHIK KUMAR SHEET	46091616126540
629	KOUSHIK ROY	46091615070915
630	KOUSIK MAITY	46091616136218
631	KOUSIK MANDAL	16091603010814
632	KOUSIK SINGHA	46091616095261
633	KOYEL MONDAL	16091603021910
634	KRISHNA CHANDRA MAJUMDER	26091604028254
635	KRISHNA KOTAL	36101611009905
636	KRISHNA MANDAL	26091609009046
637	KRISHNA PADA MONDAL	46091616005297
638	KRISHNA PATRA	46121617003084
639	KRISHNA PRASAD DATTA	46091616115070
640	KRISHNADEV PRADHAN	46091615099175
641	KRISHNAPADA SARKAR	56091619047166
642	KRISHNENDU BARMAN	46091616132740
643	KUMAR SHANU DAS	36101611005231
644	KUNAL CHANDRA GHOSH	16091602105525
645	KUNAL GHOSH	16091602062893
646	KUNAL SINGHA ROY	36101613011626
647	KUSAL MANDAL	36091611015918
648	KUTUBUDDIN SHAIKH	56091618013048
649	LABA KUMAR MAITI	46091617050304
650	LABANI DAS	46101617009983
651	LAKSHMI KANTA JANA	46091616132072
652	LAKSHMI KANTA JANA	46091617050762
653	LAKSHMI PRIYA GAYEN	46121617000557

66

654	LAKSHMI RANI PATRA	46091616007269
655	LAXMI KANTA HAZRA	36091613002364
656	LAXMI MODAK RAY	26091604037055
657	LAXMI PRIYA GARAI	46091615096619
658	LIAQUAT HOSSAIN	26101608001220
659	LILAMAY ADHIKARY	26091604014570
660	LIPIKA MOHANTA	46091615069826
661	LOKESH BASU	26101620001890
662	LOKESH NASKAR	36091613050483
663	LOKRANJAN MUNIAN	46091617065364
664	LUTFAR SARKAR	26091604033097
665	LUTHFE ELAHI KHAN	46101617014894
666	MADHAB DOLAI	46091616073437
667	MADHABI BHOWMIK	16091603013940
668	MADHABI MAITY	46091616126193
669	MADHUMITA BERA	46101616022034
670	MADHURI SARKAR	26091608018731
671	MADHURIMA MALIK	16101603010725
672	MADHUSUDAN MAITI	46111616005404
673	MAFIKUL ISLAM	56091618030226
674	MAHADEV CHATTORAJ	36091613001749
675	MAHENDRA BAROI	26091607009686
676	MAHUYA DAS	36101613001071
677	MAITREYEE MAITY	46091617024310
678	MAITRYEE MONDAL SAHA	16091602082722
679	MAJIDUR SARKAR	26091608026781
680	MALABIKA KANRAR	16091602030406
681	MALAY DOLAI	46091616007707
682	MAMAN MANNA PAUL	46101617010836
683	MAMATA DAS	56091619029370
684	MAMATA DAS	46091617008060
685	MAMPI RAY	26091604043525
686	MAMPI THOKDAR	26121609000296
687	MANA MANDAL	16091602080426
688	MANAS GHOSH	16091602046345
689	MANAS JANA	46091616060235
690	MANAS KUMAR HAZRA	46091616003866
691	MANAS MANDAL	46101616009388
692	MANASH KUMAR GHOSH	46091616079615
693	MANGALMAY DAS	46091617001696
694	MANIK BERA	46091617034296
695	MANIK MONDAL	46091617061714
696	MANIKLAL MAITY	46091617008548
697	MANIKLAL SHIT	46091617009449
698	MANIMALA MANDAL	36091613065147
699	MANOJ KR BERA	46091617012268
700	MANOJ KR SARKAR	26091609056677
701	MANOJ KUMAR DAS	46091617005998
702	MANOJIT DAS	26091604017239
703	MANORANJAN BERA	46091617025634
704	MARUFA KHATUN	36101611008195

67

705	MARUTI ROY	26091608014409
706	MATHUR MAHATO	56091619008860
707	MATILAL HANDAL	46091616132557
708	MD ABDUL HAMID MOLLA	36091613018092
709	MD ABDULLAH	26091610004123
710	MD AKTAR ALI	26101609000349
711	MD ALAL	26091607009871
712	MD ENAMUL HAQUE	16091601003242
713	MD HABIBUL TARAFDER	46091615071384
714	MD HASIM ABDUL HALIM	26091609046191
715	MD JALALUDDIN	26091610057419
716	MD KAMRUZZAMAN MIDDYA	36091611005314
717	MD MAHABUL HOQUE	26091609056023
718	MD MODASSER HOSSAIN	36101613000828
719	MD MOFIJUL HOSSAIN	56091619041978
720	MD MOKTAR HOSSIAN	26091610082144
721	MD MOTIBUR RAHAMAN MOLLA	56091619059641
722	MD NASIM	16091601020715
723	MD NURNIYAZ	16091602000273
724	MD NURUL HASAN	26091609012533
725	MD RABIUL KARIM	26091610007289
726	MD RAFIQUL ISLAM	26091609046756
727	MD SADDAM ALI	26091605011040
728	MD SARIFUL ISLAM	26091609011949
729	MD SELIM MONDAL	56091619045149
730	MD SIDDIK ALI	26091607009978
731	MEGHNAD MANDAL	46091617003400
732	MEGNATH MANDAL	46091616118033
733	MIHIR RANJAN MAITY	46091617005341
734	MILAN JANA	46101617001489
735	MILAN KANDER	46101617012652
736	MILAN KANTI ROY	46091616082507
737	MILAN KUMAR DAS	26091605038794
738	MILAN KUMAR GHARA	46091616083655
739	MILTAN BISWAS	56091618049781
740	MIMI GHOSH	56091618009961
741	MINA OJHA	46091616131364
742	MINA RAM	56091619034167
743	MINAKSHI ROY	26121608001246
744	MINTU DAKUA	46091617010484
745	MIR NAZIR HOSSAIN	16091601019949
746	MIR TOFAZZEL HOSSAIN	16091601040269
747	MIRON SHAIKH	56091618072967
748	MITA CHATTERJEE	16101602000167
749	MITA PAL	46091615027697
750	MITA SHARMA	16091602084625
751	MITALI KHANRA	36101611005769
752	MITHU JANA NAYAK	46121617002974
753	MITHU SARKAR	26091607009813
754	MITHUN BISWAS	56101619010832
755	MIZANUR RAHAMAN	26091610051469

68

756	MOBARAK HOSSAIN	26091609055410
757	MOBARAK SK	56091618019167
758	MOFIJUL SK	26091610011259
759	MOHAN LAL BARMAN	46091616133231
760	MOHAN MAITY	46091617050033
761	MOHUYA KHATUN	16091601043469
762	MOJAMMEL ALI	26091604050932
763	MOMINUR RAHAMAN	56101619018840
764	MOMTAJ BEGUM	26091610035159
765	MONALISA JANA	46121616005020
766	MONGALMAY BISWAS	26091610027675
767	MONIRA BAGAM	36091613049943
768	MONOJ GHOSH	26091610055438
769	MONORANJAN GHOSH	26091607026797
770	MONSUR RAHAMAN	26091609037981
771	MORSHED ALI MOLLA	56091619041607
772	MOSARAF PERVEJ MALLICK	16091602073533
773	MOSAROF ALI	26091608026626
774	MOSTAFIJUR RAHAMAN	56091618025471
775	MOSTAFIZAR RAHAMAN	26091604070375
776	MOUMITA BARMAN	46101617013689
777	MOUMITA BASAK	36101613010810
778	MOUMITA DOLAI	46091616131616
779	MOUSUMI BERA	46101616022373
780	MOUSUMI CHOWDHURY	56091619098029
781	MOUSUMI DHAR ACHARYA	46121616002493
782	MOUSUMI GHORAI	46121617003037
783	MOUSUMI GHOSH	36101613006947
784	MOUSUMI JANA DEV	46091616048630
785	MOUSUMI MANDAL	26091610038442
786	MOUSUMI NAG	26091604028773
787	MOUSUMI PRAMANIK	26121609000543
788	MRIGANKA JANA	46091617005797
789	MRINAL BERA	46101617009486
790	MRINAL KANTI MANDAL	36091611015418
791	MRINAL KANTI MIDYA	46091616109156
792	MRINAL MAHATA	46091616037552
793	MRINAL MONDAL	36091613026432
794	MRINMOY BANERJEE	46091615017399
795	MRITYUNJAY BARMAN	26091604059954
796	MRITYUNJAY NASKAR	36091613012133
797	MST TAHERUNNESA KHATUN	56091618008542
798	MUKESH JHA	26091609042596
799	MUKESH MANDAL	56091619017161
800	MUKTA BARMAN	26121608001384
801	MUNNA ALI KHAN	46101616014306
802	MUNSHI ALAMGIR	16091602048275
803	MUNSI MISBAUL HAQUE	16091603005121
804	NABA KUMAR BARMAN	26091608002838
805	NABA KUMAR MONDAL	16091601022133
806	NABA KUMAR MONDAL	16091601011213

15

69

807	NABA KUMAR SI	46091617004845
808	NABAB SARIF SK	16101602015712
809	NABAKUMAR RABIDAS	26091609046112
810	NABAKUMAR SINHA	46091617051597
811	NABANITA HAZRA ROY	16101602005087
812	NABIUL SARKAR	26091604071516
813	NABWADIP MAJI	46091615021107
814	NAFISA KHATUN	16091601000979
815	NAKUL CHANDRA PATRA	46091617050579
816	NAMIT BISWAS	16091602074797
817	NAMITA LOHAR PAL	46091616089355
818	NAMITA PUSTI	46121616003255
819	NANDA DULAL MAITY	46091617053314
820	NANDAKISHOR MAHANTA	56091618022639
821	NANDAN KUMAR MAIKAP	46091617004385
822	NANDITA BARMAN	26091604063027
823	NANDITA GUIN	46121616004830
824	NANDITA PAUL	16091603029676
825	NANDITA RAY SARKAR	26091604057458
826	NANDITA SHOW	46091615007450
827	NANI GOPAL RAY	26091604054129
828	NARAYAN BARMAN	26091604052433
829	NARAYAN MAITI	46091617055044
830	NAREN PAKHIRA	16091603003219
831	NAROTTAM DAS	26091604016116
832	NASIMA KHATUN	16091603041469
833	NASREEN NAAZ NOORI	26121607000164
834	NAYAN BANERJEE	16091602063033
835	NAYAN DALAI	46091616100200
836	NAZIBUL MOLLA	56091619097866
837	NEPAL SAHA	16091601003768
838	NETAI MAJHI	16091602108487
839	NIAMUDDIN MOLLIK	46101616013448
840	NIAZUDDIN KHAN	16091602113643
841	NILA BHUNIA	36091613073175
842	NILADRI MONDAL	36091613009102
843	NILANJAN GIRI	46091616070758
844	NILENDU PRADHAN	46091617006660
845	NILKAMAL DAS	46091616056021
846	NILOTPAL DUTTA	16091602031111
847	NIMA RANI BARMAN	26091604032735
848	NIMAI BARMAN	26091604050126
849	NIPU CHAKRABORTY	46121614000525
850	NIRMAL BARMAN	46091616022813
851	NIRMAL KUMAR DOLAI	46101617007180
852	NIRMALENDU GIRI	46091617002482
853	NIRUPAM RAY	46091614067399
854	NISHIKANTA MIDYA	46091617009388
855	NISHIT MANDAL	26091609051224
856	NISHITH KUMAR MAJHI	26091610047639
857	NISIT PRAMANIK	46091617036120

70

858	NITA MONDAL GHOSH	16091601039490
859	NITAI CHAND BARMAN	26091604010669
860	NITAI SASMAL	46091617008396
861	NITIBALA RAY	26091604030220
862	NITISH MANDAL	26091609001377
863	NITU BHOUMIK DAS	46091616131416
864	NOAZ MALLICK	56091618011842
865	NUPUR MONDAL	26091610057743
866	NUR ALAM SK	26091610019649
867	NURE KHODA	16091601020051
868	OWASER ALI	56091618032406
869	PABAN GHOSH	16091602061136
870	PABAN MANDAL	26091609053564
871	PABITRA CHAKRABORTY	46091616085204
872	PABITRA KR MANDAL	46091616124870
873	PABITRA KUMAR MAJHI	46111616005694
874	PABITRA MAITY	46091616051099
875	PABITRA MOHANTA	26091604005022
876	PABITRA MONDAL	16091602050079
877	PABITRA SARKAR	26091604020953
878	PALAS KUMAR KANRAR	16091603002121
879	PALAS KUMAR PANDA	46091617006237
880	PALASH BARMAN	46091617014365
881	PALASH CHANDRA JANA	46091616125423
882	PALASH KR MONDAL	36091613052870
883	PALASH ROY	26091610001397
884	PALASH SARKAR	26091604015694
885	PALASH SARKAR	26091608016270
886	PALASH TRIPATI	46091616050381
887	PAMPA DAS	26091607010267
888	PAMPA DHARA	16091602047305
889	PAMPA MAITY	46121617000344
890	PAMPA ROY	26091610050038
891	PAMPA ROY SARKAR	26091604050451
892	PANDAB GORAI	46101614000587
893	PANKAJ MANDAL	46091615062876
894	PANKOJ SAHA	26091620022019
895	PAPIYA BAIRAGYA	56121618001614
896	PAPIYA BANERJEE	16091602044054
897	PAPIYA DUTTA	46091615045406
898	PAPIYA PAUL GHOSH	16091603004486
899	PAPIYA SAHA	56091619007986
900	PARAMITA SARKAR	26091604017049
901	PARES NATH SAMANTA	46091616046666
902	PARESH CH BARMAN	26091604019393
903	PARITOSH GHOSH	16091602037420
904	PARTHA DE	46101615003474
905	PARTHA KR DEBNATH	56101619014365
906	PARTHA MONDAL	26091610043066
907	PARTHA PRATIM ROY	26091604038047
908	PARTHA SAHOO	46101617003938

909	PARTHASARATHI MALLIK	46101615005245
910	PASUPATI BARMAN	46091617054745
911	PATIT PABAN GARAI	46091615094960
912	PAYEL BANERJEE	16091602037212
913	PAYEL GHOSH	16101603010676
914	PHANIBHUSON SAMANTA	46091616045824
915	PIJUSH KANTI BHAKTA	56091618042696
916	PIJUSH KANTI GHOSH	36101612001904
917	PIJUSH KUMAR MANNA	36091611016340
918	PIKLU DE	46091616107356
919	PINAKI MANDAL	46091617004145
920	PINKI BARMAN	26091604011838
921	PINKI BISWAS	26121607000622
922	PINTU LAL MAHATO	46101614000017
923	PINTU MAJEE	36091611021323
924	PINTU MONI	46091616091143
925	PINTU ROY	46091616092660
926	PIYALI MONDAL	36091611021751
927	PLABAN GHOSH	56091618008344
928	PRABAL BHANJA	46091616006182
929	PRABHANSU CHANDA	46091616092594
930	PRABHAS BAG	16091602042773
931	PRABIN GHORAI	46101616012399
932	PRABIN KUMAR SINHA	26091606004853
933	PRABIR KUMAR DAS	46091617035474
934	PRABIR KUMAR MONDAL	46091617006232
935	PRADIP BARMAN	26091620016349
936	PRADIP DAS	56091619069016
937	PRADIP GARAIN	16091601043302
938	PRADIP GHOSH	46091615070125
939	PRADIP KUMAR OJHA	46091617027727
940	PRADIP KUMAR PAL	46091617052172
941	PRADIP MONDAL	36101613004275
942	PRADIP PRADHAN	46091616052732
943	PRADIP SAMANTA	36091611016247
944	PRADIP SOUTYA	46091616133705
945	PRADYOT MAITY	46091615088369
946	PRAFULLA DEBSARMA	26091607026981
947	PRAKASH KUMAR BAIN	36091613028431
948	PRAKASH RANJAN SINGH	46091616047860
949	PRALAY SANKAR MONDAL	36091613025096
950	PRALAY SANTRA	46101616023496
951	PRAMIT BARMAN	46091616131617
952	PRANAB DAS	46091617022452
953	PRANABESH JANA	46091616091583
954	PRASANTA BAG	46091616133111
955	PRASANTA BARIK	46101616002488
956	PRASANTA DAS	46091616046207
957	PRASANTA KUMAR KAR	46091616074185
958	PRASANTA KUMAR MAJI	16091602003869
959	PRASANTA KUMAR SAMANTA	46101617010477

72

960	PRASANTA MANNA	46101616008620
961	PRASANTA NAYAK	36091613022132
962	PRASENJIT BARMAN	26101607001315
963	PRASENJIT BARMAN	26091604060842
964	PRASENJIT BISWAS	56091619086695
965	PRASENJIT CHATTERJEE	46101615001819
966	PRASENJIT GHOSH	56091619045772
967	PRASENJIT JANA	46091616108874
968	PRASENJIT KARAN	46091617005259
969	PRASENJIT KHANRA	46091617071331
970	PRATAP CHANDRA ROY	26091604002129
971	PRATAP MONDAL	36091611003327
972	PRATIK DEY	46091615066954
973	PRATIMA BARMAN	46091617051096
974	PRATIMA JANA	46121616007188
975	PRATIRAM MONDAL	56091619041700
976	PRATIVA BAG	46091616110547
977	PRAVAKAR MAITI	46091617069378
978	PRAVANJAN BARMAN	46091616096003
979	PRITAM DUTTA	46091615079011
980	PRITAM GHOSH	16091602096103
981	PRITI MANDAL	26091609022050
982	PRIYABRATA BAG	36091613008444
983	PRIYABRATA GHOSH	26091610056715
984	PRIYANATH MONDAL	16091602081206
985	PRIYANKA GHOSH	26121605000242
986	PRIYANKA PRAMANIK	36091613073023
987	PRODIP KUMAR ROY	26091607001265
988	PROKASH BARMAN	26091604007649
989	PROSENJIT BANERJEE	16091602040200
990	PROSENJIT CHAKRABORTY	26091608003658
991	PROSENJIT DAS	26091604051233
992	PROSENJIT MONDAL	36091613003913
993	PROTAP GHOSH	16091603031829
994	PUJA KAYAL	36101613015635
995	PUNAM ROY	26091609039378
996	PURABI CHATTERJEE	46091614051491
997	PURNENDU BHANJA	46091616133162
998	PURNENDU PATRA	46091617053219
999	PURNIMA GHOSH	46091615008699
1000	PURNIMA NANDI DEY	46091615014833
1001	RABIN MAITY	36101612003758
1002	RABINDRA CHANDA	46091616127169
1003	RABINDRA NATH MANNA	46101617005195
1004	RABINDRA NATH SARKAR	26091608013639
1005	RABINDRA NATH SHIT	46091616050019
1006	RABINDRANATH BARMAN	46091616133088
1007	RADHAKANTA PAYRA	46091616074740
1008	RAGHUNATH DAS	26091610064257
1009	RAHENUL ISLAM	26091609001937
1010	RAHIMA KHATUN	26121608002388

75

1011	RAHUL DAS	16091602067986
1012	RAHUL DEB	26091608000815
1013	RAHUL KAR	46091615051529
1014	RAHUL SK	56091618027119
1015	RAI PADA CHOUDHURY	46091616047056
1016	RAJ KUMAR PAUL	46091616048590
1017	RAJA THAKUR	56091618004679
1018	RAJAB ALI	16091602106588
1019	RAJAT KR DAS	46091617004077
1020	RAJAT KUMAR BARMAN	16091603022300
1021	RAJDIP SHIT	46091615029643
1022	RAJENDRA PRASAD KARAN	46091617051692
1023	RAJESH BARMAN	26091604011956
1024	RAJESH CHOWDHURY	36091611015106
1025	RAJESH SENAPATI	46091614042793
1026	RAJIB DAS	26091608016329
1027	RAJIB DAS	46091616114909
1028	RAJIB GHOSH	56101618000974
1029	RAJIB KAR	46091617054527
1030	RAJIB RAKSHIT	46091615032546
1031	RAJIB SAIKH	56091618071439
1032	RAJIB SAMANTA	46101617005812
1033	RAJIB SARANGEE	46111616003926
1034	RAJIV CHATTERJEE	46091615017374
1035	RAJKUMAR BANDYOPADHYAY	16091603033645
1036	RAJKUMAR MONDAL	46091617009478
1037	RAJU BARMAN	46091617062547
1038	RAJU DINDA	46091617050922
1039	RAJU KUMAR PARIA	46101617005439
1040	RAJU MAHATO	26091607012237
1041	RAJU PAL	46091616132932
1042	RAJU ROOJ	16091601020515
1043	RAJU SEIKH	26091610054152
1044	RAJU SINGHA	46091617061709
1045	RAKESH PAUL	16091603044139
1046	RAKESH SARKAR	26091620014113
1047	RAKHI BANDYOPADHYAY	46091615012810
1048	RAKHI DAS	16091602092305
1049	RAKHI DAS MAITY	36091612000804
1050	RAKHI SARKAR DAS	26091608000961
1051	RAKIBUL ISLAM	56101618002434
1052	RAM CHAND MURMU	46091616057209
1053	RAM CHANDRA PRATIHAR	46111616001389
1054	RAM SANKAR DAS	46091617002760
1055	RAMCHANDRA GHOSH	26091609036917
1056	RAMENDRA NARAYAN BARMAN	26091604063938
1057	RAMESWAR MURMU	46091616073520
1058	RAMFAL MANDAL	26091609055211
1059	RAMGOBINDA PATTANAYAK	46101617010347
1060	RAMKRISHNA MANDAL	26091609023728
1061	RAMKRISHNA NANDI	46091616071594

74

1062	RAMKRISHNA PATRA	46101616020000
1063	RANA MUKHERJEE	16091603079070
1064	RANAJIT GHORAI	46091617066754
1065	RANAJIT JANA	46091616070286
1066	RANJAN BERA	46091616051390
1067	RANJAN BISWAS	26091604003060
1068	RANJAN KUMAR DAS	46091617051457
1069	RANJAN KUMAR JANA	36091612000355
1070	RANJAN SAHOO	46101616012756
1071	RANJANA BARMAN	26091608001926
1072	RANJIT DHARA	46091616045964
1073	RANJIT KUMAR DEBNATH	56091619006083
1074	RANJIT KUMAR MANDAL	46091617071327
1075	RANJIT ROY	46091616136833
1076	RASINA KHATUN	56091618006768
1077	RATAN KUMAR DAS	46091617008350
1078	RATAN PAL	46091617024658
1079	RATHIN RAY	26091604034181
1080	RATNA PAL	16091601044791
1081	REJAUL KARIM	26091608013894
1082	REKHA SAHA	16091602030424
1083	RENUKA MURMU	46091615088040
1084	REYAZ KHAN	36101613010081
1085	RIKTA SARKAR	26091608001015
1086	RIMPA DEBNATH	56101618005764
1087	RINA KHALKHO	26121608001023
1088	RINA MAJUMDAR SARKAR	26091607001964
1089	RINA RANI BERA	46121616005335
1090	RINKU RAY PAUL	46121616003611
1091	RINKY BARIK	36091612007677
1092	RINTU SHIT	46091616054162
1093	RITA GHOSH	16091602095029
1094	RITA MANDAL	46091615006847
1095	RITU PARNA BANERJEE	16091602072166
1096	ROFIQUL ISLAM	26091609025446
1097	ROHIT MAHATO	16091602055101
1098	ROJKIYA BEGUM	16091602003048
1099	ROKI SAHA	26091609017125
1100	RONIT SARKAR	26091605004364
1101	ROUSEN ISLAM	26091604019146
1102	ROUSONE KHODA	16091601020680
1103	RUKMINI DAS	46091616055403
1104	RUMPA CHATTERJEE	46101615000370
1105	RUNA LAILA	56091619007024
1106	RUPALI MAJI	46091615015497
1107	RUPCHAND SHIT	46091617031090
1108	RUPESH MAHATO	46101614001881
1109	SABINA PARVIN	16101601000735
1110	SABIR ALI	16091602044999
1111	SABIR ALI MOLLA	36091613014482
1112	SABIR GHARAMI	36091613042331

1113	SABIRUDDIN SK	56091618011814
1114	SABITA BERA MAITY	46121616005051
1115	SABITA HAZRA	46091616005312
1116	SABITA ROY	26091604069280
1117	SABITABRATA PAL	16091602027164
1118	SABLU MAHAMMAD	26091604070869
1119	SABYASACHI MAITY	46091617049730
1120	SABYASACHI MAL	46091617053619
1121	SABYASACHI PRADHAN	46091617009349
1122	SACHIN DEV BARMAN	46091616070753
1123	SADANANDA MONDAL	46091617065090
1124	SADDAM HOSSEIN LASKAR	36101613011801
1125	SADDAM KHAN	16091602053923
1126	SADHAN PRAMANIK	26091609021641
1127	SADHANA KOLEY	36101611008391
1128	SADIQUE ALI	46091616074375
1129	SAFIKUL ISLAM	16091601029016
1130	SAFIQUL ISLAM MOLLA	36091613012196
1131	SAGAR DAS	26091604022943
1132	SAGARIKA GHOSH	56121619002258
1133	SAGUN SAREN	46091617073416
1134	SAHAJAHAN MIDDYA	46091615057691
1135	SAHANAJ PARVIN	16091601020946
1136	SAHANOWAJ KABIR	26091609040953
1137	SAHEB LAL GAYEN	46101617001732
1138	SAHIDUL MOLLICK	26091610029971
1139	SAHIN MOLLA	26091610029965
1140	SAHIR AHMED	36101613012731
1141	SAIBAL HAZRA	46091614065433
1142	SAIDUL SARKAR	26091608022974
1143	SAIKAT GHOSH	56091618031762
1144	SAILESH MAJI	46091617008505
1145	SAJAL KUMAR MANNA	36091611017363
1146	SAJAL BARMAN	26091604006699
1147	SAJAL DAS	56091618000113
1148	SAKSHI GOPAL BARMAN	46091617054401
1149	SAKTIPADA DAS	46091617001431
1150	SAMAPTI DEY	16091602046352
1151	SAMAR BISWAS	36091613054797
1152	SAMAR BORDOLAI	46091616088872
1153	SAMBHU NATH CHAKRABORTY	46091617069286
1154	SAMBHU NATH PRAMANIK	46091616131347
1155	SAMBHUNATH PRAMANIK	46091617048194
1156	SAMIR KUMAR MAITY	46091616054752
1157	SAMIR MONDAL	36091612004354
1158	SAMIR PAUL	46091617017843
1159	SAMIR ROY	26091605023103
1160	SAMIR SARKAR	26091604071616
1161	SAMIRAN BISWAS	56091618011477
1162	SAMIRAN CHAKRABORTY	56091618074721
1163	SAMIRAN GHORAI	36101613000920

76

1164	SAMIRAN MAITY	46101617005031
1165	SAMIT KUMAR ROY	46091616134283
1166	SAMIUL AZAD	26091610082333
1167	SAMPA BARMAN	26091604072875
1168	SAMPA DEY	46091615063663
1169	SAMPA MANDAL	16091603005787
1170	SAMPA ROY	26091604066336
1171	SAMPA ROY SOM	16091602027076
1172	SAMPAK SHIL	36091613001705
1173	SAMSUL HUDA	26091610003949
1174	SANAT HAZRA	36091611018500
1175	SANAT PANDIT	46091616051145
1176	SANATAN DAS	46091615015013
1177	SANDHYA BANIK	26091604026728
1178	SANDIP BARAI	46091616133751
1179	SANDIP CHAKRABORTY	46091617053054
1180	SANDIP DAS	46091616056252
1181	SANDIP DUTTA	46091616127718
1182	SANDIP GHOSH	26091610053623
1183	SANDIP SANGIRI	46091616032311
1184	SANDIPAN GHOSH	16091602039885
1185	SANGITA GOSWAMI	36121611000785
1186	SANGITA SINGHA	46121616003972
1187	SANJAY BEPARI	56091618000819
1188	SANJAY DAS	26091608013881
1189	SANJAY DOLAI	46091616083249
1190	SANJAY HALDAR	26101607000247
1191	SANJAY KUMAR RAY	26091604001547
1192	SANJAY PRAMANIK	46091617008317
1193	SANJAY ROY	26091604067677
1194	SANJIB DAS	16091601001357
1195	SANJIB DOLAI	46091617013368
1196	SANJIB KR MAL	46091617006767
1197	SANJIB KUMAR DAS	46091617037883
1198	SANJIB KUMAR KHAN	46091615024854
1199	SANJIB PRADHAN	46091617046801
1200	SANJIB SAHOO	46091616127493
1201	SANJIB SHEET	46091616063466
1202	SANJIT DAS	36091613053143
1203	SANJIT GHOSH	46101615003041
1204	SANJIT HANSDA	16091603064968
1205	SANJIT KUMAR BARMAN	26091607009581
1206	SANJIT KUMAR DUTTA	16091601039935
1207	SANJIT KUMAR SARKAR	26091607000826
1208	SANJIT ROY	26091604056233
1209	SANJOY BARMAN	26091607027412
1210	SANJOY DOLAI	46091616058684
1211	SANJOY GHOSH	16091602058041
1212	SANJOY GUCHHAIT	16091603011845
1213	SANJOY KHAN	16091603009156
1214	SANJOY KR MANNA	56091619006814

77

1215	SANJOY KUMAR MAHATA	46091615080260
1216	SANJOY MANDAL	26091609046639
1217	SANJOY PAUL	46091616011963
1218	SANJOY SAMANTA	46091616135511
1219	SANJOY SAMANTA	46091616078944
1220	SANJUKTA CHAKRABORTY	56091619096502
1221	SANKAR BARMAN	26101620002021
1222	SANKAR DAS	36091613001499
1223	SANKAR HALDER	56091618000110
1224	SANKAR PRAMANIK	46091617016534
1225	SANKAR ROY	26091609006667
1226	SANKAR SARKAR	26091604066525
1227	SANTANA MUKHERJEE PATHAK	16091603034876
1228	SANTANU PATOWARY	26091608026231
1229	SANTANU ADAK	46091616091407
1230	SANTANU CHAKRABORTY	16091603014656
1231	SANTANU DAS	46101617005875
1232	SANTANU KHATUA	46101617001179
1233	SANTANU KUILA	46091617069993
1234	SANTANU MAITY	46091616133124
1235	SANTANU MALIK	16091602029517
1236	SANTOSH KUMAR PASI	16101602005816
1237	SANTU BERA	46091617024428
1238	SANTU CHOWDHURY	46101616014946
1239	SANTU DAS	46101617004299
1240	SANTU KUMAR SAHOO	46091617025547
1241	SANTU MONDAL	46091617054231
1242	SARBESWAR MONDAL	16091601052167
1243	SARIFUL ISLAM	26091609059247
1244	SARIFUL SEKH	16091602119439
1245	SAROJ BHUNIA	46091616049572
1246	SAROJ KUMAR SARKAR	16101602006807
1247	SASANKA MUKHERJEE	16091602059449
1248	SASANKA ROY	46091616127523
1249	SASWATI CHAKRABARTY MISHRA	46121616004756
1250	SATINATH MAITY	46091617028116
1251	SATYA BRATA GHOSH	26091610046417
1252	SATYA KUMAR MONDAL	36091613012259
1253	SATYABAN HAZRA	26091610021388
1254	SATYABRATA BERA	36091613007745
1255	SATYAJIT GAYEN	46091615017850
1256	SATYAJIT MONDAL	46091616067444
1257	SATYARANJAN GHORAI	46101617000673
1258	SATYEN ROY	26091604033923
1259	SAUMEN CHAKRABARTI	56101619018540
1260	SAURAB SARKAR	56091619011856
1261	SAYAN DAS GUPTA	16091603027365
1262	SAYAN GHOSH	56091619022830
1263	SAYANI KAPAT	36101611006714
1264	SAYANTAN CHAKRABORTY	46091614024561
1265	SAYED AZAD ALI	16091601002500

78

1266	SEKH ABDUL KHALEK	16091602045435
1267	SELIM MOLLA	36101613007544
1268	SELIM MONDAL	56091618018145
1269	SELIM SK	56091618018848
1270	SHAHENSA KAJEE	46101617008648
1271	SHAHNAWAZ ALI	36091613012140
1272	SHAIBAL GHOSH	16091603063960
1273	SHAIBAL PATRA	46091616092682
1274	SHAMBHU GHOSH	16091603042061
1275	SHAMBHU MAJI	36091613058096
1276	SHAMBHU RAM MALLIK	46091617048996
1277	SHAMPA SAHA	26091609033110
1278	SHANKU DEBNATH	56091618004697
1279	SHANTANA KHAWAS	46091614009890
1280	SHANTANU MANNA	46091616136516
1281	SHARMILA MAHATO	26121608001821
1282	SHASHANKA GHOSH	56091619067646
1283	SHEFALI MARDI	26091607015297
1284	SHIB NARAYAN JUI	46091617048970
1285	SHIB SANKAR MANDAL	46091617053711
1286	SHIBNATH SARKAR	26091609015545
1287	SHIBU SUTRADHAR	46091615073114
1288	SHIKHA RANI BARMAN	26091607024103
1289	SHILA JANA	46091617001614
1290	SHILA MONDAL	36091613012185
1291	SHILPI GHOSH	26091610026536
1292	SHIMA MODAK	26121607000031
1293	SHIPRA DAS	46101616013931
1294	SHIPRA ROY	26091604003518
1295	SHIPRA SOM	16091602032503
1296	SHIULI PRAMANIK	26091609020926
1297	SHOMBHU DATTA	26101605001933
1298	SHOVAN SARDAR	36091613004805
1299	SHOVON BISWAS	56091619084525
1300	SHUBHADIP CHANDRA	46091615028459
1301	SHUBHENDU DAS	46091617027826
1302	SHUKLA RAY BARMAN	26091604050820
1303	SHUVENDU BAG	16091602035981
1304	SHYAM SUNDAR DULEY	46091616073791
1305	SHYAM SUNDAR HAZRA	46091616130493
1306	SHYAM SUNDAR SARDAR	36091613031319
1307	SHYAMA MURA BARMAN	46091617049107
1308	SHYAMA ORAON	26091604031620
1309	SHYAMAL BARMAN	26091604062115
1310	SHYAMAL BERA	46091617024616
1311	SHYAMAL BISWAS	26091610001415
1312	SHYAMAL EKKA	26091607033527
1313	SHYAMAL KUMAR SAMANTA	46091616125221
1314	SHYAMAL PAUL	46091616134681
1315	SHYAMAL PRAMANIK	26091609033834
1316	SHYAMAL SARKAR	16091602091665

78

1317	SHYAMALI GUHA DUTTA	56091618011413
1318	SIBENDU MAZUMDER	16091602039873
1319	SIBSANKAR NAYAK	46091617007620
1320	SIBU CHANDRA BARMAN	26091604049540
1321	SIDDHARTHA BHUNIA	46091617035902
1322	SIDDHESWAR NAG	26101607000502
1323	SIDDIKUR RAHAMAN MALLICK	26091610030894
1324	SIDHESWAR MANDAL	46091617049845
1325	SIKHA RANI MAJI	46091615007644
1326	SISIR DHARA	36091611015644
1327	SITARAM KARAN	46101617000601
1328	SITARAM PANDA	46091616127823
1329	SIULI BHUNIA	46091617021580
1330	SK ABDUL HALIM	16091602034271
1331	SK ABU HASSAN	16091603016225
1332	SK AJFAR	46091615075161
1333	SK AJIJUR RAHAMAN	46101617016209
1334	SK AJMATULLAH	16091603004574
1335	SK ALLAUDDIN	16091603003161
1336	SK ALTAB ALI	36101613010402
1337	SK ANWAR	26091609058501
1338	SK ASIF HOSSAIN	46091617036299
1339	SK BABU UDDIN	46101617003359
1340	SK IMRAN MAHAMMAD	46091617009316
1341	SK ISRAFUL	16091602075670
1342	SK JAHANGIR ALAM	36101611007067
1343	SK JAINAL ABEDIN	16091601005690
1344	SK JAKIR HOSSEN	36091613067676
1345	SK JANE ALAM	46101617007150
1346	SK JULFIKAR	36101613011415
1347	SK MALAK	46111616006408
1348	SK MINAR UDDIN	16091602063040
1349	SK MOBAIDUL HOSSEN	46091616014213
1350	SK MOHAMMED ALI	36091612000719
1351	SK MOSARAF HOSSAIN	16091602104548
1352	SK MUSHIAR RAHAMAN	46091617036190
1353	SK NASHER ALI	26091609058204
1354	SK NASIM AKHTAR	16091601012653
1355	SK NAZRUL ISLAM	16091602040947
1356	SK NURUL ISLAM	16091602043041
1357	SK OHAIDUL HAQUE	46101616017194
1358	SK SADDAM HOSSAIN	46091615066599
1359	SK SADDAM HOSSEN	16091602010392
1360	SK SAHANUR	26091609057662
1361	SK SAIFUL ISLAM	16091602048745
1362	SK SARAFAT ALI	16091602030168
1363	SK TANBIR	26091609059928
1364	SNEHASISH MANI	46091617056826
1365	SNEHASISH MISTRY	16091602082932
1366	SOHEL RANA GAZI	56101619012841
1367	SOMA ACHARYA	56091619093149

80

1368	SOMA DAS CHOWDHURY	56091618001282
1369	SOMA MANNA	36101611000717
1370	SOMA RANI BAG	16091603008497
1371	SOMA SAHA	16091603004209
1372	SOMA TEWARI	46091614061611
1373	SOMBARI MURMU	46101616014189
1374	SOMEN CHATTERJEE	46091616002336
1375	SOMEN ROY	26091605037247
1376	SOMNATH CHATTERJEE	46091615081311
1377	SOMNATH CHATTERJEE	16091601041960
1378	SOMNATH DAS	46091617050269
1379	SOMNATH DAS	56091619029104
1380	SOMNATH DAS	46091616110027
1381	SOMNATH KOARI	46091616107115
1382	SOMNATH MAHATA	46091615092948
1383	SOMNATH MANDAL	46091617002167
1384	SOMNATH MONDAL	46091617059199
1385	SOMNATH MONDAL	26091610047234
1386	SOMRAJ MAL	46101616010929
1387	SONTU BERA	46101616010739
1388	SONTU MIDDYA	36091611024636
1389	SOUGATA CHATTERJEE	46091616028816
1390	SOUMAN PRAMANIK	46091616105891
1391	SOUME MONDAL	16091601037724
1392	SOUMEN BARMAN	46091617019581
1393	SOUMEN DAS	46091615008802
1394	SOUMEN GUCHHAIT	46091617008823
1395	SOUMEN JANA	46101617011045
1396	SOUMEN KARMAKAR	36101613001093
1397	SOUMEN KORA	46101617007117
1398	SOUMEN KUNDU	16091603020964
1399	SOUMEN MONDAL	56091619097019
1400	SOUMEN PARUA	46101617015054
1401	SOUMEN RAY	16091602044570
1402	SOUMEN RAY	16101602004854
1403	SOUMEN SAHA	36091613062945
1404	SOUMENDRA BAG	46091615027828
1405	SOUMITA SANTRA	16091603046392
1406	SOUMITRA BAKRA	46091617012994
1407	SOUMITRA BHOWMIK	46091617056559
1408	SOUMITRA GIRI	46091617065905
1409	SOUMITRA KOLEY	16091603007621
1410	SOUMITRA PRAMANIK	46091617019525
1411	SOUMYA SAMANTA	46091616014307
1412	SOURAV DAS	26091609025661
1413	SOURAV BARMAN	26091610022443
1414	SOURAV BISWAS	16091602102472
1415	SOURAV CHAUDHURI	46091615071825
1416	SOURAV DAN	16091603019636
1417	SOURAV DAS	46091616128896
1418	SOURAV HAZRA	46091617009436

1419	SOURAV KR MAITI	46091617071671
1420	SOURAV MANDAL	26091609007526
1421	SOURAV MUNIAN	46091617021463
1422	SOURAV PATRA	46091617022243
1423	SOURAV PORE	16091603012721
1424	SOURAV PRADHAN	46091617007059
1425	SOURAV SAHA	56091619018303
1426	SOURAV SAMANTA	46091616110832
1427	SOUVIK BARMAN	46091617061014
1428	SOUVIK BISAI	46091616017345
1429	SOUVIK KUMAR PANJA	16091602065660
1430	SOUVIK MANDAL	46091616033937
1431	SOVAN DAS	46091617004206
1432	SOVON SARDER	36101612002828
1433	SOYEL DAS	26091610030625
1434	SRABANTI MONDAL BHUNIA	46091617006014
1435	SREEPADA SARKAR	26091610000767
1436	SRIDHAR MAITY	46091617051161
1437	SRIDIP KUMAR PAL	46091617048995
1438	SRIKANTA BERA	46091616134328
1439	SRIKANTA KHANRA	46091617049855
1440	SRIKANTA MANNA	36091611016973
1441	SRIKRISHNA MONDAL	16091601026993
1442	SRIMANTA KUMAR BERA	46091616134891
1443	SRIMANTA KUMAR BHUNIA	46091617006067
1444	SRIMANTA SAHA	46091616110330
1445	SRINIBASH DHARA	46091616079267
1446	SUBHADIP DAS	46091616133474
1447	SUBHADIP KARAN	46101617008611
1448	SUBHAJIT BARMAN	46091617068227
1449	SUBHAJIT DEY	56091619003443
1450	SUBHAJIT ROY	26091605007731
1451	SUBHAM BASU	16091602058688
1452	SUBHAMOY MUKHERJEE	46091615043082
1453	SUBHAMOY PATRA	46091617070562
1454	SUBHANKAR BAR	36091611027295
1455	SUBHANKAR DAS	46091617012554
1456	SUBHANKAR NASKAR	36091612006377
1457	SUBHANKAR SAHA	16091603019670
1458	SUBHAS DHIBAR	16091602082643
1459	SUBHAS HAZARI	56091619096251
1460	SUBHASIS THAKUR	16091602082790
1461	SUBHASISH BARICK	16091603056797
1462	SUBHENDU CHAKRABORTY	26091610058404
1463	SUBHENDU DAS	56091619078869
1464	SUBHENDU DAS	26091610028191
1465	SUBHENDU GHOSH	46091615071051
1466	SUBHENDU MALI	46091617038954
1467	SUBHRANGSU MANDAL	46091616082406
1468	SUBIR HALDER	56091618075759
1469	SUBIR MANDAL	26091609058306

1470	SUBIR SARKAR	26091604038185
1471	SUBRATA BAG	46091617009499
1472	SUBRATA BAKRA	46091617008623
1473	SUBRATA BHAKTA	46091615006924
1474	SUBRATA BHUNIA	46091617062681
1475	SUBRATA DAS	46091616076069
1476	SUBRATA DUTTA	16091602058604
1477	SUBRATA GUCHHAIT	46091617047353
1478	SUBRATA KUMAR PARIA	46091617025394
1479	SUBRATA MAITI	46091617054119
1480	SUBRATA MAJI	46091616113741
1481	SUBRATA MANDAL	26091609059784
1482	SUBRATA MURA	46091616132551
1483	SUBRATA PAL	16091602096772
1484	SUBRATA ROY	26091608003051
1485	SUCHETA JANA	46121617000527
1486	SUDAM CHANDRA MONDAL	46091616131539
1487	SUDAM MUNIAN	46091617020904
1488	SUDEB SAHA	16091602037583
1489	SUDESHNA PARIAL	26091604029699
1490	SUDHAM GHOSH	36101611005474
1491	SUDHENDU MITRA	16091603031962
1492	SUDIP DOLAI	36091611025671
1493	SUDIP KUMAR CHAKRABORTY	26101605001916
1494	SUDIP KUMAR MUKHERJEE	56091619018958
1495	SUDIP KUMAR PANJA	46091616119351
1496	SUDIP MONDAL	46091617072792
1497	SUDIP PAUL	26091609027640
1498	SUDIP TARAFDAR	26091608025956
1499	SUDIPA MANDAL	16091601033441
1500	SUDIPKUMAR PRADHAN	46091616125595
1501	SUDIPTA BISWAS	26091606001466
1502	SUDIPTA DAS	46091617005536
1503	SUDIPTA GHOSH	16091602091161
1504	SUDIPTA MANNA	36091611017275
1505	SUDIPTA MONDAL	56091619044371
1506	SUDIPTA RATH	46091615088694
1507	SUDIPTA SAHA	16091603059667
1508	SUDIPTA SAMANTA ROY	46091617052867
1509	SUFAL GHOSH	16101602006155
1510	SUHANA GHARAMI	36101613009659
1511	SUJATA CHAUDHURI	46091616047452
1512	SUJATA DOLAI PATRA	36091611024697
1513	SUJATA JANA	46121617003958
1514	SUJATA SENAPATI	46091616022871
1515	SUJIT MONDAL	16091602036711
1516	SUJIT PRAMANIK	46091617011431
1517	SUKANTA MANDAL	46091616003346
1518	SUKANTA SINGHA	26091620000183
1519	SUKDEB DAS	46091617037406
1520	SUKDEB DAS ADHIKARI	46091616053325

1521	SUKDEB ROUTH	46091616113551
1522	SUKESH MAITY	46101616021960
1523	SUKESH MANDAL	26091610039615
1524	SUKHEN SARKAR	26091610016391
1525	SUKUMAR BARMAN	46091617066294
1526	SUKUMAR BARMAN	26091604064266
1527	SUKUMAR BIJLI	46091616133386
1528	SUKUMAR JANA	46101617002291
1529	SUKUMAR MUDI	16091601040570
1530	SUKUMAR SAMANTA	36101611006124
1531	SULEKHA KHATUN	26091610074945
1532	SULEKHA MONDAL	46121617002569
1533	SULEKHA MURMU	46091615086971
1534	SULEKHA NASKAR MONDAL	36091613015893
1535	SUMAN BERA	46101617006748
1536	SUMAN BISWAS	16091602118837
1537	SUMAN GHOSH	56101619000389
1538	SUMAN GIRI	46091617050608
1539	SUMAN GUPTA	36101612000305
1540	SUMAN KALYAN PAL	46101617009928
1541	SUMAN KHATUA	46091616096757
1542	SUMAN KUMAR ADAK	46091616134710
1543	SUMAN KUMAR BARUI	46091616004635
1544	SUMAN MIA	26091609016374
1545	SUMAN MONDAL	46091617066408
1546	SUMAN MONDAL	56091618029254
1547	SUMAN NAYAK	46101617001481
1548	SUMANTA MUDI	16091602050473
1549	SUMI PRAMANIK	26091604003112
1550	SUMIT KUMAR BERA	46091617008397
1551	SUMIT MANDAL	46091615027717
1552	SUMIT PAUL	26091609009950
1553	SUMIT ROY	16091602081915
1554	SUMITA PRADHAN	36121613002670
1555	SUMITRA DAS	16091601034486
1556	SUMITRA MAL BARMAN	46091617051054
1557	SUNIL BERA	46091616131058
1558	SUNIL DAS	46101617006635
1559	SUNIL KUMAR DINDA	46091617048374
1560	SUNIL ORANG	16091602000877
1561	SUNIL PRASAD NUNIA	26091607027122
1562	SUPARNA BARMAN	46091616083782
1563	SUPARNA BHADRA ROY	56091619066455
1564	SUPARNA KANTI MANDAL	46091617005039
1565	SUPRATIM BERA	16091603078026
1566	SUPRAVAT DEY	46091616112210
1567	SUPRIA BISWAS	56091618069777
1568	SUPRITI MIDDE	16091603009444
1569	SUPRITY SANTRA	46091617054726
1570	SUPRIYA DAS	46091617011961
1571	SUPRIYA MISRA	46091615029104

84

1572	SURABADDIN SK	26091610021584
1573	SURAIYA KHATUN	26121608002360
1574	SURAJIT ADHIKARY	36091611016962
1575	SURAJIT GHOSH	56101618001005
1576	SURAJIT HAIT	46091617053841
1577	SURAJIT HALDER	36091613054720
1578	SURAJIT JANA	46091617058591
1579	SURAJIT MAITY	46091616106453
1580	SURAJIT MANDAL	26091604035859
1581	SURAJIT MONDAL	46091617038188
1582	SURAJIT MONDAL	36091611034078
1583	SURAJIT RAY	26091604032028
1584	SURAJIT ROY	46091617024797
1585	SURANJAN DAS	36091613053078
1586	SURANJAN ROY	26091604001400
1587	SURATH MONDAL	56091619048084
1588	SUROJIT DAS	26091604024224
1589	SURYASISH SETT	36101612003210
1590	SUSANTA BARMAN	46091617059073
1591	SUSANTA BERA	46091616131844
1592	SUSANTA CHATTERJEE	16091601003106
1593	SUSANTA KUMAR DAS	46091617038288
1594	SUSANTA KUMAR PANDEY	46091614060839
1595	SUSANTA MAITY	46111616003921
1596	SUSANTA MANI	46091616133739
1597	SUSANTA PAHARI	46091617027203
1598	SUSANTA PURKAIT	36091612009721
1599	SUSANTA SARKAR	56091619006740
1600	SUSHANTA DAS	26101607000099
1601	SUSHANTA GARAI	46091615081773
1602	SUSHEN BISWAS	46091616073431
1603	SUSHEN CHANDRA BARMAN	26091607011024
1604	SUSHIL KUMAR MANNA	46091617050540
1605	SUSHOVAN DEY	56091619011436
1606	SUSHOVAN DUTTA	56091619072984
1607	SUSMITA GIRI	46091616126382
1608	SUSMITA SAMANTA	36121611001575
1609	SUSOVAN SATPATHI	46091616128214
1610	SUTAPA GHOSH	46091616076932
1611	SUTAPA SAMANTA	36121611001524
1612	SUVADIP DAS	46091617005640
1613	SUVANKAR DAS	16091602065080
1614	SUVANKAR DE	46091616046874
1615	SUVANKAR DEY SARKAR	56091618002056
1616	SUVENDU DAS	46101617000438
1617	SUVENDU GHOSH	16091602120563
1618	SWADESH DEY	46101617005458
1619	SWADESH KUMAR MAITY	46091616055029
1620	SWAGATAM DAS	36091613008197
1621	SWAPAN BAR	46091617018693
1622	SWAPAN BARMAN	26091604004023

1623	SWAPAN BHUNIA	46091616010458
1624	SWAPAN DAS	46091616127784
1625	SWAPAN DE	46091617007260
1626	SWAPAN DE	46091616108877
1627	SWAPAN GIRI GOSWAMI	46091617038556
1628	SWAPAN KUMAR MANDAL	26091609055972
1629	SWAPAN KUMAR NAYAK	46091617026000
1630	SWAPAN KUMAR PRADHAN	46091617050927
1631	SWAPAN NAYEK	46091616045985
1632	SWAPAN TUNG	46101616009277
1633	SWAPNA DAS	26091609017948
1634	SWARAJIT PAUL	56091619079518
1635	SWARNENDU PANIGRAHI	46101617000909
1636	SWARNOLATA DAS	36091613044803
1637	SWARUP DEY	46091616092109
1638	SWARUP GHOSH	46091616100043
1639	SWARUP JANA	46091617007490
1640	SYED MEHEDI HOSSAN	46091617005593
1641	SYED MOFIDUL ISLAM	16091603002369
1642	TAJMIRA KHATUN	56091619044753
1643	TAMAL MAITY	46091617004104
1644	TANMAY BHATTACHARYA	16091602051622
1645	TANMAY JHAN	26091608014150
1646	TANMAY KUMAR DAS	46101617001707
1647	TANMAY MANDAL	26091609030464
1648	TANMAY PATOWARY	26091604020096
1649	TANMAY PAUL	16091602047191
1650	TANMOY BHUNIA	36101613002714
1651	TANMOY DEY	46101615002017
1652	TANMOY LET	16091601022358
1653	TANMOY SAMANTA	46101617014258
1654	TANUSHREE ROY	26121609001943
1655	TANUSHREE SAHA SINGHA	26121609000647
1656	TANUSREE SAHA	26091607027028
1657	TANUSRI DAS JANA	46121617000701
1658	TAPAN JANA	46091616125299
1659	TAPAN KR MANDAL	26091609056116
1660	TAPAN KUMAR BARI	46091617050047
1661	TAPAN KUMAR DAS	46091617046840
1662	TAPAN KUMAR PATRA	46091617060002
1663	TAPAS BARMAN	26091604004939
1664	TAPAS DAS	46091616070962
1665	TAPAS DOGRA	46091617069798
1666	TAPAS GHOSH	26091610058153
1667	TAPAS HALDER	26091610022451
1668	TAPAS KAR	46101617013713
1669	TAPAS KUMAR BARMAN	46091617049483
1670	TAPAS KUMAR DAS	46091617004813
1671	TAPAS KUMAR DAS	46091617049896
1672	TAPAS MAHATO	56091619045118
1673	TAPAS MANDAL	46091616128101

1674	TAPAS MANDAL	56091618000951
1675	TAPAS MISHRA	46091617025174
1676	TAPAS SARDAR	56101619011931
1677	TAPASI DALUI	36091611018324
1678	TAPASI SINGHA	46091615036621
1679	TAPATI BISWAS MONDAL	56091618069999
1680	TAPATI GARAI	46091615059564
1681	TAPOSI GHOSH	56091618012477
1682	TARA PADA DAS	46091616046201
1683	TARAK CHAKRABORTY	46091617069857
1684	TARAK NATH GARAIN	16091601053020
1685	TARAK NATH KOLEY	16091602093808
1686	TARUN BHUNIA	46091617068269
1687	TARUN DAS	26091607009636
1688	TARUN KUMAR BERA	36101611005505
1689	TARUN KUMAR KAR	46091617069496
1690	TARUN KUMAR MANDAL	46091615092958
1691	TARUN KUMAR MANNA	46101616022902
1692	TARUN PARIA	46091616046432
1693	TIMIR BARAN MANDAL	46091615066195
1694	TIMIR KANTI SEN	46091616074937
1695	TIYA SAHA	16091602085249
1696	TONIK SARKAR	26091609049828
1697	TOUFIK SHAIK	56091618015935
1698	TRIDIP DEY	46091616068668
1699	TRINALATA ROY	26091604072269
1700	TRIPTI DAS PATTANAYAK	46101617000453
1701	TRIPTI MANDAL	26091609042411
1702	TUHIN KANTI DAS	46091617001626
1703	TULIKA BERA	56101619016169
1704	TUMPA BAGH	16091602040050
1705	TUMPA GORAI	46091615027522
1706	TUPULA MAHATO	46101616007843
1707	TUSAR KANTI MONDAL	46091617048834
1708	TUSHAR KANTI MANDAL	26091609022785
1709	TUSHAR KANTI POREL	16091603005648
1710	TUSI CHAKRABORTY	56091618071159
1711	TUTUL NASKAR	56091619097650
1712	UDAY CHANDRA GUHA	26091605001586
1713	UDAY DEBSARMA	26101607002312
1714	UJJAL MAITY	46091616106426
1715	UKIL CHANDRA GHOSH	26091610001123
1716	UMA DAS NAYAK	46091617013924
1717	UMAKANTA DAS	46091616070685
1718	UMME RUMAN	26091609040051
1719	UTPAL BANERJEE	46091615085460
1720	UTPAL BISWAS	16091603008774
1721	UTPAL BISWAS	56091619096772
1722	UTPAL GHOSH	16091602078705
1723	UTPAL KUMAR MANNA	46091617007056
1724	UTPAL MANDAL	16091603043419

87

1725	UTPAL SAHOO	36101611007511
1726	UTPAL SAMANTA	46091616125026
1727	UTPAL SINGHA	46091617075582
1728	UTPALA RAY	26091604076547
1729	UTTAM ADHIKARY	56091619082790
1730	UTTAM CHATTERJEE	46091615002844
1731	UTTAM DAS	46091616012914
1732	UTTAM HAZRA	46091617051976
1733	UTTAM JANA	46091616129495
1734	UTTAM KUMAR MAITI	46101617005320
1735	UTTAM KUMAR MALLIK	46091617046733
1736	UTTAM MANDAL	46101617003156
1737	UTTAM PANDA	46091617025251
1738	UTTAM ROY	26091610001101
1739	VICTOR SAHA	36091611002879
1740	VIKASH SINGH	56091619092668
1741	WASIUDDIN	46091614059046

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 170 GR-D CANDIDATES NOT RECOMMENDED BY THE COMMISSION BUT APPOINTMENT ISSUED:

SL	NAME	ROLL NO
1	ABDUL SELIM	26091608026380
2	ABHIJIT CHONGDER	36091611021375
3	ABHIJIT MAITI	46091617063440
4	ABHISEK BHOWMIK	46091617063195
5	ABU MOTALEB	26091609047272
6	AJIJUL RAHAMAN MOLLA	36091613025796
7	AJMIRA KHATUN	36091613068414
8	AKRAMUL HOQUE	26091608029373
9	ALOK BARMAN	26091607019427
10	ALOK BARMAN	26091608006457
11	ALOK KUMAR KAMILA	36091611004690
12	ALOK MALLICK	36091611014704
13	AMAL KINDU	26091608014758
14	AMAL KUMAR DAS	46091616098122
15	AMAR DOLUI	36091611025885
16	AMDADUL HOQUE	26091607013465
17	AMIT BERA	46091616130103
18	ARIJIT MONDAL	36091611021730
19	AROTI NAGBANSHI	26091608000206
20	ARSAD KAMAL	26091609029572
21	ARUP BARMAN	26091607012838
22	ARUP CHONDAR	36091611002732
23	ASISH RANA	46091617029527
24	ASMINA KHATUN BIBI	46091617015002
25	ASTO ROY	36091611021228
26	AZAHARUDDIN MOLLA	36091613036930
27	BABLU BARMAN	26091607011277
28	BABUL HOQUE	26091608015263
29	BABULAL BHOWMIK	46091617065928
30	BAPAN PAL	46091617055813
31	BAPI BASAK	26091608017657
32	BARUN BERA	46091617017922
33	BASANTI DAS	36091611018147
34	BASIR SK	26091609054782
35	BHAGBAT JANA	46091617065182
36	BIKI AJAM	26091607018302
37	BIMALESH SAMANTA	36091611021349
38	BISHNUPRIYA MONDAL	36091611025912
39	BISWAJIT SAU	36091611026550
40	BIVAS MAHATO	26091607027826
41	BUDDHADEV MAITY	46091617060658
42	CHANDAN KUMAR MANNA	46091617059307
43	CHANDANA MONDAL	46091617009125

89

44	CHAYAN BISWAS	26091607028630
45	CHAYAN SANTRA	36091611020382
46	CHIRONJIB DAS	36091611029456
47	CHITTARANJAN BARMAN	26091607012624
48	CHUMANI LINDA KINDU	26121608001504
49	DEBABRATA BHOUMIK	36091611028026
50	DEBABRATA GONRA	36091611015384
51	DEBASISH GAYEN	16091603010012
52	DEBU BARMAN	26091607020927
53	DHANA MURMU	26091608014421
54	DHIMAN SINGHA	26091607006510
55	DULALI PRAMANIK	46091617054049
56	EMRUL KAYESH	26091608028490
57	FULMONI HEMRAM	26121607000891
58	GHANASHYAM MAHATO	26091607018796
59	GOPAL CHANDRA PARIA	46091617062328
60	HAPIJUL ISLAM	26091607012002
61	JABA PANDA	46091617053920
62	JAGANNATH MAL	36091611017746
63	JAYASREE BARMAN	46091617062911
64	JHARNA JANA	46091617002264
65	JHARNA RANI SAHOO	46091617026181
66	JOYRAM KUJUR	26091608014185
67	KABITA RANI MANNA	46091617008831
68	KAKALI PANRA	36091611019425
69	KARUNA SHEE	36091611016690
70	KEYA KAPRI	36091611031696
71	KEYA MAJI	36091611009178
72	KOUSHIK GUIN	4609161695751
73	LAKSHMI RANI DAS	46091617004737
74	LIPIKA GUIN	46091616005630
75	LITAN ALI	26091608029427
76	MADHUMITA SARDAR	46091617014486
77	MAMATAJ BEGAM	26121607001886
78	MANASHI KINDU	26121608001244
79	MANMOHAN SARKAR	26091607015125
80	MANOJ MAITI	46091617067511
81	MD ANSAR ALAM	26091607001010
82	MD KABIRUL MOLLICK	56091619098948
83	MD MUNNA MUSTAQUE	26091607000668
84	MD NAHID ALAM	26091607000999
85	MD NAZBUL HAQUE ZAMADER	36091613037101
86	MD WAJED ALI	26091609046282
87	MODHUMITA DAS	36091611022637
88	MOHUYA GHARA	46091616134786
89	MOJAMMAL HOQUE	26091608028042
90	MOUMITA BERA BHUNIA	46091616114581
91	MOUMITA DEY	46091616129133
92	MOUMITA SAHOO JANA	46091617025027
93	MOUSUMI KHANRA	36091611035203
94	MOUSUMI PAUL	26091607027172
95	MST RAFIJA KHATUN	56091618018034

90

96	MUNIRUJJAMAN	26091607027202
97	MURSHID RAHAMAN LASKAR	36091613054916
98	NARAYAN GIRI	46091617060115
99	NIRAN KORA	26091609037713
100	NIRUPAMA SARKAR	26121608002520
101	PALLAB SAMANTA	46091617074855
102	PAMPA MITRA	46091617054799
103	PAPIYA DOLUI	36091611019895
104	PARIMAL BARO	26091608024073
105	PAYEL SAMANTA	46091616088323
106	POMPI SUKUL	26091607011070
107	PRANATI KHATUA	46091617005377
108	PRASANTA GIRI	46091617034223
109	PRITISH MAITY	46091617013063
110	PULAK KHATUA	36091613073509
111	PUTUL RANI SASMAL	46091617071695
112	RAINY CHAKRABORTY BHOWMICK	26091608001798
113	RAJAT MAITY	46091617019615
114	RAJESH RIT	36091611026970
115	RAJIB SARKAR	26091607004901
116	RAJU DAS	36091611027682
117	RAJU PATRA	46091617056303
118	REBATI HAIT BARMAN	46091617065629
119	REJAUL ALI KHAN	46091617010735
120	RINKU RANI PAL	46091616089059
121	RUNU ROY	46091617005046
122	SABIRAN KHATUN	36091613023007
123	SABYASACHI SAHOO	46091617049827
124	SAHIDUL ISLAM MOLLA	36091613014435
125	SAHIN SAMIM	26091608029317
126	SAHINA KHATUN	56091619043681
127	SAIKAT SAMANTA	36091611035343
128	SAKIL LASKAR	36091613035162
129	SAMPA CHAKRABORTY	26091607012272
130	SANDHYA SAMANTA	46091617072934
131	SANGITA DAS MAHAPATRA MAITY	46101617005828
132	SANJAY SARKAR	26091607038025
133	SANJAY SAU	36091611029782
134	SANJIT SEN	46091617029506
135	SANKAR MANDAL	26091609055339
136	SAPIKUL HOQUE	26091609028419
137	SATWIK GIRI	46091617032587
138	SAURAV DHARA	36091611019012
139	SHRAYASHEE BHAUMIK	36091611028063
140	SIRIL HASDA	26091608026117
141	SK AMINUL	26091609059186
142	SK FURKAN UDDIN	46091617004384
143	SK HAFIJUL RAHAMAN	36091611022050
144	SK INTEKHAB	26091609059791
145	SK INTESAM	26091609060827
146	SK MD BAQUIBILLAH	36091613013113
147	SK SAMIUL	26091609060015

91

148	SOMNATH GHOSH	36091611021384
149	SRIKANTA PANJA	36091611019678
150	SUBHAJIT TARAFDER	26091607028803
151	SUBHAM CHANDRA ROY	26091607020684
152	SUBHAM NAG	26091607021223
153	SUBHAS CH ROY	26091607020642
154	SUBHASH PAL	46091616128609
155	SUBRATA BERA	46091616068953
156	SUDIP BERA	36091611029188
157	SUJOL MARDI	26091608019581
158	SULEKHA SANA	36091611020831
159	SUMITA SAMAI	36091611032275
160	SUPARNA SAMANTA	46091616135101
161	SUPRIYA MAITY	36091611018469
162	SUPRIYO UPADHYAY	26091607019878
163	SURAJIT PRAMANIK	36091611009874
164	SUVASISH PRAMANICK	36091611023856
165	SWAPNA ROY MANDAL	26091607019319
166	SWARNARIKA DOLAI	46121617001097
167	TANMOY GHOSH	56091619075417
168	TAPAS KARAN	46091617075004
169	TUMPA DANDAPATH	46091617053572
170	UTTAM JHA	26091607014394

LIST OF CANDIDATES CALLED FOR 8TH PHASE COUNSELLING (DATE : 03.08.2020) - IX-X

SL NO	SUBJECT_NAME	VACANCY_MEDIUM	VACANCY_CATEGORY	VACANCY_GENDER	ROLL_NO	NAME	OWN RANK	LAST RANK (UPTO 7TH PHASE)
1	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211675001986	RIYA KHANRA	WF1-151	WF1-132
2	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211675002130	TUSU CHATTERJEE	WF1-152	WF1-132
3	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	ST	FEMALE	22211675000058	PAPIA RABHA	WF3-93	WF3-92
4	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211675000073	ANURUPA DUTTA	WF1-135	WF1-132
5	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	32211675001133	ASRAF SHAIKH	WMF9-109	WMF9-108
6	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211675001298	ADITI JANA	WF1-153	WF1-132
7	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	ST	FEMALE	32211675001895	RATNA ORAON	WF3-94	WF3-92
8	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211675002106	SWARNALI BHATTACHARJEE	WF1-137	WF1-132
9	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	32211675002971	SUBHENDU MANDAL	WMF2-88	WMF2-87
10	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211675007588	MOUMITA SAMANTA	WF1-138	WF1-132
11	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211675000158	MITA SAHA	WF1-148	WF1-132
12	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	52211675001024	SOMA BISWAS	WF2-43	WF2-42
13	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211675001755	SHYAMALI BASAK	WF1-154	WF1-132
14	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211675002096	CHUMKI BISWAS	WF1-149	WF1-132
15	BENGALI(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211675004184	SURUPA KAR	WF1-145	WF1-132
16	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676000003	SALMA SULTANA	WF1-208	WF1-121
17	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	12211676000505	JOYDEB MONDAL	WMF10-122	WMF10-118
18	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676000611	MANJARI SADHU	WF1-124	WF1-121
19	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676000701	ROWSONARA KHATUN	WF1-166	WF1-121
20	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676000964	SANGITA GOSWAMI	WF1-157	WF1-121
21	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676000991	RIYA GHOSH	WF1-137	WF1-121
22	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676001151	SONALI HAZRA	WF1-187	WF1-121
23	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676001341	PAYEL KONER	WF1-203	WF1-121
24	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676001616	BIPASHA BANERJEE	WF1-153	WF1-121
25	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676001781	SAYANTANI RAY	WF1-135	WF1-121
26	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676001810	SOUMI BANDYOPADHYAY	WF1-181	WF1-121
27	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676002109	KUMKUM KHAN	WF1-204	WF1-121
28	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676002164	PAYEL KUNDU	WF1-205	WF1-121
29	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676002606	MALABIKA MANNA	WF1-193	WF1-121
30	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211676002768	SWARUP KUMAR MALICK	WMF2-151	WMF2-150
31	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211676002782	KOUSIK MONDAL	WMF2-156	WMF2-150
32	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676003028	APARNA MANDAL	WF1-128	WF1-121
33	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676003113	SHAPLA SAMANTA	WF1-138	WF1-121
34	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676003126	DEBASHREE BERA	WF1-148	WF1-121
35	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	12211676003146	KUNTAL DAS	WMF10-119	WMF10-118
36	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676003245	CHAITALI ADHIKARI	WF1-206	WF1-121
37	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676000697	MINTU RAHAMAN	WMF9-128	WMF9-122
38	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	22211676000883	ALOK SARKAR	WMF10-124	WMF10-118
39	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676001364	REJAUL KARIM	WMF9-123	WMF9-122
40	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676001904	SHARMISTHA TARAFDER	WF1-188	WF1-121
41	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	22211676001951	AJIT SARKAR	WMF2-154	WMF2-150
42	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676002178	DIPTI SARKAR	WF1-199	WF1-121
43	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676002275	LATIFUR RAHAMAN	WMF9-124	WMF9-122
44	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	22211676002656	TANMAY BARMAN	WMF2-153	WMF2-150
45	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676003284	SABANA PARVEEN	WF1-156	WF1-121
46	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676003408	PAMPI GUPTA	WF1-141	WF1-121
47	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	22211676003411	ABHIJIT BAIRAGI	WMF2-152	WMF2-150
48	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676004177	ANWESHA GOSWAMI	WF1-129	WF1-121
49	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676004178	ANANYA DAS	WF1-192	WF1-121
50	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676004182	SWEETY SINGHA	WF1-155	WF1-121

51	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676004492	TOJAMUL HOSSAIN	WMF9-127	WMF9-122
52	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211676004682	SHIPRA DAS	WF2-75	WF2-73
53	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676005224	PARAMITA BANERJEE	WF1-186	WF1-121
54	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211676005272	SRABONI DEY	WF1-180	WF1-121
55	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	22211676005683	PRADIP HALDAR	WMF2-155	WMF2-150
56	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676006080	MD NASIRUDDIN	WMF9-129	WMF9-122
57	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211676006586	JAMIRUL ISLAM	WMF9-125	WMF9-122
58	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211676000481	SOMA BAJ	WF1-146	WF1-121
59	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211676000592	ANKHI BHOWMICK	WF1-136	WF1-121
60	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211676000663	PRIYA DAS	WF1-123	WF1-121
61	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	32211676001492	SAIDUL ISLAM MOLLA	WMF9-126	WMF9-122
62	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676000551	SUMANA LAYEK	WF1-149	WF1-121
63	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676001021	DIPA DANA	WF1-159	WF1-121
64	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676002079	SWAGATA DEY	WF1-133	WF1-121
65	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676002663	PRIYANKA OJHA	WF1-145	WF1-121
66	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676003270	TUMPA SATPATHY	WF1-196	WF1-121
67	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	42211676004793	SANJIB SAU	WMF10-123	WMF10-118
68	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	42211676004871	ARPITA HAZRA	WF1-178	WF1-121
69	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676000010	LIPI AGARWAL	WF1-132	WF1-121
70	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676000248	SANJUKTA NANDI	WF1-165	WF1-121
71	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676000494	RITUPARNA BANDYOPADHYAY	WF1-189	WF1-121
72	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676000930	MITA PAL	WF1-185	WF1-121
73	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001020	POULAMI MONDAL	WF1-201	WF1-121
74	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001086	PIYA BISWAS	WF1-190	WF1-121
75	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001090	MOUMITA BISWAS	WF1-207	WF1-121
76	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001485	ESHIKA PAL	WF1-194	WF1-121
77	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001520	RATNA KAR	WF1-144	WF1-121
78	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	52211676001591	RITA GAYEN	WF2-74	WF2-73
79	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676001974	DEBALINA LODH	WF1-142	WF1-121
80	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676002101	SNEHA GHOSH	WF1-198	WF1-121
81	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676002488	SOMA DUTTA	WF1-200	WF1-121
82	ENGLISH(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211676002564	SASWATI SINGHA	WF1-195	WF1-121
83	ENGLISH(GRAD)	HINDI	GEN	FEMALE	12211676002974	SUJATA MISHRA	WF1-12	WF1-10
84	ENGLISH(GRAD)	HINDI	GEN	FEMALE	32211676000913	GARGI MUKHERJEE	WF1-11	WF1-10
85	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211685002276	SUBHENDU MONDAL	WMF2-82	WMF2-81
86	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211685002035	ANOWAR HOSSAIN	WMF9-60	WMF9-57
87	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211685004856	SEKENDAR MONDAL	WMF9-58	WMF9-57
88	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211685001145	BABITA MAJUMDER	WMF2-83	WMF2-81
89	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	52211685001145	BABITA MAJUMDER	WF2-30	WF2-27
90	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	52211685002169	AMJED MONDAL	WMF9-59	WMF9-57
91	HINDI(GRAD)	HINDI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	12211677000496	BIJAY KUMAR RAY	WMF10-11	WMF10-10
92	HINDI(GRAD)	HINDI	GEN	FEMALE	32211677000162	CHAMPA PATWA	WF1-12	WF1-11
93	HINDI(GRAD)	HINDI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	42211677000015	GOPAL BAWRI	WMF2-11	WMF2-10
94	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211684000290	SHILPI SAHA	WMF2-82	WMF2-78
95	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	FEMALE	12211684000466	AYESA SIDDIKA	WF9-26	WF9-24
96	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211684001101	SAMIR HAZRA	WMF2-80	WMF2-78
97	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211684001844	RIKTA CHATTERJEE	WF1-77	WF1-61
98	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211684003399	BISHNU SAHA	WMF2-83	WMF2-78
99	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	12211684003459	MRINMOYEE THAKUR	WF1-78	WF1-61
100	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	12211684003605	ARIJIT SAMANTA	WMF9-85	WMF9-77
101	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211684002608	SITADRY CHAKRABORTY	WF1-68	WF1-61
102	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	22211684002909	BAPI MALLIK	WMF2-81	WMF2-78
103	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211684003692	DIPIKA GHOSH	WF1-86	WF1-61
104	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211684003959	SUMANA SARKAR	WF1-80	WF1-61

105	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684006664	RAKIB MANDAL	WMF9-78	WMF9-77
106	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684006760	MD KABERUL HOQUE	WMF9-80	WMF9-77
107	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211684007202	PRITI SAHA	WF1-63	WF1-61
108	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684007953	IBRAQUE HOSSAIN	WMF9-87	WMF9-77
109	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684008200	SENARUL ISLAM	WMF9-86	WMF9-77
110	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684008939	HUMAYUN REZA	WMF9-83	WMF9-77
111	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211684009153	MD MEHABUB ALAM	WMF9-79	WMF9-77
112	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	22211684009355	PAPIA PAL	WF1-69	WF1-61
113	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	32211684000352	TUMPA PATRA	WF1-88	WF1-61
114	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	32211684002039	BAPAN JANA	WMF2-84	WMF2-78
115	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211684002070	SHEFALI KHATUN	WF1-76	WF1-61
116	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	GEN	FEMALE	52211684002091	SARASWATI PAL	WF1-87	WF1-61
117	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211684002091	SARASWATI PAL	WMF10-81	WMF10-80
118	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	FEMALE	52211684002122	BABINA PARVEEN	WF9-25	WF9-24
119	HISTORY(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211684003583	INDRAJIT TARAFDAR	WMF2-85	WMF2-78
120	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211688000142	SANJEEB KUMAR MANDAL	WMF2-316	WMF2-312
121	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	12211688000948	MOLLA JANNATUL FERDOUSUL ALAM	WMF9-149	WMF9-147
122	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	12211688001365	ARUN MONDAL	WMF9-150	WMF9-147
123	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211688001591	SUJIT DHARA	WMF2-317	WMF2-312
124	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211688001750	ANJAN KUMAR DAS	WMF2-321	WMF2-312
125	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211688001756	SAMIRAN HALDER	WMF2-320	WMF2-312
126	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211688001903	SUBRATA DAS	WMF2-319	WMF2-312
127	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211688000008	SUBARNA ADHIKARY	WF2-134	WF2-132
128	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	22211688001098	TANUSHREE MANDAL	WMF10-171	WMF10-169
129	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	FEMALE	22211688001098	TANUSHREE MANDAL	WF10-72	WF10-71
130	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000005	BIPLAB NATH SARKAR	WMF10-173	WMF10-169
131	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000044	BAPI GHOSH	WMF10-174	WMF10-169
132	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000358	BIPLAB SARKAR	WMF2-318	WMF2-312
133	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000463	SELIM MANDAL	WMF9-152	WMF9-147
134	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000505	ABDUL HAMID MANDAL	WMF9-153	WMF9-147
135	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211688000593	KALYAN SARKAR	WMF2-315	WMF2-312
136	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001057	SAHELI HALDER	WMF2-314	WMF2-312
137	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001115	UTTAM KUMAR GARAI	WMF10-172	WMF10-169
138	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001479	AKBAR ALI	WMF9-151	WMF9-147
139	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001483	BIDHAN CHANDRA GHOSH	WMF10-175	WMF10-169
140	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001507	SOVANA AFROJ	WMF9-148	WMF9-147
141	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	FEMALE	52211688001507	SOVANA AFROJ	WF9-58	WF9-57
142	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211688001545	SEKHOR BISWAS	WMF2-313	WMF2-312
143	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	URDU	GEN	FEMALE	32211688000871	ALIA SABA	WF1-4	WF1-3
144	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211686000171	RAJ KUMAR BAGDI	WMF2-181	WMF2-179
145	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	12211686001148	LIPIKA MRIDHA	WF2-2	WF2-46
146	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	12211686001400	RABI MONDAL	WMF2-183	WMF2-179
147	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	22211686001314	DEBASIS KUNDU	WMF10-190	WMF10-188
148	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	FEMALE	22211686001536	UMME KULSUM	WF9-26	WF9-25
149	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002158	ABDUL MAMUN	WMF9-170	WMF9-165
150	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211686002216	GOPA HALDAR	WF2-47	WF2-46
151	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002450	RAMIJUL HAQUE	WMF9-166	WMF9-165
152	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002546	RAKIB ALI	WMF9-171	WMF9-165
153	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002644	ASIF EKBAL	WMF9-169	WMF9-165
154	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002869	MD SELIM SK	WMF9-167	WMF9-165
155	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211686002873	NIAMUDDIN SIRAJ	WMF9-168	WMF9-165
156	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	32211686001012	BHASKAR HALDER	WMF2-184	WMF2-179
157	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	42211686000905	PLABAN ALU	WMF10-189	WMF10-188
158	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	MALE/FEMALE	52211686000006	PRIYO RANJAN MANDAL	WMF2-182	WMF2-179

159	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	52211686000096	TANMOY GHOSH	WMF10-191	WMF10-188
160	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	12211687000119	RABIUL HASAN	WMF9-249	WMF9-245
161	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	12211687000245	PIJUSH NIOGI	WMF10-306	WMF10-298
162	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	12211687001252	SUBHAJIT DAS	WMF10-299	WMF10-298
163	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687000213	RIKTA BARMAN	WF2-102	WF2-100
164	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	22211687000265	RANJAN SUTRADHAR	WMF10-300	WMF10-298
165	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687000272	RUMKI BARMAN	WF2-101	WF2-100
166	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687000277	HEMLATA DEV	WF2-109	WF2-100
167	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687000302	TUHINA ROY CHOWDHURY	WF2-112	WF2-100
168	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687000351	ALPANA ROY	WF2-111	WF2-100
169	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211687001105	TARIF AZIZ	WMF9-246	WMF9-245
170	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	22211687001129	INDIRA DAS	WF2-108	WF2-100
171	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	22211687001683	SHER KHAN	WMF10-303	WMF10-298
172	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	22211687002135	ANISUR RAHAMAN	WMF9-247	WMF9-245
173	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	32211687000065	TULIKA SARKAR	WF2-107	WF2-100
174	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	FEMALE	32211687000490	SONIA PARVIN	WF9-45	WF9-44
175	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	32211687000884	RITWIKA SANTRA	WF2-105	WF2-100
176	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	32211687001127	MOUMITA SAHA	WF2-106	WF2-100
177	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	32211687001333	SABARI BHOWMICK	WF2-110	WF2-100
178	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	32211687001342	RUMABATI MAKHAL	WF2-103	WF2-100
179	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-A	MALE/FEMALE	42211687000722	SK PARBHEZ AHAMED	WMF9-250	WMF9-245
180	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	42211687001388	ARDHENDU PANDIT	WMF10-301	WMF10-298
181	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	42211687002015	SRABANI JANA	WF2-104	WF2-100
182	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	OBC-B	MALE/FEMALE	42211687002748	SOVAN PAL	WMF10-302	WMF10-298
183	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	52211687000231	MITA ROY	WF2-113	WF2-100
184	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	BENGALI	SC	FEMALE	52211687000384	SUCHANDRA GAYALI	WF2-114	WF2-100

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

MAT 85 of 2023

Arising out of

WPA 13700 of 2023

In the matter of:

An application for stay

AND

In the matter of

Gopinath Bhanja & Ors

.....Appellants/
Applicants

VERSUS

Setab Uddin & Ors.

.....Respondents/
Writ petitioners

REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION, IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE ORDER DATED 18.12.2023 PASSED IN MAT 85 of 2023 AND CONNECTED MATTERS.

Supriya Dubey
Advocate,
C/. Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Advocate
9, Old Post Office Street,
1st Floor, Kolkata -700 001.

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

ANNEXURE P-19

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

MAT No. 85 of 2023

Arising out of

WPA No. 13700 of 2023

In the matter of:

An application for stay

AND

In the matter of

Gopinath Bhanja & Ors

.....Appellants/ Applicants

VERSUS

Setab Uddin & Ors.

.....Respondents/ Writ petitioners

ADDITIONAL REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION.

FILING
-5 JAN
HIGH COURT

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

MAT No. 85 of 2023

Arising out of

WPA No. 13700 of 2023

In the matter of:

An application for stay

AND

In the matter of

Gopinath Bhanja & Ors

.....Appellants/ Applicants

VERSUS

Setab Uddin & Ors.

.....Respondents/ Writ petitioners

S-1132

ADDITIONAL REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION.

I, Siddhartha Majumdar, Son of Sukhamoy Majumdar, aged about- 63 years, by faith - Hindu, working as Chairman at the West Bengal Central School Service Commission, having its office at Acharya Sadan, 11 & 11/1, Block -EE, Salt Lake, Sector II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata- 700091, do hereby solemnly affirm and say as follows: -

1. That I am the Chairman of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to in short as the "Commission"). I am duly authorized and competent to affirm this affidavit.

2. That on 09.11.2023 the Hon'ble Supreme Court was pleased to request the Hon'ble Chief Justice of High Court at Calcutta to constitute a Division Bench where all the writ petitions and appeals from which the set of proceedings arose may be assigned.

3. The Hon'ble Division Bench Specially assigned to hear the said matters directed the Commission by orders dated 5.12.2023, 13.12.2023 and 18.12.2023 to file reports in the form

of an affidavit in compliance with the said directions the Commission affirmed such reports in the form of an affidavit which were affirmed on 13.12.2023, 18.12.2023 and 20.12.2023 respectively. All three reports in the form of an affidavit were filed in Court and kept on record.

4. The Commission craves leave to affirm and file an additional report in the form of an affidavit to make certain clarifications with regard to the report which was affirmed and filed in the form of an affidavit on 20.12.2023. The said additional report may most graciously be treated as part of the affidavit affirmed on 20.12.2023.

5. With regard to the issue of rank jumping for Classes IX-X, it was stated in the report in the form of an affidavit that out of 183 candidates, 122 candidates have been removed and 61 are still remaining. However, it is pertinent to further mention that out of the 61 remaining candidates, 40 candidates were neither in the panel nor in the waiting list; that is, they had not participated in the personality test. It may be also noted that in addition to the said 183 candidates, the Commission has identified 2 more new cases against which no action has been taken.

A Chart showing the details of the said 40 candidates who were neither in the panel nor in the waiting list and a chart showing details 2 new cases are annexed hereto and marked with the Letter R-1 (collectively).

6. With regard to the issue of rank jumping for Classes XI-XII, it was stated in the report in the form of an affidavit that in case of 39 candidates no action has been taken and all 39 are still remaining. However, it is pertinent to further mention that out of the 39 remaining candidates, 18 candidates were neither in the panel nor in the waiting list; that is, they had not participated in the personality test.

A chart showing the details of the said 18 candidates who were neither in the panel nor in the waiting list is annexed hereto and marked with the Letter R-2.

7. With regard to the OMR issue for Classes XI-XII it was stated in the report in the form of an affidavit affirmed on 20.12.2023 that out of 907 candidates having OMR issue 771 were recommended. However, in addition to the said 771

candidates there was 1 more candidate which the Commission inadvertently did not mention in the said report in the form of an affidavit, namely one Rudra Prasad Acharya, who was recommended pursuant to a direction dated 16.3.2022 passed in WPA 7718 of 2019. Subsequently, it transpired that the said Rudra Prasad Acharya was one of the 907 candidates for Classes XI-XII having OMR marks mismatch and his name appears in the list of 907 candidates at Serial No. 854. His marks as per evaluation of scanned image of OMR is 23 while his marks in the server of the Commission are 53. The Commission after detecting that the said Rudra Prasad Acharya was a candidate having OMR issue, the Commission filed one Review Application being RVW No. 261 of 2023 which was filed on 18.10.2023 for recalling/cancelling the order dated 16.3.2022. I crave leave to refer to the said review application at the time of hearing, if necessary.

8. It may be noted that pursuant to the order dated 02.12.2021 passed in WPA 12270 of 2021 (Sabina Yasmin -vs- The State of West Bengal &Ors) the Commission issued letters to the concerned District Inspector of Schools for stopping the salary of Group C candidates who were appointed after expiry of the

panel. Subsequently, by an order dated 15.2.2022, the Hon'ble Court was pleased to direct the concerned District Inspector of Schools not to pay any salary to the concerned candidates any further. By a similar order dated 25.11.2021 passed in WPA 12266 of 2021 (Sandeep Prasad -vs- The State of West Bengal &Ors) the Commission was directed to issue letters to the concerned District Inspector of Schools for stopping the salary of Group -D candidates who were appointed after expiry of the panel and by a subsequent order dated 9.2.2022 directed the concerned District Inspector of Schools not to pay any salary to the concerned candidates any further.

Copies of the said orders and the letters issued by the Commission to the concerned District Inspector of Schools in connection with Group-C and Group-D candidates are annexed hereto and marked with the Letter R-3 (collectively).

9. In the report in the form of an affidavit affirmed on 20.12.23, it has been stated with regard to the candidates called for the 8th phase Counseling on 3.8.2020 (Classes IX-X) all candidates were called strictly on the basis of merit though after expiry of the panel. At the said 8th phase Counseling held on

3.8.2020, 184 candidates were called out of which about 127 were present. It may be noted that the panel had expired on 19.12.2019. Two more candidates, one Salma Sultana and one Rikta Chatterjee were also recommended pursuant to the orders dated 30.8.2023 passed in WPA 1444 of 2023 and WPA 1454 of 2023 respectively whereby the Hon'ble Court accepted the justification given for holding the 8th phase Counseling after expiry of the panel.

Copies of the orders dated 30.8.2023 passed in WPA 1444 of 2023 and in WPA 1454 of 2023 are annexed herewith and marked with the Letter R-4 (collectively).

10. It is most respectfully submitted that the instant affidavit is being affirmed to clarify the facts stated in the previous report in the form of an affidavit affirmed on 20.12.2023. It is most humbly prayed that Your Lordships may most graciously be pleased to allow the Commission to file the instant affidavit which may be treated as part of the affidavit affirmed on 20.12.2023.

11. That the statements made in paragraph 1 is rue to my knowledge and those made in paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are information derive from the records which I verily believe to be true and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared in my office

Supriya Dubey

Advocate

WB/2005/2009

Deponent is known to me

Aparna Kumar Bera

Clerk to: S. Neog

Advocate

Solemnly affirmed before me on this 05th day of January, 2024.

04:19 pm

COMMISSIONER 05.01.2024

Commissioner
High Court Appellate Side
Calcutta

I certify that all annexures are legible.

Advocate

9 Annexure-R-1

LIST OF 40 CANDIDATES OUT OF 183 CANDIDATES OF CLASS IX-X IN RANK JUMPING ISSUE OF 1ST SLST, 2016 WITH REMARKS ON PT:

Sl	ROLL_NO	NAME	SUBJECT_NAME	Remarks
1	32211685001803	PIU MAJUMDAR	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
2	22211676002847	ASHOK BARMAN	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
3	22211676005740	MRINAL KANTI CHAKRABORTY	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
4	22211676005661	ROMIZ AZAD	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
5	22211676002965	SANJAY SARKAR	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
6	22211685002731	SUMITA DAS	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
7	42211685004608	SANJOY KUMAR BERA	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
8	32211685002082	TAPAS KUMAR TANTI	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
9	22211685005488	KAZI FARIDA PARVIN	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
10	42211688002555	MADHUSHRI ADAK	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
11	42211688002694	SHASWATI MAITY	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
12	22211685003268	ABANINDRA NATH MANDAL	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
13	42211688000754	DEBLINA MONDAL	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
14	22211685003646	MAITRI SAHA	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
15	22211675005542	MD ARIF HOSSAIN	BENGALI(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
16	22211675005759	MD SETABUDDIN MIA	BENGALI(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
17	22211685001017	MONALISA BHOUMIK	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
18	22211684006363	NAJESH AKTAR	HISTORY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
19	22211675005201	PABITRA MANDAL	BENGALI(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
20	22211676000739	PANKAJ BISWAS	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
21	42211688001797	PARAMITA TRIPATHY	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
22	22211676003458	SARIDA KHATUN	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
23	22211684001404	SARIFA KHATUN	HISTORY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
24	22211675005541	SK ANISUR RAHAMAN	BENGALI(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
25	22211684006249	SK RAKIUL ISLAM	HISTORY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL

710

26	22211675006222	SUBHASHREE MISHRA	BENGALI(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
27	22211685004356	SUPRAKASH GHOSH	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
28	42211685005273	UMA SAHOO	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
29	12211685000703	MANJUSHREE MANDAL	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
30	42211688002487	RAJU PARAI	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
31	42211687001246	SOMASHRI BERA	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
32	42211676004689	ABHIJIT BAG	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
33	42211676005028	SILPA CHATTOPADHYAY	ENGLISH(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
34	42211685003166	DEBARATI MANDAL	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
35	52211688001620	GOUTAM MITRA	LIFE SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
36	12211687000312	JOYDIP SARKHEL	PHYSICAL SCIENCE(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
37	42211685004393	KABITA GIRI	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
38	32211685000543	MANISHA PANJA	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
39	32211686001310	KALYAN KR MANDAL	MATHEMATICS(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
40	42211685005584	MOUMITA PANJA	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL

CASES AS FURTHER DETECTED ON RANK JUMPING ISSUE OF CLASS-IX-X:

SL_NO	ROLL_NO	NAME	SUBJECT_NAME	REMARKS
1	42211676003584	RINA HAZRA MANNA	ENGLISH(GRAD)	NOT EMPANELLED/NOT WAITLISTED
2	42211685003665	SHAKTI PADA MAITY	GEOGRAPHY(GRAD)	RANK: WMF6-7 (WAITLIST MALE/FEMALE, PH-HI: 7 (Last recommended candidate upto 9th phase counseling – 5)

712

12 Annexure R-2'

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN

11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake, Sector-II, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700091

LIST OF 18 CANDIDATES OUT OF 39 CANDIDATES OF CLASS XI-XII IN RANK JUMPING ISSUE OF 1ST SLST, 2016 WITH REMARKS ON PT.

Sl	ROLL	CANDIDATE	SUBJECT	REMARKS
1	12221609000257	TINA RAY	ECONOMICS	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
2	22221606000569	BISHNU PADA CHATTERJEE	CHEMISTRY	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
3	22221610000560	MAHBOOB ALAM	EDUCATION	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
4	22221611003009	MD NASIM AHMED JOBED	ENGLISH	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
5	22221611003073	MD EMRUL HASAN	ENGLISH	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
6	22221616003572	DEBANJAN JHA	HISTORY	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
7	22221619002048	PRABIR GHOSH	MATHEMATICS	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
8	22221627000899	SONALI GHOSH	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
9	22221627001086	DEBABRATA PANDEY	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
10	32221610000620	MALAY MONDAL	EDUCATION	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
11	42221605001748	RINA BARAI BHANJA	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
12	42221605001874	PAPIYA GHORAI	BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
13	42221606001197	SUVENDU MALAKAR	CHEMISTRY	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
14	42221611004406	MOUMITA CHAKRABORTY	ENGLISH	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
15	42221619001795	PIJUS KANTI BERA	MATHEMATICS	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
16	42221625000642	RUMARANI SINGHA	PHILOSOPHY	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
17	42221625000693	PAYEL DOLAI	PHILOSOPHY	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL
18	52221604003329	MD RUHUL AMIN	BENGALI	Not appeared in PT & thus not in Panel/WL

R-2'

S. Majumdar

13

Annexure-R-3

Court No. 17

WPA 12270 of 2021

02.12.2021
(AD 216 & 217)

(S. Banerjee)

Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

with

WPA 14612 of 2021

(Via Video Conference)

Mr. Sudipto Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arkadeb Biswas
Mr. Arka Nandi
Mr. Sutirtha Nayek

... for the petitioner (in WPA 12270 of 2021)

Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury

... for the petitioner (in WPA 14612 of 2021)

Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay
Mr. Samir Kumar Ghosh

... for the State (in WPA 12270 of 2021)

Mr Debojit Samanta

... for the State (in WPA 14612 of 2021)

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey

... for the SSC

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharya

... for the WBBSE

R-3

S. Majumdar

Re: WPA 12270 of 2021

In compliance with the direction passed by this court on 30.11.2021, the petitioners have filed one supplementary affidavit containing appointment letters of 350 persons. According to the petitioners all such persons have been issued recommendation letters and consequently appointment letters after the expiry of the panel. This expiry of the panel was declared by the

School Service Commission. Apart from that the relevant rules stipulates the time period when such panels are expired.

In compliance with the direction the petitioners have also served copy of this supplementary affidavit upon the School Service Commission, upon the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education and upon the State.

West Bengal Board of Secondary Education is directed to file an affidavit with copy to the State, to the petitioners and also to the West Bengal Central School Service Commission stating how they received the recommendation letters mentioned in the appointment letters annexed to the writ application and also in the supplementary affidavit, and whether actually after receiving those recommendation letters by the Commission, they issued the appointment letters. If it is found by the President of the Board that after receiving the recommendation letters by his office, i.e., the office of the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education, the appointment letters were issued, the President of the Board shall take into custody all the receiving registers containing the reference of receipt of the recommendation letters issued by the Commission. However, the Commission has already denied that such recommendation letters were issued by them. All such receiving registers lying in the office of the Board, and if there is any soft copy of such recommendation letters containing in any device whatsoever, shall be kept in a sealed cover in the personal custody of the President of the Board

The School Service Commission is directed to check and verify the appointment letters and if it is found from the supplementary affidavit filed today that the appointment letters were issued after the expiry of the panel and the recommendation letters were also issued after the expiry of the panel, then the Commission shall take immediate steps by four days from tomorrow to intimate all the concerned District Inspectors of Schools to stop the salary of all the persons in favour of whom the appointment letters and the recommendation letters were issued, as has been given in the writ application and in the supplementary affidavit filed today.

The West Bengal Board of Secondary Education shall affirm the affidavit by 13th December, 2021 and will serve copies as has been stated above. Direction for exchanging affidavits between the Commission and the petitioners have already been passed on the last occasion.

The Commission is further directed to serve copies of the writ application as also the supplementary affidavit upon the parties who have been added as respondents on the last occasion by speed post with acknowledgement due by 13th December, 2021.

I am not directing the petitioners to serve copies as they are unemployed youths who have come before this court and the Commission is a mammoth body having financial ability to serve copies upon the parties added as respondents. If I direct the petitioners to supply the supplementary affidavit and the writ

application upon the parties added as respondents, that will tantamount to denial of justice to them in my view.

List this matter on 14th December, 2021 when it will be taken up at 2 p.m.

Re: WPA 14612 of 2021

This matter will appear along with WPA 12270 of 2021 on 14th December, 2021 when it will be taken up at 2 p.m.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

g.b.
439

15.02
2022
Ct.
No.17

WPA 12270 of 2021

Sabina Yeasmin & Ors.
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arkadeb Biswas
Ms. Dipa Acharyya
Mr. Arka Nandi
Mr. Sutirtha Nayek
.....For the Petitioners
Dr. Sutanu Kr. Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey
.....For the SSC
Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
.....For the WBBSE
Mr. Soumendra Nath Mukherjee, Ld. A. G.
Mr. Anirban Roy
Mr. S. Siddique
Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay
Mr. Aviroop Mitra
.....For the State

1. This writ application has been filed by some candidates who appeared for the post of non-teaching staff (Group- 'C') in 3rd Regional Level Selection Test for Recruitment of Non-teaching Staff, 2016 in Government aided/sponsored/junior high/secondary/higher secondary schools except hill region.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that they were not called in counselling in the 44 left out vacancies of Group 'C' and they also prayed for publishing the merit list/panel of candidates

already appointed in the post of Group-'C' disclosing full details including marks obtained by them.

3. In the course of the proceedings the School Service Commission filed one report and thereafter one affidavit-in-opposition. It has been categorically pleaded by the School Service Commission that it did not issue any recommendation letter to the candidates named in the supplementary affidavit of the petitioners wherein names of 350 candidates have been given. From the said list as given by the petitioners in their supplementary affidavit (affirmed on 02.11.2021) it appears that all the persons named in the said supplementary affidavit were recommended after the expiry of the panel. The panel for Group-'C' posts expired, as notified by the Commission itself, on 18th May, 2019. After expiry of the panel, the Commission has stated in its affidavit in paragraph 5(f), the recommendations to those persons were neither issued by the five Regional Commissions nor the Central Commission.

4. Therefore, the appointments of those 350 persons on purported recommendations as have been named in the supplementary affidavit as aforesaid are wholly illegal

19

5. In this regard I refer Section 9 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act.

> **9. Effect of recommendation of Commission.-** (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force or in any contract, custom or usage to the contrary, appointments to the posts of the teachers and non-teaching staff in school shall be made by the Board or the Ad-hoc committee or the administrator of the Board on the recommendation of the Regional Commission having jurisdiction.
>
> (2) Any appointment of a Teacher or a non-teaching staff made on or after the commencement of this Act in contravention of the provisions of this Act shall be invalid and shall have no effect and the Teacher or the non-teaching staff so appointed shall not be a Teacher or a non-teaching staff within the meaning of clause (p) or clause (ia) of section 2, as the case may be.

6. From the said Section it is found that the appointments in the posts of non-teaching staff in schools are to be made on the

20

recommendation of the Regional Commission only having jurisdiction. Section 9(2) mandates that any appointment of a non-teaching staff after the commencement of the Act (The Act came into effect on 17.10.97) in contravention of the provisions of the said Act shall be invalid and shall have no effect and the non-teaching staff so appointed shall not be a non-teaching staff within the meaning of Section 2 (ia) of the Act. Section 2 (ia) of the Act defines the non-teaching staff. Therefore, the appointments given to the above 350 candidates without recommendation of the Commission is in contravention of the provisions of the said Act and therefore those appointments are invalid and of no effect.

7. As it is an admitted fact by the statutory authority being the Central School Service Commission which acts through its Regional Commission under the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 that it did not issue any recommendation letters to the said 350 candidates the consequent appointments are invalid and of no effect. Hence, the appointments given to the said 350 persons all after expiry of the panel are null and void and they shall not be treated as appointed against

21

the recommendations of the School Service Commission. Those 350 persons, though were served copies of all pleadings did not come forward before this court to defend themselves. All such copies were served by the School Service Commission. Therefore, those 350 persons cannot act as recommended candidates by the Commission and appointed persons by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education.

8. Learned advocate for the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education has submitted repeatedly that it only acted on the basis of the recommendations by the School Service Commission which they have clarified in their affidavit filed before this court.

9. Therefore, they shall not be treated as appointed persons legally under the West Bengal School Service Commission Act and they shall not get any salary from date from the public exchequer. The concerned District Inspector of Schools are directed not to take steps for payment of any salary to those 350 persons any further. Those persons are also directed to refund back the salaries which they have received from the date of their appointment to the Government and if they fail, the District Inspector of Schools shall have the liberty to take steps against them for

22

realizing the said amount from the said candidates by means of law, both civil and criminal.

10. As this is nothing but a scam where even without the recommendation of the Commission, some persons have been appointed in schools as Group-'C' staff and as it is a scam in public employment, I direct Director of Central Bureau of Investigation to investigate the matter as nobody knows how the illegal appointments are made. This court is of the view that unless very influential persons are involved in the matter the School Service Commission would not have washed its hands by saying they did not issue any recommendation letters and the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education could not have said that after receiving recommendation letters from the School Service Commission issued the appointment letters. This diametrically opposite stand of two statutory authorities under the same Government has created a dark cloud over the whole issue. The endeavour of the writ court is to see the light and to make the things transparent. Therefore, without showing any disrespect to the Police force of this state and keeping in mind the total failure of a Committee constituted by a Division

Bench of this court in another similar matter (WPA No. 12266 of 2021) substituting CBI inquiry by the Committee I hold that to go to the root of the matter and to uproot the corruption in public employment – where salaries are paid from Public exchequer – only an inquiry by CBI in this scam can bring out the truth. I am of the further view that by the inquiry by CBI only the corrupt persons, and none else, will feel discomfort.

11. However, learned Advocate General Mr. Mookherjee has submitted that - a) no affidavit was called for from the State; b) Nobody has alleged that the police of the State is ineffective to conduct an enquiry; and c) A special investigation team can be constituted from the investigating agencies and officers of this State.

He has also submitted that in the rarerest of the rare cases CBI enquiry is directed in such cases.

I have considered these submissions of the Learned Advocate General and I am of the view that affidavits are filed in respect of the facts and here the fact is clear from the report of the Commission in the form of an affidavit that it did not issue any such recommendation letters whereas the West Bengal Board of Secondary

24

Education has stated on affidavit that they have issued appointment letters on the basis of the recommendation letters issued by the Commission received by them

However, here state has filed an affidavit and it appears that it was affirmed on 21.12.2021 wherein only a letter of the state and some replies of the District Inspects of the Schools have been annexed.

Therefore, Learned Advocate General is not correct when he has submitted that no affidavit was called for from the state. This court directed the state by order dated 14.12.2021 to file an affidavit which has been filed. In respect of efficiency or inefficiency of the Police force, I have already given reasons why CBI inquiry is required and I have made it clear that this court is not showing any disrespect to the police force of the state. Regarding the last submission of the Learned Advocate General I said that Government Order dated 08.02.2022 has been produced before me constituting a high power Committee to enquire into the matter and I am not satisfied with the terms of reference and also of the constitution of the Committee and therefore, I do not see that any fruitful purpose will be served by the Committee in respect of

25

exposing the scam in making such recommendation and to go to the root of the matter and to uproot the corruption in public employment in Education Department of this State. Therefore, this submission of constitution of the Committee does not appeal to me.

12. Without showing any disrespect to the police force of this State, I am passing this order giving the responsibility to the CBI.

I direct the Director, Central Bureau of Investigation to constitute a committee, headed by an officer not below the rank of a Joint Director, with officers not below the rank of DIG to initiate the enquiry. It is expected that no person having the smallest involvement in the scam will be left out of this enquiry.

CBI should also enquire a very important part of this serious illegality and irregularity as to whether there is any money trail in issuance of such recommendation letters and subsequent issuance of appointment letters to the persons.

The preliminary report is to be filed before this court on 16th March, 2021 when further orders in this matter will be passed.

13. However, the said 350 persons are not shut out from raising their points before this court by filing affidavits and if they decide to file affidavits

(individually) they must disclose therein the names and designations of the persons from whom they received the recommendation letters and appointment letters if those are delivered by hand and if those are delivered by post, the names and addresses of the authority or person, as the case may be who sent the letters of recommendation and letters of appointment. Those persons must also disclose in their affidavit the total amount of money they have received as salary till date. Such affidavit is to be filed by three weeks from date and this matter will appear in the list for further hearing on 15th March, 2022 at 10-30 a.m. as a "Specially Fixed Matter".

14. The petitioner is directed to send a copy of this order immediately to the Kolkata Office of the Central Bureau of Investigation.

15. This order should be uploaded in the official website of the Central School Service Commission by the said authority so that the persons involved in the matter can come to know the order of this court passed today

27

Mr. Chattopadhyay, learned advocate for the State has prayed for a formal stay of operation of this order, which is considered and rejected.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

28

25.11.2021
Item No.148
Ct. No.17
S.A.

WPA 12266 of 2021

Sandeep Prasad & Ors.
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sudipto Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arka Nandi
Mr. Sutirtha Nayek

...for the petitioners

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Joydip Banerjee

...for the State

Mr. Kishore Datta ...ld Sr. advocate
Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey

...for the SSC

Mrs. Koyeli Bhattacharyya

..for the WBBSE

Learned advocate Mr. Vaisya appearing for the State has read the Appeal Court's order in part. I have gone through the order passed by the Appeal Court on 24.11.2021. From the said order I find a part as follows :

"The impugned order, so far as it relates to the enquiry by the Central Bureau of Investigation is concerned, is stayed for a period of three weeks from date or until further order/orders of this Court whichever is earlier."

I understand that the entire proceeding as is being continued in this Court has not been stayed. Therefore, I pass orders which are as follows

29

i) On 22.11.2021, I directed the petitioner to file a tabular list annexing the recommendation letters or the appointment letters which have come into their procession indicating in the said table the names of those persons, the dates of recommendations and dates of their appointment letters. I directed them to file it on 24th November, 2021.

The matter was taken up on 24.11.2021 when none of the parties could produce the order passed by the Appeal Court and it was not known to me whether the entire proceeding before the Court had been stayed or only the CBI enquiry part had been stayed by the Appeal Court.

Today, from a copy of the order passed by the appeal Court on 24.11.2021 (in MAT 1254 of 2021) it is found that only the part of the order of this Court relating to enquiry by CBI has been stayed.

Therefore, I direct the petitioners to file the tabular list containing a large number of appointed persons and annexed thereto the recommendation letters or appointment letters, as the case may be which they have prepared. The tabular list in the form of supplementary affidavit affirmed on 24.11.2021 is accepted and kept on record.

ii) The School Service Commission is directed to scrutinise the said documents annexed to the said supplementary affidavit to see whether any

30

recommendation was made after the expiry of the panel and if the School Service Commission finds that recommendations from the said list were made after expiry of the panel, they will issue letters immediately to the concerned District Inspector of Schools for stopping the salary of such persons until further order of this Court.

iii) Which such facts have already come to light that recommendations were made after expiry of the panel in respect of 25 persons, I am of the view that no amount of argument will change those facts and nobody will be able to change those facts by making submissions. The facts will remain the facts whether any submission are made or not. If recommendations are made illegally and appointments are made on the basis of those illegal recommendations, those who have been appointed in a school as Group-D staff cannot have any right to get the salary from the public exchequer. Therefore, I am not accepting the submission of Mr. Datta that pleadings would remain incomplete if affidavits are not allowed to be used by his client, the Commission.

Similar submissions on behalf of the State is also not accepted.

The facts which are necessary for adjudication are already there in the record of this case which have come already by way of affidavits.

34

iv) This matter will appear in the list after the order passed by the Division Bench i.e. after 29th November, 2021 when the matter is fixed before the Division Bench.

Further order in this matter will be passed by this court after the order of the Division Bench is passed, if necessary.

v) Today, learned advocate for the petitioners has submitted for making the Finance Department a party to this application. I have not allowed such oral prayer today and if they are so advised they may file application for making a party which would be considered by this Court later.

vi) Learned advocate for the petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the supplementary affidavit filed today in court upon the State, the School Service Commission and the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education. The School Service Commission is directed to serve copy of this supplementary affidavit by speed post with A/D only to the persons in favour of whom recommendation letters have been issued after the expiry of the panel as because only they would suffer for the order passed by the Court upon the Commission for taking steps for stopping their salary.

vii) School Service Commission has submitted that in respect of service upon the candidates to whom

recommendation letters have been issued after the expiry of the panel the pleadings may be served by speed post with A/D and the earlier order in this respect may be modified. I accept such submission and the order passed earlier in this respect is modified accordingly and all the other pleadings may be served by the Commission by speed post with A/D. However, I make it clear that all the track records of India Post for such speed post service showing such service should be kept carefully by the Secretary of the Commission so that it can be produced at any point of time whenever it will be required.

viii) List it on 09.12.2021. The matter will be taken up at 2 P.M.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

33

Court No. 17

09.02.2022
(AD 121)
(S. Banerjee)

WPA 12266 of 2021

Sandeep Prasad & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

with
CAN 1 of 2021
CAN 2 of 2021
CAN 3 of 2021
CAN 4 of 2021
CAN 5 of 2021

(Via Video Conference)

Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta
Mr. Bikram Banerjee
Mr. Arkadeb Biswas
Ms. Dipa Acharyya

....for the petitioner

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya
Mr. Joydip Banerjee

... for the State

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Ms. Supriya Dubey
Ms. Deblina Chakraborty

... for SSC

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya

...for WBBSE

(1) The petitioners filed this writ application alleging that other candidates from the rank below them were recommended by the School Service Commission for appointment to Group D posts in different schools. Candidates who were not in the list were recommended by the Commission to such posts. Candidates who were not even either of the panel and the waitlist, were recommended by the Commission for appointment in such posts.

(2) During the course of hearing it was found that the 25 candidates who were named in the supplementary affidavit affirmed by the petitioners on 17th November, 2021, all were recommended after the month of September, 2019. Some persons were also recommended in the year 2021.

(3) In this regard the petitioner also referred to one notification issued by the Commission (which is at page 55 of the writ application) stating that the panel and waitlist in relation to the 3rd RLST (NT), 2016 for recruitment to the post of Clerk and Group D in aided/sponsored secondary and higher secondary schools, expired on 18th May, 2019 and 4th May, 2019.

(4) After expiry of the panel there could be no reason for recommending candidates to any vacancy. It has already come on record from the above mentioned supplementary affidavit of the petitioners affirmed on 17th November, 2021, that in the Group D post the 25 candidates, named in the table under paragraph 3, were recommended after September, 2019. Some were also recommended in the year 2021.

Panel expired in May 2019.

(5) In this respect the Commission by filing one affidavit affirmed on 18th November, 2021,

(with entry no. S 33522) has submitted that no recommendation letter after the expiry of the panel was issued by the Regional Commission. The Group D staff are recommended by the Regional Commissions.

(6) Subsequently the petitioners by another supplementary affidavit, affirmed on 15th December, 2021, disclosed 548 persons names, all of whom were allegedly recommended by the Commission after the expiry of the panel, mostly in the month of December, 2019, in respect of which the statement made by the Commission in their aforesaid affidavit dated 18th November, 2021, holds good where the Commission has stated that none of its regions issued recommendation letters after expiry of the panel on 4th May, 2019. Such statement appears from a conjoint reading of paragraphs 6 and 9 of the said affidavit of the Commission dated 18th November, 2021.

(7) Subsequently, the Commission filed one affidavit affirmed on 22nd December, 2021 dealing with the writ application on merit stating that the writ application is delayed. The petitioners had no grievance with regard to the recommendations made to the candidates referred in the writ application. No where it appeared from the writ application that the petitioners prayed for an inquiry. And

all these factors, put together, indicates that the petitioners have no grievance with regard to the candidates referred to and the petitioners should not be allowed to modify their case made out in the writ application. In respect of the prayers made by the petitioners the Commission stated in its affidavit in opposition affirmed on 22nd December, 2021 that the petitioners could not be called in the counseling for remaining 108 vacancies as after recommending the candidates from the panel and the waitlist, 108 vacancies were left from the vacancies declared by the Commission.

(8) The statements made by the Commission in its affidavit (affirmed on 18th November, 2021) stating that the Commission did not recommend any such candidate after the expiry of the panel, is contradictory to the tenor of the affidavit in opposition of the Commission where they have raised all the above points to oppose the writ application.

If the recommendations were not issued by the Commission after the expiry of the panel for Group D posts, how does it matter to the Commission whether the petitioners did not say something about the candidates who were admittedly not recommended by the Commission? Is the commission trying to defend illegality and corruption exposed?

37

(9) In any event after the filing of the writ application and the affidavit of the Commission stating clearly that no recommendation was made after expiry of the panel, read with the tabular statement given by the petitioners in their supplementary affidavit affirmed on 15th December, 2021 in relation to 548 candidates after the aforesaid 25 candidates show that there is a clear case of illegality, irregularity and corruption in appointment of such 25 candidates and thereafter 548 candidates (if not other candidates also whose particulars have not been given by the petitioners).

(10) In view of such illegality, irregularity and indication of mind boggling corruption in public appointment in schools, where salaries are paid from the public exchequer, a writ court, being a court of equity cannot turn a blind eye to the facts which have come before it by way of affidavits.

(11) Therefore, the bottom line is that the 25 candidates, named in one supplementary affidavit and subsequently the 548 candidates, named in another supplementary affidavit disclosed by the petitioners, are clearly hit by Section 9 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997.

(12) Section 9 of the West Bengal School Service Commission Act, 1997 is quoted herein below:

9. Effect of recommendation of Commission.- (1) Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force or in any contract, custom or usage to the contrary, appointments to the posts of the Teachers and non-teaching staff in school shall be made by the Board or the Ad-hoc Committee or the administrator of the Board on the recommendation of the Regional Commission having jurisdiction.

(2) Any appointment of a Teacher or a non-teaching staff made on or after the commencement of this Act in contravention of the provisions of this Act shall be invalid and shall have no effect and the Teacher or the non-teaching staff so appointed shall not be a Teacher or a non-teaching staff within the meaning of clause (p) or clause (ia) of section 2, as the case may be.)

This Section of the Act deals with effect of recommendation of Commission. In Section 9(1) it is stated inter alia that appointments to the posts of teachers and non-teaching staff in schools shall be made by the Board or the ad hoc committee or the administrator of the Board **on the recommendation** of the Regional Commission having jurisdiction notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time being in force or in any contract, custom or usage to the contrary. Therefore, unless the Commission recommends a person to the post of a teacher or a non-teaching staff, the Board cannot issue any appointment letter.

39

(13) However, the Board in one of its affidavits have clearly submitted that they have issued appointment letters to the candidates, mentioned in the above supplementary affidavits of the petitioners, on the basis of the recommendations of the Commission. This is a sore point which is required to be inquired.

(14) As there was admittedly no recommendation by the Commission to those 573 (25 + 548) candidates named in those two supplementary affidavits used by the petitioners, the appointment letters given by the Board, under whatever situation, do not have any effect.

(15) This is exactly what which has been stated in Section 9(2) of the Act, which has been quoted above. From Section 9(2) of the said Act it appears that if any appointment of a teacher or a non-teaching staff is made on or after the commencement of the said Act (the Act came into effect in the year 1997) in contravention of the provisions of the Act, shall be invalid and shall have no effect and the teacher or the non-teaching staff within the meaning of clause (p) or clause (ia) of Section 2 of the Act, as the case may be shall not be a teacher or non-teaching staff.

Clause (ia) of Section 2 of the said Act defines non-teaching staff

40

(16) From the above provision of the Act, it is clear that the appointment of the said 573 candidates are wholly invalid and have no effect for want of recommendation by the commission and, therefore, they cannot work in a school as a recommended candidate by the Commission and their appointment letters of the Board are of no effect. All such appointments given to such 573 candidates are invalid and of no effect.

(17) The concerned District Inspectors of Schools are directed not to pay any salary to those 573 candidates who were not recommended by the Commission any further and the salary paid to such persons by the District Inspectors of Schools or from any other public fund are to be realised from them by using the relevant laws, both civil and criminal, in this respect.

(18) Those 573 persons have been served with copies by the Commission and the Commission has intimated me on the last occasion that they have sent copies of the writ application through speed post along with all the affidavits.

(19) Today at the time of hearing no such candidate has appeared to make any submission before this court. However, they are not shut out from making the most relevant statements on affidavit individually, of

41

course by disclosing the following questions within a period of three weeks from the date of this order:

i) From whom he received the recommendation letter – the name and designation of the persons who handed it over to him or who sent it by post to him.

ii) From whom he received the appointment letter – the name and designation of the person who handed it over to him or who sent it by post to him.

iii) What is the total amount of salary he has received from the date of appointment till date.

Such affidavits, if filed at all, by the candidates individually is to be filed before 2nd March, 2022.

(20) This court initially by its order dated 22nd Noveber, 2021 directed for an investigation by CBI by constituting a committee including enquiry into the question of money trails, if any, involved with such type of corruption whereunder without recommendation letters some persons were appointed in schools as Group D staff.

The Division Bench on appeal against this judgement constituted a committee headed by one retired judge of this court and three other members named in the said order

42

(21) Today none of the committee members or none on behalf of the committee is present before this court for the reasons best known to them.

I am told that an application has been filed before the Division Bench in the disposed of matter, i.e., the disposed of appeal, for extension of time to file the report by four months. As the application has been filed before the Division Bench, I restrain myself from making any comment thereon.

(22) However, I direct the committee to file an interim report before this court on 14th February, 2022 as to the stage they have proceeded in inquiry of the corruption and the angle of money trail, if any, till date.

(23) The appeal court mandated the committee to file the report by two months from the date of the order of the appeal court. The order of the appeal court was passed on 6th December, 2021. Today we are on 9th February, 2022. Although the said mandatory period of two months have elapsed, not only no inquiry report has been submitted before this court but also nobody of the committee, or on behalf of the committee, did care to appear before this court. This non-appearance is taken very very seriously by this court.

43

(24) I direct the committee to disclose the minutes of the minutes of all the meetings conducted by the committee in the meantime, i.e., from the order of the appeal court till 6th February, 2022 along with the said report. Those minutes shall be in a sealed cover whereas the interim report will be filed openly in court.

(25) Learned advocate for the petitioners is directed to serve a copy of this order upon the said Hon'ble Judge (retired), who was given the responsibility to monitor the enquiry for which the team was constituted by the appeal court.

Learned advocate for the petitioners prays for supply of the address of the said Hon'ble Judge (retired) who was to monitor the committee which he does not know.

(26) I direct the learned Registrar General to supply the address of the said Hon'ble Judge (retired) in course of the day to the learned Advocate for the petitioners in writing.

(27) This matter will appear in the list for further hearing on 14th February, 2022 at 12 noon.

(28) For the information of the above referred 573 candidates this order shall be published in the official website of the commission.

(Abhijit Gangopadhyay, J.)

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake: Kolkata-700091

45

Memo No.768(21) /L-3629/CSSC/ESTT/2021 Date: 02.12.2021

From: The Secretary,
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

To : The District Inspector of Schools(SE),
Purba Bardhaman/Paschim Bardhaman/Hooghly/Murshidabad/ Malda/
Dakshin Dinajpur/Uttar Dinajpur/ Siliguri/Jalpaiguri/Alipurduar/
Coochbehar/Howrah/Kolkata/South 24 PGS/Paschim Medinipur/
Purba Medinipur/Purulia/Nadia/Jhargram/
North 24 PGS (Barasat) / Birbhum.

Sub : As directed by the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta in WPA No. 12270 of 2021 Sabina Yasmin & Ors. -vs- State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ref : Supplementary affidavit dt 02.11.2021

Sir,

With reference to the above, I am directed to inform you that the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to direct in c/w aforesaid WPA No 12270 of 2021 directing the School Service Commission to scrutinize the documents annexed to the supplementary affidavit in order to find out whether any recommendation was issued for the post of Group C after expiry of the panel i.e. 18.05.2019. The Hon'ble Court was also pleased to direct the School Service Commission to issue letters to the concerned District Inspector of Schools (SE) for stopping of salary of such persons.

The documents annexed with the supplementary affidavit are scrutinized and sending herewith the copies of appointment letters whose recommendations were issued after expiry of the concerned panel.

Now, I am directed to request you to kindly take steps for stopping the salaries of the persons whose copy of appointment letters are annexed.

1. Alipurduar : 25
2. Murshidabad : 69
3. Malda : 28
4. Dakshin Dinajpur : 1
5. Siliguri : 4
6. Jalpaiguri : 1
7. Coochbehar : 3
8. Uttar Dinajpur : 6
9. North 24 Parganas : 20
10. Nadia : 4
11. Kolkata : 10
12. Howrah : 54
13. South 24 Parganas : 56
14. Paschim Bardhaman : 1
15. Purba Bardhaman :2
16. Hooghly : 6
17. Purba Medinipur : 20
18. Paschim Medinipur : 14
19. Purulia : 10
20. Jhargram : 2
21. Birbhum : 11

Yours faithfully,

Secretary
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

Encls : As stated above

The West Bengal Central School Service Commission

ACHARYA SADAN
11 & 11/1, Block-EE, Salt Lake: Kolkata-700091

Memo No. 749(20) /L-3628/CSSC/ESTT/2021 Date: 26.11.2021

From: The Secretary,
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

To : The District Inspector of Schools(SE),
Burdwan/Hooghly/Murshidabad/ Malda/
Dakshin Dinajpur/Uttar Dinajpur/ Siliguri/Jalpaiguri/Alipurduar/
Coochbehar/Howrah/Kolkata/South 24 PGS/Paschim Medinipur/
Purba Medinipur/Bankura/Purulia/Nadia/Jhargram/
North 24 PGS (Barasat)

Sub : Solemn order dt 25.11.2021 passed in WPA No. 12266 of 2021 Sandeep Prasad & Ors. -vs- State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ref : Supplementary affidavit dt 24.11.2021

Sir,

With reference to the above, I am directed to inform you that the Hon'ble High Court was pleased to pass order on 25.11.2021 in c/w aforesaid WPA No 12266 of 2021 directing the School Service Commission to scrutinize the documents annexed to the supplementary affidavit in order to find out whether any recommendation was issued for the post of Group D after expiry of the panel i.e. 04.05.2019. The Hon'ble Court was also pleased to direct the School Service Commission to issue letters to the concerned District Inspector of Schools (SE) for stopping of salary of such persons until further order of the Hon'ble Court.

The documents annexed with the supplementary affidavit are scrutinized and sending herewith the copies of appointment letters whose recommendations were issued after expiry of the concerned panel.

Now, I am directed to request you to kindly take steps for stopping the salaries of the persons whose copy of appointment letters are annexed.

1. Alipurduar : 19
2. Murshidabad : 31
3. Malda : 31
4. Dakshin Dinajpur : 6
5. Siliguri : 5
6. Jalpaiguri : 27
7. Coochbehar : 33
8. Uttar Dinajpur : 35
9. North 24 Parganas : 23
10. Nadia : 4
11. Kolkata : 1
12. Howrah : 49
13. South 24 Parganas : 105
14. Paschim Bardhaman : 1
15. Hooghly : 1
16. Purba Medinipur : 56
17. Bankura : 15
18. Paschim Medinipur : 42
19. Purulia : 33
20. Jhargram : 7

Yours faithfully,

Secretary 26/11/21
West Bengal Central School Service Commission

47 Annexure-R-4

30.08.2023
Item Nos. 5.
Court No. 18
(P.Jana)

W.P.A. 1444 of 2023

Salma Sultana
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Firdous Samim,
Ms. Gopa Biswas,
Ms. Mousumi Hazra,
Ms. Payel Shome,
Ms. Sampriti Saha,
.....for the petitioner

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, AGP.
...for the State.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra,
Ms. Supriya Dubey,
...for the WBCSSC.

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya,
...for the WBBSE.

The petitioner participated in the 1st SLST for recruitment of Assistant Teachers, 2016 (Classes IX-X) in Government Aided/Sponsored Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools (Except Hill Region) under general category for the subject 'English(GRAD)', medium Bengali.

The petitioner was a waitlisted candidate in the said selection process under the general female category.

The petitioner alleges that in compliance with the direction passed in W.P.A. 13700 of 2021(**Setab Uddin & Ors. vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.**), a list of the candidates was published by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education whose appointment letters were generated as per the

Annexure... to the paragraph... foregoing Petition affirmed by... on this...
Commissioner of Affidavit
High Court, Appellate Side
Calcutta

recommendation of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission for appointment in the post of Assistant Teachers(IX-X) and from the said list, the petitioner for the first time came to learn that the Commission had recommended her appointment in the post of Assistant Teacher at Ganguria High School (HS), District : Dakshin Dinajpur and the Board had issued the appointment letter.

The petitioner thereafter has filed the instant writ petition praying appointment in the post she was recommended.

Mr. Firdous Samim, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is astonishing as to how the petitioner was recommended for appointment in a particular school when she never appeared in any counseling, he further submits that the eighth phase of counseling was done after the expiry of the life of the panel.

Dr. Patra, learned counsel for the Commission submits that the petitioner was notified to participate in the counseling through text message in her mobile phone, number of which she disclosed in her online application but she did not turn up, he further submits that the intervening Covid-19 pandemic did not allow the Commission to complete all rounds of counseling within the period of life of the panel.

Ms. Bhattacharya, learned counsel for the Board submits that the appointment letter was issued to the petitioner on the recommendation of the Commission

49

but the petitioner did not collect it, which is still lying with the Board.

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, learned Additional Government Pleader submits that the post in respect of which the appointment of petitioner was recommended is still lying vacant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties at length and have perused the materials-on-records placed before me by the respondent authorities through their respective reports.

The Commission in its report has specifically stated that merit position of the candidates was not compromised in recommending the appointment of the petitioner. Holding the eighth phase of counseling after the expiry of the life of the panel gets justified for the situation which prevailed all over the world on intervention of Covid-19 pandemic.

The mode of notifying the candidates about the date of counseling through mobile phones in the number provided by them in their respective online application cannot be faulted as has been argued by Mr. Samim inasmuch as communication is the object to get the mobile number of a candidate nonetheless the possibility that a candidate has missed such communication cannot be ruled out altogether and in such case, the benefit of doubt should be given to a successful candidate.

The petitioner was found to be eligible for the appointment she applied for but could not join in the

recommended post for lack of knowledge about her success, instead she was participating in the demonstration for the appointment.

The petitioner, under the aforesaid circumstances, is entitled to get appointment in the post she was recommended, therefore the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission, the respondent no. 3 herein, within a week from date shall issue a fresh recommendation to the petitioner for appointment in the post of Assistant Teacher in subject English (GRAD) at Ganguria High School (HS), District : Dakshin Dinajpur subject to verification of all her original testimonials and for the said purpose, the petitioner is directed to appear before the said respondent.

The President, West Bengal Board of Secondary Education, within two days from the date of receipt of the aforementioned recommendation for appointment shall take necessary steps for issuance of the appointment letter to the petitioner.

WPA 1444 of 2023 is disposed of with the above terms without any order as to costs.

Parties to act on the server copy of this order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Biswajit Basu, J.)

30.08.2023
Item Nos. 6.
Court No. 18
(P.Jana)

W.P.A. 1454 of 2023

Rikta Chatterjee
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Firdous Samim,
Ms. Gopa Biswas,
Ms. Mousumi Hazra,
Ms. Payel Shome,
Ms. Sampriti Saha,
.....for the petitioner

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, AGP.
Mr. Mrinal Kanti Ghosh,
...for the State.
Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra,
Ms. Supriya Dubey,
...for the WBCSSC.

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya,
...for the WBBSE.

The petitioner participated in the 1st SLST for recruitment of Assistant Teachers, 2016 (Classes IX-X) in Government Aided/Sponsored Secondary and Higher Secondary Schools (Except Hill Region) under general category for the subject 'History(GRAD)', medium Bengali.

The petitioner was a waitlisted candidate in the said selection process under the general female category.

The petitioner alleges that in compliance with the direction passed in W.P.A. 13700 of 2021(**Setab Uddin & Ors. vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.**), a list of the candidates was published by the West Bengal Board of Secondary Education whose appointment letters were generated as per the

recommendation of the West Bengal Central School Service Commission for appointment in the post of Assistant Teachers(IX-X) and from the said list, the petitioner for the first time came to learn that the Commission had recommended her appointment in the post of Assistant Teacher at Bara Lohapur Charubala Girls' High School, District : Birbhum and the Board had issued the appointment letter.

The petitioner thereafter has filed the instant writ petition praying appointment in the post she was recommended.

Mr. Firdous Samim, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is astonishing as to how the petitioner was recommended for appointment in a particular school when she never appeared in any counseling, he further submits that the eighth phase of counseling was done after the expiry of the life of the panel.

Dr. Patra, learned counsel for the Commission submits that the petitioner was notified to participate in the counseling through text message in her mobile phone, number of which she disclosed in her online application but she did not turn up, he further submits that the intervening Covid-19 pandemic did not allow the Commission to complete all rounds of counseling within the period of life of the panel.

Ms. Bhattacharya, learned counsel for the Board submits that the appointment letter was issued to the petitioner on the recommendation of the Commission

but the petitioner did not collect it, which is still lying with the Board.

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya, learned Additional Government Pleader submits that the post in respect of which the appointment of petitioner was recommended is still lying vacant.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties at length and have perused the materials-on-records placed before me by the respondent authorities through their respective reports.

The Commission in its report has specifically stated that merit position of the candidates was not compromised in recommending the appointment of the petitioner. Holding the eighth phase of counseling after the expiry of the life of the panel gets justified for the situation which prevailed all over the world on intervention of Covid-19 pandemic.

The mode of notifying the candidates about the date of counseling through mobile phones in the number provided by them in their respective online application cannot be faulted as has been argued by Mr. Samim inasmuch as communication is the object to get the mobile number of a candidate nonetheless the possibility that a candidate has missed such communication cannot be ruled out altogether and in such case, the benefit of doubt should be given to a successful candidate.

The petitioner was found to be eligible for the appointment she applied for but could not join in the

recommended post for lack of knowledge about her success.

The petitioner, under the aforesaid circumstances, is entitled to get appointment in the post she was recommended, therefore the Chairman, West Bengal Central School Service Commission, the respondent no. 3 herein, within a week from date shall issue a fresh recommendation to the petitioner for appointment in the post of Assistant Teacher in subject History (GRAD) at Bara Lohapur Charubala Girls' High School, District : Birbhum subject to verification of all her original testimonials and for the said purpose, the petitioner is directed to appear before the said respondent.

The President, West Bengal Board of Secondary Education, within two days from the date of receipt of the aforementioned recommendation for appointment shall take necessary steps for issuance of the appointment letter to the petitioner.

WPA 1454 of 2023 is **disposed of** with the above terms without any order as to costs.

Parties to act on the server copy of this order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Biswajit Basu, J.)

DISTRICT: 24 PARGANAS (SOUTH)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

MAT 85 of 2023
Arising out of
WPA 13700 of 2023
In the matter of:
An application for stay
AND
In the matter of
Gopinath Bhanja &Ors
.....Appellants/ Applicants
VERSUS
Setab Uddin &Ors.
.....Respondents/ Writ petitioners

ADDITIONAL REPORT IN THE FORM OF AFFIDAVIT AFFIRMED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WEST BENGAL CENTRAL SCHOOL SERVICE COMMISSION.

SUPRIYA DUBEY
Advocate,
C/. Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra
Advocate
9, Old Post Office Street,
1st Floor, Kolkata -700 001.

ANNEXURE P-20

Comprehensive Status report Dtd 09/01/24 of CBI, ACB, Kolkata in Education Scam Cases (Irregularities in recruitment of Group C, Group D and Assistant Teachers for Class IX-X & XI-XII).

I). Matter regarding WPA 18585/2021.

1. That, CBI Case No. RC0102022A0002 [RC 02(A)/2022 – Kol] dtd 05/4/22 was registered in compliance of Order dated 04/04/2022 passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in WPA 18585/2021 titled as Laxmi Tunga and others.

2. The CBI Case No. RC0102022A0002 U/s 120 B, 420, 468, 471 of IPC and Section 7 of P.C.Act, 1988 [as amended by P.C.Act (Amendment) Act, 2018] was registered in connection with the irregularities in the recruitment of Group D posts in various sponsored and aided schools under West Bengal Central School Service Commission against Mr. Alok Kumar Sarkar, the then Deputy Director, Directorate of School Education (GA), West Bengal and Unknown other public servants of West Bengal Central School Service Commission, West Bengal Regional School Service Commissions and West Bengal Board of Secondary Education.

3. That, a chargesheet no. 31/2022, dated 30.12.2022 was filed against (i) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha the then Advisor of WBCSSC (ii) Shri Samarjit Acharya the then Programme Officer, WBCSSC (iii) Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, The then Chairman WBCSSC (iv) Shri Ashok Kumar Saha the then Secretary and Chairman of WBCSSC (v) Dr. Kalyanmoy Gangully, the then President, WBBSE and undeserving candidates namely (vi) Shri Suman Chakraborty, (vii) Shri Subhasis Chakraborty, (viii) Shri Suman Kumar Dolai, (ix) Shri Aniruddha Das, (x) Shri Nanda Dulal Barik, (xi) Shri Biswajit Jana, (xii) Shri Amal Ojha, (xiii) Shri Kartik Das, (xiv) Shri Prosanjit Bag and (xv)

Shri Mohan Chandra Bhowmik u/s 120B r/w Sec 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7 & 7A of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act. 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their omission and commission.

4. That, a Supplementary Chargesheet was filed against the accused agents namely Sh. Subrata Samanta Roy and Sh. Prasanna Kumar Roy U/s 120B r/w Sec 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7, 7A and 8 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C Act (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their omission and commission in this case in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons.

5. That, 2nd Supplementary Chargesheet (Final Chargesheet) has been filed on 08/1/24 against the accused namely Subires Bhattacharya (the then Chairman of WBCSSC), Ms. Parna Bose (Program Officer of WBCSSC), Niladri Das (the then Vice President of NYSA), NYSA Communication Pvt. Ltd through its Director, Pankaj Bansal (of NYSA), Anoy Saha, Dr. Partha Chatterjee (the then Minister incharge of Education Department of Govt. Of West Bengal) and Shri Pradip Singh @Chhotu (agent and employee of Prasanna Kumar Roy), U/s 120B r/w Sec 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7 & 7A of P C Act, 1988 [as amended in 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their omission and commission in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons.

II). <u>Matter regarding WPA 5538 of 2022.</u>

1. That CBI Case No. RC0102022A0003 dtd 07/4/2022 U/s 120B, 420 IPC & Sec 7 of P. C. Act, 1988 [as amended by P C (Amendment) Act, 2018] was registered by CBI, ACB, Kolkata in compliance of order dtd 07/4/22 passed by Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta in WPA 5538 of 2022.

2. That, FIR was registered against Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha (the then Convenor of five members committee as well as Advisor to West Bengal

Central School Service Commission) and unknown other public servants of WBCSSC and other related departments of Government of West Bengal for the irregularities in the process of appointment of candidates in the post of Assistant Teacher for class IX – X in first SLST 2016 of WBSSC.

3. That, first chargesheet was filed in this case on 21.10.2022,U/s 120B r/w 109, 201,420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and sec 7, 7A, 8 of PC Act 1988 [as amended vide PC (amendment) Act] and substantive offences thereof, against accused persons namely Dr Santi Prasad Sinha (the then advisor of WBCSSC), Sh Ashok Kumar Saha (the the Secretary of WBCSSC), Subires Bhattacharyya (the then Chairman of WBCSSC), Dr Kalyanmoy Ganguly (the then President of WBCSSC), Smt Parna Bose (Program Officer of WBCSSC), Sh Prasanna Kumar Roy@Rakesh (agent), Sh Pradip Singh @ Chotu (agent and employee of Prasanna Kumar Roy), Smt Jnui Das (candidate), Md Azad Ali Mirza (candidate), Imam Momin (candidate), Samarjit Acharya (Program Officer of WBCSSC), Rohit Kumar Jha (employee of Prasanna Kumar Roy), for their involvement and role in the illegal appointment of undeserving candidates to the post of Assistant Teacher for Class IX-X and the commission of offences as mentioned above in the body of the chargesheet.

4. That, 1st Supplementary Chargesheet has been filed against the accused agents namely Sk Ali Imam, Sahid Imam and Sh Koushik Ghosh for the commission of the offences punishable U/s 120B r/w 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC & Section 7, 7A & 8 of PC Act, 1988 [as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their role in the commission of the offences in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons.

5. That, 2nd Supplementary Chargesheet has been filed on 14/7/23 against the accused agents namely Sh Subrata Samanta Roy and Sh Jiban Krishna Saha [MLA of Burwan Constituency of West Bengal Legislative Assembly], for the offences U/s 120B r/w 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC &

Section 7, 7A & 8 of PC Act, 1988 [as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their role in the commission of the offences in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons.

6. That, 3rd Supplementary Chargesheet (Final Chargesheet) has been filed on 08/1/24 against the accused namely, Subir Ghosh (agent), Soumitra Ghosh (agent), Sh Dilip Bhowmik (agent), Niladri Das (Vice President of NYSA), Pankaj Bansal (employee of NYSA), Puneet Kumar (Partner of NYSA), M/s Nysa Communications Private Ltd., and Sh Partha Chatterjee (the then Minister in charge of Department of Education, U/s 120B r/w 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC & Section 7, 7A & 8 of PC Act, 1988 [as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offences thereof and also U/s 474 of IPC against Pankaj Bansal, for their role in the commission of the offences in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons. Sanction for prosecution is awaited against the accused public servants.

III). Matter regarding WPA 5406/2022.

1. That, CBI Case No.RC0102022A0004 dtd. 18.05.2022 was registered on the basis of Hon'ble High Court of Calcutta order dated 18.05.2022, passed in WPA No.5406/2022.

2. That, the Case No. RC0102022A0004 U/s. 120B, 420 471 IPC & Sec 7 of P.C. Act of 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018]. was registered against Shri Paresh Chandra Adhikary, Ms. Ankita Adhikary daughter of Shri Paresh Chandra Adhikary and unknown others for alleged manipulations in the merit list for appointment of Assistant Teachers for Classes-XI-XII in the subject of Political Science to accommodate Ankita Adhikary. It was further alleged that there were irregularities in the process of appointments of teachers for classes XI – XII in schools under WBCSSC.

3. That, chargesheet no. 32 / 2022, dated 30.12.2022 was filed against (i) Ms Ankita Adhikary D/o Sh. Paresh Chandra Adhikary (ii) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha the then Advisor WBCSSC, and Dr. Sharmila Mitra, the then

Chairman, WBCSSC, (iv) Shri Ashok Kumar Saha, the then Assistant Secretary cum SPIO, WBCSSC, (v) Smt. Parna Bose, Programme Officer, WBCSSC, (vi) Shri Samarjit Acharya, Programme Officer, WBCSSC and (viii) Shri Kalyanmoy Gangully, the then President, WBBSE U/s. 120B r/w 109, 201, 420, 477A IPC and Sec 7, 7A & 8 of PC Act 1988 [as amended by PC (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offence thereof for their omission and commission.

4. That, 1st Supplementary Chargesheet No. 09 / 2023 dated 17.05.2023 U/s 120B, 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7, 7A & 8 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018] was filed against persons namely – (i) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha (the then Advisor of WBCSSC), (ii) Sh. Ashok Kumar Saha (Advisor of WBCSSC), (iii) Sh. Sarmarjit Acharya (Program Officer, WBCSSC) (iv) Dr. Kalyanmoy Ganguly (the then President WBBSE), (v) Sh. Abdul Khalek (Agent) and (vi) Sh. Abu Tahir (agent).

5. That, 2nd Supplementary Chargesheet (Final Chargesheet) has been submitted on 08/1/24 against the accused namely Sh. Paresh Chandra Adhikary (the then Minister of Govt. of West Bengal), Partha Chatterjee (Minister in charge of Education Department), Subires Bhattacharya (the then Chairman WBCSSC), Sh. Puneet Kumar (NYSA), Niladri Das (Vice President NYSA), Pankaj Bansal (employee of NYSA), NYSA Communication Pvt. Ltd through its Director Puneet Kumar, Sh. Prasanna Kumar Roy @ Rakesh (Agent), Shri Pradip Singh @ Chhotu (Agent and employee of Prasanna Kumar Roy), Sh.Arun Maity (Agent), Sh. Alok Maity (agent) and Smt. Debasri Sinha (wife of accused Sh S.P.Sinha A - 1) for their offences punishable U/s 120B r/w Sec 120-B r/w Sec 109, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7, 7A & 8 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018] and substantive offences thereof for their omission and commission in conspiracy with previously chargesheeted accused persons. Sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants are awaited.

IV). Matter regarding WPA 12270 of 2021 :

1. That, CBI Case No. RC0102022A0005 dtd 20/5/22 [RC 5(A)/2022 – Kol], was registered in compliance of orders dtd 18/5/22 passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta in connection with WPA 12270 of 2021.

2. That, Case No. RC0102022A0005 dtd 20/05/2022 U/s 120B, 417, 465, 468, 34 IPC and Sec 7 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018] was registered in connection with the irregularities in the recruitment of Group C (Clerks) in various sponsored and aided schools under West Bengal Central School Service Commission, against (i) Dr. Santi Prasad Sinha, the then Convenor of the five member Committee as well as the then Advisor of West Bengal Central School Service Commission (WBCSSC), West Bengal, (ii) Mr. Samarjit Acharya, the then Programmer at West Bengal Central School Service Commission, (iii) Prof. Saumitra Sarkar, the then Chairman of West Bengal Central School Service Commission, (iv) Mr. Ashok Kr Saha, the then Secretary of West Bengal Central School Service Commission, (v) Dr. Kalyanmoy Gangully, the then President of West Bengal Board of Secondary Education and (vi) Unknown other public servants of West Bengal Central School Service Commission, West Bengal Regional School Service Commissions and West Bengal Board of Secondary Education.

3. That, after investigation Chargesheet U/s 173 CrPC was filed by CBI in the instant case before Ld. Special Judge for CBI cases, Alipore on 30.09.2022 against five FIR named accused persons, the then Minister Partha Chattopadhyay and ten other persons (candidates), U/s 120B, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018]. However, further investigation remained open U/s 173(8) CrPC.

4. That, after further investigation CBI filed first Supplementary Charge Sheet before Ld. Special Judge for CBI cases, Alipore against 09 (nine) accused persons including Shri Subires Bhattacharyya, the then Chairman of WBCSSC, Smt Parna Bose, the then Program Officer at WBCSSC etc U/s

120B, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7 & 8 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018]. Further investigation remained open U/s 173(8) CrPC.

5. That, on 08.01.2024 CBI submitted Final (Supplementary) Charge Sheet No. 02/2024 dated 06.01.2024 before Ld. Special Judge for CBI cases, Alipore against 03 (three) accused persons including Smt Sharmila Mitra, the then Chairperson of WBCSSC and Shri Sukanta Acharjee, the then PS to MIC Partha Chattopadhyay etc, U/s 120B, 201, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC and Sec 7 & 8 of P C Act, 1988 [as amended vide P C (Amendment) Act, 2018]. Sanctions for prosecution against the accused public servants of Government of West Bengal are awaited.

V). That, vide order dtd. 09/11/2023, Apex Court had directed CBI to complete investigation within the period of two months. Accordingly investigation has been completed in above SSC cases and final chargesheet has been submitted in the Trial Court.

OFFICE OF THE SUPDT. OF POLICE * CBI, ACB, SPE, DIVN, KOLKATA *

Submitted

09/1/24

(Anshuman Saha)
Addl. Supdt. of Police (SIT),
CBI/ACB/Kol

ANSHUMAN SAHA
Addl. Superintendent of Police
CBI, ACB, KOLKATA

ANNEXURE P-21

DISTRICT: KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

W.P.A. NO. 5406 OF 2022

IN THE MATTER OF:

Babita Sarkar

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

The State of West Bengal and Ors.

...Respondents.

Affidavit in compliance to the solemn order dated 15.01.2024 passed by the Hon'ble Justice Debangsu Basak and the Hon'ble Justice Md. Shabbar Rashidi filed on behalf of the Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti-Corruption Branch, Kolkata.

I, Shri Kollan Bhattacharya, son of Late A K Bhattacharya, aged about 58 years, by faith Hindu, by occupation: Service, serving as Superintendent of Police, Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti-Corruption Branch, Special Investigating Team (SIT), Kolkata, having its office at 234/4, Acharya Jagdish Chandra Bose Road, Nizam Palace, 2nd MSO Building, Kolkata: 70002; do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. That, I am duly authorized by the competent authority to swear this affidavit on behalf of Central Bureau of Investigation, as such, I am also well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case. I have been duly authorized to sign and affirm the affidavit on behalf of the Central Bureau of Investigation and I am competent enough to do so by the virtue of my official capacity.

2. That in compliance to the solemn order dated 17.05.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Justice Abhijit Gangopadhyay in the above matter, RC0102022A0004 dated 18.05.2022 has been registered under Sections 120B, 420 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 [as amended by the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018] by Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti-Corruption Branch, Kolkata.

3. That during investigation, it was revealed that the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter be referred to as 'Commission') has awarded a work order to M/s. Nysa Communication Private Limited (hereinafter be referred to as 'M/s. NYSA') for scanning and evaluation of OMR answer sheets pertaining to the selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.

4. That, scanning of all original OMR sheets was done at the office of Commission by M/s. NYSA. The process adopted by M/s. NYSA was when the OMR is scanned, that generates two outputs, first is the scan image of the OMR and the other is the answer string, which is a language coded inputs of the responses filled up by the candidate in the respective OMR sheet.

5. That scanned OMR sheets were used by M/s. NYSA for evaluation of such OMR sheets by way of comparing those with the corresponding answer key. Thereafter, M/s. NYSA made a final tabulation of result of OMRs evaluation and shared this evaluation of marks with the Commission.

6. That once the Commission received the evaluated marks of candidates from M/s. NYSA, they uploaded the same in their office database.

7. That during investigation the office database of the Commission was seized by the Central Bureau of Investigation containing all the data pertaining to selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.

8. That, during investigation of the case, three hard disks were recovered on 15.09.2022 and 16.09.2022 from the residence of Pankaj Bansal, ex-employee to M/s. NYSA, at Ghaziabad. The certificates dated 16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, from Shri. Pankaj Bansal were also obtained, in triplicate, with regard to genuineness of the data contained in these three hard-disks so recovered.

9. These three hard-disks contained scanned images and the evaluated marks of above such OMR sheets.

10. That, in compliance to the solemn order dated 15.01.2024 of this Hon'ble Court, a certificate dated under Section 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, obtained from Pankaj Bansal is being submitted

before this Hon'ble Court. Photocopy of such certificate u/s 65-B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 obtained from Shri Pankaj Bansal dated 16.09.2022 is annexed hereto and marked as "Annexure – A" to this affidavit.

11. That, the 2nd Supplementary Chargesheet filed before the Learned Trial Court on 08.01.2024 along with the list of witnesses, list of documents and list of material objects in which three hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal's residence are made the part of it.

12. That, the statements made in paragraphs 1 to 10 are matters of record and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Prepared in my office

Advocate

Enrolment No. WB/952/2011

All annexures are legible.

Advocate

The deponent is known to me

Ashim Kumar Pradhan P-16

Clerk to: Mr. Chitta Panda (II)

Advocate

Solemnly affirmed before me,

On this 16th day of January, 2024

COMMISSIONER

ANNEXURE - "A"

"CERTIFICATE U/S 65B OF INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872"

It is certified that the information, enclosed herewith in the form of data stored in:

(i) One WD 2 TB External Hard Disk bearing Serial No. WX71AC82C54A (Copy of which was voluntarily provided to CBI by the undersigned)

(ii) One Seagate Back up plus portable Drive, capacity 1 TB, bearing Serial No. NA7ZW704 having hash value . SHA1: 28E5D8FBA6A251F41E0BD2CA5A9E1A8A83243944 & MD5 – 304FDD1C49D7D52AC50437F6DB571641 (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

(iii) One Seagate BUP Slim RD SCSI Disc device, capacity 1 TB bearing Serial No. NA7XR3VB having hash value SHA1:- A8387158B2C36759ACB2188FCC9D4B7E905A54D6 & MD5 – 7BE5529279BEFFID74074E5BE98AC3AC (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

taining relevant data of WBCSSC pertaining to 1st SLST (AT), 2016 for Class XI-XII, (, Group-C and Group-D; is the original scanned images of OMRs and esponding data regarding the same for the aforesaid examination.

It is further certified that to the best of my knowledge:

All data including the scanned images of OMRs for the aforesaid examinations e under my exclusive custody throughout and there has been no tampering or ipulation in any of them at any point of time. Said data is the same which was acted during the scanning process of OMRs of respective examinations at the office /BCSSC, Acharya Sadan, Salt Lake Kolkata by M/s Nyaa Communications Private ted.

horized signatory:
Pankaj Bansal
Analyst, M/s ND InfoSystems Pvt. Ltd.

e: Ghaziabad
: 16/09/2022

DISTRICT: KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

(APPELLATE SIDE)

IN THE MATTER OF:

W.P.A. NO. 5406 OF 2022

IN THE MATTER OF:

Babita Sarkar

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

The State of West Bengal and Ors.

...Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT

Filed on behalf of the CBI, in terms of the solemn order dated 15.01.2024, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench

ADVOCATE-ON-RECORD.

Mr. ARIJIT MAJUMDAR

ADVOCATE

HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA

BAR ASSOCIATION, ROOM NO. 2

Chamber:

4, GOVERNMENT PLACE (NORTH), CHAMBER – 1 C, 1ST FLOOR, DELTA HOUSE, KOLKATA – 700 001.

M: +91 98365 09444
Email: majumdar.arijit@hotmail.com
Enrolment No. WB/952/2011

ANNEXURE P-22

DISTRICT: KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

APPELLATE SIDE

W.P.A. NO. 5406 OF 2022

IN THE MATTER OF:

Babita Sarkar

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

The State of West Bengal and Others

...Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT IN COMPLIANCE TO THE SOLEMN ORDER DATED 24.01.2024 PASSED BY THE HON'BLE JUSTICE DEBANGSU BASAK AND THE HON'BLE JUSTICE MD. SHABBAR RASHIDI FILED ON BEHALF OF THE CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, ANTI-CORRUPTION BRANCH, KOLKATA.

I, Kollan Bhattacharya, son of Late A K Bhattacharya, aged about 58 years, by faith Hindu, by occupation: Service, serving as the Superintendent of Police, Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti-Corruption Branch, Special Investigating Team (SIT), Kolkata, having its office at 234/4, Acharya Jagdish Chandra Bose Road, Nizam Palace, 2nd MSO Building, Kolkata: 700020; do hereby solemnly affirm and state as follows:-

1. That, I am duly authorized by the competent authority to swear this affidavit on behalf of Central Bureau of Investigation, as such, I am also well acquainted with the facts and circumstances of the case. I have been duly authorized to sign and affirm the affidavit on behalf of the Central Bureau of Investigation and I am competent enough to do so by the virtue of my official capacity.

2. That in compliance to the solemn order dated 17.05.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Justice Abhijit Gangopadhyay in the above matter, a Registered Case vide RC0102022A0004 dated 18.05.2022 has been registered under Sections 120B, 420 of the Indian Penal Code & Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 [as amended by the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Act, 2018] by Central Bureau of Investigation, Anti-Corruption Branch, Kolkata.

3. That during investigation, it was revealed that the West Bengal Central School Service Commission (hereinafter referred to as 'Commission') had awarded a work order to M/s. Nysa Communication Private Limited (hereinafter referred to as 'M/s. NYSA') for scanning and evaluation of OMR answer sheets pertaining to the selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.

4. Investigation has established that Sh. Puneet Kumar was the then Director and Sh. Niladri Das was the then Vice President of the said M/s Nysa Communications Pvt. Ltd. during relevant period. It has been established during investigation that Sh. Niladri Das was in charge of operations in respect of recruitment examinations conducted by WBCSSC and frequently visited the office of WBCSSC

to attend to the actual operational part and did the requisite liaison on behalf of the agency for necessary compliance of the instructions given by WBCSSC. Sh Puneet Kumar, being the Director, mainly looked after the financial affairs of the agency and represented it on records.

5. Investigation has established that the scanning of OMR sheets was undertaken by the said M/s Nysa Communication Pvt Ltd at WBCSSC office at Acharya Sadan under direct supervision of Sh Niladri Das and in presence of WBCSSC officials. It has been further established that M/s NYSA communication Pvt Ltd. had further given work order w.r.t. scanning the original OMR to M/s Data Scantech Solutions, Noida who remained present on the premises of WBCSSC for the scanning work. After completion of scanning, the processed data in the form of scanned images of OMRs, scan data etc. were handed over by M/s Data Scantech Solutions to M/s Nysa Communication Pvt Ltd. who took the same to their office located at Noida in digital form (Hard Disks) leaving the original hard copies of OMR sheets in the office of the WBCSSC. WBCSSC handed over their answer keys in respect of all subjects to M/s Nysa Communications Pvt Ltd for evaluation of OMR responses.

6. That, while scanning the original OMR sheets by M/s Data Scantech Solutions on behalf of M/s NYSA, two ".DAT" files were generated containing SCAN NO., Bar Code, ROLL NO., VENUE CODE, BOOKLET SERIAL NUMBER, SUBJECT CODE, CATEGORY, GENDER, MEDIUM and RESPONSE CODE. In the process of scanning, the image copies of the original OMR sheets were also captured.

7. That, a sample of the ".DAT" file generated during scanning of one OMR sheet is cited as an example below:-

Bar Code/ Scan No	Roll Number	Venue Code	Booklet Serial Number	Subject code	Category code	Gender	Medium
1 0 0 0 0 8 9	2 2 2 1 1 6 7 5 0 0 0 1 2 9	2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 6 9	7 5	0 2	F	1
D D A A E A C	A A A B E A A A D A D D	D A C D D C E C D E	A	A		D	
E E E A A C D	C A C E A C E C E #						

Responses of candidates in the OMR is appearing as Response Code in alphabets, while "#" indicates end of one OMR sheet.

8. That, two such ".DAT" files are generated since scanning is done twice to avoid any technical error. Thereafter, a final ".DAT" file is prepared which is called a clean data file. After receiving the answer keys from Commission, the same is compared with this final ".DAT" file and a "DBF" File is generated having the score of the candidates.

9. That during investigation the server database of the Commission was seized by the Central Bureau of Investigation containing all the

data pertaining to selection tests of Group – D, Group – C, Assistant Teachers for classes IX – X and XI – XII.

10. That, during investigation of the case, three hard disks were recovered on 15/16.09.2022 from the residence of Pankaj Bansal, ex-employee of M/s. NYSA, located at Ghaziabad. The certificates dated 16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, from Shri. Pankaj Bansal were also obtained, in triplicate, with regard to genuineness of the data contained in these three hard-disks so recovered.

11. That, during investigation, data files containing scanned OMRs, ".DAT" files etc. pertaining to the aforesaid WBCSSC recruitment matters were also seized from M/s Data Scantech Solutions. During investigation of RC-03(A)/2022- Kol, the hash values of these Data files of Scantech Solutions were matched with the hash value of the corresponding files recovered from the hard discs seized from Pankaj Bansal and was found to be matching, which establishes that the data contained in the three hard disks recovered from Pankaj Bansal's possession were not contaminated.

12. That, a similar exercise of matching the data available on the hard disks of Pankaj Bansal with the data seized from the Commission was done during the course of investigation and it was found that there were mismatch between the two, in as much as, the written marks awarded to candidates as available on the server of the commission had been increased to qualify undeserving candidates. This mismatch establishes that manipulation in marks of written examination in the case of many candidates was resorted to and such candidates were identified. The comparison of these actual/genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 952 nos. of candidates of IX-X, 907 nos. of candidates of XI-XII, 3481 nos. of Gr. C candidates and 2823 nos. of Gr. D candidates.

13. That, during the course of investigation, several emails were found to have been exchanged between the accused officials of the Commission, certain private persons and officials of NYSA. These emails contained lists of candidates, whose OMR marks were found to be increased in the server of the Commission. Besides this, emails have been exchanged between the staff of NYSA themselves containing manipulated data of candidates. This shows the complicity of officials of M/s NYSA in this conspiracy.

14. That, during investigation, it emerged that in the year 2019, Shri Niladri Das of M/s NYSA Communications Pvt Ltd left NYSA and started his own business in name & style of M/s ND Info Systems Pvt Ltd., Noida, and was engaged in the business of Data processing in line of M/s NYSA. The said Niladri Das poached Pankaj Bansal, Kuldeep Singh, Anoy Saha, Muzammil Hossain and others support staff from NYSA.

15. That, it has emerged from the investigation that before leaving NYSA, Niladri Das and his staffs retained all the data pertaining to recruitment examinations of WBCSSC including the data of Group C, D, Assistant Teacher (IX-X, XI - XII) with them. Even after leaving NYSA, Niladri Das, Pankaj Bansal and Muzammil Hossain kept providing assistance to WBCSSC in the matters of RTI on the basis of the data of NYSA which was manipulated and also hosted on the WBCSSC server. This also establishes that Niladri Das, Pankaj Bansal and Muzammil Hosssain who were involved in the entire scam and it was in their knowledge that data has been manipulated and therefore they continued to extend this assistance solely to avoid detection.

16. That, if there would have been no manipulations then the scanned images of OMRs available with WBCSSC were sufficient to respond

to RTI queries. Investigation revealed that WBCSSC had destroyed the original OMR sheets and the scanned images of original OMR sheets in the year 2019, which again leads to an inference that the same were destroyed to keep the entire scam under wraps.

17. That, investigation establishes that as a reward for doing aforesaid manipulation in the OMR score, M/s NDISPL of Niladri Das was provided work of recruitment of Teachers in Upper Primary conducted by WBCSSC. Apart from this, many other recruitment works were also assigned to Niladri Das by the Government of West Bengal. Various list of candidates related to Upper Primary were communicated to Niladri Das from S P Sinha, Sharmila Mitra, etc. were found in the email of Niladri Das (niladri@ndispl.com) which shows his criminal conduct.

18. Result of comparison of these electronic records collected from M/s Data Scantech Solutions with that of the hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal –

(a) As discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the hard disk recovered from Pankaj Bansal contained the marks of written examination, typing test, etc. This marks when

compared with marks available in WBCSSC server resulted in the identification of candidates whose marks of written examination, typing test were manipulated.

(b) M/s Data Scantech Solutions made the initial scanned images of OMR's. These scanned images were given to M/s NYSA. Pankaj Bansal retained a copy of these scanned images.

(c) That in connection with candidates of Class IX – X, XI – XII, the scanned image of OMR sheets as collected from M/s Data Scantech Solutions pertaining to the alleged candidates whose OMR marks were found manipulated were matched with the scanned image of OMR sheets as available in the hard disk of Pankaj Bansal and the same are found identical.

(d) The Response string of candidates pertaining to IX-X and XI-XII as recovered from M/s Data Scantech Solutions matches with the Response String available in the hard disk seized from Pankaj Bansal. On the basis of these response strings the actual/ genuine OMR marks of IX-X and XI-XII candidates were determined. The comparison

of these actual/genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 952 nos. of candidates of IX-X and 907 nos. of candidates of XI-XII.

(e) The Response string of candidates pertaining to Gr. C & Gr. D as recovered from M/s Data Scantech Solutions matches with the Response String available in the hard disk seized from Pankaj Bansal. On the basis of these response strings the actual/ genuine OMR marks of Gr. C & Gr. D candidates were determined. The comparison of these actual/genuine OMR marks with the OMR marks available in WBCSSC Server shows that there is manipulation in 3481 nos. of Gr. C candidates and 2823 nos. of Gr. D candidates.

(f) That, the investigation has established the genuineness of the data of hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal.

19. THAT, in compliance to the solemn order dated 24.01.2024 of this Hon'ble Court, three hard-disks, in original, seized from the residence of Pankaj Bansal along with original certificate dated

16.09.2022 u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, obtained from Pankaj Bansal are being submitted before this Hon'ble Court. The three hard-disks are in sealed condition. The certificate u/s 65-B of Indian Evidence Act, 1872, in original, obtained from Shri. Pankaj Bansal dated 16.09.2022 is annexed hereto and marked as "Annexure – A".

20. That, Hon'ble Division Bench at High Court at Calcutta in WPA 2613 of 2018 (Basanta Das Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors) directed CBI on 24.01.2024 for production of the above mentioned three original hard disks seized from Pankaj Bansal and in compliance to such direction all the said three hard disks were returned back by CFSL, Hyderabad in sealed condition and are now being produced with this report.

21. That, the present status of all the above mentioned four recruitment cases of CBI is "disposed-off from investigation", where multiple chargesheets in each such cases have been filed by CBI before the Learned Trial Court, Alipore. The alleged offences of the First Information Reports and the subsequent irregularities found during the course of investigation have been substantiated in all such cases of CBI and all the charge sheets contain the detailed investigation carried out by CBI in such cases.

22. That, the statements made in the above paragraphs are matters of record and the rest are my humble submissions before this Hon'ble Court.

Kishan Bhattacharya

Prepared in my office

Advocate

Enrolment No. WB/952/2011

All annexures are legible.

Advocate

The deponent is known to me

Ashim Kumar Pradhan Pro

Clerk to: Mr. Chitta Panda (II)

Advocate

Solemnly affirmed before me,

On this 05th day of February, 2024

COMMISSIONER

"CERTIFICATE U/S 65B OF INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT, 1872"

It is certified that the information, enclosed herewith in the form of data stored in:

(i) One WD 2 TB External Hard Disk bearing Serial No. WX71AC82C54A (Copy of which was voluntarily provided to CBI by the undersigned)

(ii) One Seagate Back up plus portable Drive, capacity 1 TB, bearing Serial No. NA7ZW704 having hash value SHA1: 28E5D8FBA6A251F41E0BD2CA5A9E1A8A83243944 & MD5 – 304FDD1C49D7D52AC50437F6DB571641 (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

(iii) One Seagate BUP Slim RD SCSI Disc device, capacity 1 TB bearing Serial No. NA7XR3VB having hash value SHA1:- A8387158B2C36759ACB2188FCC9D4B7E905A54D6 & MD5 – 7BE5529279BEFFID74074E5BE98AC3AC (copy of which was provided to CBI using Tableau TX1 for the purpose of investigation)

containing relevant data of WBCSSC pertaining to 1st SLST (AT), 2016 for Class XI-XII, IX-X, Group-C and Group-D; is the original scanned images of OMRs and corresponding data regarding the same for the aforesaid examination.

It is further certified that to the best of my knowledge:

All data including the scanned images of OMRs for the aforesaid examinations were under my exclusive custody throughout and there has been no tampering or manipulation in any of them at any point of time. Said data is the same which was extracted during the scanning process of OMRs of respective examinations at the office of WBCSSC, Acharya Sadan, Salt Lake Kolkata by M/s Nysa Communications Private Limited.

Authorized signatory: Pankaj 16-9-22
Sh. Pankaj Bansal
Data Analyst, M/s ND Info Systems Pvt. Ltd.

Place: Ghaziabad
Date: 16/09/2022

DISTRICT: KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION

(APPELLATE SIDE)

IN THE MATTER OF:

W.P.A. NO. 5406 OF 2022

IN THE MATTER OF:
Babita Sarkar

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

The State of West Bengal and Ors.

...Respondents.

AFFIDAVIT

Filed on behalf of the CBI, in terms of the solemn order dated 24.01.2024, passed by the Hon'ble Division Bench

ADVOCATE-ON-RECORD.

Mr. ARIJIT MAJUMDAR

ADVOCATE

HIGH COURT, CALCUTTA

BAR ASSOCIATION, ROOM NO. 2

Chamber:

4, GOVERNMENT PLACE (NORTH), CHAMBER – 1 C, 1ST FLOOR, DELTA HOUSE, KOLKATA – 700 001.

M: +91 98365 09444
Email: majumdar.arijit@hotmail.com
Enrolment No. WB/952/2011

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

APPLICATION SEEKING EXEMPTION FROM FILING CERTIFIED COPY OF THE IMPUGNED ORDER

TO,

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE OF INDIA

AND HIS COMPANION JUDGES OF THE

HON'BLE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA.

THE HUMBLE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONERS ABOVE-NAMED.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

1. The Petitioner herein –State of West Bengal, is assailing the common Final Order and Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.*" wherein the Hon'ble Division Bench has while adjudicating a batch of matters has clubbed together a host of issues, and instead of segregating the valid appointments, which could not have formed part of the adjudication as opposed to the alleged illegal ones has erroneously set aside

the selection process in its entirety, which affects nearly 23,123 teaching and non-teaching staff in the State of West Bengal, while also directing the persons who had been appointed outside the panel, after expiry of the panel as also those who submitted blank OMR sheets but obtained appointments, must return all remunerations and benefits received by them to the State exchequer along with interest calculated at 12 percent per annum, from the date of receipt thereof till deposit, within a period of four weeks from date of the Impugned Order.

2. The contents of the said petition are not repeated herein for the sake of brevity and the Petitioner/Applicant submit that the same may be read as part and parcel of the instant application and crave leave of this Hon'ble Court to refer and rely on the same.

3. That the certified copy of the Impugned Interim Orde dated 22.04.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta, in WPA 30649 of 2016- "*Baishakhi Bhattacharyya (Chatterjee) & Ors. vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.*" is not readily available. However, due to paucity of time and the urgency in the present matter, the same is being assailed along with the computer-generated copy of the impugned order.

4. That the Petitioner/Applicant may, therefore, be kindly exempted to file the certified copy of the Impugned Interim Order along with the present petition, and the Petitioners/Applicant further undertake to file the same as

and when made available by the registry of the Hon'ble High Court, and if this Hon'ble Court directs accordingly.

5. That the instant application is *bona fide* and made in the interest of justice.

P R A Y E R


It is therefore prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to:

a) Grant exemption to the Petitioners/Applicants from filing certified copy of the Impugned Interim Order dated 22.04.2024 passed by the Hon'ble High Court at Calcutta, in WPA 30649 of 2016 and other connected matters; and

b) Pass any such order (s), direction (s) as may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

FOR THIS ACT OF KINDNESS, THE APPELLANTS HEREIN SHALL EVER PRAY.

FILED BY:



[ASTHA SHARMA]

ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT

FILED ON: 24.04.2024

NEW DELHI

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. OF 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF WEST BENGAL …PETITIONER

VERSUS


BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS. …RESPONDENTS

INDEX

S. Nos.	Particulars	Copies	Court Fee
1.	Special Leave Petition with Affidavit		
		Total:	

Place: New Delhi

Date: 24.04.2024



[**ASTHA SHARMA**]
Advocate for the Petitioners
AOR Code: 2450
Address: 401,402 & 412, 4th FLOOR,
MERCANTILE HOUSE,
15 K G MARG,
NEW DELHI – 110001
Mob +91 9811788754
E-Mail: astha.aor@gmail.com

788

WEST BENGAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
APPELLATE/ ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

SLP (C) .....OF 2024

STATE OF WEST BENGAL

Plaintiff/ Respondent/ Petitioner

- Versus -

BAISHAKHI BHATTACHARYYA (CHATTERJEE) & ORS.

Defendant/ Respondent/ Opposite Party

V A K A L A T N A M A

I/We THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL Plaintiff(s)/ Appellant(s)/ Petitioner(s)/ Respondent(s)/ Opposite Party in the above Suit/ Appeal/ Petition/ Reference do hereby appoint and retain MR./MRS./MISS Astha Sharma, ADVOCATE of the Supreme Court to act and appear for me/us in the above Suit/ Appeal/ Petition/ Reference and on my/our behalf to conduct and prosecute or defend the same and all proceedings that may be taken in respect of any application connected with the same or any decree or order passed therein, including proceedings in taxation and application for Review to file and obtain return of documents, and to deposit and receive money on my/ our behalf in the Suit/ Appeal/ Petition/ Reference and in application for Review and to represent me/ us and to take all necessary steps on my/ our behalf in the above matter. I/ We agree to ratify all acts done by the aforesaid advocate in pursuance of this authority.

Dated. this the ..... day of APRIL ..., 2024

PLAINTIFF(S)/ APPELLANT(S)/ RESPONDENT(S)
DEFENDANT(S)/ RESPONDENT(S)/ OPPOSITE PARTY(S)

ACCEPTED& IDENTIFIED & CERTIFIED BY ME

Signed : .....Advocate(s),
.....Lawyers' Chamber, Supreme Court,
New Delhi-110001.

ASTHA SHARMA
Advocate on Record
Mercantile House, 15, Kasturba Gandhi Marg
401-402-412, 4th Floor, New Delhi-110001
Mobile: +91 9811788754
E-mail: astha.aor@gmail.com

Legal Remembrancer,
Govt. of West Bengal
FOR PETITIONER

MEMO OF APPEARANCE

To
The Register,
Supreme Court of India,
New Delhi-110001.

Sir,

Please enter my appearance for the above named appeallant(s)/petitioner(s)/respondent(s) in the above mentioned petition/case/matter/appeal.

Dated this the.... .day of 0 4 .....20 2 4

Advocate,

.....Lawyers' Chamber,
Supreme Court,New Delhi-110001.

ASTHA SHARMA
Advocate on Record
Mercantile House, 15, Kasturba Gandhi Marg
401-402-412, 4th Floor, New Delhi-110001
Mobile: +91 9811788754
E-mail: astha.aor@gmail.com

DATE: 24.04.2024